

# Products of characters and derived length <sup>☆</sup>

Edith Adan-Bante

*Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA*

Received 7 September 2002

Communicated by George Glauberman

---

## Abstract

Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  be a faithful character. We show that the derived length of  $G$  is bounded by a linear function of the number of distinct irreducible constituents of  $\chi\bar{\chi}$ . We also discuss other properties of the decomposition of  $\chi\bar{\chi}$  into its irreducible constituents.

© 2003 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Keywords:* Products of characters; Irreducible character; Derived length

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group. Denote by  $\text{Irr}(G)$  the set of irreducible complex characters of  $G$ . Let  $1_G$  be the principal character of  $G$ . Denote by  $[\Theta, \Phi]$  the inner product of the characters  $\Theta$  and  $\Phi$  of  $G$ . Through this work, we will use the notation of [1].

Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Define  $\bar{\chi}(g)$  to be the complex conjugate  $\overline{\chi(g)}$  of  $\chi(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Then  $\bar{\chi}$  is also an irreducible complex character of  $G$ . Since the product of characters is a character,  $\chi\bar{\chi}$  is a character of  $G$ . So it can be expressed as an integral linear combination of irreducible characters. Now observe that

$$[\chi\bar{\chi}, 1_G] = [\chi, \chi] = 1,$$

where the last equality holds since  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Assume now that  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then the decomposition of the character  $\chi\bar{\chi}$  into its distinct irreducible constituents  $1_G, \alpha_1,$

---

<sup>☆</sup> This research was partially supported by grant NSF DMS 9970030.  
*E-mail address:* adanbant@math.uiuc.edu.

$\alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$  has the form

$$\chi \bar{\chi} = 1_G + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $n > 0$  and  $a_i > 0$  is the multiplicity of  $\alpha_i$ .

Set  $\eta(\chi) = n$ , so that  $\eta(\chi)$  is the number of distinct non-principal irreducible constituents of  $\chi \bar{\chi}$ . The number  $\eta(\chi)$  carries information about the structure of the group. For example, if  $\eta(\chi)$  is an odd number, then the order of the group has to be an even number. To see this, notice that  $\chi \bar{\chi}$  is a real character. When  $\eta(\chi)$  is odd, at least one of the irreducible characters  $\alpha_i$  has to be real. Then  $G$  has a non-principal irreducible real character. So the order of  $G$  has to be even.

The purpose of this work is to give some answers to the following questions:

**Question 1.** Assume that we know  $\eta(\chi)$  for some  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . What can we say about the structure of the group  $G$  and about the character  $\chi$ ?

**Question 2.** Knowing the set  $\{a_i \mid i = 1, \dots, \eta(\chi)\}$ , what can we say about the group  $G$ ?

Denote by  $\text{dl}(G)$  the derived length of the group  $G$ . The main results of this work regarding the first question are the following

**Theorem A.** *There exist constants  $C$  and  $D$  such that for any finite solvable group  $G$  and any irreducible character  $\chi$*

$$\text{dl}(G/\text{Ker}(\chi)) \leq C\eta(\chi) + D.$$

**Theorem B.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Then  $\chi(1)$  has at most  $\eta(\chi)$  distinct prime divisors.*

*If, in addition,  $G$  is supersolvable and  $\chi(1) > 1$ , then  $\chi(1)$  is a product of at most  $\eta(\chi) - 1$  primes.*

The main result of this work regarding the second question is

**Theorem C.** *Assume that  $G$  is a finite solvable group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  with  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i \in \text{Irr}(G)^\# \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be the set of non-principal irreducible constituents of  $\chi \bar{\chi}$ . If  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_j)$  is maximal under inclusion among the subgroups  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , of  $G$ , then  $[\chi \bar{\chi}, \alpha_j] = 1$ . Thus  $1 \in \{[\chi \bar{\chi}, \alpha_i] \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$ .*

**Notation.** Set  $V^\# = V \setminus \{0\}$  and  $\text{Irr}(G)^\# = \text{Irr}(G) \setminus \{1_G\}$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $V$  be a finite  $\mathbf{F}G$ -module for some finite field  $\mathbf{F}$ . Then  $m(G, V)$  is the number of distinct sizes of orbits of  $G$  on  $V^\#$ .

**Lemma 2.2** (Keller). *There exist universal constants  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  such that for any finite solvable group  $G$  acting faithfully and irreducibly on a finite vector space  $V$  we have*

$$dl(G) \leq C_1 \log(m(G, V)) + C_2.$$

**Proof.** See [2].  $\square$

**Definition 2.3.** We define the function

$$h(n) = C_1 \log(n) + C_2,$$

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are as in Lemma 2.2.

### 3. The function $\eta(\chi)$

Given a finite group  $G$  and a character  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , we define  $\eta(\chi)$  as the number of non-principal irreducible constituents of the product  $\chi\bar{\chi}$ . We give examples showing that there is no relation between induction of characters and  $\eta$ .

