Laparoscopic management of pancreatic pseudocysts: experience at a general hospital in Mexico City
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Abstract
Background: Invasive management of pancreatic pseudocysts (PP) is currently indicated in those patients with symptoms or complications. Treatment options are classified as surgical (open and laparoscopic) and non-surgical (endoscopic and radiologic).

Aim: To describe the morbidity, mortality, and efficacy in terms of technical and clinical success of the laparoscopic surgical approach in the treatment of patients with PP in the last 3 years at our hospital center.

Methods: We included patients with PP treated with laparoscopic surgery within the time frame of January 2012 and December 2014. The morbidity and mortality associated with the procedure were determined, together with the postoperative results in terms of effectiveness and recurrence.

© 2015 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Introduction

Pancreatic and peripancreatic collections are divided into 4 categories (acute collections, post-necrotic acute collections, pancreatic pseudocysts [PPs], and encapsulated pancreatic necrosis). At present, PPs are the most common cause of cystic lesions of the pancreas.1,2

Current PP management indications are based on the presence of symptoms (abdominal pain, early satiety, weight loss, persistent fever) or complications (infection, gastritis or biliary obstruction, rupture, vascular thrombosis, or pseudoaneurysm formation).3,4

Treatment options for PPs are classified as surgical (open and laparoscopic) and nonsurgical (endoscopic and radiologic).5,6

Internal drainage of PPs through conventional open surgery was first described in 1923 when Jedlica published the cystogastrostomy technique.7 In 1996 Gumaste et al. published a systematic review of the literature that included 1,032 patients from 14 different studies that underwent conventional open surgery for internal PP drainage and reported morbidity of 40% and mortality of 5.8%.8

Because of this elevated morbidity and mortality rate, in the 1990s interest was sparked in developing minimally invasive surgical treatment options that resulted in the description of different internal drainage techniques with the laparoscopic approach, such as laparoscopic posterior or exogastric cystogastrostomy reported by Morino et al. in 1995 and by Park and Schwartz in 1999, transgastric or anterior cystogastrostomy, endogastric cystogastrostomy, and cystojejunostomy with a Roux-en-Y jejunal loop.9,10

Since then, there have been numerous case series describing the success and morbidity and mortality rates of different laparoscopic drainage techniques (table 1). In 2007 Aljarabah and Ammori carried out a systematic review of the literature and reported complication, mortality, and

Results: A total of 38 patients were diagnosed with PP within the last 3 years, but only 20 of them had invasive treatment. Laparoscopic surgery was performed on 17 of those patients (mean pseudocyst diameter of 15.3, primary drainage success rate of 94.1%, complication rate of 5.9%, and a 40-month follow-up).

Conclusions: The results obtained with the laparoscopic technique used at our hospital center showed that this approach is feasible, efficacious, and safe. Thus, performed by skilled surgeons, it should be considered a treatment option for patients with PP.

© 2015 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Published by Masson Doyma México S.A. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

PALABRAS CLAVE
Seudoquiste pancreático; Drenaje laparoscópico; Necrosis pancreática encapsulada; Colecciones peripancreáticas agudas

Manejo laparoscópico de los seudoquistes pancreáticos: experiencia de un hospital general en la Ciudad de México

Resumen

Antecedentes: Actualmente el manejo invasivo de los seudoquistes pancreáticos (SP) está indicado en aquellos que ocasionan síntomas en el paciente o que desarrollan complicaciones. Las opciones de tratamiento se clasifican en quirúrgicas (convencionales y laparoscópicas) y no quirúrgicas (endoscópicas y radiológicas).

Objetivo: Describir la morbilidad, la mortalidad y la eficacia en términos de éxito técnico y clínico del abordaje quirúrgico laparoscópico en el tratamiento de los pacientes con SP de los últimos 3 años en el hospital sede.

Materiales y métodos: Se incluyeron pacientes con SP tratados de manera quirúrgica laparoscópica en el periodo comprendido de enero de 2012 a diciembre de 2014. Se determinó la morbilidad asociada al procedimiento y los resultados posquirúrgicos en términos de efectividad y recurrencia.

