

## The Existence of Matrices with Prescribed Characteristic and Permanental Polynomials

David K. Baxter

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Alabama in Huntsville  
Huntsville, Alabama 35807*

Submitted by Hans Schneider

---

### ABSTRACT

Let  $d(\lambda)$  and  $p(\lambda)$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with coefficients in  $F$ , an algebraically closed field or the field of all real numbers. Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of an  $n$ -square matrix  $A$  over  $F$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  are given in terms of the coefficients of  $d(\lambda)$  and  $p(\lambda)$ .

---

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Numerous properties have been obtained on the characteristic polynomial  $\det(\lambda I - A)$  of a matrix  $A$  over a field  $F$ . Recently, a number of results have been obtained (for example, see R. Merris [1] and G. N. de Oliveira [2]) on the permanental polynomial  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A)$ , and many interesting questions concerning this polynomial have been raised. One particularly interesting problem is the following, posed by G. N. de Oliveira in [2]: Find a necessary and sufficient condition for the scalars  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n$  in a field  $F$  to be the characteristic roots of an  $n$ -square matrix  $A$  over  $F$  and the roots of the equation  $\text{per}(zI - A) = 0$ , respectively. The purpose of this article is to present some results on the following existence problem: Let  $F$  be a field, let  $M_n(F)$  denote the set of all  $n$ -square matrices over  $F$ ,  $d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + d_1\lambda^{n-1} + d_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d_n$ , and let  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1\lambda^{n-1} + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with coefficients in  $F$ . What conditions are necessary and sufficient for the existence of a matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ ? Since  $\text{per} = \det$  whenever the characteristic of  $F$  is 2, throughout this article  $F$  will denote a field of characteristic different from 2. Under this restriction, it is first shown that if  $F$  is an algebraically closed field and  $\text{char}(F) \neq 3$  if  $n = 3$ , then there exists a

matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  if and only if  $d_1 = p_1$ . The case where  $n = 3 = \text{char}(F)$  is then settled for algebraically closed fields. Then for  $F = R$ , the field of all real numbers, it is shown that in addition to the requirement that  $d_1 = p_1$ , we must also have  $d_1^2 \geq [n/(n-1)](d_2 + p_2)$ , with  $d_3 - p_3 = [(n-2)/n]d_1(d_2 - p_2)$  if equality holds and  $n > 2$ .

2. ALGEBRAICALLY CLOSED FIELDS

We first consider the existence of a matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  having prescribed characteristic and permanental polynomials over an algebraically closed field  $F$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + d_1\lambda^{n-1} + d_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d_n$  and  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1\lambda^{n-1} + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with coefficients in an algebraically closed field  $F$ , where  $\text{char}(F) \neq 3$  if  $n = 3$ . There exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  if and only if  $d_1 = p_1$ .*

*Proof.* If there exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ , then clearly  $d_1 = -\text{tr}(A) = p_1$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $d_1 = p_1$ . First, let  $n = 2$ . In this case, it can easily be verified that if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-d_1 + \sqrt{d_1^2 - 2(d_2 + p_2)}}{2} & \frac{p_2 - d_2}{2} \\ 1 & \frac{-d_1 - \sqrt{d_1^2 - 2(d_2 + p_2)}}{2} \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ .

Next, let  $n = 3$ . Choosing any scalar  $s \in F$  such that  $t = (d_1 - 3s)(d_1 + s) - 2(d_2 + p_2) \neq 0$ , it can easily be verified that if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{-(d_1 + s) + \sqrt{t}}{2} & \frac{2(d_3 - p_3) + (d_2 - p_2)[\sqrt{t} - (d_1 + s)]}{-4\sqrt{t}} & \frac{st - s(d_1 + s)^2 - 2(d_3 + p_3)}{4} \\ 1 & s & \frac{2(d_3 - p_3) - (d_2 - p_2)[\sqrt{t} + (d_1 + s)]}{4\sqrt{t}} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{-(d_1 + s) - \sqrt{t}}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

then  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ .

Finally, let  $n \geq 4$ . Let  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1 \in F$  such that  $x_1 \neq y_1$ ,  $x_1 + x_0 \neq y_1 + y_0$ ,  $x_1 + x_0 + y_1 + y_0 = -d_1$  and  $x_1(x_0 + y_0 + y_1) + x_0(y_0 + y_1) + y_0 y_1 = \frac{1}{2}(d_2 + p_2)$ . [Such scalars can be chosen in the algebraically closed field  $F$  as follows: If  $d_1 \neq 0$  or  $d_2 p_2 \neq 0$ , choose  $x_0 \in F$  such that  $t = (d_1 - 2x_0)^2 - 3(2x_0)^2 - 2(d_2 + p_2) \neq 0$ , and let  $y_0 = x_0$ ,  $x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + 2x_0 - \sqrt{t})$ ,  $y_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(d_1 + 2x_0 + \sqrt{t})$ . If  $d_1 = 0 = d_2 + p_2$  and  $\text{char}(F) \neq 3$ , let  $x_0 = 1$ ,  $y_0 = 0$ ,  $x_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{-3})$ ,  $y_1 = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{-3})$ . If  $d_1 = 0 = d_2 + p_2$  and  $\text{char}(F) = 3$ , let  $x_0 = y_0 = x_1 = 1$ ,  $y_1 = 0$ .] For  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$  let  $\sigma_i$  denote the  $i$ th elementary symmetric function of  $x_0, y_0, x_1, y_1$ , and let  $\sigma_i = 0$  if  $4 < i \leq n$ . Since  $x_1 \neq y_1$  and  $x_1 + x_0 \neq y_1 + y_0$ , it is easy to show that we can select  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1} \in F$  in the following way: If  $n = 4$ , select  $x_2, x_3, x_4, y_2, y_3 \in F$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 + y_0 & x_1 + x_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - d_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 - d_3) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 + d_3) - \sigma_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p_4 + d_4) - \sigma_4 - x_2 y_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x_4 = \frac{1}{2}(p_4 - d_4) - x_0 x_1 y_2 - y_0 y_1 x_2.$$

If  $n > 4$ , select  $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_{n-1} \in F$  such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 + y_0 & x_1 + x_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - d_2) \\ -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 - d_3) \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 + y_0 & x_1 + x_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_3 \\ y_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 + d_3) - \sigma_3 \\ \frac{1}{2}(p_4 + d_4) - \sigma_4 - x_2 y_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 + y_0 & x_1 + x_0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_k \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } 4 \leq k \leq n - 2,$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ y_1 & x_1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_{n-1} \\ y_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} t_{n-1} \\ v_{n-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

$$x_n = t_n,$$

(2.1)

where, for  $k=4,5,\dots,n$ ,

$$t_k = -[x_0 x_1 y_{k-2} + y_0 y_1 x_{k-2}] + \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p_k - d_k), & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ -\frac{1}{2}(p_k + d_k) - \sigma_k, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$v_k = -\sum_{i=2}^{k-1} x_i y_{k+1-i} + \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2}(p_{k+1} - d_{k+1}), & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ \frac{1}{2}(p_{k+1} + d_{k+1}) - \sigma_{k+1}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

We now show that if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ 1 & x_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_{n-2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & y_0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & y_1 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ . Expanding  $\det(\lambda I - A)$  in terms of the first row, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A) &= (\lambda - x_1) \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - x_0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -y_{n-1} \\ -1 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 & -y_{n-2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda - y_0 & -y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & \lambda - y_1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=2}^{n-2} x_k \begin{vmatrix} \lambda & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -y_{n-k} \\ -1 & \lambda & \cdots & 0 & -y_{n-k-1} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda - y_0 & -y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -1 & \lambda - y_1 \end{vmatrix} \\ &= -x_{n-1}(\lambda - y_1) - x_n \\ &= [\lambda^2 - (x_0 + x_1)\lambda + (x_0 x_1 - x_2)] \\ &\quad \times [\lambda^{n-2} - (y_0 + y_1)\lambda^{n-3} + (y_0 y_1 - y_2)\lambda^{n-4} \\ &\quad - y_3 \lambda^{n-5} - \cdots - y_{n-2}] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{k=3}^{n-2} x_k [\lambda^{n-k} - (y_0 + y_1)\lambda^{n-k-1} + (y_0 y_1 - y_2)\lambda^{n-k-2} \\
 & \quad - y_3\lambda^{n-k-3} - \dots - y_{n-k}] \\
 & - x_{n-1}(\lambda - y_1) - y_{n-1}(\lambda - x_1) - x_n,
 \end{aligned}$$