**Example 3.1.** If  $\chi = \theta^G$  is induced from some  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , where  $H \leq G$ , then we need not have  $\eta(\chi) \geq \eta(\theta)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $E$  be an extra-special group of exponent  $p$  and order  $p^3$  for some odd prime  $p$ . Let  $a \in \text{Aut}(E)$  be an element of prime order  $q$  that divides  $p - 1$ . Assume that  $a$  acts fixed point free on  $E$ .

Set  $G = \langle a \rangle E$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(E)$  be a non-linear character. Since  $a$  acts fixed point free, we have that  $\theta^G = \chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ .

Observe that  $G$  has  $q$  linear characters, namely the irreducible characters of  $G/E$ . Also  $G$  has  $(p^2 - 1)/q$  irreducible characters of degree  $q$ , the characters that are induced from linear non-principal characters of  $E$ . And finally there are  $(p - 1)/q$  irreducible characters of degree  $pq$ . We conclude that  $G$  has  $q + (p^2 - 1)/q + (p - 1)/q$  distinct irreducible characters. Thus  $\eta(\chi) \leq q - 1 + (p^2 - 1)/q + (p - 1)/q$ .

We can check that

$$q - 1 + \frac{p^2 - 1}{q} + \frac{p - 1}{q} < p^2 - 1.$$

Observe that  $\theta\bar{\theta} = (1_{\mathbf{Z}(E)})^E$ . Thus  $\eta(\theta) = p^2 - 1 > \eta(\chi)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** If  $\chi = \theta^G$  is induced from some  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(H)$ , where  $H \leq G$ , then we need not have  $\eta(\chi) \leq \eta(\theta)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be an extra-special group. Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  be a non-linear character. Let  $\theta$  be a linear character of some subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  such that  $\chi = \theta^G$ . Then  $\eta(\chi) > \eta(\theta) = 0$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Proof of Theorem C

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Consider the expression (1.1) for  $\chi\bar{\chi}$ . We will see in this section that if  $G$  is solvable, then  $1 \in \{a_i\}$ . That may not be true in general. For example, consider  $A_6$ , the alternating group on 6 letters, and  $\chi_5 \in \text{Irr}(A_6)$  with  $\chi_5(1) = 10$ . Using the notation of p. 289 of [1], we can check that

$$\chi_5\bar{\chi}_5 = \chi_1 + 2\chi_2 + 2\chi_3 + 3\chi_4 + 2\chi_5 + 2\chi_6 + 2\chi_7.$$

Thus  $\{a_i\} = \{2, 3\}$ .

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $L$  and  $N$  be normal subgroups of  $G$  such that  $L/N$  is an abelian chief factor of  $G$ . Let  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(L)$  be a  $G$ -invariant character. Then the restriction  $\theta_N$  is reducible if and only if*

$$\theta(g) = 0 \quad \text{for all } g \in L \setminus N. \quad (4.2)$$

Also if  $\theta_N$  is reducible, then

$$\theta\bar{\theta} = (1_N)^L + \Phi, \quad (4.3)$$

where  $\Phi$  is either the zero function or a character of  $L$ , and  $[\Phi_N, 1_N] = 0$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi \in \text{Irr}(N)$  be a character such that  $[\varphi, \theta_N] \neq 0$ . If  $\theta_N$  is reducible, by Theorem 6.18 of [1] we have that either  $\theta_N = e\varphi$ , where  $e^2 = |L : N|$ , or  $\theta = \varphi^L$ . If  $\theta_N = e\varphi$ , where  $e^2 = |L : N|$ , by Exercise 6.3 of [1] we have that  $\theta$  vanishes on  $L \setminus N$ . If  $\theta = \varphi^L$ , since  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $L$  we have that  $\theta(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in L \setminus N$ . Thus (4.2) holds.

Now assume that (4.2) holds. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta_N, \theta_N] &= \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{g \in N} \theta(g)\overline{\theta(g)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|N|} \sum_{g \in L} \theta(g)\overline{\theta(g)} \quad \text{by (4.2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{|N|} |L| [\theta, \theta] = \frac{|L|}{|N|}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality holds since  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(L)$ . Because  $|L|/|N| > 1$ , it follows that  $\theta_N$  is a reducible character.