Resultados: Treinta y ocho pacientes fueron diagnosticados con SP en los últimos 3 años de los cuales 20 tuvieron indicación de tratamiento invasivo; a 17 se les sometió a tratamientoquirúrgico laparoscópico (diámetro promedio del SP 15.3 cm, éxito primario de drenaje del 94.1%, el 5.9% presentó complicaciones, 40 meses de seguimiento).

Conclusiones: Los resultados obtenidos con la técnica laparoscópica utilizada en el hospital sede demuestran que dicho abordaje es factible, eficaz y seguro; por lo que, en manos expertas debe considerarse como una opción para el tratamiento de pacientes con SP.

© 2015 Asociación Mexicana de Gastroenterología. Publicado por Masson Doyma México S.A. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Table 1. Laparoscopic pancreatic pseudocyst treatment case series.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Etiology</th>
<th>Surgery duration (min)</th>
<th>Technical success (%)</th>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
<th>Recurrence rate (%)</th>
<th>Days of hospital stay</th>
<th>Complications Follow-up (months)</th>
<th>Technical success (%)</th>
<th>Surgical approach</th>
<th>Recurrence rate (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palanivelu et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>54% biliary, 18% etiologic</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>83.4% LTCG, 7.4% LCI</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Park et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>48% biliary, 4% etiologic</td>
<td>168.168</td>
<td>63% LTCG, 11% LCI</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamza et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>46% biliary, 29% etiologic</td>
<td>70.22</td>
<td>37% LTCG, 14% LCI</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hautes et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>59% biliary, 30% etiologic</td>
<td>70.165</td>
<td>41% LCI, 4% LCI</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mori et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>50% biliary, 29% etiologic</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>100% LCI, 100% LCI</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Davila-Cervantes et al.</td>
<td>Lung (LCG)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40% biliary, 40% etiologic</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>40% LCI, 40% LCI</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCD:</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cysto-duodeno-scoppy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54% biliary, 18% etiologic</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>83.4% LTCG, 7.4% LCI</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTG:</td>
<td>Laparoscopic transgastric cystogastrostomy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54% biliary, 18% etiologic</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>83.4% LTCG, 7.4% LCI</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCG:</td>
<td>Laparoscopic cystogastrostomy</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54% biliary, 18% etiologic</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>83.4% LTCG, 7.4% LCI</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LEnCG:</td>
<td>Laparoscopic external drainage</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>54% biliary, 18% etiologic</td>
<td>86.76</td>
<td>83.4% LTCG, 7.4% LCI</td>
<td>88.4%</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Recurrence rates of 4.6, 0, and 0%, respectively, in patients with laparoscopically treated PP.11 In 2014 Khaled published a retrospective study that directly compared the laparoscopic surgical technique with the conventional open approach for PP treatment and concluded that the former offered advantages in terms of shorter surgery duration, a lower morbidity rate, and shorter hospital stay, and therefore should be considered the first choice approach in centers that have the adequately trained personnel.12 Minimally invasive treatment techniques have recently been described for pancreatic and peripancreatic collections different from PP. In 2010 Van Santvoort et al. carried out a multi-center, prospective, randomized study showing that patients with infected necrotic pancreatitis treated through a minimally invasive technique (the step-up approach) using computerized axial tomography-guided fine needle aspiration and/or laparoscopic necrosectomy had a lower rate of multiple organ failure, a lower incidence of incisional hernia, and a lower incidence of new-onset diabetes than the patients treated through open necrosectomy.13

Aim

Our aim was to describe the morbidity, mortality, and efficacy in terms of technical and clinical success associated with the laparoscopic surgical approach in patients with PP treated at the Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Clinic of our hospital center over the last 3 years.

Methods

A retrospective, cross-sectional, observational, and descriptive study was conducted. The case records were reviewed of the patients 18 years of age or older that were diagnosed with PP and treated through laparoscopic posterior cystogastrostomy at our hospital center within the time frame of January 2012 and December 2014.

A contrast-enhanced computerized axial tomography scan was carried out on the patients with a history of acute pancreatitis that were seen as outpatients 4 weeks after the inflammatory pancreatic event and presented with epigastric pain, early satiety, or persistent fever. PP was defined as a peripancreatic collection meeting the following tomographic criteria: round or oval, with a well-defined wall, content with a fluid density in Hounsfield units, and no evidence of solid debris or necrotic tissue in its interior. Infected PP was defined as a collection presenting tomographic evidence of gas in its interior.

