

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Linear Algebra and its Applications



Some lower bounds for the spectral radius of matrices using traces

Lin Wang ^{a,b,1}, Mao-Zhi Xu ^{a,*,2}, Ting-Zhu Huang ^{c,3}

^a Laboratory of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, PR China ^b Institute for Experimental Mathematics, University of Duisburg–Essen, Essen 45326, Germany

^c School of Applied Mathematics, University of Electronic Science and Technology of China, Chengdu, Sichuan 610054, PR China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 16 June 2009 Accepted 28 September 2009 Available online 14 November 2009

Submitted by R.A. Brualdi

AMS classification: 15A18 15A42 65F15

Keywords: Eigenvalue Spectral radius Lower bound Trace

1. Introduction

It is an interesting problem to characterize distribution of eigenvalues of a matrix in a simple way. Wolkowicz and Styan [10] extended the Samuelson inequality and thereby [11,12] initially proposed many important bounds for the matrix spectrum using traces. More results [3–8] follow them. Here

0024-3795/\$ - see front matter Crown Copyright © 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2009.10.013

ABSTRACT

Let **A** be an $n \times n$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$, and let m be an integer satisfying rank(**A**) $\leq m \leq n$. If **A** is real, the best possible lower bound for its spectral radius in terms of m, tr **A** and tr **A**² is obtained. If **A** is any complex matrix, two lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$ are compared, and furthermore a new lower bound for the spectral radius is given only in terms of tr **A**, tr **A**², $||\mathbf{A}||$, $||\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{AA}^*||$, n and m.

Crown Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2009 Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 10 6276 4141; fax: +86 10 6275 1801.

E-mail addresses: linwang@math.pku.edu.cn (L. Wang), mzxu@pku.edu.cn (M.-Z. Xu), tzhuang@uestc.edu.cn (T.-Z. Huang). ¹ Supported by China Scholarship Council [2008]3019.

² Supported by the National Natural Science, Foundation of China (Grant No. 60763009 & No. 10990011).

³ Supported by the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (20070614001).

our interest focuses on finding lower bounds for the spectral radius from some special traces which are simply available.

The following notations are used throughout the paper:

Α	an $n \times n$ matrix
A*	the conjugate transpose of A
$\lambda_i (j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$	eigenvalues of A
$\rho(\mathbf{A})$	the spectral radius of A
$a = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}, b = \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^2$	respectively traces of A and A ²
$\ \mathbf{A}\ = \sqrt{\mathrm{tr}(\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A})}$	the Euclidean (Frobenius) norm of A
т	an integer satisfying $rank(\mathbf{A}) \leq m \leq n$
ℜz	the real part of a complex number <i>z</i>
z	the complex conjugate of a complex number z
$[x], x \in \mathbb{R}$	the largest integer not greater than x

A lower bound for the spectral radius following [12, Theorem 3.1, (3.2a)] is

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \frac{|a|}{n} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \max\left\{0, \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \sqrt{\frac{n^3 - n}{12}} \|\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*\| - \frac{|a|^2}{n}\right\}^{1/2}.$$

However, there exist counterexamples, e.g.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The inequality above is not true for the block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{A} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{A}$ either.

If **A** is a real matrix such that rank(**A**) \ge 3, Horne [3, Theorems 1 and 3] gives a lower bound for ρ (**A**) as below

$$\begin{split} \rho(\mathbf{A}) &\geq L_{H}(a, b, m) \\ &= \begin{cases} |a|/m + \sqrt{(m^{2} - m)^{-1}(b - a^{2}/m)}, & \text{if } b \geq a^{2}/m, \\ (a^{2} - b)(m - 1)^{-1}|a|^{-1}, & \text{if } - (m - 3)a^{2}(2m)^{-1} \leqslant b \leqslant a^{2}/m, \\ \sqrt{3(m + 3)a^{2}(4m^{3} - 4m^{2})^{-1} - 3b(m^{2} - m)^{-1}}, & \text{if } b < -(m - 3)a^{2}(2m)^{-1}. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Besides, Merikoski and Virtanen [7] use n, tr \mathbf{A} , tr \mathbf{A}^2 to give the best possible lower bound for the Perron root of the nonnegative matrix \mathbf{A} .

