

Genomic differentiation among natural populations of orang-utan (*Pongo pygmaeus*)

Lu Zhi^{*†}, William B. Karesh[‡], Dianne N. Janczewski^{*§}, Harmony Frazier-Taylor[¶], Dondin Sajuthi[#], Francis Gombek[¥], Mahedi Andau^{\$}, Janice S. Martenson^{*} and Stephen J. O'Brien^{*}

Background: Orang-utans exist today in small isolated populations on the islands of Borneo (subspecies *Pongo pygmaeus pygmaeus*) and Sumatra (subspecies *P. p. abelii*). Although, on the basis of their morphological, behavioral and cytogenetical characteristics, the Bornean and Sumatran orang-utan populations are generally considered as two separate subspecies, there is no universal agreement as to whether their genetic differentiation is sufficient to consider and manage them as species, subspecies or population level taxonomic units. A more precise phylogenetic description would affect many conservation management decisions about captive and free-ranging orang-utans.

Results: We analyzed the amount and patterns of molecular genetic variation in orang-utan populations using cellular DNA from orang-utans from two locations in Sumatra and nine locations – representing four isolated populations – in Borneo. Genetic and phylogenetic analyses of mitochondrial DNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms, nuclear minisatellite (or variable number tandem repeat) loci and mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA sequences led to three major findings. First, the genetic distance and phylogenetic differentiation between Sumatran and Bornean orang-utans is large, greater than that between the common chimpanzee, *Pan troglodytes*, and the pygmy chimpanzee or bonobo, *Pan paniscus*. The genetic distance suggests that the two island subspecies diverged ~1.5–1.7 million years ago, well before the two islands separated and long enough for species-level differentiation. Second, there is considerable endemic genetic diversity within the Bornean and Sumatran orang-utan populations, suggesting that they have not experienced recent bottlenecks or founder effects. And third, there is little genetic differentiation among four geographically isolated populations of Bornean orang-utans, consistent with gene flow having occurred between them until recently.

Conclusions: Our results are consistent with the view that the genetic differentiation between Sumatran and Bornean orang-utans has reached the level of distinct species. Furthermore, our findings indicate that there is not a genetic imperative for the separate management of geographically isolated Bornean populations.

Background

The orang-utan, *Pongo pygmaeus*, is the only great ape species that exists outside of Africa. Its present range includes the dense rainforest habitats of Borneo and north Sumatra, where the species has become severely threatened because of poaching and habitat destruction. The two island populations are traditionally designated as separate subspecies — *P. pygmaeus pygmaeus* (Bornean) and *P. p. abelii* (Sumatran) — on the basis primarily of their distinctive morphological and behavioral characteristics [1–6]. A pericentric inversion of chromosome 2 [7] is a cytogenetic difference that has been used as an indicator

of subspecies for the management of captive and confiscated orang-utans [8].

A series of molecular genetic analyses — of blood proteins and isozymes [9–12], fibroblast proteins resolved by two dimensional gel electrophoresis [12], DNA–DNA hybridization [13], mitochondrial DNA restriction fragment length polymorphisms (mtDNA–RFLPs) [8,14,15], and the sequence of the mitochondrial *COII* gene [16] — has revealed that the genetic divergence between the subspecies is large, and in some cases approaches species-level genetic distance. In addition, Groves *et al.* [5] concluded

Addresses: ^{*}Laboratory of Genomic Diversity, National Cancer Institute, Frederick, Maryland 21702-1201, USA. [†]New York Zoological Society, Bronx, New York 10460, USA. [¶]Woodland Park Zoological Gardens, Seattle, Washington 98103, USA. [#]Institut Pertanian Bogor, Bogor, Indonesia. [¥]National Parks and Wildlife Division, Kuching, Sarawak, East Malaysia. ^{\$}Department of Wildlife, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, East Malaysia.

Present addresses: [†]Department of Environmental Biology and Ecology, College of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China. [§]Consolidated Safety Services, 4031 University Drive, Fairfax, Virginia 22030, USA.

Correspondence: Stephen J. O'Brien

Received: 10 April 1996

Revised: 6 June 1996

Accepted: 8 July 1996

Current Biology 1996, Vol 6 No 10:1326–1336

© Current Biology Ltd ISSN 0960-9822

from cranial measurements that orang-utan skulls from southwestern Borneo are as distinct from other Bornean specimens as are the skulls of Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans; this level of difference is consistent with near species-level divergence. But recent reports of mitochondrial DNA sequences have contradicted these inferences of large distinctiveness and again raised the controversy about the most accurate designation of orang-utan populations [17,18].

The taxonomic distinctions of orang-utan subspecies and populations are relevant not only to systematic issues but also to species conservation, because species and subspecies are the units of protection and captive propagation [19–21]. Furthermore, phylogeographic descriptions of individual populations can reveal the presence or absence of a recognizable genetic substructure, which is useful for identifying the population/subspecies origin of confiscated illegal pets. In addition, the existence (or not) of a population-specific genetic subdivision is important in deciding whether reintroduction or relocation programs need to consider the genetic distinctiveness of isolated populations. In this study, we address these issues explicitly by assessing the extent and character of endemic genetic variation among five geographically isolated populations of Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans, using three distinct genetic measures of variation: mtDNA–RFLPs, nuclear DNA minisatellite (variable number tandem repeat) loci and mitochondrial 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) sequences.

Results

Analysis of mtDNA–RFLPs

We examined cellular DNA from six unrelated Sumatran and 33 unrelated Bornean orang-utans using 30 restriction enzymes. Samples were obtained from two Sumatran locales ($n = 6$) considered to contain a single population, and nine Bornean locales ($n = 33$) representing four geographically isolated populations (Fig. 1). We scored a total of 149 restriction sites representing 720 nucleotides (4.4 % of the 16 500 base pair (bp) mitochondrial genome [22]).

A total of 18 restriction enzymes produced polymorphic patterns of digestion at 18 restriction sites, and produced 13 distinct haplotypes, designated A–N (Table 1). Four haplotypes were unique to Sumatra and nine were specific to Borneo; there was no overlap between the haplotype distributions of the two subspecies (Fig. 1 and Table 1). Within Borneo, orang-utans from Sabah and Sarawak shared two haplotypes, A and D, whereas single unique haplotypes, I and G, were found in the two south Bornean populations. However, these latter two populations were represented by rather small samples (two and three individuals, respectively; Fig. 1).

