

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 23 (2007) 415–418

**Applied and
Computational
Harmonic Analysis**

www.elsevier.com/locate/acha

Letter to the Editor

A new proof of some polynomial inequalities related to pseudo-splines[☆]

Qun Mo, Yi Shen, Song Li^{*}*Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, 310027, PR China*

Available online 6 August 2007

Communicated by Charles K. Chui on 2 July 2007

Abstract

Pseudo-splines of type I were introduced in [I. Daubechies, B. Han, A. Ron, Z. Shen, Framelets: MRA-based constructions of wavelet frames, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 14 (2003) 1–46] and [Selenick, Smooth wavelet tight frames with zero moments, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 10 (2000) 163–181] and type II were introduced in [B. Dong, Z. Shen, Pseudo-splines, wavelets and framelets, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 22 (2007) 78–104]. Both types of pseudo-splines provide a rich family of refinable functions with B -splines, interpolatory refinable functions and refinable functions with orthonormal shifts as special examples. In [B. Dong, Z. Shen, Pseudo-splines, wavelets and framelets, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 22 (2007) 78–104], Dong and Shen gave a regularity analysis of pseudo-splines of both types. The key to regularity analysis is Proposition 3.2 in [B. Dong, Z. Shen, Pseudo-splines, wavelets and framelets, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 22 (2007) 78–104], which also appeared in [A. Cohen, J.P. Conze, Régularité des bases d'ondelettes et mesures ergodiques, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana* 8 (1992) 351–365] and [I. Daubechies, *Ten Lectures on Wavelets*, CBMS-NSF Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992] for the case $l = N - 1$. In this note, we will give a new insight into this proposition.

© 2007 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Refinement equations; Orthogonal wavelets; Pseudo-splines; Regularity

1. Introduction

Pseudo-splines of type I were first introduced in [3] and [8] in order to construct tight framelets with required approximation order of the truncated frame series. Pseudo-splines of type II were introduced in [4] to construct symmetric or antisymmetric tight framelets with required approximation order. Both types of pseudo-splines provide a rich family of compactly supported refinable functions which includes B -splines, orthogonal refinable functions and interpolatory refinable functions as its special cases (see [4]). A comprehensive study, especially the regularity analysis, was given in [4]. They were then extended and extensively studied in [5] and [6]. The refinement mask of a pseudo-spline of type I with order (N, l) is given by

$$|\widehat{1a_{N,l}}(\omega)|^2 := \cos^{2N} \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N+l}{j} \sin^{2j} \frac{\omega}{2} \cos^{2(l-j)} \frac{\omega}{2}, \quad \omega \in [-\pi, \pi]. \quad (1.1)$$

[☆] This work is supported by NSF of China under Grant number 10471123.
^{*} Corresponding author.*E-mail addresses:* moqun@zju.edu.cn (Q. Mo), songli@zju.edu.cn (S. Li).

The refinement mask of a pseudo-spline of type II with order (N, l) is given by

$$\widehat{2a_{N,l}}(\omega) := \cos^{2N} \frac{\omega}{2} \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N+l}{j} \sin^{2j} \frac{\omega}{2} \cos^{2(l-j)} \frac{\omega}{2}, \quad \omega \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

where $N \geq 1$ and $0 \leq l \leq N - 1$. We note that $|\widehat{1a_{N,l}}(\omega)|^2 = \widehat{2a_{N,l}}(\omega)$. Hence, $\widehat{1a_{N,l}}(\omega)$ is a square root of $\widehat{2a_{N,l}}(\omega)$ and it is a 2π -periodic trigonometric polynomial with real coefficients by Fejér–Riesz lemma. The mask $\widehat{k a_{N,l}}$ ($k = 1, 2$) completely determines the corresponding refinable function $k\phi$ ($k = 1$ or 2) which we call *pseudo-splines*.