The main goal of this paper is to find new lower bounds for the spectral radius $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ using efficiently computable quantities like tr \mathbf{A} , tr \mathbf{A}^2 , $\|\mathbf{A}\|$ and $\|\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*\|$. First, we give the best possible lower bound for $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ of real matrix \mathbf{A} only using tr \mathbf{A} , tr \mathbf{A}^2 and m. Then we compare two lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$, and show a new lower bound for the spectral radius of any complex matrix \mathbf{A} involving tr \mathbf{A} , tr \mathbf{A}^2 , $\|\mathbf{A}\|$, $\|\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*\|$, n and m. The paper concludes by leaving some relative problems in future.

2. The sharp lower bound for the spectral radius of a real matrix

Throughout this section suppose **A** to be a real matrix and rank(**A**) \ge 3. Then $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. For $m \ge 3$ we define a set

$$S_m(a,b) = \left\{ (z_j) \in \mathbb{C}^m : \sum_j z_j = a; \sum_j z_j^2 = b; \\ \exists k \in \{0, 1, \dots, [m/2]\}, z_{2j-1} = \overline{z_{2j}}, 1 \le j \le k; z_l \in \mathbb{R}, 2k+1 \le l \le m \right\}.$$

Observe that $S_m(a, b)$ is a closed set in \mathbb{C}^m .

ſ

For any closed set *S* in the coordinate space \mathbb{C}^m , we define

$$L(S) = \min_{(z_j) \in S} \max_j |z_j|.$$

Here the minimum exists. A tuple $(z_j) \in S$ is called *an optimal point of S* if $\max_j |z_j| = L(S)$. Let p, q be positive integers such that p < q. Through the map

$$S_p(a,b) \to S_q(a,b),$$
$$(z_j)_{j=1}^p \mapsto (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_p, \overbrace{0, \dots, 0}^{q-p}),$$

the set $S_p(a, b)$ is embedded to a closed subset of $S_q(a, b)$. Hence it follows that

Proposition 2.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. If $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $3 \leq p < q$, then

$$L(S_p(a, b)) \ge L(S_q(a, b))$$

On one hand, for any real matrix **A** such that rank(**A**) $\leq m$, tr **A** = a and tr **A**² = b, the tuple $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^m$ of its eigenvalues in a proper sort (possibly excluding some zero eigenvalues) belongs to $S_m(a, b)$. Thus, we get

Theorem 2.2. Let **A** be a real matrix of order *n*, and *m* an integer satisfying rank(**A**) $\leq m \leq n$. Then

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \geq L(S_m(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^2)).$$

On the other hand, for any real numbers a, b and an integer $m \ge 3$, there exists a real matrix **A** such that $3 \le \operatorname{rank}(\mathbf{A}) \le m$, tr $\mathbf{A} = a$, tr $\mathbf{A}^2 = b$ and $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = L(S_m(a, b))$. Given an optimal point of $S_m(a, b)$ as $(x_1 + \mathbf{i}y_1, x_1 - \mathbf{i}y_1, \dots, x_k + \mathbf{i}y_k, x_k - \mathbf{i}y_k, w_{2k+1}, \dots, w_m)$ where $x_j, y_j \in \mathbb{R}, 1 \le j \le k$ and $w_l \in \mathbb{R}, 2k + 1 \le l \le m$, one of such real matrices is constructed as

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & y_1 \\ -y_1 & x_1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \cdots \oplus \begin{pmatrix} x_k & y_k \\ -y_k & x_k \end{pmatrix} \oplus (w_{2k+1}) \oplus \cdots \oplus (w_m).$$

Hence, due to facts above we call $L(S_m(\operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}, \operatorname{tr} \mathbf{A}^2))$ to be the sharp lower bound for the spectral radius of real matrix \mathbf{A} in terms of m, tr \mathbf{A} , tr \mathbf{A}^2 .