The mitochondrial nucleotide diversity in orang-utans is large ($\pi = 1.46$ %) with appreciable diversity estimated in

both Bornean ($\pi = 0.33$ %) and Sumatran ($\pi = 1.75$ %) population samples (Table 2). The Sumatran value is particularly high, and surpasses comparable estimates of mtDNA–RFLP diversity in humans ($\pi = 0.32$ %), gorillas ($\pi = 0.55$ %), common chimpanzees ($\pi = 1.3$ %), pygmy chimpanzees ($\pi = 1.0$ %), pumas ($\pi = 0.35$ %), leopards ($\pi = 1.30$ %), pocket gophers ($\pi = 0.5$ %) and humpback whales ($\pi = 0.25$ %) [14,23–27]. On Borneo, the diversity estimates were moderate within the populations from Sabah and Sarawak, but zero in Kutai and Gunung Palung (Table 2). Diversity estimates within the latter two populations may have been influenced by the small sample sizes.

The genetic divergence between the Bornean and Sumatran populations was estimated by computing d_{xy} , the average number of nucleotide differences between mitochondrial genomes from the two populations (Table 3). The average pairwise distance among the four Bornean populations was 0.44 (range 0.34–0.59), nearly ten times less than the average distance between Sumatran and Bornean mtDNA genomes (4.13, range 4.09–4.55).

To determine the extent of genetic differentiation between mtDNA haplotypes in distinct geographic locales, we carried out phylogenetic analyses of the restriction site data. An unrooted phylogeny, which treated RFLP site variation as discrete phylogenetic characters and was based upon the principle of maximum parsimony [28], is presented in Figure 2. Maximum likelihood and minimum evolution trees using the Fitch–Margoliash algorithm [29] were also applied to the mtDNA–RFLP data. Each of these analyses was concordant and showed deep phylogenetic distinction between Bornean and Sumatran haplotypes, two divergent mtDNA lineages (K and M *versus* L and N) within Sumatran orang-utans, and only slight phylogenetic structure (D plus H *versus* all others) among Bornean orang-utans. There was little indication of phylogeographic structuring between the Bornean populations, suggesting that their isolation was probably very recent (Fig. 2).

The maximum parsimony tree (Fig. 2) has a minimum length of 152 steps, one third of which (52 steps) separate Bornean *versus* Sumatran orang-utan haplotypes (Fig. 2). A total of 38 of the changes between subspecies occur only once in the tree, consistent with the occurrence of derived (synapomorphic) characters. This level of deep phylogenetic divergence between Bornean and Sumatran haplotypes, as well as the large estimated nucleotide divergence (d_{xy}) between the island populations (Table 3), suggests that the two groups have been separated for a long period. Assuming a constant rate of mtDNA substitution of 2.0 % per million years for apes [15], it can be estimated that the the period of separation occurred about 1.5 million years ago (Fig. 2).

DNA fingerprint variation

The extent and patterns of nuclear minisatellite variation between orang-utans were determined using representatives with distinct mtDNA haplotypes from each population. Samples were analyzed for restriction fragment sharing following digestion with two restriction enzymes (*HinfI* and *HaeIII*) and hybridization with the human minisatellite probe 33.15. Genetic variation was assessed by computation of the mean average percent difference (MAPD) in band sharing between individuals and the estimated average heterozygosity (H) [30–32].

The results showed that orang-utans have a considerable amount of minisatellite variation and that nearly every fragment is polymorphic. Estimates of minisatellite variation within populations and subspecies are presented in Table 2. In general, the minisatellite results affirmed the mtDNA estimates with appreciable variation in both subspecies and in three Bornean populations. A relatively low

amount of variation was found in Kutai (MAPD = 25.9%; H = 17.5%); however, this population had a small sample size and we cannot exclude the possibility that the three Kutai individuals were related.

To explore the pattern of phylogeographic partition, we constructed minimum-length parsimony networks based on minisatellite DNA fragments for individuals from each subspecies (Fig. 3). Analysis of the *HaeIII* and *HinfI* DNA fingerprints showed that orang-utans from each island subspecies were clustered together, indicating that sufficient divergence had occurred at these minisatellite families to recapitulate the geographic separation of the island subspecies in a phylogenetic analysis. The consistency index (CI) for the topology is low (CI = 0.37), indicating that a high degree of homoplasy or parallel changes — due to allelic segregation within and between subspecies — are required to produce minimum length trees. There was no phylogenetic distinction among the

Table 1

mtDNA haplotypes and nucleomorphs for polymorphic restriction enzymes*.


Haplo- type	<i>AccI</i>	<i>AvaI</i>	<i>Avall</i>	<i>BamHI</i>	<i>BclI</i>	<i>BglI</i>	<i>BstUI</i>	<i>DraI</i>	<i>EcoRV</i>	<i>HinfI</i>	<i>HindIII</i>	<i>HpaI</i>	<i>KpnI</i>	<i>NcoI</i>	<i>NdeI</i>	<i>PvuII</i>	<i>StuI</i>	<i>XbaI</i>	<i>n</i>	Population	Sub- species	
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	2/1	Sabah/ Sarawak	Bornean
B	A	A	B	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	B	A	A	A	A	A	2	Sabah	Bornean
C	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	12	Sabah	Bornean
D	A	B	C	A	A	A	A	B	A	A	B	A	A	D	A	A	A	A	A	1/4	Sabah/ Sarawak	Bornean
E	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	2	Sarawak	Bornean
F	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	B	A	A	4	Sarawak	Bornean
G	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	C	B	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	3	Kutai	Bornean
H	A	B	C	A	A	A	A	B	A	A	B	A	A	D	A	A	B	A	A	1	Sarawak	Bornean
I	B	A	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	C	A	A	A	A	A	2	Gunung Palung	Bornean
K	C	C	D	B	B	B	B	D	A	B	C	B	B	E	B	B	C	B	B	1	Sumatra	Sumatran
L	C	D	E	B	B	B	B	D	A	B	C	B	B	E	B	B	C	B	B	2	Sumatra	Sumatran
M	D	D	F	B	A	C	C	D	C	C	C	C	A	F	C	B	D	C	C	2	Sumatra	Sumatran
N	E	D	G	B	B	C	C	E	D	C	C	C	A	F	B	B	D	C	C	1	Sumatra	Sumatran