For any  $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(L/N)$  we have that

$$\begin{aligned} [\theta\bar{\theta}, \gamma] &= [\theta, \theta\gamma] \\ &= \frac{1}{|L|} \sum_{g \in L} \theta(g)\overline{\theta(g)\gamma(g)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{|L|} \left[ \sum_{g \in L \setminus N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)\gamma(g)} + \sum_{g \in N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)\gamma(g)} \right] \\
 &= \frac{1}{|L|} \left[ \sum_{g \in L \setminus N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)} + \sum_{g \in N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)\gamma(g)} \right] \quad \text{by (4.2)} \\
 &= \frac{1}{|L|} \left[ \sum_{g \in L \setminus N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)} + \sum_{g \in N} \theta(g) \overline{\theta(g)} \right] \quad \text{since } \text{Ker}(\gamma) \supseteq N \text{ and } \gamma(1) = 1 \\
 &= [\theta, \theta] = 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4.3) follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a finite solvable group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i \mid i = 1, \dots, \eta(\chi)\}$  be the set of non-principal irreducible constituents of the product  $\chi \bar{\chi}$ . Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $\chi_N \in \text{Irr}(N)$  if and only if  $N \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, \eta(\chi)$ .*

**Proof.** Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
 [\chi_N, \chi_N] &= [\chi_N \bar{\chi}_N, 1_N] \\
 &= \left[ \left( 1_G + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \alpha_i \right)_N, 1_N \right] \quad \text{by (1.1)} \\
 &= \left[ 1_N + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\alpha_i)_N, 1_N \right] \\
 &= [1_N, 1_N] + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i [(\alpha_i)_N, 1_N] \\
 &= 1 + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i [(\alpha_i)_N, 1_N].
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $[\chi_N, \chi_N] = 1$  if and only if  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i [(\alpha_i)_N, 1_N] = 0$ . Since  $a_i > 0$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $[\chi_N, \chi_N] = 1$  if and only if  $[(\alpha_i)_N, 1_N] = 0$  for all  $i$ . Since  $[(\alpha_i)_N, 1_N] = 0$  if and only if  $N \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$ , the result follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem C.** Set  $N = \text{Ker}(\alpha_j)$ . Let  $L$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $L/N$  is a chief factor of  $G$ . Since  $N = \text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $L \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . By Lemma 4.4 we have that  $\chi_L \in \text{Irr}(L)$ . Set  $\theta = \chi_L$ . Since  $N = \text{Ker}(\alpha_j)$ , we have that  $[(\alpha_j)_N, 1_N] = \alpha_j(1)$ . Thus

$$[\chi_N, \chi_N] = [(\chi \bar{\chi})_N, 1_N] \geq 1 + a_j \alpha_j(1) > 1.$$

Therefore  $\chi_N$  is reducible. By Lemma 4.1 we have that

$$(\chi \bar{\chi})_L = \theta \bar{\theta} = 1_N^L + \Phi, \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\Phi$  is either the zero function or a character of  $L$  and  $[\Phi_N, 1_N] = 0$ . Also, by (1.1) we have that

$$(\chi \bar{\chi})_L = 1_L + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i (\alpha_i)_L.$$

Let  $\gamma \in \text{Irr}(L/\text{Ker}(\alpha_j))$  be such that  $[(\alpha_j)_L, \gamma] \neq 0$ . Then

$$0 < a_j [(\alpha_j)_L, \gamma] = [(a_j \alpha_j)_L, \gamma] \leq [(\chi \bar{\chi})_L, \gamma] = 1,$$

where the last equality follows from (4.5). Therefore  $a_j = 1$ .

Since there is some  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_j)$  is maximal among the  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  for all  $i$ , the last part of Theorem C follows from that.  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem B

**Lemma 5.1.** *Assume  $G$  is a finite group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is a faithful character. Let  $\{\alpha_i \in \text{Irr}(G)^\# \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be the set of non-principal irreducible constituents of  $\chi \bar{\chi}$ . Then*

$$\mathbf{Z}(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(\alpha_i).$$

**Proof.** By Lemma 2.21 of [1],

$$\text{Ker}(\chi \bar{\chi}) = \text{Ker}(1_G) \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(\alpha_i) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(\alpha_i).$$

Since  $(\chi \bar{\chi})(g) = \chi^2(1)$  if and only if  $g \in \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , it follows that  $\text{Ker}(\chi \bar{\chi}) = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $G$  be a group and  $L$  be a subgroup of  $G$ . We say that

$$(N, \theta) \leq (L, \phi)$$

if  $N \leq L$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ ,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and  $[\phi_N, \theta] \neq 0$ . We say that

$$(N, \theta) < (L, \phi)$$

if  $N < L$ ,  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(L)$ ,  $\theta \in \text{Irr}(N)$  and  $[\phi_N, \theta] \neq 0$ .

Let  $X$  be a family of normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $G \in X$ . We say that a chain

$$(N_0, \theta_0) > (N_1, \theta_1) > (N_2, \theta_2) > \cdots > (N_k, \theta_k),$$

where  $N_0 = G$  and  $\chi = \theta_0$ , is an  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain if  $N_i \in X$  and  $(\theta_i)_{N_{i+1}}$  is reducible for  $i = 0, \dots, k$ .

We say that the above chain is a maximal  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain if it is a  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain with the following two properties:

- (i) For any  $i$  with  $0 < i \leq k$ , the group  $N_i$  is a maximal subgroup in the set

$$\{M \in X \mid M \leq N_{i-1} \text{ and } (\theta_{i-1})_M \text{ is reducible}\}.$$

- (ii) For any  $M \in X$  such that  $M < N_k$ , the restriction  $(\theta_k)_M$  is irreducible.

