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The structure of ideal class groups of number fields is investigated in the following three cases: (i) Abelian extensions of number fields whose Galois groups are of type \( (p, p) \); (ii) non-Galois extensions \( \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{d}, \sqrt[l]{d}) \) of degree \( p^2 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \); (iii) dihedral extensions of degree \( 2^n+1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \). It is shown that it is possible to obtain class number relations by group-theoretic methods. Subgroups of ideal class groups whose orders are prime to the extension degree are considered.

The subject of this note is the investigation of class number relations and the structure of ideal class groups of certain algebraic number fields. We shall show that it is possible to obtain class number relations by group-theoretic methods from the structure of ideal class groups.

For any algebraic number field \( K \) of finite degree over the field \( \mathbb{Q} \) of rationals we denote by \( C_K = I_K/P_K \) the ideal class group of \( K \) where \( I_K \) is the group of ideals and \( P_K \) is the group of principal ideals of \( K \). Then \( C_K = \prod_l C_K(l) \) (direct) where \( C_K(l) \) is the Sylow \( l \)-subgroup of \( C_K \) and \( l \) ranges over all rational prime numbers.

In this note we consider the structure of \( C_K(l) \) and describe class number relations in the following three cases:

(i) \( K/k \) is an Abelian extension of a number field \( k \) whose Galois group is of type \( (p, p) \), \( p \) is a prime number and \( l \neq p \);

(ii) \( K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[p]{d}, \sqrt[l]{d}) \) is a non-Galois extension of degree \( p^2 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) where \( p \) is an odd prime number and \( l \neq p \);

(iii) \( K \) is a dihedral extension of degree \( 2^n+1 \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) and \( l \neq 2 \).

properties of CM-fields. On the other hand, class number relations were obtained by purely algebraic and arithmetical methods in two cases: (a) biquadratic extensions over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (Kubota [7]); (b) dihedral extensions of degree \( 2l \) over \( \mathbb{Q} \) (Halter-Koch [6]).

Fröhlich [4] obtained class number relations of certain subgroups of the factor group \( I_K/P_K I_k \) for an Abelian extension \( K/k \) of prime power degree. Cornell–Rosen [3], Fröhlich [4] and Ohta [9] investigated the structure of ideal class groups under certain conditions. We shall show class number relations from the structure of ideal class groups.

In this note we will denote by \( |A| \) the order of any finite group \( A \).

**Theorem 1** (cf. Ohta [9]). Let \( K/k \) be an Abelian extension of a number field \( k \) whose Galois group is of type \( (p, p) \), \( p \) is a prime number. Let \( k_0, k_1, ..., k_p \) be cyclic subfields of \( K \) of degree \( p \) over \( k \).

If \( l \) is a prime number and \( l \neq p \), then

\[
C_K(l) = \prod_{n=0}^{p} C_{k_n}(l), \quad |C_K(l)| = \prod_{n=0}^{p} |C_{k_n}(l)|/|C_k(l)|^p. \tag{1}
\]

**Proof.** Let \( G \) and \( G_n = \langle \sigma_n \rangle \) \( (n = 0, 1, ..., p) \) be the Galois group of \( K \) over \( k \) and Galois groups of \( K \) over \( k_n \), respectively, where \( \sigma_n \) is a generator of \( G_n \) for each \( n \). Let us form the sums

\[
\bar{G} = \sum_{\sigma \in G} \sigma, \quad \bar{G}_n = \sum_{s=0}^{p-1} \sigma_n^s
\]

of elements of \( G \) and \( G_n \) in the group ring \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \), respectively, where \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the ring of rational integers. Then we have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{p} \bar{G}_n = \bar{G} + p \cdot 1.
\]

Let \( j: C_k \to C_K \) and \( j_n: C_{k_n} \to C_K \) be the homomorphisms of the class groups of \( k \) and \( k_n \) to that of \( K \) induced by extension of ideals, respectively \( (n = 0, 1, ..., p) \). Let \( l \) be a prime number and \( l \neq p \). If \( c_n \) is an element of \( C_{k_n}(l) \) and \( j_n(c_n) = 1 \), then \( N_{K/k_n}(j_n(c_n)) = c_n^p = 1 \) and so \( c_n = 1 \) in \( C_{k_n} \), where \( N_{K/k_n} \) is the norm map. Consequently we have \( j_n(C_{k_n}(l)) \cong C_{k_n}(l) \) for each \( n = 0, 1, ..., p \). Similarly we have \( j(C_k(l)) \cong C_k(l) \). Thus we may consider \( C_{k_n}(l) \) and \( C_k(l) \) as subgroups of \( C_K(l) \).