*Nucleomorphs represent the following patterns of restriction enzyme fragment sizes (kb): *AccI*-A: 6.80, 4.37, 2.41, 1.05, 0.87, 0.35; -B: 4.98, 4.37, 3.55, 1.67, 1.05, 0.87, 0.35; -C: 6.80, 4.37, 2.67, 1.67, 1.05, 0.87, 0.48, 0.35; -D: 10.86, 2.41, 1.05, 0.87; -E: 5.03, 4.37, 2.01, 1.67, 1.05, 0.87, 0.35. *AvaI*-A: 10.71, 4.61, 3.02; -B: 10.71, 3.24, 3.02; -C: 8.68, 6.55, 3.02; -D: 11.91, 3.02. *Avall*-A: 5.87, 3.36, 0.74, 0.67, 0.57, 0.24; -B: 4.31, 3.36, 0.74, 0.67, 0.52; -C: 5.87, 3.36, 0.67, 0.57, 0.43, 0.24, 0.16; -D: 5.35, 4.17, 1.25, 1.14, 0.61; E: 7.29, 1.86, 0.81, 0.61, 0.38, 0.24; -F: 5.35, 4.17, 1.25, 0.81, 0.65, 0.24; -G: 7.29, 3.57, 0.81, 0.61, 0.38, 0.24. *BamHI*-A: 10.11, 8.14; -B: 12.19. *BclI*-A: 11.48, 3.01; -B: 9.75, 4.54, 3.01. *BglI*-A: 12.82, 2.49; -B: 8.25, 6.61, 2.49, 0.56; -C: 8.25, 7.04, 2.49, 0.56. *BstUI*-A: 10.98, 3.27, 0.65, 0.30, 0.27; -B: 9.48, 1.76, 0.65, 0.63, 0.50, 0.30, 0.27; -C: 5.47, 4.55, 3.27, 1.63, 0.65, 0.30, 0.27. *DraI*-A: 8.14, 1.93, 1.51, 0.75, 0.43, 0.37; -B: 8.14, 2.27, 1.51, 0.43, 0.37; -C: 8.14, 1.93, 1.51, 0.51, 0.43, 0.37; -D: 8.14, 2.27, 1.93, 1.51, 0.86; -E: 8.14, 1.93, 1.51, 1.36, 0.86. *EcoRV*-A: 13.43; -B:

11.25, 7.90; -C: 12.29, 3.69; -D: 10.67, 5.07, 2.50; -E: 10.67, 8.33. *HinfI*-A: 5.62, 1.91, 0.63, 0.46, 0.33; -B: 3.23, 2.34, 2.02, 1.65, 1.00, 0.33; -C: 5.46, 2.34, 2.02, 1.65, 0.82, 0.33. *HindIII*-A: 4.13, 3.96, 3.75, 3.10, 1.61, 0.51; -B: 8.73, 3.96, 3.10, 1.61, 0.51; -C: 8.73, 6.83, 3.10, 0.51. *HpaI*-A: 11.73, 3.85, 0.51; -B: 9.79, 5.20, 3.31; -C: 11.73, 5.20. *KpnI*-A: 8.66, 7.62, 2.90; -B: 10.85, 7.62. *NcoI*-A: 8.88, 5.54, 4.29; -B: 5.15, 4.29, 2.98, 0.62; -C: 8.88, 4.29, 0.62; -D: 8.88, 0.62; -E: 9.91, 1.44, 0.90, 0.87; -F: 6.40, 5.95, 5.54, 0.90. *NdeI*-A: 11.01, 6.45; -B: 12.50; -C: 11.01, 4.88. *PvuII*-A: 9.95, 9.35; -B: 13.59. *StuI*-A: 3.64, 2.15, 1.95, 1.84, 0.90, 0.81, 0.37; -B: 3.64, 2.15, 1.84, 1.31, 0.90, 0.81, 0.40, 0.37; -C: 3.64, 2.15, 1.95, 0.90, 0.81, 0.64, 0.54; -D: 3.64, 2.15, 1.31, 0.90, 0.81, 0.64, 0.54, 0.40, 0.37. *XbaI*-A: 10.34, 8.76; -B: 9.06, 8.76, 1.47; -C: 13.03, 1.47. The following enzymes produced monomorphic patterns in all orang-utans: *Clal*, *EcoRI*, *PstI*, *SalI*, *SsiI*, *SsII*, *XhoI*; the following enzymes did not cleave mtDNA: *BglII*, *BstEII*, *MluI*, *PvuI*, *SmaI*.

Figure 1

Sampling locations (black dots) of orang-utans on Borneo and Sumatra. Two areas were sampled in Sumatra ($n = 6$); nine areas were sampled in Borneo ($n = 33$) from four geographically isolated populations: Sabah ($n = 16$), in northeastern Borneo (Malaysia); Sarawak ($n = 12$), in northwestern Borneo (Malaysia), including the northwestern Kalimantan (Indonesia); Kutai ($n = 3$), in east Borneo (Indonesia); and Gunung Palung ($n = 2$), in southwest Borneo (Indonesia). The pie charts show the distribution of thirteen mtDNA haplotypes (Table 1) in each population.



four Bornean populations, consistent with recent gene flow between them.

Mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene sequences

Homologous sequences of the mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene were obtained by polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

Table 2

Estimations of mtDNA and DNA fingerprint variation in orang-utan subspecies and populations.

Population origin	mtDNA			DNA fingerprint		
	n	Number of haplotypes	π (%)	n	MAPD	H (%)
Sabah	16	4	0.11	8	41.1	44.8
Sarawak	12	5	0.35	3	36.2	28.0
Kutai	3	1	0.0	3	25.9	17.5
Gunung Palung	2	1	0.0	2	40.2	20.0
Borneo (all)	33	9	0.33	11	51.8	61.3
Sumatra	6	4	1.75	5	55.2	52.9
Borneo + Sumatra	39	13	1.46	21	62.0	73.4

π (%), mean nucleotide diversity in a population; MAPD, mean average percent difference in minisatellite band-sharing using two restriction enzymes, *Hae*III and *Hinf*I; H (%), estimated average heterozygosity in minisatellite locus variation (see Materials and methods for details).

amplification and direct sequence determination from six Sumatran and 13 Bornean orang-utans, three common chimpanzees, and two pygmy chimpanzees. These sequences were aligned with those from humans and gorillas, as shown in Figure 4.