**Remark.** Given a family  $X$  of normal subgroups of  $G$  with  $G \in X$  and given  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , there is always an  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain, and a maximal  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain. In fact  $(G, \chi)$  is already an  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain. We find a maximal reducing  $(X, \chi)$  chain by induction. We start with  $(N_0, \theta_0) = (G, \chi)$ . If  $(\theta_0)_M$  is irreducible for any  $M \in X$ , then  $(N_0, \theta_0)$  is our maximal  $(X, \chi)$ -reducing chain. Assume we have found  $(N_{i-1}, \theta_{i-1})$  for some integer  $i \geq 1$ . If the set

$$\{M \in X \mid M \leq N_{i-1} \text{ and } (\theta_{i-1})_M \text{ is reducible}\}$$

is non-empty, we choose  $N_i$  to be any maximal element in this set, and  $\theta_i$  to be any character in  $\text{Irr}(N_i)$  such that  $[(\theta_{i-1})_{N_i}, \theta_i] > 0$ . Otherwise we stop our chain with  $k = i - 1$ .

**Hypotheses 5.3.** Assume  $G$  is a finite solvable group and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is a faithful character. Set  $n = \eta(\chi)$ . Let  $\{\alpha_i \in \text{Irr}(G)^\# \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  be the set of non-principal irreducible constituents of  $\chi\bar{\chi}$ . Set

$$\Omega = \left\{ \bigcap_{i \in S} \text{Ker}(\alpha_i) \mid S \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \right\}, \tag{5.4}$$

where  $\bigcap_{i \in S} \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  is taken to be  $G$  when  $S$  is empty.

Let

$$(G, \chi) = (N_0, \theta_0) > (N_1, \theta_1) > \cdots > (N_k, \theta_k)$$

be a maximal  $(\Omega, \chi)$ -reducing chain.

**Lemma 5.5.** Assume Hypotheses 5.3. Then the maximal  $(\Omega, \chi)$ -reducing chain has the following properties:

- (a) For any integer  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and any normal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $N_i < M \leq N_{i-1}$  we have that

$$(\theta_{i-1})_M \in \text{Irr}(M). \quad (5.6)$$

- (b)  $N_k$  is abelian.  
 (c)  $k \leq n$ .  
 (d) If, in addition,  $G$  is supersolvable, then  $k \leq n - 1$ .

**Proof.** (a) If  $M \in \Omega$ , then  $(\theta_{i-1})_M$  has to be irreducible. Otherwise  $N_i$  is not a maximal element in  $\Omega$  such that  $(\theta_{i-1})_{N_i}$  reduces, a contradiction with property (i) in Definition 5.2.

So we may assume that  $M$  is not an element of  $\Omega$ . Let  $L$  be minimal among all elements  $K \in \Omega$  such that  $M \leq K \leq N_{i-1}$ . By property (i) in Definition 5.2 we have that

$$\phi = (\theta_{i-1})_L \in \text{Irr}(L).$$

Observe that

$$1 = [\phi, \phi] = [\phi\bar{\phi}, 1_L] \leq [(\phi\bar{\phi})_M, 1_M] = [\phi_M, \phi_M], \quad (5.7)$$

where equality holds if and only if  $\phi_M \in \text{Irr}(M)$ .

Recall that  $[\chi_{N_{i-1}}, \theta_{i-1}] \neq 0$ . Thus  $[\chi_L, \phi] \neq 0$ . Let  $T$  be the stabilizer of  $\phi$  in  $G$  and  $Y$  be a set of coset representatives of  $T$  in  $G$ . Thus if  $g, h \in Y$  and  $g \neq h$ , we have that  $\phi^g \neq \phi^h$  and therefore  $[\phi^g, \phi^h] = 0$ . By Clifford Theory we have that  $\chi_L = e \sum_{g \in Y} \phi^g$  for some integer  $e > 0$ . Thus

$$[(\chi\bar{\chi})_L, 1_L] = [\chi_L, \chi_L] = \left[ e \sum_{g \in Y} \phi^g, e \sum_{g \in Y} \phi^g \right] = e^2 \sum_{g \in Y} [\phi^g, \phi^g]. \quad (5.8)$$

Since  $\chi_M = (\chi_L)_M$ , we have that

$$[(\chi\bar{\chi})_M, 1_M] = [\chi_M, \chi_M] = e^2 \left[ \sum_{g \in Y} (\phi^g)_M, \sum_{g \in Y} (\phi^g)_M \right]. \quad (5.9)$$

If  $\phi_M \notin \text{Irr}(M)$ , then (5.7), (5.8) and (5.9) imply that

$$[(\chi\bar{\chi})_L, 1_L] < [(\chi\bar{\chi})_M, 1_M]. \quad (5.10)$$

By (1.1) and (5.10) there exists some  $\alpha_j$  such that  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \geq M$  but  $\text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \not\geq L$ . Therefore  $L \cap \text{Ker}(\alpha_j)$  is a proper subset of  $L$ , contains  $M$  and lies in  $\Omega$ . This contradicts our choice of  $L$ . Thus  $(\theta_{i-1})_M = \phi_M \in \text{Irr}(M)$ .