The explicit expression of $L(S_m(a, b))$ is given below.

Theorem 2.3. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $3 \leq m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$L(S_m(a,b)) = \begin{cases} D^{-1} \left(\sqrt{bD - (m-1)a^2} + |a|(m-2k-1) \right), & \text{if } b > a^2/m; \\ m^{-1} \sqrt{2a^2 - mb}, & \text{if } b \le a^2/m, \text{m is even}; \\ (m^2 - 3m)^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2a^2(m-1)(m-2) - bm(m-1)(m-3)} - 2|a| \right), \\ \text{if } - 2a^2(m-3)(m+1)^{-2} \le b \le a^2/m, m > 3, m \text{ is odd}; \\ \sqrt{(m-1)^{-1}(2a^2(m+1)^{-1} - b)}, \\ \text{if } b < -2a^2(m-3)(m+1)^{-2}, m > 3, m \text{ is odd}; \\ (a^2 - b)(2|a|)^{-1}, & \text{if } 0 < b \le a^2/3, m = 3; \\ 2^{-1} \sqrt{a^2 + 2|b|}, & \text{if } b \le 0, m = 3; \end{cases}$$
where $k = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(m - \sqrt{ma^2/b}\right)\right]$ and $D = m - 1 + (m - 2k - 1)^2$.

We leave the proof of Theorem 2.3 to the appendix at the end, which itself is not closely related to the theme here.

Simple but tedious calculation shows that

Proposition 2.4. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $3 \le m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

 $L(S_m(a, b)) \ge L_H(a, b, m).$

Therefore, $L(S_m(a, b))$ is a better lower bound for $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ than $L_H(a, b, m)$.

Example 2.5.

	(-0.187772)	-0.362303	-0.706214	0.107561	
A =	-0.201396	-0.306535	-0.890974	0.055703	
	-0.037347	-0.884669	0.310718	-0.081558	ŀ
	0.093463	-0.334546	-0.296899	0.443462 /	1

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = 0.25987$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 2.2288$, n = 4. The lower bound by [3] is $L_H(a, b, n) = 0.49430$, the sharp lower bound is $L(S_n(a, b)) = 0.80290$, and the true value of $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ is 1.0781.

Because of Proposition 2.1, precise estimation of the spectral radius is possible for singular real matrices if the integer *m* approaches closer to the rank. Below is an example.

Example 2.6.

	(-2.95921)	1.81492	-0.22063	4.22845	0.29100
	4.04665	-2.89876	2.30456	4.05921	1.27605
$\mathbf{A} =$	2.36225	3.18211	-2.03943	-0.67160	4.10834
	-3.25901	4.90758	-0.95127	2.33214	2.63481
	(-1.95169)	5.68627	-1.51541	0.20106	3.83484/

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = -1.7304$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 85.319$, n = 5, m = 4. Using *n*, *a*, *b* we get $L_H(a, b, n) = 2.4042$ and $L(S_n(a, b)) = 4.5367$; the lower bounds in terms of *m*, *a*, *b* are $L_H(a, b, m) = 3.0873$ and $L(S_m(a, b)) = 4.9898$. The true value of $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ is 7.0627.

Remark. When **A** is nonnegative, the sharp lower bound in terms of n, a, b, i.e. $L(S_n(a, b))$, is exactly *the optimal lower bound* given by [7, Theorem 10].

Example 2.7.

A =	/0	2	1	1	
	7	4	1	4	
	5	2	1	4	•
	\5	3	0	3/	

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = 8$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 102$, n = 4. The minimum column sum is 3 and the minimum row sum is 4. The lower bound by [7, Corollary 5, 3, Theorem 1] is 4.6771. The sharp lower bound $L(S_n(a, b)) = 5.6742$, equals to the lower bound by [7, Theorem 10]. The spectral radius is $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 9.8888$.