Considerable sequence variation was apparent in the 16S rRNA genes, with five and 12 distinct genotypes found among Sumatran and Bornean orang-utans, respectively (Fig. 4). Within the Sumatran samples, the average sequence mismatch between each pair of genotypes was 1.0% (range 0.0–1.6%); within Bornean samples it was 2.5% (range 0.0–3.4%). As with mtDNA-RFLPs (Table 3), the average pairwise divergence of the 16S rRNA sequence between Sumatran and Bornean orang-utans (4.8%; range 3.4–5.7%) was much larger than the sequence differences within the subspecies. Remarkably, the average pairwise difference between the 16S rRNA genes from the widely accepted species of pygmy chimpanzee and common chimpanzee [33,34] (1.6%; range 1.3–1.8%) was three-fold less than the average sequence difference between Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans.

The 16S rRNA gene sequences were analyzed using three phylogenetic methods: the distance-matrix-based Neighbor Joining (NJ) algorithm (PHYLIP 3.5) using Kimura distance estimates; maximum parsimony using PAUP 3.1.1; and maximum likelihood using DNAML (PHYLIP 3.5).

Table 3

Estimates of molecular genetic distances between orang-utan subspecies and populations.

Population/ subspecies	Bornean	Bornean				Sumatran
		Sabah	Sarawak	Kutai	Gunung Palung	
Bornean	–	–	–	–	–	4.13 %
Sabah	–	–	0.38 %	0.34 %	0.56 %	4.09 %
Sarawak	–	39.3 %	–	0.37 %	0.59 %	4.09 %
Kutai	–	36.4 %	30.3 %	–	0.41 %	4.17 %
Gunung Palung	–	40.6 %	34.3 %	32.0 %	–	4.55 %
Sumatran	61.5 %	62.8 %	63.7 %	62.0 %	64.2 %	–

Above diagonal: average value of nucleotide differences of mtDNA-RFLP between each population (d_{xy}); below diagonal: MAPD


of microsatellite band sharing between each population using two restriction enzymes (*HaeIII* and *HinfI*).

The results of each of these analyses (Fig. 5) converged on three main conclusions. First, there was a highly significant bifurcation that separated Bornean from Sumatran 16S rRNA genotypes. Bootstrap resampling support for the separation was strong for Bornean lineage (100 % NJ and 96 % maximum parsimony) and for Sumatran lineage (75 % NJ and 90 % maximum parsimony). The maximum likelihood analyses also implied that the subspecies bifurcations were highly significant. Second, the phylogenetic divergence nodes between the two subspecies are very deep —

comparable to, or greater than, those seen between the common and pygmy chimpanzees. Third, the Bornean genotypes do not display significant partitions among themselves, as indicated by low bootstrap values in the NJ and maximum parsimony analyses, and by non-significant nodes between Bornean genotypes in the maximum likelihood analysis. Furthermore, there is no apparent phylogeographic concordance among Bornean genotypes, again indicating little population substructure and, therefore, very recent isolation of the Bornean populations.

Figure 2

Unrooted phylogenetic tree generated by maximum parsimony analysis of mtDNA haplotypes treated as unordered character states using the PAUP 3.1.1 computer program [28]. An identical tree of the shortest length (number of steps = 154; consistency index = 0.838) was determined by a heuristic search (one of two trees retained) and a bootstrap resampling analysis based on 100 iterations. Numbers on branches represent the number of steps/number of homoplasies. Numbers in parentheses are bootstrap values (out of 100 iterations) in support of adjacent nodes. A maximum likelihood tree generated by RESTML (PHYLIP version 3.5) produced an identical topology (ln likelihood = -912.37) with significant node bifurcation in all cases, except among Bornean haplotypes: haplotypes D and H were resolved apart from the others, whereas the remaining Bornean haplotypes were not significantly resolved among themselves. A minimum evolution analysis of haplotype nucleotide divergence (d_{xy}) using the Fitch-Margolish algorithm of FITCH and KITSCH (PHYLIP version 3.5) produced a similar result [29]. The scale represents percent nucleotide sequence




divergence from the KITSCH analysis based upon the presumption of contemporaneous tips (constant rate molecular clock) using the

calibrated mtDNA divergence rate of 2 % per million years in apes. MYBP, million years before the present [15].

Figure 3

Phylogenetic analyses of minisatellite data. A strict consensus tree was generated by PAUP 3.1.1 for the presence or absence of *HaeIII* and *HinfI* fingerprint fragments, using the human minisatellite probe 33.15. Two equally parsimonious trees were found (tree length = 411; consistency index = 0.37). Percentages in parentheses refer to the number of bootstrap reiterations (out of 100) that support (>70 %) a respective group. Numbers on limbs are number of steps/number of homoplasies. SM, Sumatra; SB, Sabah; KT, Kutai; SW, Sarawak; GP, Gunung Palung.



Discussion

The molecular genetic distinctiveness between Bornean and Sumatran samples is considerably greater than the amount of variation detectable within Bornean or Sumatran populations. For example, the average sequence

divergence of 16S rRNA sequences between orang-utans from different islands is 4.8 % (range 3.4–5.7 %), whereas within Sumatra the average is 1.0 % (range 0.0–1.6 %). For mtDNA–RFLP, the divergence between Bornean and Sumatran samples is 10-fold greater than the nucleotide

Table 4

Estimated divergence times between orang-utans and chimpanzee species based on molecular genetic distances for different gene families.

Gene family	Human–chimp–gorilla AGD (I)	Pygmy versus common chimpanzee			Bornean versus Sumatran orang-utans			Citation
		AGD (II)	II:I Ratio	Divergence date*	AGD (III)	III:I Ratio	Divergence date†	
Blood protein	36.7 %	10.3 %	0.28	1.3 MY	13.0 %	0.35	1.7	[9]
Allozymes	20.6 %	7.5 %	0.36	1.7 MY	2.5 %	0.12	0.6	[12]
2DE fibroblast proteins	8.9 %	1.7 %	0.19	0.9 MY	1.9 %	0.21	1.0	[12]
mtDNA <i>COII</i> sequence	11.2 %	2.7 %	0.24	1.1 MY	5.0 %	0.45	2.1	[16]
mtDNA 16S rRNA sequence	6.7 %	1.6 %	0.24	1.1 MY	4.8 %	0.72	3.4	This study
mtDNA–RFLP	ND	2.55 %	–	–	4.21 %	–	1.5	This study
				Average 1.0			Average 1.7	

*Divergence date estimated as the ratio of chimpanzee species divergence (II) to average great ape species divergence (I) times 4.7 million years, the date of great ape species divergence [36]; see text.
†Divergence date estimated as the ratio of orang-utan subspecies

divergence (III) to average great ape species divergence (I) times 4.7 million years [40], the date of great ape species divergence [36]; see text. AGD: average genetic distance; ND: not determined.