(b) By Lemma 5.1 we have that  $\mathbf{Z}(G) \subseteq M$  for any  $M \in \Omega$ . Thus  $(\theta_k)_{\mathbf{Z}(G)}$  is irreducible by property (ii) in Definition 5.2. That implies that  $\theta_k \in \text{Irr}(N_k)$  is a linear character. Since  $N_k$  is normal in  $G$  and  $[\chi_{N_k}, \theta_k] \neq 0$ , all the irreducible components of  $\chi_{N_k}$  are linear. By hypothesis  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  is a faithful character. Therefore  $N_k$  must be abelian.



(c) This follows from the definition of  $\Omega$  and the fact that the set  $\{\text{Ker}(\alpha_j)\}$  has at most  $n$  elements.

(d) Suppose that  $N_k = \mathbf{Z}(G)$ . Let  $L/N_k$  be a chief factor of  $G$  with  $L \leq N_{k-1}$ . Since  $G$  is supersolvable,  $L/N_k$  is cyclic of prime order. Observe that  $L$  is abelian because it has a central subgroup  $N_k$  with a cyclic factor group  $L/N_k$ . So  $\theta_k$  extends to  $L$ . By (a) we have that  $(\theta_{k-1})_L \in \text{Irr}(L)$ . Thus  $(\theta_{k-1})_{N_k} = \theta_k$ . That can not be by Definition 5.2(i). We conclude that  $N_k \neq \mathbf{Z}(G)$ .

Since  $N_k \neq \mathbf{Z}(G) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \text{Ker}(\alpha_i)$  and  $\{\text{Ker}(\alpha_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  has at most  $n$  elements, we must have that  $k \leq n - 1$ .  $\square$

Theorem B is an application of Lemma 5.5.

**Proof of Theorem B.** Working with the group  $G/\text{Ker}(\chi)$ , by induction on the order of  $G$  we can assume that  $\text{Ker}(\chi) = 1$ . Let

$$(G, \chi) = (N_0, \theta_0) > (N_1, \theta_1) > \dots > (N_k, \theta_k)$$

be a maximal  $(\Omega, \chi)$ -reducing chain. For each  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , let  $L_i$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $L_i/N_i$  is a chief factor of  $G$  and  $L_i \leq N_{i-1}$ .

By Lemma 5.5 we have that  $(\theta_{i-1})_{L_i} \in \text{Irr}(L_i)$ . Since  $L_i/N_i$  is an elementary abelian  $p_i$ -group for some prime  $p_i$ , we have

$$\theta_{i-1}(1) = \theta_i(1)p_i^{m_i}$$

for some integer  $m_i \geq 1$ . Here  $m_i = 1$  in the case that  $G$  is supersolvable. By Lemma 5.5(b), we have that  $\theta_k(1) = 1$ . By Lemma 5.5(c),  $k \leq n$ . We conclude that  $\chi(1)$  has at most  $k \leq n$  distinct prime divisors.

If  $G$  is supersolvable, by Lemma 5.5(d) we have  $k \leq n - 1$ . Thus  $\chi(1)$  has at most  $n - 1$  prime divisors.  $\square$

### 6. Proof of Theorem A

**Hypotheses 6.1.** Assume Hypotheses 5.3. For each  $i$ , let  $L_i/N_i$  be a chief factor of  $G$  where  $L_i \leq N_{i-1}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.** Assume Hypotheses 6.1. There exists a subgroup  $U$  of  $L_i$  and a character  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(U)$ , such that

$$(N_i, \theta_i) \leq (U, \phi) < (L_i, \psi). \tag{6.3}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that the lemma is false. Then for any  $U$  and  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(U)$  such that (6.3) holds, we have that  $(L_i)_\phi = L_i$ . Choose a chain

$$(N_i, \theta_i) = (U_s, \phi_s) < \dots < (U_1, \phi_1) < (U_0, \phi_0) = (L_i, \psi)$$

such that  $|U_{j-1} : U_j|$  is a prime number for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . We can do that since  $L_i/N_i$  is an elementary abelian group. Since  $(L_i)_{\phi_j} = L_i$  for all  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , we have  $(U_{j-1})_{\phi_j} = U_{j-1}$ . Since  $|U_{j-1} : U_j|$  is a prime number, it follows that  $(\phi_{j-1})_{U_j} = \phi_j$  for  $j = 1, \dots, s$ . But then  $(\theta_{i-1})_{N_i} \in \text{Irr}(N_i)$ , a contradiction with Definition 5.2(i). Therefore there exist  $U < L_i$  and a character  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(U)$  such that (6.3) holds and  $(L_i)_{\phi} \neq L_i$ .