In Example 2.7, the sharp lower bound is better than the minimum column (row) sum. However, in some other examples, the minimum column (row) sum is a sharper lower bound for Perron root.

Example 2.8.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 6 & 7 \\ 3 & 1 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 2 & 6 & 4 \\ 4 & 3 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = 15$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 329$, n = 4. The lower bound by [7, Corollary 5, 3, Theorem 1] is 8.5175. The sharp lower bound is $L(S_n(a, b)) = 10.079$, the same as the lower bound by [7, Theorem 10]. The minimum column sum is 6 but the minimum row sum is 14. The spectral radius is $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 17.690$.

Remark. In fact, Theorem 2.2 holds also for matrices whose nonreal eigenvalues occur in conjugate pairs, and real matrices are the most natural family of such matrices.

3. Lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$

It is also interesting to give lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$, which is used further to locate eigenvalues [11,12].

Considering rank(A) $\leq m \leq n$, without loss of generality we assume $\lambda_j = 0, j = m + 1, ..., n$. Since

$$\left| b - a^2/m \right| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^m (\lambda_j - a/m)^2 \right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j - a/m|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\lambda_j|^2 - |a|^2/m,$$

we get a lower bound for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$ as below

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2 \ge \tau_1(\mathbf{A}) = \left| b - \frac{a^2}{m} \right| + \frac{|a|^2}{m}.$$
(1)

Another lower bound for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$ by [2, Theorem 1] is

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2 \ge \tau_2(\mathbf{A}) = \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \sqrt{\frac{n^3 - n}{12}} \|\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*\|.$$
(2)

Here neither lower bound for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$ has comparative dominance, i.e. $\tau_1(\mathbf{A}) \ge \tau_2(\mathbf{A})$ (or $\tau_1(\mathbf{A}) \le \tau_2(\mathbf{A})$) does not hold for all matrices. Below are some numerical examples.

Example 3.1.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here n = m = 3 and $\tau_1(\mathbf{A}) = 5 > \tau_2(\mathbf{A}) = 7 - 2\sqrt{11}$.

Example 3.2.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here n = m = 4 and $\tau_1(\mathbf{A}) = 0 < \tau_2(\mathbf{A}) = 8$.

4. A new lower bound for the spectral radius

In this section let **A** be any complex matrix and rank(**A**) \ge 2. To obtain a new lower bound of ρ (**A**), we need the Brunk inequality.

Lemma 4.1 [1, Brunk inequality]. For real numbers x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m , it holds that

$$\max_{j} x_{j} \ge m^{-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(m-1)}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j}^{2} - \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_{j} \right)^{2} \right)^{1/2}$$

From now on we denote

$$\eta(\mathbf{A}) = \max\left\{ \left| b - \frac{a^2}{m} \right|, \|\mathbf{A}\|^2 - \sqrt{\frac{n^3 - n}{12}} \|\mathbf{A}^* \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^*\| - |a|^2 / m \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. *If* $b = a^2/m$, *then*

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge |a|/m + \sqrt{\eta(\mathbf{A})(2m^2 - 2m)^{-1}}.$$
(3)

If a = 0, then

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \sqrt{(\eta(\mathbf{A}) + |b|)(2m^2 - 2m)^{-1}}.$$
(4)

Otherwise,

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \max_{-1 \le x \le 1} \left\{ p_1 \sqrt{1+x} + p_2 \sqrt{1-x} + p_3 \sqrt{p_4+x} \right\},\tag{5}$$

where $\gamma = \arg a - 2^{-1} \arg(b - a^2/m)$, $p_1 = |a \cos \gamma| (\sqrt{2}m)^{-1}$, $p_2 = |a \sin \gamma| (\sqrt{2}m)^{-1}$, $p_3 = \sqrt{|b - a^2/m|(2m^2 - 2m)^{-1}}$, and $p_4 = \eta(\mathbf{A})|b - a^2/m|^{-1}$.