We have for any \( x \) of \( C_K \)

\[
x^p = \prod_{n=0}^{p} x^{\sigma_n}/x^{\sigma}.
\]
Since \( C_K(l) = C_K(l)^p = \{x^p \mid x \in C_K(l)\} \), it follows that

\[
C_K(l) = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} j_n(C_{k_n}(l)) = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} C_{k_n}(l).
\]

If \( y \) is an element of \( j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cap j_1(C_{k_1}(l)) \), then \( y^{p_0} = y^{p_1} = y \) and also \( y^p = y^{\sigma_0} = y^{\sigma_1} \) which is an element of \( j(C_k(l)) = C_k(l) \). Since \( j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cap j_1(C_{k_1}(l)) = \{ y^p \mid y \in j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cap j_1(C_{k_1}(l)) \} \), we have \( j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cap j_1(C_{k_1}(l)) = j(C_k(l)) = C_k(l) \).

If \( z \) is an element of \( j_2(C_{k_2}(l)) \cap (j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cdot j_1(C_{k_1}(l))) \), then \( z = z_0 z_1 \) with \( z_0 \) in \( j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \) and \( z_1 \) in \( j_1(C_{k_1}(l)) \). We have \( z^p = z^{\sigma_2} = z_0^{\sigma_0} z_1^{\sigma_2} \) which is an element of \( j(C_k(l)) = C_k(l) \). Hence we have \( j_2(C_{k_2}(l)) \cap (j_0(C_{k_0}(l)) \cdot j_1(C_{k_1}(l))) = C_k(l) \). It then follows inductively that

\[
j_n(C_{k_n}(l)) \cap \prod_{r=0}^{n-1} j_r(C_{k_r}(l)) = C_k(l) \tag{2}
\]

for \( n = 1, \ldots, p \). Thus we have

\[
|C_K(l)| = \left| \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} j_n(C_{k_n}(l)) \right| = \left| \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} j_n(C_{k_n}(l)) \right| |C_k(l)| = \cdots = \prod_{n=0}^{p} |C_{k_n}(l)|/|C_k(l)|^p.
\]

We denote by \( \sqrt[p]{d} \) the real \( p \)th root of \( d \) if \( p \) is an odd prime number and \( d \) is real.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( p \) be an odd prime number. Let \( d_0, d_1 \) be positive \( p \)th power-free rational integers (\( \neq 1 \)) such that \( k_0 = Q(\sqrt[p]{d_0}) \) and \( k_1 = Q(\sqrt[p]{d_1}) \) are distinct pure fields of degree \( p \) over \( Q \). We put \( k_n = Q(\sqrt[p]{d_0^{n-1} d_1}) \) for \( n = 2, \ldots, p \) and \( K = Q(\sqrt[p]{d_0}, \sqrt[p]{d_1}) \).

If \( l \) is a prime number and \( l \neq p \), then

\[
C_K(l) = \prod_{n=0}^{p} C_{k_n}(l) \quad (\text{direct}), \tag{3}
\]

\[
|C_K(l)| = \prod_{n=0}^{p} |C_{k_n}(l)|. \tag{3'}
\]

In order to prove Theorem 2 we need the following lemma which was produced by the referee:
Lemma 3. Let \( p \) be an odd prime number and \( \zeta \) be a primitive \( p \)-th root of unity. We put \( L_n = k_n(\zeta) \) for \( n = 0, 1, ..., p \) and \( L = K(\zeta) \) where \( k_n \) and \( K \) are defined by Theorem 2.

Let \( \bar{K} \) and \( \bar{k}_n \) be Hilbert class fields of \( K \) and \( k_n \) for \( n = 0, 1, ..., p \), respectively. Then we have

\[
L_n \cap \bar{k}_n = k_n \quad (n = 0, 1, ..., p)
\]

and

\[
L \cap \bar{K} = K.
\]

Proof. According to [1] or [15] there are two possible factorizations of the prime \( p \) in the field \( k_n \). Either

\[
(p) = p_1^p
\]

with degree 1 or

\[
(p) = p_1^p p_2^{p-1}
\]

with both factors of degree 1. We shall say that \( k_n \) is of Type 1 or Type 2 according as \( p \) has the first or the second factorization in \( k_n \). If \( k_n \) is of Type 1, then \( p \) is totally ramified in \( L_n \) and so \( L_n \cap \bar{k}_n = k_n \). If \( k_n \) is of Type 2, then \( p_1 \) is totally ramified in \( L_n \) and \( p_2 \) splits completely in \( L_n \), since \( p \) is totally ramified in \( Q(\zeta) \) and \( (L_n : Q) = p(p - 1) \). Thus \( L_n \cap \bar{k}_n = k_n \).