Let $P_{N,l}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N-1+j}{j} x^j$, by (1) of Lemma 2.2 in [4], $\sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N+l}{j} \sin^{2j} \frac{\omega}{2} \cos^{2(l-j)} \frac{\omega}{2} = P_{N,l}(\sin^2 \frac{\omega}{2})$ for all $\omega \in [-\pi, \pi]$. Therefore, when $l = N - 1$, pseudo-splines of type I are refinable functions with orthonormal shifts given in [2] and pseudo-splines of type II are the interpolatory refinable functions which were first studied by Dubuc in [7]. The pseudo-splines with order $(N, 0)$ are B -splines. The other pseudo-splines fill in the gap between the B -spline and orthogonal or interpolator refinable functions. In [4], Dong and Shen gave a regularity analysis of pseudo-splines of both types. The key to regularity analysis is Proposition 3.2 in [4]. This proposition is important and difficult to prove. In [1] and [2], it takes several technics including numeric computation to prove it for the case $l = N - 1$. In [4], by using many steps to prove that a polynomial is decreasing, Dong and Shen gave a complete proof of this proposition. In this note, by using an auxiliary polynomial and some concave properties, we will give a new insight into Proposition 3.2 in [4].

2. Four lemmas

In this section, we establish four technical lemmas which will be used to prove our main result.

Lemma 2.1. For given nonnegative integers N and l , let $P_{N,l}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N-1+j}{j} x^j$. Then

$$P'_{N,l}(x) = \frac{N}{1-x} \left[P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l \right], \tag{2.1}$$

$$P''_{N,l}(x) = \frac{N}{(1-x)^2} \left[(N+1)P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} \left(N+1 + \frac{l(1-x)}{x} \right) x^l \right]. \tag{2.2}$$

Proof. Borrowing an idea from [1] and [4], to prove (2.1), by the definition of $P_{N,l}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1-x}{N} P'_{N,l}(x) &= \frac{1-x}{N} \sum_{j=1}^l \binom{N-1+j}{j} j x^{j-1} = (1-x) \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \binom{N+j}{j} x^j \\ &= 1 + \sum_{j=1}^l \left[\binom{N+j}{j} - \binom{N+j-1}{j-1} \right] x^j - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l = P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (2.1) holds. To prove (2.2), taking the derivative of (2.1),

$$\begin{aligned} P''_{N,l}(x) &= \frac{N}{(1-x)^2} \left[P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l \right] + \frac{N}{1-x} \left[P'_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} l x^{l-1} \right] \\ &= \frac{N}{(1-x)^2} \left[P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l \right] + \frac{N^2}{(1-x)^2} \left[P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} x^l \right] - \frac{N}{(1-x)} \binom{N+l}{l} l x^{l-1} \\ &= \frac{N}{(1-x)^2} \left[(N+1)P_{N,l}(x) - \binom{N+l}{l} \left(N+1 + \frac{l(1-x)}{x} \right) x^l \right]. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.2. For given integers N and l , if $0 < l \leq N - 1$,

$$2 \cdot \frac{N + 2\sqrt{l} - l}{(N + \sqrt{l} - l)^2 + N} + \frac{1}{l} \leq \frac{N + 2\sqrt{l+1} - l - 1}{(N + \sqrt{l+1} - l - 1)^2 + N} \frac{N+l}{l}. \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. By multiplying the common denominator of (2.3), it suffices to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & [2l(N + 2\sqrt{l} - l) + (N + \sqrt{l} - l)^2 + N][(N + \sqrt{l+1} - l - 1)^2 + N] \\ & \leq [N + 2\sqrt{l+1} - l - 1](N + l)[(N + \sqrt{l} - l)^2 + N]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Note that the first factor can be divided by $N + l$. That is,

$$2l(N + 2\sqrt{l} - l) + (N + \sqrt{l} - l)^2 + N = (N + l)(N + 2\sqrt{l} - l + 1).$$

After this factorization, by direct computation and simplification, inequality (2.4) is equivalent to

$$(N + l)(1 + \sqrt{l} - \sqrt{l+1})[(\sqrt{l(l+1)} - N)(\sqrt{l+1} - 1 + \sqrt{l}) + (\sqrt{l+1} - 1 - \sqrt{l})\sqrt{l+1}] \leq 0.$$

By the fact $1 \leq l + 1 \leq N$ and direct computation, the last inequality holds. \square

Lemma 2.3. For given nonnegative integers N and l , let $P_{N,l}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N-1+j}{j} x^j$ and $g(x) := P_{N,l}(x)(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2 + x^2 P''_{N,l}(x) - 2x P'_{N,l}(x)(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})$. Then $g(1/2) \leq 0$ for $l \leq N - 1$.