Proof. Assume $\lambda_j = 0, m < j \le n$. Applying inequalities (1) and (2), we have

$$2\left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} (\Re(\exp(\mathbf{i}\theta)\lambda_j))^2 - \frac{1}{m} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \Re(\exp(\mathbf{i}\theta)\lambda_j)\right)^2\right)$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{m} |\lambda_j|^2 + \Re\left(\exp(2\mathbf{i}\theta)\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j^2\right) - \frac{1}{m} \left|\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j\right|^2 - \frac{1}{m} \Re\left(\exp(2\mathbf{i}\theta) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda_j\right)^2\right)$
\ge $\eta(\mathbf{A}) + \Re(\exp(2\mathbf{i}\theta)(b - a^2/m)).$

Define the function

$$g_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta) = \frac{\Re(a \exp(\mathbf{i}\theta))}{m} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2m(m-1)}} \left(\eta(\mathbf{A}) + \Re\left(\exp(2\mathbf{i}\theta)\left(b - \frac{a^2}{m}\right)\right) \right)^{1/2}.$$

We apply Brunk inequality to $\Re(\exp(i\theta)\lambda_j)$ (j = 1, ..., m), and obtain

$$\max_{j} \Re(\exp(\mathbf{i}\theta)\lambda_{j}) \ge g_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta).$$

Since $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = \rho(\exp(\mathbf{i}\theta)\mathbf{A}) \ge \max_j \Re(\exp(\mathbf{i}\theta)\lambda_j)$ for any θ , we have $\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \max_{\theta} g_{\mathbf{A}}(\theta)$.

Here the maximum exists because the function $g_{A}(\theta)$ is periodic and continuous.

If $b = a^2/m$ or a = 0, the inequalities (3) and (4) follow from (6) obviously.

Suppose $b \neq a^2/m$ and $a \neq 0$. Due to the fact that trigonometric functions are periodic and symmetric, the inequality (6) yields

(6)

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \max_{\theta} g_{\mathbf{A}} \left(\theta - 2^{-1} \arg(b - a^2/m) \right)$$
$$= \max_{\theta} \left\{ \cos \theta \cos \gamma |a|/m - \sin \theta \sin \gamma |a|/m + (2m^2 - 2m)^{-1/2} \sqrt{\eta(\mathbf{A}) + |b - a^2/m| \cos 2\theta} \right\}$$

1012

L. Wang et al. / Linear Algebra and its Applications 432 (2010) 1007-1016

$$= \max_{0 \le \theta \le \pi/2} \left\{ \sqrt{2}p_1 \cos \theta + \sqrt{2}p_2 \sin \theta + p_3 \sqrt{p_4 + \cos 2\theta} \right\}$$

Let $x = \cos 2\theta$. Then we get the inequality (5). \Box

Corollary 4.3. Let
$$a, b \in \mathbb{R}$$
. If $b - a^2/m \ge -|a|\sqrt{(2m)^{-1}(m-1)(\eta(\mathbf{A}) + b - a^2/m)}$, then
 $\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge |a|/m + \sqrt{(2m^2 - 2m)^{-1}(\eta(\mathbf{A}) + b - a^2/m)}$.

Otherwise,

$$\rho(\mathbf{A}) \ge \sqrt{(2m-2)^{-1}(a^2-mb)^{-1}(a^2-b)(\eta(\mathbf{A})-(b-a^2/m))}.$$

