

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 18, 384-389 (1971)

On Relative Regular Sequences

MARIO FIORENTINI

*Istituto Matematico, Università di Roma, Rome, Italy**Communicated by D. Buchsbaum*

Received April 2, 1970

1. INTRODUCTION

In the article (Ref. [5]) the authors studied the ideal \mathcal{O} , of a unitary commutative ring R , generated by n elements a_1, \dots, a_n satisfying the following property (*): if b_1, \dots, b_n are elements of the ideal $\mathcal{O} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)R$ such that $b_1 a_1 + \dots + b_n a_n = 0$, then the syzygy (b_1, \dots, b_n) is trivial, i.e., it is a combination of the elementary syzygies $(0, \dots, 0, a_j, 0, \dots, 0, -a_j, 0, \dots, 0)$.

It is well-known that if a_1, \dots, a_n is an R -regular sequence every syzygy is trivial, so (*) is verified. It is also known that the property "every syzygy is trivial" can be expressed by the relation $H_1(K(a_1, \dots, a_n; R)) = 0$, where $K(a_1, \dots, a_n; R)$ is the Koszul complex determined by a_1, \dots, a_n .

In [5] some examples are given of elements a_1, \dots, a_n verifying (*) which are not a regular sequence and, therefore, $H_1(K(a_1, \dots, a_n)) \neq 0$.

The condition (*) can also be stated by means of the Koszul complex. In fact, let $\mathcal{O} = (a_1, \dots, a_n)R$ and let

$$\varphi : K(a_1, \dots, a_n; \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow K(a_1, \dots, a_n; R)$$

be the canonical mapping induced by the inclusion $\mathcal{O} \subset R$. Then we observe in Section 2 that (*) is equivalent to the condition $H_1(\varphi) = 0$, where $H_1(\varphi)$ is the map induced on homology.

By the remarks above we are led to consider the following problem: Given an R -module M and a submodule N , under what conditions will a sequence a_1, \dots, a_n of elements in R , not necessarily a regular sequence, be such that $H_1(\varphi) = 0$, φ being the canonical mapping $K(a_1, \dots, a_n; N) \rightarrow K(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$ induced by the inclusion $N \subset M$?

Thus in Section 3 we define a notion of relative M -regular sequence with respect to N and verify that if a_1, \dots, a_n is a relative M -regular sequence then we have $H_1(\varphi) = 0$ as wanted.

Finally we verify in Section 4 that there exist interesting examples of ideals

\mathcal{C} generated by a relative contained in $\text{Rad}_J(R)$ R -regular sequence with respect to \mathcal{C} . Namely, if $h_{11}, \dots, h_{q-1,q}$ are elements of a noetherian ring R which form a regular sequence, then such an ideal is the ideal \mathcal{C} generated by the $(q - 1)$ minors of the matrix $H = \|h_{ij}\|$ ($1 \leq i \leq q - 1, 1 \leq j \leq q$).

2. RELATIVE M -REGULAR SEQUENCES WITH RESPECT TO A SUBMODULE N

Let R be a commutative unitary ring and M an R -module. A sequence of elements a_1, \dots, a_n of R is said to be M -regular if for each $i = 1, \dots, n, a_i$ is not a zero-divisor in the module $M/(a_1, \dots, a_{i-1})M$. (In particular, this means a_1 is not a zero-divisor in M).

DEFINITION 1. Let R be a commutative unitary ring, M an R -module and N a submodule of M . A sequence a_1, \dots, a_n of elements in R is said to be a relative M -regular sequence with respect to N , if for all $i, a_{i+1}x \in \sum_{j=1}^i a_j N$ and $x \in N$ imply $x \in \sum_{j=1}^i a_j M, 0 \leq i < n$. (For $i = 0$, this means a_1 is not a zero-divisor in N).

DEFINITION 2. Moreover, if every arbitrary permutation a_{i_1}, \dots, a_{i_n} of elements a_1, \dots, a_n also gives a relative M -regular sequence with respect to the submodule N , we say that a_1, \dots, a_n form an unconditioned relative M -regular sequence with respect to N .