Since  $N_i \leq (L_i)_{\phi} < L_i$ , and  $L_i/N_i$  is an elementary abelian subgroup, the subgroup  $(L_i)_{\phi}$  is normal in  $L_i$ . By Clifford Theory  $\psi$  is induced from some character  $\psi_{\phi} \in \text{Irr}((L_i)_{\phi})$ . Since  $(L_i)_{\phi}$  is normal in  $L_i$ , and  $(\psi_{\phi})^{L_i} = \psi$ , we have  $\psi(g) = 0$  for any  $g \in L_i \setminus (L_i)_{\phi}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 6.4.** *Assume Hypotheses 6.1. Let  $r_i = |\{\alpha_j \mid N_i \leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \text{ and } N_{i-1} \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j)\}|$ . Then we have*

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)) \leq h(r_i),$$

where  $h$  is as in Definition 2.3.

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.5(a), we have that

$$\psi = (\theta_{i-1})_{L_i} \in \text{Irr}(L_i). \quad (6.5)$$

Let  $V(\psi)$  be the “vanishing-off subgroup of  $\psi$ ” (see p. 200 of [1]), the smallest subgroup  $V(\psi)$  of  $L_i$  such that  $\psi$  vanishes on  $L_i \setminus V(\psi)$ . Since  $\psi = (\theta_{i-1})_{L_i}$  and  $\theta_{i-1} \in \text{Irr}(N_{i-1})$ , the subgroup  $V(\psi)$  is  $N_{i-1}$ -invariant. Therefore  $N_i V(\psi)$  is a normal subgroup of  $N_{i-1}$ . Let  $U$  and  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(U)$  be as in Lemma 6.2. Observe that  $V(\psi) \leq (L_i)_{\phi}$  since for all  $g \in L_i \setminus (L_i)_{\phi}$  we have that  $\psi(g) = 0$ . Also observe that  $N_i \leq (L_i)_{\phi}$ . Thus  $N_i V(\psi) \leq (L_i)_{\phi}$ . Therefore  $N_i V(\psi)$  is a proper subgroup of  $L_i$ .

Let  $M$  be a subgroup such that  $N_i V(\psi) \leq M < L_i$  and  $L_i/M$  is a chief factor of  $N_{i-1}$ . So we have the following relations:

$$N_i \leq N_i V(\psi) \leq M < L_i \leq N_{i-1}.$$

Since  $L_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ , the quotient  $L_i/M^g$  is also a chief factor of  $N_{i-1}$ , for any  $g \in G$ . Hence for any  $g \in G$

$$MM^g = M \quad \text{or} \quad MM^g = L_i. \quad (6.6)$$

Lemma 4.1 gives us that

$$\psi \bar{\psi} = 1_M^{L_i} + \Phi,$$

where  $\Phi$  is either 0 or a character of  $L_i$ . Since  $[(\chi)_{L_i}, \psi] \neq 0$ , this implies that

$$(\chi \bar{\chi})_{L_i} = 1_M^{L_i} + \Theta,$$

where  $\Theta$  is either 0 or a character of  $L_i$ . This and (1.1) imply that

$$1_{L_i} + \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\alpha_j)_{L_i} = 1_M^{L_i} + \Theta.$$

Thus

$$\text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\# = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{ \gamma \in \text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\# \mid [(\alpha_j)_{L_i}, \gamma] \neq 0 \}.$$

Let  $X = \{ \alpha_j \mid [(\alpha_j)_{L_i}, \gamma] \neq 0 \text{ for some } \gamma \in \text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\# \}$ . Observe that  $X$  is a subset of the set

$$\{ \alpha_j \mid N_i \leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \text{ and } N_{i-1} \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \}.$$

Thus

$$|X| \leq r_i. \tag{6.7}$$

Let  $\gamma, \delta \in \text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\#$ . Suppose that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  lie below the same  $\alpha_j \in X$ , i.e.,  $[(\alpha_j)_{L_i}, \gamma] \neq 0$  and  $[(\alpha_j)_{L_i}, \delta] \neq 0$  for some  $j = 1, \dots, n$ . Since  $L_i$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $\alpha_j \in \text{Irr}(G)$ , by Clifford Theory there exists  $g \in G$  such that  $\gamma^g = \delta$ . By definition we have that  $M \leq \text{Ker}(\delta)$ . Observe that