Proof. For convenience we use notations γ , p_1 , p_2 , p_3 , p_4 as in Theorem 4.2. It only remains to find the maximum of the function

$$h(x) = p_1 \sqrt{1+x} + p_2 \sqrt{1-x} + p_3 \sqrt{p_4 + x}, -1 \le x \le 1.$$

If $b > a^2/m$, then $\sin \gamma = 0$. Thus h(x) reaches its maximum $\sqrt{2}p_1 + p_3\sqrt{p_4 + 1}$ at x = 1. If $b < a^2/m$, then $\cos \gamma = 0$. Denote $x_0 = (p_3^2 - p_2^2 p_4)(p_2^2 + p_3^2)^{-1}$. If $x_0 \ge -1$, then h(x) reaches its maximum $\sqrt{(p_4 + 1)(p_2^2 + p_3^2)}$ at $x = x_0$. If $x_0 \le -1$, then h(x) has its maximum $\sqrt{2}p_2 + p_3\sqrt{p_4 - 1}$ at x = -1. \Box

Particularly, Corollary 4.3 is used to estimate spectral radii of real matrices. As more information than tr **A**, tr \mathbf{A}^2 is applied, sometimes the lower bound by Corollary 4.3 is better than $L(S_m(\text{tr }\mathbf{A}, \text{tr }\mathbf{A}^2))$ and $L_H(a, b, m)$. Below we show a numerical example.

Example 4.4.

. (/0.626243	-0.539359	-0.016912	0.590066 \	
	0.475256	0.244961	-0.718191	-0.364156	
A =	0.463113	0.697466	0.432590	0.296332	•
	0.446128	-0.311304	0.522169	-0.727854	

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = 0.57594$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 0.70162$, and n = 4. The lower bound by [3] is $L_H(a, b, n) = 0.37105$, the sharp lower bound is $L(S_n(a, b)) = 0.48045$, and the lower bound by Corollary 4.3 is 0.58063. Actually, $\rho(\mathbf{A}) = 1.0500$.

Anyhow, below is an example where the lower bound by Corollary 4.3 is less than $L_H(a, b, n)$.

Example 4.5.

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 11.95393 & -37.46259 & -2.43785 & -6.49614 \\ 9.30982 & -33.06045 & 9.07286 & -0.27081 \\ -9.16662 & -25.31161 & -17.39773 & -1.60148 \\ 38.35426 & -4.88824 & -3.00578 & -17.67963 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Here $a = \text{tr } \mathbf{A} = -56.184$, $b = \text{tr } \mathbf{A}^2 = 252.96$, and n = 4. The lower bound for $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ by Corollary 4.3 is 15.555, the lower bound by [3, Theorem 3] is 17.227, the sharp lower bound is $L(S_n(a, b)) = 18.203$, and the actual value of $\rho(\mathbf{A})$ is 28.827.

5. Summary and future problems

We acquire the sharp lower bound for the spectral radius of real matrix **A** in terms of *m*, tr **A** and tr **A**². After discussing two lower bounds for $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\lambda_j|^2$, we give a new lower bound for the spectral radius of complex matrix **A** using tr **A**, tr **A**², $||\mathbf{A}||$, $||\mathbf{A}^*\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{A}\mathbf{A}^*||$, *n* and *m*. It is natural to leave questions as below:

1013

- 1. What is the best possible lower bound for the spectral radius of a complex matrix **A** using *m*, tr **A** and tr **A**²?
- What is the best possible lower bound for ∑_{j=1}ⁿ |λ_j|² using tr A, tr A², ||A||, ||A*A − AA*||, n and m? If a lower bound better than (1) and (2) is applied to Theorem 4.2 and Corollary 4.3, the lower bounds for spectral radii will be improved.

Appendix. Proof of Theorem 2.3

Lemma 5.1. An optimal point $(z_i)_{i=1}^m$ of $S_m(a, b)$ satisfies $|z_i| = L(S_m(a, b))$ for at least m - 1 indices j.