	20	40	60	80	100	
SM-3	CATAATCACTT*GTT*CCTT	AAATG*GGGACTTGTATGAA	TGGCTTCACGAGGTTTCGAC	TGTCTCTTACTTTTAAACCAG	TGAAATTGACCTGCCCGTGA	Sumatran orang-utans
SM-5	-----C-----C-----	-----C-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-2	-----C-----C-----	-----C-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-4	-----C-----C-----	-----C-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-1	T-----*C-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-6	-----*C-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
KT	-----*T-----	AA-----	C-A-----	-----A-----	-----	Bornean orang-utans
SB-5	-----T-----	AA-----	C-A-----	-----	-----	
SB-3	-----C-T-----	AA-----C-----	C-----	-----	-----	
SB-4	-----C-T-----	AA-----C-----	C-----	-----	-----	
SW-1	-----T-----	AA-----	C-----	-----	-----	
SW-2	-----T-----	A-----	C-----	-----	-----	
SW-3	-----*C-T-----	AA-----C-----	C-A-----	-----	-----	
SB-2	-----C-T-----	*AA-----C-----	C-A-----	A-A-----	A-----A-----	
SW-4	-----C-T-----	*AA-----C-----	C-A-----	A-A-----	A-----A-----	
SB-6	-----T-----	AA-----C-----	C-A-----	A-----	A-----A-----	
SW-5	-----A-T-----	AA-----	C-----	A-----	A-----A-----	
GP	-----T-----	ACAA-----	C-A-----	A-----	A-----A-----	
SB-1	-----C-T-----	*AA-----C-----	C-A-----	A-----	A-----A-----	
Ptr1	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	Common chimpanzees
Ptr2	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	
Ptr3	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	
Ppn1	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	Pygmy chimpanzees
Ppn2	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	
Ppn3	-----	*A-----	C-----TAG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	
Hum	-----	*A-----C-----	C-----AG-----	C-----	A-----A-----	Human
Ggo	-----	*A-----C-----	C-----AG-----	C-----G-----	A-----A-----	Gorilla
	120	140	160	180	200	
SM-3	AGAGGGCGGCATAACACAAC	AAGACGAGAAGACCCCTATGG	AGCTTCAATTTACCAAGTGCA	AATAACATACAACAAGGCCA	CAGGCCCTAAATCACCACAAC	Sumatran orang-utans
SM-5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-4	-----T-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-1	-----T-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-6	-----T-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
KT	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	Bornean orang-utans
SB-5	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SB-3	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SB-4	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SW-1	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SW-2	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SW-3	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SB-2	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SW-4	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SB-6	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SW-5	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
GP	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
SB-1	-----T-----	-----	C-----	T-----	T-----	
Ptr1	-----T-----	-----	T-C-TT-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-T-----	T-----CT-TT-----	Common chimpanzees
Ptr2	-----T-----	-----	T-C-TT-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-T-----	T-----CT-TT-----	
Ptr3	-----T-----	-----	T-C-TT-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-T-----	T-----CT-TT-----	
Ppn1	-----T-T-G-----	-----	T-C-T-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-----	T-----CT-TT-----	Pygmy chimpanzees
Ppn2	-----T-T-G-----	-----	T-C-T-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-----	T-----CT-TT-----	
Ppn3	-----T-T-G-----	-----	T-C-TT-A-----	C-T-CTT-----A-----	T-----CT-TT-----	
Hum	-----G-----G-----	-----	T-----TT-A-----	C-T-CCT-----A-----	T-----CT-----	Human
Ggo	-----A-----T-----	-----	T-----C-TT-A-----	GC-----CTT-----T-----A-----	T-----CT-----	Gorilla
	220	240	260	280	300	
SM-3	CTGCACCTGAAGATTTCCGGTT	GGGGGACCTCGGAGCACAA	CCCAACCTCGAGAAACACA	TGTTAAGACTTCACAAGTCA	AAACGAACCTCCACACACAA	Sumatran orang-utans
SM-5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-2	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-4	-----	-----	-----	C-----	-----	
SM-1	-----	-----	-----	C-----	-----	
SM-6	-----	-----	-----	C-----	-----	
KT	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	Bornean orang-utans
SB-5	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SB-3	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SB-4	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SW-1	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SW-2	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SW-3	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SB-2	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SW-4	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SB-6	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SW-5	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
GP	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
SB-1	-----	T-T-----	-----	-----	A-----	
Ptr1	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-T-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-A-T-----TC-----	Common chimpanzees
Ptr2	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-T-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-A-T-----TC-----	
Ptr3	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-T-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-A-T-----TC-----	
Ppn1	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-ATT-----TC-----	Pygmy chimpanzees
Ppn2	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-ATT-----TC-----	
Ppn3	-----T-A-A-----	-----	C-----	C-C-C-----C-----	G-----T-ATT-----TC-----	
Hum	-----T-A-A-----	-----G-----	C-GT-----	CC-----C-----	G-----A-----T-----	Human
Ggo	-----T-A-A-----	T-T-----	AC-----	CC-----C-----	G-----G-----A-----TTT-----	Gorilla
	320	340	360	380	387	
SM-3	TTGATCCAACAACCTTGACCA	ACGGAACAAGTTACCCCTAGG	GATAACAGCGCAATCCTGTT	CTAGAGTCCATATCAACAAC	AGGGTTT	Sumatran orang-utans
SM-5	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	
SM-2	-----	-----	T-----	-----	-----	
SM-4	-----	-----	T-----	-----	-----	
SM-1	-----	-----	T-----	-----	-----	
SM-6	-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
KT	-----T-----	-----	T-G-----	G-----	-----	Bornean orang-utans
SB-5	-----T-----	-----	T-G-----	G-----	-----	
SB-3	-----T-----	-----	T-G-----	G-----	-----	
SB-4	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SW-1	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SW-2	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SW-3	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SB-2	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SW-4	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SB-6	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SW-5	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
GP	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
SB-1	-----T-----	-----	T-----	G-----	-----	
Ptr1	-----TG-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	Common chimpanzees
Ptr2	-----TG-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	
Ptr3	-----TG-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	
Ppn1	-----TT-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	Pygmy chimpanzees
Ppn2	-----TT-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	
Ppn3	-----TT-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	
Hum	-----T-----	-----	A-----	C-----	T-----	Human
Ggo	-----TG-----	-----	A-----	GT-----	-----	Gorilla