which, upon rearranging, becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n - \sigma_1 \lambda^{n-1} + [\sigma_2 - (x_2 + y_2)] \lambda^{n-2} \\
 & + [(y_0 + y_1)x_2 + (x_0 + x_1)y_2 - \sigma_3 - (x_3 + y_3)] \lambda^{n-3} \\
 & + \sum_{k=4}^{n-1} \left[ (y_0 + y_1)x_{k-1} + (x_0 + x_1)y_{k-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-2} x_i y_{k-i} \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + (-1)^k \sigma_k - (x_k + y_k + x_0 x_1 y_{k-2} + y_0 y_1 x_{k-2}) \right] \lambda^{n-k} \\
 & + \left[ y_1 x_{n-1} + x_1 y_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} x_i y_{n-i} + (-1)^n \sigma_n \right. \\
 & \quad \left. - (x_n + x_0 x_1 y_{n-2} + y_0 y_1 x_{n-2}) \right]. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the relationships among the scalars  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}$  found in (2.1), it is not difficult to show that (2.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 \det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + d_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + d_2) - \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - d_2) \right] \lambda^{n-2} + \dots \\
 & + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_k + d_k) - \frac{1}{2}(p_k - d_k) \right] \lambda^{n-k} + \dots + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_n + d_n) - \frac{1}{2}(p_n - d_n) \right] \\
 & = \lambda^n + d_1 \lambda^{n-1} + d_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d_k \lambda^{n-k} + \dots + d_n = d(\lambda).
 \end{aligned}$$

A similar expansion of  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A)$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n - \sigma_1 \lambda^{n-1} + [\sigma_2 + (x_2 + y_2)] \lambda^{n-2} \\ &\quad - [(y_0 + y_1)x_2 + (x_0 + x_1)y_2 + \sigma_3 + (x_3 + y_3)] \lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=4}^{n-1} (-1)^k \left[ (y_0 + y_1)x_{k-1} + (x_0 + x_1)y_{k-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{k-2} x_i y_{k-i} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sigma_k + (x_k + y_k + x_0 x_1 y_{k-2} + y_0 y_1 x_{k-2}) \right] \lambda^{n-k} \\ &\quad + (-1)^n \left[ y_1 x_{n-1} + x_1 y_{n-1} + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} x_i y_{n-i} + \sigma_n \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (x_n + x_0 x_1 y_{n-2} + y_0 y_1 x_{n-2}) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Again, from (2.1), it is not difficult to show that (2.3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + p_1 \lambda^{n-1} + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_2 + d_2) + \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - d_2) \right] \lambda^{n-2} + \dots \\ &\quad + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_k + d_k) + \frac{1}{2}(p_k - d_k) \right] \lambda^{n-k} + \dots + \left[ \frac{1}{2}(p_n + d_n) + \frac{1}{2}(p_n - d_n) \right] \\ &= \lambda^n + p_1 \lambda^{n-1} + p_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_k \lambda^{n-k} + \dots + p_n = p(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

For completeness, we consider the case where  $F$  is an algebraically closed field and  $n = 3 = \text{char}(F)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $d(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + d_1 \lambda^2 + d_2 \lambda + d_3$  and  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^3 + p_1 \lambda^2 + p_2 \lambda + p_3$  be monic polynomials over an algebraically closed field  $F$  of characteristic 3. There exists a matrix  $A \in M_3(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  if and only if  $d_1 = p_1$  and at least one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $d_1 \neq 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d_2 + p_2 \neq 0$ ,
- (iii)  $d_1 = d_2 + p_2 = 0$  and  $d_3 - p_3 = a(d_2 - p_2)$  for some  $a \in F$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_3(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ . Clearly,  $d_1 = -\text{tr}(A) = p_1$ . It suffices to assume that (i) and (ii) fail to hold. Then  $d_1 = d_2 + p_2 = 0$ . From the polynomial equations  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ , we can obtain the following system of equations:

$$a_{11} + a_{22} + a_{33} = 0, \tag{2.4}$$

$$a_{11}a_{22} + a_{11}a_{33} + a_{22}a_{33} = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$a_{12}a_{21} + a_{13}a_{31} + a_{23}a_{32} = \frac{1}{2}(p_2 - d_2), \tag{2.6}$$