Proof. By the definition of g and Eqs. (2.1) and (2.2),

$$\begin{aligned} g\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= P_{N,l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2 + (N^2 + N)P_{N,l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^l \binom{N+l}{l} (N + N^2 + Nl) \\ &\quad - 2N(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1}) \left[P_{N,l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \binom{N+l}{l} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^l \right] \\ &= P_{N,l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) [(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1} - N)^2 + N] - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^l \binom{N+l}{l} N(N + 2\sqrt{l+1} - l - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Then $g(\frac{1}{2}) \leq 0$ follows from

$$2^l P_{N,l}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) \leq N \cdot \frac{N + 2\sqrt{l+1} - l - 1}{(N + \sqrt{l+1} - l - 1)^2 + N} \binom{N+l}{l}. \tag{2.5}$$

We now prove (2.5) by induction. Equation (2.5) is obviously true for $l = 0$. Suppose (2.5) holds for l_0 . Now consider $l = l_0 + 1 \leq N - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{l_0+1} P_{N,l_0+1}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) &= 2 \cdot 2^{l_0} P_{N,l_0}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) + \binom{N+l_0}{l_0+1} \\ &\leq \left[2N \cdot \frac{N + 2\sqrt{l_0+1} - l_0 - 1}{(N + \sqrt{l_0+1} - l_0 - 1)^2 + N} + \frac{N}{l_0+1} \right] \binom{N+l_0}{l_0} \quad (\text{by inductive hypothesis}) \\ &\leq N \cdot \left[\frac{N + 2\sqrt{l_0+2} - l_0 - 2}{(N + \sqrt{l_0+2} - l_0 - 2)^2 + N} \frac{N + l_0 + 1}{l_0 + 1} \right] \binom{N+l_0}{l_0} \quad (\text{by (2.3)}) \\ &= N \cdot \frac{N + 2\sqrt{l_0+2} - l_0 - 2}{(N + \sqrt{l_0+2} - l_0 - 2)^2 + N} \binom{N+l_0+1}{l_0+1}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, (2.5) holds for $l = l_0 + 1$. \square

Lemma 2.4. Suppose $p(x) = \sum_{j=0}^l c_j x^j$ is a polynomial with nonnegative coefficients c_j , $j = 0, 1, \dots, l$. Let $g(x) := p(x)(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2 + x^2 p''(x) - 2x p'(x)(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})$. If $g(x_0) \leq 0$ for some positive number x_0 , then

$$p(x)p(y) \leq p^2\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \quad \forall x, y \in [x_0, +\infty). \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. If $p(x) \equiv 0$ or $l = 0$, then obviously (2.6) holds. Hence we assume that $p(x) > 0$ in $(0, +\infty)$ and $l > 0$. By the definition of $p(x)$ and $g(x)$, $g(x) = \sum_{j=0}^l c_j d(j)x^j$, where $d(t) := (l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2 + t(t - 1) - 2t(l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1})$.