Remark 1. Let $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$. The property of being a relative M -regular sequence with respect to a submodule N is preserved under flat extension of R . Indeed, let $f: R \rightarrow R'$ be a ring homomorphism making R' into a flat R -module. Put $M' = M \otimes_R R', N' = N \otimes_R R'$. It is obvious that $N' \subset M'$. The fact that a_1, \dots, a_n is a relative M -regular sequence with respect to N can be expressed by the following commutative exact diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & \text{ker}(\omega_i) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{ker}(\omega_i) & \longrightarrow & N_i & \xrightarrow{\alpha_i} & M_i, \\
 & & & & \downarrow \omega_i & & \\
 & & & & N_i & &
 \end{array}$$

where

$$N_1 = N, \quad M_1 = M, \quad N_i = N/(a_1N + \dots + a_{i-1}N),$$

$$M'_i = M/(a_1M + \dots + a_{i-1}M), \quad i = 2, \dots, n;$$

α_i is induced by α and $\omega_i(x) = a_i x (x \in N_i), i = 1, \dots, n$. Tensoring with R' over R this diagram is transformed into the corresponding diagram for $M', N', a'_i = f(a_i), i = 1, \dots, n$, and the exactness is preserved.

Let $K(a_1, \dots, a_n; E) = K(a_1, \dots, a_n) \otimes_R E$, for all R -module E , where $K(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ denotes the Koszul complex associated to the sequence a_1, \dots, a_n .

THEOREM. *Let N be a submodule of M such that $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i M \subset N$, with $a_i \in R$. Let $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$ be the inclusion mapping, and let*

$$\varphi_\alpha : K(a_1, \dots, a_n; N) \rightarrow K(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$$

be the mapping induced by α . If the sequence of elements a_1, \dots, a_n is a relative M -regular sequence with respect to N , then $H_p(\varphi_\alpha) = 0, \forall p > 0$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on n .

Case (a)

If $n = 1$, then $xa_1 = 0$ and $x \in N$ imply $x = 0$; hence a_1 is an N -regular element. However, $H_1(K(a_1; N)) = \text{Ann}_N a_1$. It follows that $H_1(K(a_1; N)) = 0$, and, "a fortiori," $H_1(\varphi_\alpha) = 0$.

Case (b)

If $n > 1$, then we suppose the statement true for $n - 1$. We have

$$K(a_1, \dots, a_n; E) = K(a_n) \otimes_R K(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; E),$$

for all R -modules E [7]; we also have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow H_0(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; E)) \rightarrow H_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; E)$$

$$\rightarrow H_1(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_{p-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; E)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Choosing $E = N, M$, we get the commutative diagram

$$0 \longrightarrow H_0(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N)) \longrightarrow H_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; N)$$

$$\alpha_p \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \beta_p \downarrow$$

$$0 \longrightarrow H_0(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; M)) \longrightarrow H_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$$

$$\longrightarrow H_1(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_{p-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N)) \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\nu_p \downarrow$$

$$\longrightarrow H_1(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_{p-1}(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; M)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

where the vertical arrows are defined by the inclusion $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$.

If $p > 1$, by the induction hypothesis, $\alpha_p = \gamma_p = 0$.

If $p = 1$, also by the induction hypothesis, $\alpha_1 = 0$. We prove now that even in this case $\gamma_1 = 0$.

In fact, since $H_0(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; E) = E/(a_1E + \dots + a_{n-1}E)$, for all R -modules E , we have that $H_1(K(a_n) \otimes H_0(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; E)) = \text{Ann}_{\bar{E}} a_n$, with $\bar{E} = E/(a_1E + \dots + a_{n-1}E)$.

On the other hand, $\gamma_1 : \text{Ann}_{\bar{N}} a_n \rightarrow \text{Ann}_{\bar{M}} a_n$ is the homomorphism defined by $\bar{\alpha} : \bar{N} \rightarrow \bar{M}$, where $\bar{\alpha}$ is the homomorphism induced by the inclusion $\alpha : N \rightarrow M$. Every element of $\text{Ann}_{\bar{N}} a_n$ is the class \bar{z} of an element $z \in N$, such that $a_n z \in \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j N$. From the hypothesis, this implies that $z \in \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_j M$, hence $\gamma_1(\bar{z}) = 0$. Therefore for any $p > 0$, we have $\alpha_p = \gamma_p = 0$.