Figure 4 (on facing page)

Nucleotide sequence of mitochondrial 16S rRNA gene region from Sumatran and Bornean orang-utans, chimpanzee (*Pan troglodytes*, Ptr), pygmy chimpanzee (*Pan paniscus*, Ppa), human (*Homo sapiens*, Hum) and gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla*, Ggo). Orang-utan population and

species code abbreviations are in the legend to Fig. 3. The reference sequence is the Sumatran orang-utan SM-3; dashes and letters represent identical and different nucleotides, respectively; asterisks indicate deletions.


divergence among animals from the same island subspecies (Table 3). The large Bornean *versus* Sumatran distinctiveness is also apparent by the occurrence of deep phylogenetic nodes between the two subspecies with high statistical (bootstrap) support with different gene families and several phylogenetic algorithms (Figs 2,3,5).

The estimated genetic distances between orang-utan subspecies vary with different gene families, but in most

cases they approach or exceed the level of genetic distance measured between common and pygmy chimpanzees (Table 4). Because common and pygmy chimpanzees are widely recognized as distinct great ape species, because of their clear morphological, ecological and behavioral differences, [33–35], they provide a precise measure of species-level distance among great apes. The distances between the orang-utan subspecies are also somewhat larger than the recently reported genetic divergence

Figure 5

Phylogenetic tree derived from the mitochondrial 16S rRNA sequences in Fig. 4 by maximum parsimony analysis using PAUP 3.1.1, designating gibbon (*Hylobates lar*) 16S rRNA as an outgroup (GenBank accession number HLU 39004). The tree was structured by a heuristic search (one of two most parsimonious trees retained; number of steps = 237) and a bootstrap resampling analysis based on 100 iterations. (The second tree differed only by the disposition of sequences within the Bornean orang-utan group.) Limb lengths are assigned number of steps/number of homoplasies. The transition:transversion ratio was set at 4, the observed ratio of sequences from Fig. 4. A minimum evolution tree estimated by the Neighbor Joining algorithm, based upon a matrix of pairwise nucleotide divergence among sequences, was also constructed using Kimura distance and a transition:transversion ratio of 4.0, the actual measured ratio in the sequence data. The major conclusions for both the parsimony and distance matrix method were the same. The bootstrap values out of 100 iterations for the maximum parsimony/minimum evolution analyses, respectively, are listed on each limb in parentheses. The orang-utan 16S rRNA sequences have a slightly increased similarity to human sequences compared with sequences from the African ape species (Table 4), which, in the presence of excessive orang-utan sequences, consistently led to difficulty in resolving the human–chimpanzee–gorilla trichotomy, regardless of the presence of the *Hylobates* outgroup sequence. When a subset consisting of two Bornean and two Sumatran 16s rRNA sequences was analyzed, the expected chimpanzee–human association became apparent. The failure to resolve the trichotomy with all sequences is



the reason for presenting this great ape node as an unresolved polytomy. Finally, a maximum likelihood analysis [55] of the sequence data, performed using empirically

derived nucleotide frequencies, produced topologies similar to the PAUP tree presented here (3101 trees examined; In likelihood, -1148).

between recognized subspecies of gorillas and common chimpanzees [16,19], which the authors suggested may justify species designation for these taxa.

The Bornean–Sumatran genetic distances are estimated as 12–72 % of the average divergence between human, chimpanzee and gorilla species (Table 4), an evolutionary period estimated at 4.7–8 million years before present [15,36,37]. Taking the most conservative date for human–chimpanzee–gorilla divergence of 4.7 million years [36], the estimate of the Bornean–Sumatran orang-utan divergence date ranges from 0.6–3.4 million years before present, with an average of 1.7 million years ago (Table 4). This value supports our estimate, based on mtDNA–RFLP variation, that Bornean and Sumatran populations have been separated for about 1.5 million years or longer (Fig. 2), a period approaching that required for new species development [38]. Older human–chimpanzee–gorilla calibration dates [15,37,39] would yield proportionately older dates for the split between Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans. Considered together, a large body of molecular phylogenetic and morphological data (Table 4; [5,8,9,12–14,16,17]) are consistent with the conclusion that the genetic differentiation between the two island populations of orang-utan has reached the level of distinct species [40,41].

An important criterion for recognizing species is the achievement of reproductive isolation in nature [42,43]. Because the two orang-utan populations are isolated on two islands, it is not possible to discern whether effective isolation mechanisms have evolved to reinforce reproductive isolation *in situ*. Bornean and Sumatran orang-utans do form fertile F1 hybrids in captivity, and have been interbred for up to four generations. Inter-subspecies hybridization was suspended by the Species Survival Plan of the American Zoological Association ten years ago, and birth control has been applied to living hybrids. Preliminary observations have not produced evidence for reduced fertility [44]; however, these negative results should be interpreted cautiously because they do not assess potential fitness reduction, which might reflect ecological or ethological adaptedness [42].

The estimated period of separation between the two orang-utan island populations (*circa* 1.5 million years) does not, however, seem to be consistent with geological evidence. The islands of Borneo and Sumatra were physically connected until the late Pleistocene period, approximately 10 000–20 000 years ago [45]. Fossil records suggest that ancestors of the modern orang-utan first appeared in South China or Indo-China in early Pleistocene [2,3,46,47]. During the Pleistocene glacial period the tropical fauna moved south *via* land bridges to Sunda Land, an extensive continent stretching from the South China Sea to Java. The Sunda Land was exposed, submerged and re-exposed

during the Pleistocene as many as three times [48,49]. There is geological evidence for an ancient river system separating modern Borneo and Sumatra when orang-utans arrived ~30 000–40 000 years ago [47], but it is unlikely that this would have posed an effective migration barrier. The geographical barriers may have been reinforced by behavioral or physiological reproductive barriers that had evolved between the two orang-utan lineages before their arrival in Sunda Land. Although we cannot be certain of this explanation, the consistent evidence of substantial genetic differentiation implies that effective or persistent hybridization has been rare or absent in nature for on the order of 1–2 million years.