The patients that fit the abovementioned criteria underwent internal drainage through laparoscopic cystogastrostomy as a first treatment option, performed by a surgeon and an assistant. The technique employed at our hospital center is described in detail in the 2012 Revista Mexicana de Gastroenterología and the most important steps are the following: with the patient under general anesthesia, the pneumoperitoneum is insufflated with a Veress needle, inserting a 10 mm supraumbilical optical port and three 5 mm working ports at the subxiphoid location and the mid-clavicular line in the right and left subcostal...
regions, respectively. To gain access to the pancreas, the
gastrocolic ligament is dissected with monopolar and bipolar
cautery. The posterior surface of the stomach and the ante-
rior surface of the pseudocyst are located and dissected.
The pseudocyst is incised using a monopolar hook and its
content is aspirated. The posterior surface of the stomach
is then incised at the level corresponding to the incision
in the wall of the PP. A 3 cm anastomosis is performed with
polypropylene separate sutures using an extracorporeal Gea
knot. The procedure is finished by placing 2 Jackson-Pratt
drains at the surgical site and closing the aponeurosis with
Vicryl 1 and the skin with 3-0 polypropylene simple sutures.
In cases with a biliary etiology of the patient’s acute pan-
creatitis episode culminating in PP formation, conventional
laparoscopic cholecystectomy following the Strasberg prin-
ciples was performed as the initial step of the surgical
procedure.

Patients resumed oral intake in the postoperative period
as soon as gastrointestinal function was recovered (bowel
sounds and the passage of flatus) and they were released
from the hospital when they tolerated that diet and the
drains had a serious output under 100 cc for 24 h (the drains
were removed on the day of release).

The drained pseudocyst diameter (measured through
tomography), the percentage of conversion to open surgery,
primary drainage success defined as the clinical and tomo-
graphic resolution of the pseudocyst in a single surgery,
surgery duration, intraoperative blood loss, days of post-
operative hospital stay, and complications requiring surgical
or endoscopic intervention under general anesthesia (III b
according to the Clavien-Dindo classification) during the first
30 postoperative days were recorded.

Outpatient follow-up consisted of monthly consultations
for the first 6 postoperative months and then appoint-
ments every 3 months. At each consultation the patient
was asked about possible recurrence symptoms, such as
early satiety, abdominal pain, and weight loss. In addition,
tomography scans were done at the 2nd and 6th
months of follow-up. Recurrence was considered if there
were persistent symptoms or tomographic evidence of resid-
ual pseudocyst during the follow-up.

Statistical analysis

The data were registered on a data collection sheet specifi-
cally designed for this line of investigation and then put in a
database (Microsoft Excel; Microsoft Corporation, Seattle,
WA, USA). Descriptive statistics with simple percentages,
means, and minimum and maximum values were used for
establishing the results of the laparoscopic surgical approach
in the treatment of patients with PP.

Results

A total of 38 patients diagnosed with PP were attended to
at our hospital center over the last 3 years. Twenty-three
were men and 15 were women and their mean age was
38.8 years (13-76 years).

A total of 18 patients (47.3%) did not meet the criteria
for invasive management and remained under surveillance.
The peripancreatic collection was reabsorbed in 100% of
the cases and there were no remaining or residual local
complications. Twenty patients (52.7%) met the criteria for
invasive management (symptoms and complications).

Of the 20 patients that required invasive management,
13 (64%) had a history of acute biliary pancreatitis. Of those
patients, the underlying etiology of the acute pancreatitis
was alcohol-related in 6 (32%) and in one (4%) it was due to
hypertriglyceridemia. Of the 20 patients, 13 were men and
7 were women.

In the group of patients requiring invasive management,
17 (77.2%) underwent laparoscopic posterior cystogastro-
tomy as the first treatment option (table 2). The indication
for invasive treatment was the presence of symptoms in
88.2% of the patients and infected pseudocyst in the
remaining 11.8%. Of those patients, 11 were men and 6 were
women and their mean age was 39.7 years (20-63 years).
Acute pancreatitis etiology was biliary in 64.7% of the cases,
alcohol-related in 29.4%, and due to hypertriglyceridemia in
5.8%.