Proof. Suppose there exist two indices p, q such that $\max_j |z_j| > |z_p| \ge |z_q|$ for a tuple $(z_j)_{j=1}^m \in S_m(a, b)$. We can choose p, q such that either $z_p = \overline{z_q}$ or $z_p, z_q \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\tilde{z_p}, \tilde{z_q}$ be roots of the polynomial

$$z^{2}-z\left(a-\delta\sum_{j\neq p,q}z_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(a-\delta\sum_{j\neq p,q}z_{j}\right)^{2}-\left(b-\delta^{2}\sum_{j\neq p,q}z_{j}^{2}\right)\right)=0,$$

where $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$. When $\delta = 1$, the roots are z_p, z_q .

Due to continuous dependence of the roots of a polynomial on the coefficients of the polynomial [9], there exists a positive number $\delta < 1$ such that $|\tilde{z_p}| < \max_i |z_i|$ and $|\tilde{z_q}| < \max_i |z_i|$. Hence,

$$\max_{j} |z_j| > \max_{j \neq p,q} \{ |\widetilde{z_p}|, |\widetilde{z_q}|, \delta z_j \}$$

Notice that the numbers δz_j , $\tilde{z_p}$, $\tilde{z_q}$ in a proper sort constitute a tuple in $S_m(a, b)$. Thus, $\max_j |z_j| > L(S_m(a, b))$ and then $(z_j)_{j=1}^m$ is not an optimal point. \Box

Lemma 5.2. If $b > a^2/m$, then an optimal point $(z_j)_{j=1}^m$ of $S_m(a, b)$ satisfies $(z_j)_{j=1}^m \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

Proof. Assume $(z_j)_{i=1}^m \in S_m(a, b)$ and $(z_j)_{i=1}^m \notin \mathbb{R}^m$. Let

$$x_j = \frac{a}{m} + \left(\Re z_j - \frac{a}{m}\right) \sqrt{\frac{b - a^2/m}{\sum_{j=1}^m (\Re z_j)^2 - a^2/m}}$$

On one hand, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j = a$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{m} x_j^2 = b$. On the other hand, we have $\max_j |z_j| \ge \max_j |\Re z_j| > \max_j |x_j|$. Therefore, such a tuple $(z_j)_{j=1}^m \notin \mathbb{R}^m$ is not an optimal point. \Box

Proposition 5.3. Suppose *a*, *b* ∈ ℝ and *b* > a^2/m . Let $k = \left[\frac{1}{2}\left(m - \sqrt{ma^2/b}\right)\right]$, and $D = m - 1 + (m - 2k - 1)^2$. Then $L(S_m(a, b)) = D^{-1}\left(\sqrt{bD - (m - 1)a^2} + |a|(m - 2k - 1)\right)$.

Proof. By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, computing $L(S_m(a, b))$ under the condition $b > a^2/m$ reduces to the following optimization problem:

$$L(S_m(a, b)) = \min \qquad r_l$$

subject to
$$\begin{cases} y + (m - 2l - 1)r_l = a, \\ y^2 + (m - 1)r_l^2 = b, \\ r_l, y \in \mathbb{R}, \\ -r_l \le y \le r_l, \\ l \in \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}. \end{cases}$$

Here we omit the elementary but tedious procedure to solve this optimization problem. \Box

1014

Proposition 5.4. Let *m* be an even positive integer. Suppose $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \leq a^2/m$. Then

$$L(S_m(a,b)) = m^{-1}\sqrt{2a^2 - mb}$$

and the optimal points for $S_m(a, b)$ are $(z_j)_{j=1}^m$, where $z_j = \left(a/m \pm \sqrt{b/m - a^2/m^2}\right)$ and $z_{2j-1} = \overline{z_{2j}}$.