$$M^g \leq (\text{Ker}(\gamma))^g = \text{Ker}(\gamma^g).$$

Since  $\gamma^g = \delta$ , we have  $MM^g \leq \text{Ker}(\delta)$ . By (6.6) we have that  $M^g = M$ , i.e.,  $g \in N_G(M)$ . We conclude that  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  lie below the same  $\alpha_j$  if and only if  $\gamma^g = \delta$  for some  $g \in N_G(M)$ , i.e., the set  $\{ \gamma \in \text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\# \mid [(\alpha_j)_L, \gamma] \neq 0 \}$  is an  $N_G(M)$ -orbit in  $\text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\#$ . Set  $H = N_G(M)$ . Each  $H$ -orbit in  $\text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\#$  lies under at least one character  $\alpha_j$  in  $X$ , and any each  $\alpha_j$  lies over a single  $H$ -orbit  $\text{Irr}(L_i/M)$ . Hence  $H$  acts on  $\text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\#$  with at most  $|X|$  orbits. By (6.7) we conclude that  $H$  acts on  $\text{Irr}(L_i/M)^\#$  with at most  $r_i$  orbits. By Lemma 2.2 we have that

$$\text{dl}(H/\mathbf{C}_H(L_i/M)) \leq h(r_i).$$

Since  $N_{i-1} \leq H = N_G(M)$  and  $\mathbf{C}_H(L_i/M) \cap N_{i-1} = \mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/M)$ , we have

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/M)) \leq h(r_i).$$

For any  $g \in G$ , we can check that

$$(G, \chi) = (N_0, (\theta_0)^g) > (N_1, (\theta_1)^g) > \dots > (N_k, (\theta_k)^g)$$

is a maximal  $(G, \Omega)$ -reducing chain. Thus, as before we can conclude that

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/M^g)) \leq h(r_i). \quad (6.8)$$

Since  $L_i/N_i$  is a chief factor of  $G$  and  $N_i \leq M < L_i$ , we have that

$$\text{core}_G(M) = \bigcap_{g \in G} M^g = N_i.$$

Therefore

$$\bigcap_{g \in G} \mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/M^g) = \mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i). \quad (6.9)$$

Observe that the lemma follows from (6.8) and (6.9).  $\square$

**Lemma 6.10.** *Assume Hypotheses 5.3.*

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/N_i) \leq \text{dl}(N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)) + 1.$$

**Proof.** Set  $C = \mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)$ . Observe that  $L_i \leq C$  and that  $C$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . We want to prove that  $C/N_i$  is abelian. We may assume that  $C > L_i$ . Observe that if  $U$  is a group and  $N_i \leq U \leq L_i$ , then  $U$  is normal in  $C$ . By Lemma 6.2, there exist  $U$  and  $\phi \in \text{Irr}(U)$ , where

$$(N_i, \theta_i) \leq (U, \phi) < (L_i, \psi) \quad (6.11)$$

and  $(L_i)_\phi < L_i$ . In particular we have that  $C_\phi \neq C$ . Since  $(\theta_{i-1})_{L_i} = \psi \in \text{Irr}(L_i)$  and  $U < L_i \leq C \leq N_{i-1}$ , we have that  $(\theta_{i-1})_C \in \text{Irr}(C)$  and  $(\theta_{i-1})_C$  lies above  $\phi$ . By Clifford Theory, there exists  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(C_\phi)$  such that  $\zeta^C = (\theta_{i-1})_C$ . Since  $(\zeta^C)_{L_i} \in \text{Irr}(L_i)$ , we have that  $C = C_\phi L_i$  (see Exercise 5.7 of [1]). Observe that  $C_\phi$  is normal in  $C$  since  $L_i/N_i$  is central in  $C = \mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)$ . Since  $L_i/N_i$  is abelian, so is  $C/C_\phi$ . Since  $C$  is normal in  $G$ , for any  $g \in G$  we have that  $C/C_\phi^g$  is abelian.

Since  $(\theta_{i-1})_C \in \text{Irr}(C)$ , while  $[(\theta_{i-1})_U, \phi] \neq 0$  and  $(L_i)_\phi < L_i$ , we have that  $(\theta_{i-1})_{C_\phi}$  is a reducible character. Set  $P = \bigcap_{g \in G} C_\phi^g$ . Observe that  $P$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  with  $N_i \leq P < N_{i-1}$ . Observe also that  $(\theta_{i-1})_P$  is reducible since  $P \leq C_\phi$ . By Lemma 5.5(a), we have that  $P = N_i$ . Therefore  $C/N_i$  is abelian and the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.12.** *Assume Hypotheses 6.1. Then*

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/N_i) \leq h(r_i) + 1.$$

**Proof.** It follows from Lemmas 6.4 and 6.10  $\square$

**Lemma 6.13.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an integer. Set  $\mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Define*

$$p(n) = \max\{n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \dots \cdot n_s \mid n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_s = n\}. \quad (6.14)$$