The proof that \( L \cap \bar{K} = K \) will be divided into three cases:

Case 1. Each \( k_n \) (\( n = 0, 1, ..., p \)) is of Type 1.

Case 2. \( K \) contains a subfield \( k_1 \) of Type 1 and a subfield \( k_2 \) of Type 2.

Case 3. Each \( k_n \) (\( n = 0, 1, ..., p \)) is of Type 2.

Case 1. Here \( p \) ramifies totally in each field \( L_n \). Since \( L/L_n \) is cyclic of degree \( p \), the prime factor of \( p \) in \( L_n \) must ramify totally in \( L \) or not ramify at all in \( L \). Assume the latter and let \( k \) be the inertial field over \( Q \) of any prime divisor of \( p \) in \( L \). Then \( k \) is an extension of \( Q \) of degree \( p \) contained in \( L \) and so must be conjugate to some field \( k_n \). This contradicts the fact that \( p \) ramifies totally in \( k_n \). Hence it follows that \( L \cap \bar{K} = K \).

Case 2. Here the factorization of \( p \) in \( L_2 \) has the form

\[
(p) = (p_1^p p_2^{p-1} \cdots p_n^{p-1})^p.
\]

If the factorization of \( p \) in \( L \) has the form

\[
(p) = (p_1^p p_2^{p-1} \cdots p_n^{p-1})^p.
\]
then both $p$ and $p-1$ must divide $e$, and $g \geq p$. Since $eg \leq p^2(p-1)$ it follows that $e = p(p-1)$ and $g = p$. Since $k_1$ is of Type 1 and $k_2$ is of Type 2, it is easily seen that $(p) = \mathfrak{P}_1^{p(p-1)}\mathfrak{P}_2^p$ in $K$ where $\mathfrak{P}_1$ and $\mathfrak{P}_2$ are prime ideals of degree 1. Thus $\mathfrak{P}_2$ ramifies totally in $L$ and so $L \cap \overline{K} = K$.

**Case 3.** Here $(p) = (p')^{p-1}$ in $Q(\zeta)$ and $p'$ splits completely in both $L_1$ and $L_2$ and hence in the composite field $L = L_1L_2$. Thus $p$ has $p^2$ distinct prime ideal factors in $L$, each of degree 1 and ramification index $p-1$ over $Q$. Thus, if $k$ is the inertial field over $Q$ of any prime divisor of $p$ in $L$, then $(k : Q) = p^2$ and so $k$ must be one of the $p^2$ conjugates of the field $K$. Hence $L/K$ is a totally ramified extension and so $L \cap \overline{K} = K$.

**Proof of Theorem 2.** We use the notation of Lemma 3 and put $\Omega = Q(\zeta)$. It is clear that $L/\Omega$ is an Abelian extension of type $(p, p)$. Let $l$ be a prime number and $l \neq p$. Then we may consider $C_{L_n}(l)$ as subgroups of $C_L(l)$ and $C_{k_n}(l)$ as subgroups of $C_K(l)$. It follows from (1) that

$$C_L(l) = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} C_{L_n}(l).$$

We then have

$$N_{L/K}(C_L(l)) = N_{L/K} \left( \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} C_{L_n}(l) \right) = \prod_{n=0}^{p-1} N_{L_n/k_n}(C_{L_n}(l))$$

where $N_{L/K}$ is the norm map from $L$ to $K$ and $N_{L_n/k_n}$ are norm maps from $L_n$ to $k_n$ for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, p$.

Now it follows that

$$C_L/NC_L \cong N_{L/K}C_L, \quad C_{L_n}/NC_{L_n} \cong N_{L_n/k_n}C_{L_n}$$

where $NC_L$ and $NC_{L_n}$ are kernels of norm maps. From class field theory

$$|N_{L/K}C_L| = |C_K|/(L \cap \overline{K} : K), \quad |N_{L_n/k_n}C_{L_n}| = |C_{k_n}|/(L_n \cap \overline{k_n} : k_n)$$

for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, p$. From Lemma 3 the norm map $N_{L/K} : C_L \to C_K$ and the norm maps $N_{L_n/k_n} : C_{L_n} \to C_{k_n}$ are surjective for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, p$.

By (2) we have $C_{L_n}(l) \cap (C_{L_0}(l) \cdots C_{L_n-1}(l)) = C_{Q}(l)$ which shows $C_{k_n}(l) \cap (C_{k_0}(l) \cdots C_{k_n-1}(l)) = 1$ for $n = 1, \ldots, p$ by the norm map. Thus we have (3) and (3').