$$a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} + a_{12}a_{23}a_{31} + a_{13}a_{32}a_{21} = -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 + d_3), \tag{2.7}$$

$$a_{23}a_{32}a_{11} + a_{13}a_{31}a_{22} + a_{12}a_{21}a_{33} = -\frac{1}{2}(p_3 - d_3). \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.4), (2.5) and the fact that  $\text{char}(F) = 3$ , it is not difficult to show that  $a_{11} = a_{22} = a_{33}$ . Then from (2.6) and (2.8), we obtain

$$-\frac{1}{2}(p_3 - d_3) = a_{11}(a_{23}a_{32} + a_{13}a_{31} + a_{12}a_{21}) = \frac{1}{2}a_{11}(p_2 - d_2),$$

or equivalently,

$$d_3 - p_3 = -a_{11}(d_2 - p_2).$$

Hence,  $d_1 = p_1$ , and at least one of the properties (i), (ii) and (iii) holds.

It remains to be shown that the stated conditions are sufficient conditions. The proof of Theorem 1 for the case  $n = 3$  clearly shows that if  $d_1 = p_1$  and either (i) or (ii) holds, then there exists a matrix  $A \in M_3(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ .

Suppose  $d_1 = p_1$  and (iii) holds. Then by our assumption, we have that  $d_1 = p_1 = 0$ ,  $p_2 = -d_2$  and  $d_3 - p_3 = a(d_2 - p_2) = 2ad_2$  for some  $a \in F$ . Let

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -a & -d_2 & a^3 - \frac{1}{2}(p_3 + d_3) \\ 1 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -a \end{bmatrix}.$$

It follows that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ . The proof is complete. ■

3. THE REAL FIELD

We now consider the existence of real matrices having prescribed characteristic and permanental polynomials with real coefficients. We shall use the following in this consideration.

LEMMA 1. *Let  $d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + d_2\lambda^{n-2} + d_3\lambda^{n-3} + \dots + d_n$  and  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + p_3\lambda^{n-3} + \dots + p_n$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with real coefficients. There exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  if one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $d_2 + p_2 < 0$ ,
- (ii)  $d_2 + p_2 = 0$  and, if  $n > 2$ ,  $d_3 = p_3$ .

*Proof.* Rewriting the polynomials  $d(\lambda)$  and  $p(\lambda)$  as

$$d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + (r_2 - s_2)\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + (r_k - s_k)\lambda^{n-k} + \dots + (r_n - s_n)$$

and

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + (r_2 + s_2)\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + (r_k + s_k)\lambda^{n-k} + \dots + (r_n + s_n),$$

where  $r_k = \frac{1}{2}(p_k + d_k)$  and  $s_k = \frac{1}{2}(p_k - d_k)$  for  $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ , the assumption that (i) or (ii) holds is equivalent to the assumption that

- (i')  $r_2 < 0$ , or
- (ii')  $r_2 = 0$  and, if  $n > 2$ ,  $s_3 = 0$ .

Suppose (i') holds. Then an argument similar to that in the proof of Theorem 1 establishes that the desired  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be found having the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & \cdots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} & x_n \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_{n-1} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_{n-2} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & y_3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & y_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & y_1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{3.1}$$

where  $x_1 = \sqrt{-r_2}$  and  $y_1 = -\sqrt{-r_2}$ .

Suppose (ii') holds. First, let  $n = 2$ . Clearly, if

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -d_2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$



then  $\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2 + d_2 = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = \lambda^2 - d_2 = p(\lambda)$ .

Next, let  $n \geq 3$ . We now show that the desired real  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  can be found having the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & \cdots & x_{n-2} & x_{n-1} \\ 1 & 0 & y_1 & y_2 & y_3 & \cdots & y_{n-3} & y_{n-2} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

We first establish by induction on  $n$  that if  $A$  has the form given by (3.2), then