It is easy to check that $d(t) = (l + 1 - \sqrt{l+1} - t)^2 - t$, so $d(l+1) = 0 = d(l+1 - 2\sqrt{l+1} + 1)$. Hence there exists an integer $L \in [0, l]$, such that $d(j) \geq 0$ for $j = 0, \dots, L$ and $d(j) \leq 0$ for $j = L+1, \dots, l$. Thus, each term $c_j d(j) x^{j-L}$, $j = 0, \dots, l$ either has a nonpositive power $j - L$ or a nonpositive coefficient $c_j d(j)$. Therefore, $g(x)x^{-L}$ is decreasing in $(0, +\infty)$. If $g(x_0) \leq 0$ for some $x_0 > 0$, then $g(x_0)x_0^{-L} \leq 0$ and therefore $g(x)x^{-L} \leq 0$ for all $x \geq x_0$. Hence $g(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \geq x_0$. That is,

$$p(x)(l+1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2 + x^2 p''(x) \leq 2xp'(x)(l+1 - \sqrt{l+1}) \quad \forall x \in [x_0, +\infty). \quad (2.7)$$

Hence

$$[p(x)(l+1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2][x^2 p''(x)] \leq [xp'(x)(l+1 - \sqrt{l+1})]^2 \quad \forall x \in [x_0, +\infty). \quad (2.8)$$

The above inequality is same as $p(x)p''(x) \leq [p'(x)]^2$ for all $x \in [x_0, +\infty)$. Therefore, function $\ln p(x)$ is concave downward in $[x_0, +\infty)$. Hence $\ln p(x) + \ln p(y) \leq 2 \ln p\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$ for all $x, y \in [x_0, \infty)$, which implies (2.6). \square

Remark. In the above proof, we have proved (2.7) instead of (2.8). The reason for (2.8) to follow from (2.7) is that $a + b \leq 2c$ implies $ab \leq c^2$. Here, the quantities for a and b are very close to each other by choosing the factors $(l+1 - \sqrt{l+1})^2$ and x^2 .

3. Main result

This section establishes the main result of this note.

Theorem 3.1. For given integers N and l with $0 \leq l \leq N-1$, let $P_{N,l}(x) := \sum_{j=0}^l \binom{N-1+j}{j} x^j$. Then

$$P_{N,l}(x) \leq P_{N,l}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right) \quad \forall x \in \left[0, \frac{3}{4}\right], \quad (3.1)$$

$$P_{N,l}(x)P_{N,l}[4x(1-x)] \leq \left[P_{N,l}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right]^2 \quad \forall x \in \left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Since $P_{N,l}(x)$ is increasing in $[0, \infty)$, (3.1) holds. We now prove (3.2). It is easy to check that $4x(1-x) \leq \frac{3}{2} - x$ for all $x \in [\frac{3}{4}, 1]$. Hence it suffices to prove that

$$P_{N,l}(x)P_{N,l}\left(\frac{3}{2} - x\right) \leq \left[P_{N,l}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)\right]^2 \quad \forall x \in \left[\frac{3}{4}, 1\right]. \quad (3.3)$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4,

$$P_{N,l}(x)P_{N,l}(y) \leq \left[P_{N,l}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right]^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \left[\frac{1}{2}, 1\right],$$

which implies (3.3). Hence (3.2) holds. \square

Remark. By Theorem 3.1 in [4], our main result leads to an optimal decay estimate on the Fourier transform of pseudo-splines.

References

- [1] A. Cohen, J.P. Conze, Régularité des bases d'ondelettes et mesures ergodiques, Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 8 (1992) 351–365.
- [2] I. Daubechies, Ten Lectures on Wavelets, CBMS-NSF Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, 1992.
- [3] I. Daubechies, B. Han, A. Ron, Z. Shen, Framelets: MRA-based constructions of wavelet frames, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 14 (2003) 1–46.
- [4] B. Dong, Z. Shen, Pseudo-splines, wavelets and framelets, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 22 (2007) 78–104.
- [5] B. Dong, Z. Shen, Linear independence of pseudo-splines, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006) 2685–2694.
- [6] B. Dong, Z. Shen, Construction of biorthogonal wavelets from pseudo-splines, J. Approx. Theory 138 (2006) 211–231.
- [7] S. Dubuc, Interpolation through an iterative scheme, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 114 (1986) 185–204.
- [8] X. Selenick, Smooth wavelet tight frames with zero moments, Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal. 10 (2000) 163–181.