*Then*

$$p(n + 1) \leq 2p(n).$$

*Therefore*

$$p(n) \leq 2^{n-1}. \quad (6.15)$$

**Proof.** Observe that  $n \leq p(n)$  since we can take  $s = 1$  and  $n_1 = n$  in (6.14). Thus if  $p(n + 1) = m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_t$  are non-zero positive integers and  $m_1 + m_2 + \dots + m_t = n + 1$ , then  $m_i > 1$  for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Assume that  $m_1 \geq 2$ . Then  $m_1 - 1 \geq 1$ ,  $(m_1 - 1) + m_2 + \dots + m_t = n$ . By definition we have that  $(m_1 - 1) \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t \leq p(n)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} p(n + 1) &= m_1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t \\ &= (m_1 - 1) \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t + 1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t \\ &\leq p(n) + 1 \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t \\ &\leq p(n) + (m_1 - 1) \cdot m_2 \cdot \dots \cdot m_t \\ &\leq p(n) + p(n) = 2p(n). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p(2) = 2$ , inequality (6.15) follows.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem A.** Working with the group  $G/\text{Ker}(\chi)$ , by induction on the order of  $G$  we can assume that  $\text{Ker}(\chi) = 1$ . So we may assume Hypotheses 5.3. Let

$$(G, \chi) = (N_0, \theta_0) > (N_1, \theta_1) > \dots > (N_k, \theta_k)$$

be a maximal  $(\Omega, \chi)$ -reducing chain. Set  $n = \eta(\chi)$ . By Lemma 5.5(b) and (c), we have that  $N_k$  is abelian and  $k \leq n$ . By Lemma 6.12, we have that, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ ,

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/N_i) \leq h(r_i) + 1,$$

where  $r_i = |\{\alpha_j \mid N_i \leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j) \text{ and } N_{i-1} \not\leq \text{Ker}(\alpha_j)\}|$ . The definition of a maximal reducing chain and the definition of  $r_i$  implies that

$$r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_k \leq n. \quad (6.16)$$

By Lemma 6.13 we have that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k r_i \leq 2^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$\text{dl}(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \text{dl}(N_{i-1}/N_i) + \text{dl}(N_k) \leq \sum_{i=1}^k (h(r_i) + 1) + 1.$$

Since  $h(r_i) = C_1 \log(r_i) + C_2$  by Definition 2.3, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dl}(G) &\leq \sum_{i=1}^k (C_1 \log(r_i) + C_2 + 1) + 1 = C_1 \left[ \sum_{i=1}^k \log(r_i) \right] + (C_2 + 1)k + 1 \\ &\leq C_1 \log \left( \prod_{i=1}^k r_i \right) + (C_2 + 1)k + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s = \sum_{i=1}^k r_i$ . By (6.16) we have  $s \leq n$ . By Lemma 6.15 we have that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k r_i \leq 2^{s-1} \leq 2^{n-1}.$$

Thus

$$\text{dl}(G) \leq C_1 \log(2^{n-1}) + (C_2 + 1)k + 1 \leq (n-1)C_1 \log(2) + (C_2 + 1)n + 1,$$

where the last inequality follows from  $k \leq n$  (see Lemma 5.5(c)). Set  $C = C_1 \log(2) + C_2 + 1$  and  $D = 1 + C_1 \log(2)$ . Then

$$\text{dl}(G) \leq Cn + D. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 6.17.** *Let  $G$  be a supersolvable group. Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  be such that  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then*

$$\text{dl}(G/\text{Ker}(\chi)) \leq 2\eta(\chi) - 1.$$

**Proof.** Working with the group  $G/\text{Ker}(\chi)$ , by induction on the order of  $G$  we can assume that  $\text{Ker}(\chi) = 1$ .

Let

$$(G, \chi) = (N_0, \theta_0) > (N_1, \theta_1) > \cdots > (N_k, \theta_k)$$

be a maximal  $(\Omega, \chi)$ -reducing chain. Let  $L_i/N_i$  be a chief factor of  $G$ , where  $L_i \leq N_{i-1}$ . Since  $G$  is a supersolvable group,  $L_i/N_i$  is a cyclic group of prime order. Set  $H = N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)$ . Observe that  $H$  acts faithfully on  $L_i/N_i$  as automorphisms. Since  $L_i/N_i$  is cyclic,  $H$  is abelian, i.e.,

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/\mathbf{C}_{N_{i-1}}(L_i/N_i)) \leq 1.$$

By Lemma 6.10 we conclude that

$$\text{dl}(N_{i-1}/N_i) \leq 2.$$

By Lemma 5.5(d) we have that  $k \leq \eta(\chi) - 1$ . Also  $N_k$  is abelian by Lemma 5.5(b). Thus

$$\text{dl}(G) \leq 2(\eta(\chi) - 1) + 1. \quad \square$$

### Acknowledgment

This is part of my Ph.D. Thesis. I thank Professor Everett C. Dade, my adviser, and Professor I. Martin Isaacs for their advise and suggestions. I thank the Mathematics Department of the University of Wisconsin, at Madison, for their hospitality while I was visiting, and the Mathematics Department of the University of Illinois at Urbana–Champaign for their support.

### References

- [1] I.M. Isaacs, Character Theory of Finite Groups, Academic Press, New York–San Francisco–London, 1976.
- [2] T.M. Keller, Orbit sizes and character degrees III, J. Reine Angew. Math. 545 (2002) 1–17.