Sumatran and Bornean orang-utan populations have an appreciable level of overall genetic diversity, based upon analysis of mtDNA–RFLP, minisatellites (Table 2), mitochondrial 16S rRNA genes and allozymes [12]. We conclude that neither island group has encountered a significant population bottleneck or founder effect since the Pleistocene period. This suggests that Pleistocene migration to southeast Asia was a mass movement. Furthermore, little molecular genetic differentiation exists between four geographically separate Bornean populations. Population-specific genotypes were observed for each gene family (Figs 1,4); however, the populations are closely related phylogenetically (Figs 2,3,5). Molecular phylogenetic analyses did not affirm the marked morphological distinctions reported among Bornean specimens from Gunung Palung *versus* other locales [5].

The lack of substantial molecular genetic differentiation among Bornean populations indicates that there is not a genetic imperative for relocating Bornean orang-utans to their natal populations in Borneo. Consequently, more important concerns for release of confiscated orang-utans include issues such as habitat carrying capacity, social behavior (if an animal can be socially accepted in an occupied area and reproductively integrate) and infectious disease. A further understanding of these and other factors affecting species survival is important for developing efficacious management programs for endangered species.

Materials and methods

Biological specimens of free-ranging orang-utans

Using remote biopsy darts [50], skin samples of wild and presumed unrelated orang-utans were collected from two locations in Sumatra ($n = 6$) and nine locations in Borneo ($n = 33$) (Fig. 1). Fibroblast cell lines were established by tissue culture (as described previously) [12,51]. Genomic DNA from nuclei and mitochondria was extracted from the cell lines using the phenol–chloroform method.

Analysis of mtDNA–RFLPs

Genomic DNA (1 μ g) from each animal was digested separately with the 30 restriction enzymes listed in Table 1, separated by electrophoresis in 1 % agarose gels and transferred to nylon filters (UV Duralon; Stratagene) by Southern blotting. DNA fragments on the membrane were hybridized with a [³²P]dCTP-labeled molecular clone of cat mitochondrial

DNA [52], and visualized by autoradiography. Intrapopulation variation was estimated using π , an index of nucleotide diversity which measures the probability that two randomly selected sequences from two individuals within a population will have different nucleotides at a given position [53]. Average nucleotide diversity between populations (d_{xy}) [53] estimates the probability that two randomly selected sequences from two populations will not share the same sites. The net nucleotide diversity between two populations, d_a , which discounts the intrapopulation variations, is calculated as follows: $d_a = d_{xy} - (\pi_x + \pi_y)/2$. Values for π , d_{xy} and d_a were calculated by the computer program MAXLIKE [54].

DNA fingerprint (minisatellite) analysis

DNA (6 μ g) from individual samples was digested with *Hinf*I and *Hae*III, separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels, transferred to nylon filters, and hybridized to the [³²P]dCTP-labeled human minisatellite probe 33.15 [30,31]. Population variation was estimated by computation of the average percent difference (APD), a measure of band-sharing between individuals, the mean value of APD from different enzymes (MAPD), and average heterozygosity (H) [32].

Mitochondrial 16S rRNA sequence analysis

A 387 bp sequence was obtained by PCR amplification and direct sequencing (ABI Sequencer) using oligonucleotide primers: 5'-GTG-CAAAGGTAGCATAATCA-3' and 5'-TGTCTGATCCAACATC-GAG-3' (A.R. Hoelzel, personal communication). Six Sumatran samples and 13 Bornean samples from each mtDNA-RFLP haplotype and from each location were used for this analysis. DNAs from three common chimpanzees and three pygmy chimpanzees were also sequenced. Chimpanzee samples were from unrelated animals located at the San Diego Zoo and the Institute for Medical Research. Human and gorilla sequences were obtained from GenBank. Pairwise distance was obtained by computing the percent base pair divergence between two individuals with gaps given a weight of one residue. All derived sequences have been submitted to GenBank; see below for details.

Accession numbers

The following GenBank accession numbers have been assigned to the mitochondrial 16S rRNA sequences: U63486–U63510.

Phylogenetic analysis of data

Phenograms describing the associations among individuals and populations were constructed from the distance matrix for each gene family using the Neighbor Joining and the Fitch–Margoliash algorithm (FITCH and KITSCH; PHYLIP version 3.5) [29]. Character data for mtDNA restriction sites and minisatellite fragments were generated for each individual and population by transforming allelic frequencies into discrete character states – that is, each polymorphic site was coded as a discrete character and scored for its presence or absence in each individual, subspecies, or population. Character data including nucleotide sequences were analyzed by maximum parsimony using the program PAUP version 3.1.1 [35], and by a maximum likelihood algorithm available in RESTML (for mtDNA-RFLP) and in DNAML (for nucleotide sequences) of PHYLIP version 3.5 [29].