In case of $p = 3$, Parry [11] already obtained the class number relation for $Q(\sqrt[3]{d_0}, \sqrt[3]{d_1})$ using the analytic results of Kuroda [8]. In this note we do not deal with the 3-component of the class number of $Q(\sqrt[3]{d_0}, \sqrt[3]{d_1})$ which is determined by [11].
Theorem 4. Let $K/Q$ be a dihedral extension of degree $2^{n+1}$ ($n \geq 2$). Let $\text{Gal}(K/Q) = \langle \sigma, \tau \mid \sigma^{2^n} = \tau^2 = 1, \sigma \tau = \tau \sigma^{-1} \rangle$ be the Galois group of $K$ over $Q$. Let $K_1^{(v)}$ and $K_2^{(v)}$ be the fixed fields to $\langle \tau \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma \rangle$, respectively ($v = 1, ..., n$). Let $K_0^{(v)}$ be the fixed fields to $\langle \sigma^{2^n} \rangle$ ($v = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$).

If $l$ is an odd prime number, then we have

$$\frac{|C_{K_1^{(v)}(l)}|}{|C_{K_1^{(v-1)}(l)}|} = \frac{|C_{K_2^{(v)}(l)}|}{|C_{K_2^{(v-1)}(l)}|}$$

for $v = 2, ..., n$ and

$$|C_{K_1(l)}| = |C_{K_0^{(0)}(l)}| |C_{K_1^{(0)}(l)}| |C_{K_2^{(0)}(l)}|.$$  

If $n = 2$, Parry [10] determined the class number relation using the analytic results. The 2-component $|C_{K}(2)|$ is also obtained by [10] for $n = 2$.

In order to prove Theorem 4 we need the following lemma which can be obtained from (1):

Lemma 5. Let $(K, k_1, k_2)$ be a set of algebraic number fields satisfying the following conditions:

(i) $K/k_1$ and $K/k_2$ are Abelian extensions of type $(2, 2)$;

(ii) $K_i$, $K_i'$ and $K_0 = k_1 k_2$ are quadratic subfields of $K$ over $k_i$ for each $i = 1, 2$;

(iii) $K_i$ and $K_i'$ are conjugate over $Q$ for each $i = 1, 2$.

If $l$ is an odd prime number, then

$$|C_{K}(l)| = \frac{|C_{K_0(l)}| |C_{K_1(l)}|^2}{|C_{K_0(l)}| |C_{K_2(l)}|^2}$$

and hence

$$\frac{|C_{K_1(l)}|}{|C_{K_0(l)}|} = \frac{|C_{K_1(l)}|}{|C_{K_2(l)}|}.$$  

Proof of Theorem 4. We note that $\langle \sigma^{2^\lambda} \rangle$ are conjugate to $\langle \tau \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^{2^\lambda + 1} \rangle$ are conjugate to $\langle \sigma \rangle$ for $\lambda = 0, 1, ..., 2^{n-1} - 1$ (cf. [5]). For each $v$ ($1 \leq v \leq n - 1$) $\langle \sigma^{2^v+1}, \sigma^{2^\lambda} \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^{2^v+1}, \tau \rangle$ are conjugate to $\langle \sigma^{2^v+1}, \tau \rangle$ and $\langle \sigma^{2^v+1}, \sigma^{2^\lambda+1} \rangle$ are conjugate to $\langle \sigma^{2^v+1}, \tau \rangle$ for $\lambda = 0, 1, ..., 2^v - 1$. Then the sets $(K, K_1^{(n-1)}), K_2^{(n-1)}, (K_0^{(n-1)}, K_1^{(n-2)}, K_2^{(n-2)}), ..., (K_0^{(2)}, K_1^{(1)}, K_2^{(1)})$ satisfy the conditions of Lemma 5. Hence (4) is immediately obtained.
Finally for \( i = 1, 2 \) we have

\[
|C_K(I)| = |C_{K_1^1}^{(1)}(I)|^2 |C_{K_1^0}^{(1/2)}(I)|/|C_{K_1^0}^{(1)}(I)|^2
\]

\[
= |C_{K_1^1}^{(1)}(I)|^2 / |C_{K_1^0}^{(1/2)}(I)| = |C_{K_1^0}^{(1)}(I)| = |C_K(I)|^2
\]

It then follows that \( |C_K(I)|^2 = (|C_{K_1^0}^{(1)}(I)| |C_{K_1^1}^{(1)}(I)|)^2 \) which shows (5).
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