Proof. Let $z_0 = a/m$. For any $(z_j)_{j=1}^m \in S_m(a, b)$, we have

$$\max_{j} |z_{j}| \ge \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j} |z_{j}|^{2}}{m}} = \sqrt{|z_{0}|^{2} + \frac{\sum_{j} |z_{j} - z_{0}|^{2}}{m}} \\ \ge \sqrt{|z_{0}|^{2} + \frac{\left|\sum_{j} (z_{j} - z_{0})^{2}\right|}{m}} = \frac{\sqrt{2a^{2} - mb}}{m}.$$
(7)

The former inequality in (7) collapses to equality if and only if $|z_j| = |z_l| (1 \le j, l \le m)$, and the latter inequality in (7) collapses to equality if and only if $z_j - z_0 (1 \le j \le m)$ are collinear on the complex plane. Then the optimal points are characterized as above. \Box

$$L(S_3(a,b)) = \begin{cases} (a^2 - b)(2|a|)^{-1}, & \text{if } 0 < b \le a^2/3, \\ \sqrt{a^2 + 2|b|/2}, & \text{if } b \le 0. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.5. Let m be odd and m > 3. Then

$$L(S_m(a,b)) = \begin{cases} (m^2 - 3m)^{-1} \left(\sqrt{2a^2(m-1)(m-2) - bm(m-1)(m-3)} - 2|a| \right), \\ if - 2a^2(m-3)(m+1)^{-2} \le b \le a^2/m; \\ \sqrt{(m-1)^{-1}(2a^2(m+1)^{-1} - b)}, & \text{if } b \le -2a^2(m-3)(m+1)^{-2}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Suppose $(z_j)_{j=1}^m$ to be an optimal point. We have $z_m \in \mathbb{R}$, as m is odd. By Lemma 5.1, $(z_j)_{j=1}^{m-1}$ has to be an optimal point in $S_{m-1}(a - z_m, b - z_m^2)$, and therefore are characterized as in Proposition 5.4. Then it only remains to solve the optimization problem

$$L(S_m(a, b)) = \min \qquad \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}$$

subject to
$$\begin{cases} (m-1)x + z_m = a, \\ (m-1)(x^2 - y^2) + z_m^2 = b, \\ x, y, z_m \in \mathbb{R}, \\ |z_m| \le \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}. \end{cases}$$

Here we omit the detail solution to this optimization problem. \Box

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referee for detailed and helpful suggestions to revise the manuscript.

References

- [1] H.D. Brunk, Note on two papers of K.R. Nair, J. Indian Soc. Agricultural Statist. 11 (1959) 186-189.
- [2] P. Henrici, Bounds for iterates, inverses, spectral variation and fields of values of non-normal matrices, Numer. Math. 4 (1962) 24–40.
- [3] Bill G. Horne, Lower bounds for the spectral radius of a matrix, Linear Algebra Appl. 263 (1997) 261-273.

- [4] T.Z. Huang, L. Wang, Improving bounds for eigenvalues of complex matrices using traces, Linear Algebra Appl. 426 (2007) 841–854.
- [5] J.K. Merikoski, A. Virtanen, Bounds for eigenvalues using the trace and determinant, Linear Algebra Appl. 264 (1997) 101-108.
- [6] J.K. Merikoski, A. Virtanen, Best possible bounds for ordered positive numbers using their sum and product, Math. Inequal. Appl. 4 (1) (2001) 67–84.
- [7] J.K. Merikoski, A. Virtanen, The best possible lower bound for the Perron root using traces, Linear Algebra Appl. 388 (2004) 301-313.
- [8] O. Rojo, R. Soto, H. Rojo, Bounds for sums of eigenvalues and applications, Comput. Math. Appl. 39 (2000) 1–15.
- [9] D.J. Uherka, A.M. Sergott, On the continuous dependence of the roots of a polynomial on its coefficients, Amer. Math. Monthly 84 (5) (1977) 368-370.
- [10] H. Wolkowicz, G.P.H. Styan, Extensions of Samuelson's inequality, Amer. Stat. 33 (1979) 143–144.
- [11] H. Wolkowicz, G.P.H. Styan, Bounds for eigenvalues using traces, Linear Algebra Appl. 29 (1980) 471–506.
- [12] H. Wolkowicz, G.P.H. Styan, More bounds for eigenvalues using traces, Linear Algebra Appl. 31 (1980) 1-17.