The mean transverse length of the laparoscopically-
drained pseudocysts was 15.3 cm (5.5-25 cm). The entire
procedure was laparoscopic and there was no need for
conversion to open surgery in any of the 17 cases. The
pseudocyst was resolved in 16 patients (94.1%) with a
single surgical intervention (primary drainage success). In
the remaining case (5.9%), despite having a preopera-
tive computerized axial tomography scan that showed a
collection with fluid content and no necrosis, abundant
necrotic detritus was encountered during the procedure.
Once the detritus was debrided, the cystogastrostomy con-
tinued with the previously described technique. In the
postoperative period the patient presented with obstruction
of the anastomosis by necrotic debris, which was resolved
through endoscopic dilation. The mean surgery duration
was 177 min (range: 90-350 min), being longer in the first
cases. The mean intraoperative blood loss was 151 ml (20-
300 ml).

Only one patient (5.9%) had a complication associated
with the procedure. Due to the presence of blood output
through the drain, he underwent a diagnostic laparoscopy
that revealed bleeding from the subxiphoid trocar insertion
site, which was controlled laparoscopically.

Postoperative hospital stay was a mean of 6.8 days
(2-18 days). The current follow-up period is 40 months and
so far no disease recurrence has been registered (table 3).

Discussion

This is the largest published case series to date in a Mexican
population on the laparoscopic surgical treatment of PPs. In
2004 Dávila-Cervantes et al. reported complications of 20%
and 0% recurrence in 22 months of follow-up on 10 Mexi-
can patients with PP treated through laparoscopic internal
drainage.15

Our data show that the minimally invasive technique for
treating patients with PP employed at our hospital center is
a feasible, safe, and effective option in our medical environ-
ment and the results are comparable to those published in
the largest and most recent article on laparoscopic drainage
of PP. In that case series by Palanivelu et al., which included
108 patients, they reported a mean postoperative hospital
Table 2  Patients with pancreatic pseudocyst treated through the laparoscopic surgical approach at our hospital center.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>AP etiology</th>
<th>Drainage indication</th>
<th>PP diameter (cm)</th>
<th>PP location</th>
<th>Conversion to open surgery</th>
<th>Primary drainage success</th>
<th>Surgery duration (min)</th>
<th>Surgical bleeding (ml)</th>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Days of postoperative hospital stay</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ethylic</td>
<td>EP, ES</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No subxiphoid port insertion site bleeding</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP, ES</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ethylic</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Tg</td>
<td>EP, WL</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>IPP</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ethylic</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP, ES</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ethylic</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP, ES, WL</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Body and tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP, ES</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>IPP</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Ethylic</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP, ES, WL</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Biliary</td>
<td>EP</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

stay of 5.6 days and conversion, morbidity, mortality, and recurrence rates of 0, 8.3, 0, and 1%, respectively. It is important to point out that in contrast to the other case series published in the international literature that use different types of laparoscopic internal drainage (transgastric cystogastrostomies, cystojejunostomy, etc.), only one laparoscopic technique (posterior cystogastrostomy) is used at our hospital, which facilitates the teaching/learning process and the reproducibility of the technique.

Minimally invasive management (laparoscopic and endoscopic) of PPs is currently gaining ground over the open approach. In 2013, Varadarajulu et al. published a randomized, prospective study that compared a minimally invasive approach (endoscopic drainage) with the conventional surgical approach, showing that in select cases, endoscopic management achieves the same success rates as conventional surgical treatment, but with fewer days of hospital stay and a lower economic cost.

It remains to be established whether one minimally invasive treatment modality is superior to another. In 2009 Melman conducted a retrospective study comparing laparoscopic drainage with endoscopic drainage. He concluded that the primary success rate for PP drainage was statistically superior with the surgical approach.

### Conclusions

The results obtained with the laparoscopic posterior cystogastrostomy technique at our hospital center showed that this approach in our environment is feasible, safe, and effective, and in skilled hands, should be considered a treatment option for patients with PP. Our technique has the added advantage of being standardized and thus facilitates the teaching/learning process of the procedure, making our hospital a human health resource formation center for the minimally invasive treatment of this pathology in the Mexican population.
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