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} - [x_1 + y_1 + (n-3)]\lambda^{n-2} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + [(n-3)x_1 + (n-4)y_1 + P_1 - (x_3 + y_3 + Q_1)]\lambda^{n-4} + \cdots \\ &\quad + [(n-k+1)x_{k-3} + (n-k)y_{k-3} + P_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad - (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k} + \cdots \\ &\quad + [2x_{n-4} + y_{n-4} + P_{n-4} - (x_{n-2} + y_{n-2} + Q_{n-4})]\lambda \\ &\quad + [x_{n-3} + P_{n-3} - (x_{n-1} + Q_{n-3})], \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} + [x_1 + y_1 + (n-3)]\lambda^{n-2} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + [(n-3)x_1 + (n-4)y_1 + P_1 + (x_3 + y_3 + Q_1)]\lambda^{n-4} + \cdots \\ &\quad + (-1)^k [(n-k+1)x_{k-3} + (n-k)y_{k-3} + P_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad + (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k} + \cdots \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} [2x_{n-4} + y_{n-4} + P_{n-4} + (x_{n-2} + y_{n-2} + Q_{n-4})]\lambda \\ &\quad + (-1)^n [x_{n-3} + P_{n-3} + (x_{n-1} + Q_{n-3})], \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-3$ ,  $P_i$  is a polynomial in  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{i-4}, y_{i-4}$  of degree at most one and  $Q_i$  is a polynomial in  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{i-2}, y_{i-2}$  of degree at most one, and  $x_i = y_i = P_i = Q_i = 0$  if  $i \leq 0$ . This assertion is easily verified for  $n=3$  and  $n=4$ . Assume the assertion is true for matrices of order  $t$ ,  $4 \leq t < n$ , having the form given by (3.2). Then if an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  has the form given by (3.2), expansions of  $\det(\lambda I - A)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A)$  in terms of the last column give

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \lambda \det(\lambda I - A') - \det(\lambda I - A'') - y_{n-2}\lambda - x_{n-1} \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda \text{per}(\lambda I - A') + \text{per}(\lambda I - A'') \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} y_{n-2}\lambda + (-1)^n x_{n-1}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where  $A'$  is the matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting the last row and column, and  $A''$  is the matrix obtained from  $A$  by deleting the last two rows and columns. By our inductive assumption,

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A') &= \lambda^{n-1} + 0\lambda^{n-2} - [x_1 + y_1 + (n-4)]\lambda^{n-3} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-4} \\ &\quad + [(n-4)x_1 + (n-5)y_1 + P'_1 - (x_3 + y_3 + Q'_1)]\lambda^{n-5} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=5}^{n-2} [(n-k)x_{k-3} + (n-k-1)y_{k-3} + P'_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad - (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q'_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k-1} \\ &\quad + [x_{n-4} + P'_{n-4} - (x_{n-2} + Q'_{n-4})], \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A'') &= \lambda^{n-2} + 0\lambda^{n-3} - [x_1 + y_1 + (n-5)]\lambda^{n-4} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-5} \\ &\quad + [(n-5)x_1 + (n-6)y_1 + P''_1 - (x_3 + y_3 + Q''_1)]\lambda^{n-6} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=5}^{n-3} [(n-k-1)x_{k-3} + (n-k-2)y_{k-3} + P''_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad - (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q''_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k-2} \\ &\quad + [x_{n-5} + P''_{n-5} - (x_{n-3} + Q''_{n-5})], \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A') &= \lambda^{n-1} + 0\lambda^{n-2} + [x_1 + y_1 + (n-4)]\lambda^{n-3} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-4} \\ &\quad + [(n-4)x_1 + (n-5)y_1 + P'_1 + (x_3 + y_3 + Q'_1)]\lambda^{n-5} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=5}^{n-2} (-1)^k [(n-k)x_{k-3} + (n-k-1)y_{k-3} + P'_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad + (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q'_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k-1} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-1} [x_{n-4} + P'_{n-4} + (x_{n-2} + Q'_{n-4})], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per}(\lambda I - A'') &= \lambda^{n-2} + 0\lambda^{n-3} + [x_1 + y_1 + (n-5)]\lambda^{n-4} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-5} \\ &\quad + [(n-5)x_1 + (n-6)y_1 + P''_1 + (x_3 + y_3 + Q''_1)]\lambda^{n-6} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=5}^{n-3} (-1)^k [(n-k-1)x_{k-3} + (n-k-2)y_{k-3} + P''_{k-3} \\ &\quad \quad + (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q''_{k-3})]\lambda^{n-k-2} \\ &\quad + (-1)^{n-2} [x_{n-5} + P''_{n-5} + (x_{n-3} + Q''_{n-5})], \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where  $P'_i, P''_i$  are polynomials in  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{i-4}, y_{i-4}$  of degree at most one, and  $Q'_i, Q''_i$  are polynomials in  $x_1, y_1, x_2, y_2, \dots, x_{i-2}, y_{i-2}$  of degree at most one. Substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into (3.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} - [x_1 + y_1 + (n-3)]\lambda^{n-2} - [x_2 + y_2]\lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + [(n-3)x_1 + (n-4)y_1 + P'_1 + (n-5) - (x_3 + y_3 + Q'_1)]\lambda^{n-4} \\ &\quad + [(n-4)x_2 + (n-5)y_2 + P'_2 - (x_4 + y_4 + Q'_2)]\lambda^{n-5} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=6}^{n-1} [(n-k+1)x_{k-3} + (n-k)y_{k-3} + P'_{k-3} + Q'_{k-5} \\ &\quad \quad - (x_{k-1} + y_{k-1} + Q'_{k-3} + P''_{k-5} + (n-k+1)x_{k-5} \\ &\quad \quad + (n-k)y_{k-5})]\lambda^{n-k} \\ &\quad + [x_{n-3} + Q''_{n-5} - (x_{n-1} + P''_{n-5} + x_{n-5})]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $P_1 = P'_1 + (n-5)$ ,  $P_2 = P'_2, \dots$ ,  $P_k = P'_{k-3} + Q''_{k-5}, \dots$ ,  $P_{n-3} = Q''_{n-5}$  and  $Q_1 = Q'_1$ ,  $Q_2 = Q'_2, \dots$ ,  $Q_k = Q'_k + P''_{k-5} + (n-k+1)x_{k-5} + (n-k)y_{k-5}, \dots$ ,  $Q_{n-3} = P''_{n-5} + x_{n-5}$ , (3.3) is established. Similarly, substituting (3.9) and (3.10) into (3.6) establishes (3.4).