We shall prove next that $\beta_p = 0$.

By the above diagram,

$$\text{Im } \beta_p \subseteq H_0(K(a_n) \otimes_R H_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N)).$$

Hence every cycle c of $K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; N)$ is homologous to a cycle $e \in K_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; M)$; that means, $c - e \in dK_{p+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$. But

$$dK_{p+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n; M) \subseteq K_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N),$$

since, by hypothesis, $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i M \subseteq N$. Consequently,

$$e \in K_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; M) \cap K_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N) = K_p(a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}; N).$$

Taking the homology classes, it follows that $\text{Im } \beta_p \subseteq \text{Im } \alpha_p$. But $\text{Im } \alpha_p = 0$, so $\beta_p = 0$, and the theorem is proved.

Remark 2. The condition $H_p(\varphi_a) = 0$, indicated in the above theorem, is equivalent to

$$Z(K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; N)) = dK_{p+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n; M), \tag{**}$$

where $Z(K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; N))$ is the module of cycles of $K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$, identified as a submodule of $K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$.

The property **(**)** is equivalent to

$$Z(K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)) \cap K_p(a_1, \dots, a_n; N) = dK_{p+1}(a_1, \dots, a_n; M).$$

In particular, if $p = 1$, we have $\text{syz}(a_1, \dots, a_n) \cap N^n = T$, where $T = dK_2(a_1, \dots, a_n; M)$ is the submodule of trivial syzygies [4, Chapter IV].

3. ONE EXAMPLE

Let k be a field and $y_{11}, \dots, y_{q-1,q}$ indeterminates over k . In the polynomial ring $A = K[y_{11}, \dots, y_{q-1,q}]$ we consider the ideal $p = \sum_{i=1}^q F_i A$, where $(-1)^{i+1} F_i$ is the $(q-1)$ -minor obtained by deleting the i -th column in the matrix $Y = \|y_{ij}\|$, ($i = 1, \dots, q-1; j = 1, \dots, q$).

We know [2] that every pair F_i, F_j with $i \neq j$, is a maximal A -regular sequence contained in p . It follows that if $q > 2, F_1, \dots, F_q$ is not an A -regular sequence. Our purpose is to verify that F_1, \dots, F_q is a relative A -regular sequence with respect to p .

Let us suppose that $yF_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$, with $y \in p$, i.e., $y = \sum_{i=1}^q r_i F_i$ ($r_i \in A$). Then $y \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$ is equivalent to $r_q F_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$.

$I = \sum_{j=1}^q y_{jq} A$ is a prime ideal of A , and one can easily see that (i) $(F_1, \dots, F_{q-1}) \subset I$, and (ii) $F_q \notin I$. On the other hand $\sum_{j=1}^q y_{ij} F_j = 0$, ($1 \leq i \leq q-1$), which shows that $y_{iq} F_q \in I$, for $i = 1, \dots, q-1$, hence (iii) $I F_q \subset (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$. $yF_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$ implies $r_q F_q^2 \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$, hence, by (i) $r_q F_q^2 \in I$; therefore by (ii) $r_q \in I$; also, by (iii) $r_q F_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$.

We have thus verified that $yF_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$ and $y \in p$ implies $y \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$, i.e., $p \cap [(F_1, \dots, F_{q-1}) : F_q] = (F_1, \dots, F_{q-1})$.

Suppose now that $yF_{q-1} \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2})$, where $y = \sum_{i=1}^q r_i F_i$. Since a permutation of the columns of the matrix Y leads to a permutation of the elements of the system $\{F_1, \dots, F_q\}$, we get

$$[(F_1, \dots, F_{q-2}, F_q) : F_{q-1}] \cap p = (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2}, F_q),$$

and, therefore, we can suppose $r_{q-1} = 0$.

We have to prove that $r_q F_q \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2})$.

Let J be the ideal of A generated by all the minors of order 2 of the matrix formed by the last two columns of the matrix Y . We know [2] that J is a prime ideal and it is easy to see that

$$(j) \quad (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2}) \subset J; \quad (jj) \quad F_{q-1}, F_q \notin J; \quad (jjj) \quad J F_q \subset (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2}).$$

In a similar way to that employed above for the ideal I , we can now conclude that $y \in (F_1, \dots, F_{q-2})$. By repeating this procedure one can verify that $yF_i \in (F_1, \dots, F_{i-1})$ and $y \in p$ imply $y \in (F_1, \dots, F_{i-1})$, for $i = 1, \dots, q-1$.

We have therefore proved that *the elements F_1, \dots, F_q form a relative A -regular sequence with respect to p and that this sequence is unconditioned* since we can permute arbitrarily the elements F_1, \dots, F_q by simply permuting the columns of Y .

Remark 3. We have the relation

$$T = \ker(\psi) \cap pA^q = \text{syz}(F_1, \dots, F_q) \cap pA^q,$$

where $TC A^q$ is the submodule of the trivial syzygies of the system $\{F_1, \dots, F_q\}$, and $\psi: A^q \rightarrow A$, is the ring homomorphism defined by $\psi(e_i) = F_i$, where e_1, \dots, e_q is the natural basis of the free A -module A^q .

Remark 4. The property of being an unconditioned relative regular sequence also holds for the elements G_1, \dots, G_q which are the $(q - 1)$ -minors of a matrix $H = \|h_{ij}\|$, $(1 \leq i \leq q - 1, 1 \leq j \leq q)$, where h_{ij} are elements of a noetherian ring R which contains a field k , provided $h_{11}, \dots, h_{q-1,q}$ form a regular sequence, contained in $\text{Rad}_f(R)$.

Indeed, is this an easy consequence of Remark 1, of the example above, and of the following

LEMMA. *Let R be a unitary commutative noetherian ring containing a field k and $y_1, \dots, y_m \in \text{Rad}_f(R)$. Let x_1, \dots, x_m be indeterminates over k and $\theta: A = k[x_1, \dots, x_m] \rightarrow R$ the homomorphism of k -algebras for which $\theta(x_i) = y_i$ ($i = 1, \dots, m$). Suppose that y_1, \dots, y_m form a regular sequence. Then θ is flat, i.e., θ makes R into a flat A -module, and consequently $\ker(\theta) = 0$.*

Note: this Lemma slightly extends Proposition 1 of [4].

Proof. Let $I = y_1R + \dots + y_mR$, $J = x_1A + \dots + x_mA$. One knows [3] that R is A -flat iff (i) R/JR is flat over A/J , and (ii) $\text{Tor}_1^A(R, A/J) = 0$. We observe that (i) is trivially verified as $A/J = k$ is a field.

To prove (ii) consider the Koszul complex associated to y_1, \dots, y_m . But y_1, \dots, y_m forms a regular sequence; hence $H_p(K(y_1, \dots, y_m)) = 0$, for $p > 0$. Thus (ii) is true and R is a flat A -module.

$\text{Ker}(\theta) = 0$ follows from the fact that for any flat homomorphism of rings $f: B \rightarrow C$, any non zero-divisor b of B is mapped onto a non zero-divisor c of C . Indeed the homothety $z \mapsto bz$ of B tensored over B with C leads to the homothety $u \mapsto cu$ of C . So the Lemma is proved.

REFERENCES

1. D. BUCHSBAUM (Ed.), Lectures on regular local rings, in "Category Theory and Their Application," Vol. I, Springer, New York, 1969.
2. M. FIORENTINI, "Una speciale famiglia di ideali di classe principale generalizzata," *Rend. Mat.* (3), Vol. 3, Serie VI, Roma, 1970.
3. A. GROTHENDIECK, "Éléments de géométrie algébrique," Ch. III, *IHES*, Paris, 1961.
4. R. HARTSHORNE, A property of A -sequences, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, t. 94, Fasc. 1, 1966.
5. A. MICALI, P. SALMON, AND P. SAMUEL, "Intégrité et factorialité des algèbres symétriques," São Paulo, 1965.
6. M. NAGATA, "Local Rings," Interscience Publ. Inc., New York, 1962.
7. J. P. SERRE, "Algèbre locale et multiplicités," Springer, New York, 1965.