The polynomial equations

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} - s_2\lambda^{n-2} + r_3\lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + (r_4 - s_4)\lambda^{n-4} + \dots + (r_n - s_n), \\ \text{per}(\lambda I - A) &= \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} + s_2\lambda^{n-2} + r_3\lambda^{n-3} \\ &\quad + (r_4 + s_4)\lambda^{n-4} + \dots + (r_n + s_n) \end{aligned}$$

can be replaced by the following system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ (n-3) & (n-4) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ y_1 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} s_2 - (n-3) \\ r_4 - P_1 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ (n-4) & (n-5) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_2 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} -r_3 \\ -s_5 - P_2 \end{bmatrix}, \\ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ (n-k-2) & (n-k-3) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_k \\ y_k \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} t_k \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{if } 3 \leq k \leq n-3, \\ x_{n-2} + y_{n-2} &= t_{n-2}, \\ x_{n-1} &= t_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where for  $k = 3, 4, \dots, n-1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_k &= \begin{cases} -r_{k+1} - Q_{k-2}, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ s_{k+1} - Q_{k-2}, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \\ v_k &= \begin{cases} -s_{k+3} - P_k, & \text{if } k \text{ is even,} \\ r_{k+3} - P_k, & \text{if } k \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The system is clearly consistent over  $R$ , and the proof of the lemma is complete. ■

With the aid of Lemma 1, we now establish the following result.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + d_1\lambda^{n-1} + d_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d_n$  and  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1\lambda^{n-1} + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with real coefficients. There exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  if and only if  $d_1 = p_1$  and one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $d_1^2 > [n/(n-1)](d_2 + p_2)$ ,
- (ii)  $d_1^2 = [n/(n-1)](d_2 + p_2)$  and, if  $n > 2$ ,  
 $d_3 - p_3 = [(n-2)/n]d_1(d_2 - p_2)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  such that

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i)$$

and

$$\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_i).$$

Then

$$d_1 = - \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} = - \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = p_1, \tag{3.11}$$

$$d_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \lambda_i \lambda_j = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ii} a_{jj} - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} a_{ji}, \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$p_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mu_i \mu_j = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ii} a_{jj} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ij} a_{ji}. \tag{3.13}$$

Hence,

$$d_2 + p_2 = 2 \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} a_{ii} a_{jj} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right)^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2. \tag{3.14}$$

It follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that

$$- \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii}^2 \leq - \frac{\left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right)^2}{n},$$

with equality if and only if  $a_{ii} = a_{jj}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore, from (3.14) we see that

$$d_2 + p_2 \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_{ii} \right)^2 = \frac{n-1}{n} d_1^2,$$

or equivalently,

$$d_1^2 \geq \frac{n}{n-1} (d_2 + p_2), \tag{3.15}$$

with equality if and only if  $a_{ii} = -(1/n)d_1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Suppose that  $n > 2$  and  $d_1^2 = [n/(n-1)](d_2 + p_2)$ . Then  $a_{ii} = -(1/n)d_1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Since  $-d_3$  is equal to the sum of the principal minors of  $A$  of order 3, and  $-p_3$  is equal to the sum of the principal permanent minors of  $A$  of order 3, we see that

$$d_3 - p_3 = 2(n-2) \left( -\frac{1}{n} d_1 \right) \sum_{1 < i < j < n} a_{ij} a_{ji} = \frac{n-2}{n} d_1 (d_2 - p_2).$$

Hence,  $d_1 = p_1$ , and (i) or (ii) holds.

Now suppose that  $d_1 = p_1$  and either (i) or (ii) holds. Note that if

$$d(\lambda) = \lambda^n + d_1 \lambda^{n-1} + d_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + d_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \lambda_i),$$

$$p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1 \lambda^{n-1} + p_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda - \mu_i),$$

$$\tilde{d}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} + \tilde{d}_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + \tilde{d}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \lambda - \left( \lambda_i + \frac{1}{n} d_1 \right) \right],$$

$$\tilde{p}(\lambda) = \lambda^n + 0\lambda^{n-1} + \tilde{p}_2 \lambda^{n-2} + \dots + \tilde{p}_n = \prod_{i=1}^n \left[ \lambda - \left( \mu_i + \frac{1}{n} d_1 \right) \right],$$

then for each  $A \in M_n(R)$ ,

$$\det \left( \lambda I - \left( A - \frac{1}{n} d_1 I \right) \right) = d(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{per} \left( \lambda I - \left( A - \frac{1}{n} d_1 I \right) \right) = p(\lambda)$$

if and only if

$$\det(\lambda I - A) = \tilde{d}(\lambda) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{per}(\lambda I - A) = \tilde{p}(\lambda).$$

Thus, it is sufficient to prove the existence of a matrix  $A \in M_n(R)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  in the case that  $d_1 = p_1 = 0$ . In this case, the assumption that  $d_1 = p_1$  and either (i) or (ii) holds is equivalent to the assumption that  $d_1 = p_1 = 0$  and either (i')  $d_2 + p_2 < 0$  or (ii')  $d_2 + p_2 = 0$  and, if  $n > 2$ ,  $d_3 = p_3$ . Under these conditions, Lemma 1 establishes the existence of a matrix  $A \in M_n(R)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = d(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$ , and the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

It should be noted that in the case that the prescribed polynomials  $d(\lambda)$  and  $p(\lambda)$  are identical, then Theorem 2 becomes the following.

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $p(\lambda) = \lambda^n + p_1\lambda^{n-1} + p_2\lambda^{n-2} + \dots + p_n$  be a monic polynomial of degree  $n \geq 2$  with real coefficients. There exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(R)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda) = \text{per}(\lambda I - A)$  if and only if  $p_1^2 \geq [2n/(n-1)]p_2$ .*

We conclude by noting that Proposition 1 and Theorems 1 and 2 imply the following.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $p(\lambda)$  and  $q(\lambda)$  be monic polynomials of degree  $n \geq 2$  with coefficients in  $F$ , an algebraically closed field or the field of all real numbers. There exists a matrix  $A \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - A) = p(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - A) = q(\lambda)$  if and only if there exists a matrix  $B \in M_n(F)$  such that  $\det(\lambda I - B) = q(\lambda)$  and  $\text{per}(\lambda I - B) = p(\lambda)$ .*

REFERENCES

- 1 R. Merris, Two problems involving Schur functions, *Linear Algebra and Appl.* **10** (1975), 155-162.
- 2 G. N. de Oliveira, A conjecture and some problems on permanents, *Pacific J. Math.* **32** (1970), 495-499.

*Received 25 May 1977; revised 1 September 1977*