References

- Jones ML: The geographic races of the orang utan. In *Recent Advances in Primatology*. Edited by Hofer HO. Basel: Karger; 1969:217–223.
- MacKinnon J: The behaviour and ecology of wild orang utans. *Anim Behavior* 1974, **22**:3–74.
- MacKinnon J. In *Search of the Red Ape*. New York: Holt, Reinhart and Winston; 1974.
- Mallinson JJC: Cocktail orang-utan and the need to preserve pure-bred stock. *Dodo* 1978, **15**:69–77.
- Groves CP, Westwood CB, Shea BT: Unfinished business: Mahalanobis and a clockwork orang. *J Hum Evol* 1992, **22**:327–340.
- Shea BT, Coolidge HJ: Craniometric differentiation and systematics in the genus *Pan*. *J Hum Evol* 1988, **17**:671–685.
- Seuanez HN, Evans HJ, Martin DE, Fletcher J: An inversion of chromosome 2 that distinguishes between Bornean and Sumatran orangutans. *Cytogenet Cell Genet* 1979, **23**:137–140.
- Ryder OA, Chemnick LG: Chromosomal and mitochondrial DNA variation in orang utans. *J Hered* 1993, **84**:405–409.
- Bruce E, Ayala F: Phylogenetic relationships between man and the apes: electrophoretic evidence. *Evolution* 1979, **33**:1040–1056.
- De Boer LEM, Khan, PM: Haemoglobin polymorphisms in Bornean and Sumatran orangutans. In *The Orang Utan: Its Biology and Conservation*. Edited by de Boer LEM. The Hague: W Junk; 1982:125–134.
- Wijnen JT, Rijksen H, De Boer LEM, Khan PM: Glucose-6-phosphate-dehydrogenase (G6PD) variation in the orang utan. In *The Orang Utan: Its Biology and Conservation*. Edited by de Boer LEM. The Hague: W Junk; 1982:109–118.
- Janczewski DN, Goldman D, O'Brien SJ: Molecular genetic diversity of orang-utan subspecies based on isozyme and two dimensional gel electrophoresis. *J Hered* 1990, **81**:375–387.
- Caccone A, Powell JR: DNA divergence among hominoids. *Evolution* 1989, **45**:925–942.
- Ferris SD, Brown WM, Davidson WS, Wilson AC: Extensive polymorphism in the mitochondrial DNA of apes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1981, **78**:6319–6323.
- Wilson AC, Cann RL, Carr SM, George M, Gyllensten U, Helm-Bychowski KM, et al.: Mitochondrial DNA and two perspectives on evolutionary genetics. *Biol J Linn Soc* 1985, **26**:375–400.
- Ruvolo M, Pan D, Zehr S, Goldberg T, Disotell TR, von Dornum M: Gene trees and hominoid phylogeny. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1994, **91**:8900–8904.
- Raloff J: 1995. Caste-off oranges: controversy surrounds implications of a hybrid label. *Sci News* 1995, **147**:184–189.
- Uchida A: What we don't know about great ape variation. *Trends Ecol Evol* 1996, **11**:163–168.
- Morin PA, Moore JJ, Chakraborty R, Jin L, Goodall J, Woodruff DS: Kin selection, social structure, gene flow, and the evolution of chimpanzees. *Science* 1994, **265**:1193–1201.
- Geist V: Endangered species and the law. *Nature* 1992, **357**:274–276.
- O'Brien SJ: Genetic and phylogenetic analyses of endangered species. *Annu Rev Genet* 1994, **28**:467–489.
- Anderson S, Bankier AT, Barrell BG, de Bruijn MH, Coulson AR, Drouin J, et al.: Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. *Nature* 1981, **290**:456–457.
- Cann R, Stoneking M, Wilson A: Mitochondrial DNA in human evolution. *Nature* 1987, **325**:31.
- O'Brien SJ, Roelke ME, Yuhki N, Richards KW, Johnson WE, Franklin WL, et al.: Genetic introgression within the Florida panther (*Felis concolor coryi*). *Natl Geo Res* 1990, **6**:485–494.
- Miththapala S, Seidensticker J, O'Brien SJ: Molecular genetic diversity within and between subspecies of leopards (*Panthera pardus*). *Cons Biol* 1996, **10**:1115–1132.
- Avise JC, Gliblin-Davidson C, Larem J, Patton JC, Lansman RA: Mitochondrial DNA clones and matriarchal phylogeny within and among geographic populations of the pocket gopher (*Geomys pinetis*). *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1979, **76**:6694–6698.
- Baker CS, Palumbi SR, Lambertson RH, Weinrich MT, Caalmbokidis J, O'Brien SJ: Influence of seasonal migration on geographic distribution of mitochondrial DNA haplotypes in humpback whales. *Nature* 1990, **344**:238–240.
- Swofford DL: *Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (PAUP), Version 3.0*. Illinois: Natural History Survey; 1991.
- Felsenstein J: *PHYLIP: Phylogenetic Inference Package, 1993, Version 3.5*. Seattle: University of Washington; 1993.
- Jeffreys AJ, Wilson V, Thein SL: Individual specific fingerprints of human DNA. *Nature* 1985, **316**:76–79.
- Gilbert DA, Packer C, Pusey AE, Stephens JC, O'Brien SJ: Analytical DNA fingerprinting in lions: parentage, genetic diversity, and kinship. *J Hered* 1991, **82**:378–386.
- Stephens JC, Gilbert DA, Yuhki N, O'Brien SJ: Estimation of heterozygosity for single-probe, multilocus DNA fingerprints. *Mol Biol Evol* 1992, **9**:729–743.
- Horn AD: The taxonomic status of the bonobo chimpanzee. *Am J Phys Anthropol* 1979, **51**:273–282.
- Susman RL: *The Pygmy Chimpanzee: Evolutionary Biology and Behavior*. New York: Plenum; 1984.
- Wilson DE, Reeder DM: *Mammal Species of the World, a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference*, 2nd edn. Washington, DC: Smithsonian Institution Press, 1993.

36. Horai S, Satta Y, Hayasaka K, Kondo R, Inoue T, Ishida T, *et al.*: **Mans place in hominoidea revealed by mitochondrial DNA genealogy.** *J Mol Evol* 1992, 35:32–43.
37. Sibley CG, Ahlquist JE: **DNA hybridization evidence of hominoid phylogeny: results from an expanded data set.** *J Mol Evol* 1987, 26:99–121.
38. Stanley SM: *Extinction*. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company; 1987.
39. Coppens Y: **East side story: the origin of humankind.** *Sci Am* 1994, 270:88–95.
40. Groves CP: **Systematics of the great ape.** In *Comparative Primate Biology: Systematics, Evolution, and Anatomy*, vol. 1. Edited by Swindler DR, Erwin J. New York: Liss; 1986:187–217.
41. Andrews P: *Primate Eye* 1984, 23:34–37.
42. Mayr E (ed): *Animal Species and Evolution*. Cambridge (London): Harvard University Press; 1963.
43. Otte D, Endler JA (eds): *Speciation and Its Consequences*. Sunderland, Massachusetts: Sinauer; 1989.
44. Markham RJ: **Captive orang utans: present status and future prospects.** *Bull Zoo Manag* 1985, 23:31–36.
45. Umbgrove JHF: *Structural History of the East Indies*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1949.
46. von Koeningswald GHR : **The relationship between the fossil mammalian faunae of Java and China, with special reference to early man.** *Peking Natl Hist Bull* 1938–1939, 13:293–298.
47. Smith RJ, Pilbeam DR: **Evolution of the orang-utan.** *Nature* 1980, 284:447–448.
48. Banks E: **The distribution of mammals and birds in the south China sea and west Sumatran islands.** *Bull Natl Hist Mus Singapore* 1961, 30:92–96.
49. Jongsma D: **Eustatic sea level changes in the Arafura sea.** *Nature* 1970, 228:150–151.
50. Karesh WB, Smith F, Frazier-Taylor H: **A remote method for obtaining skin biopsy samples.** *Cons Biol* 1987, 3:261–262.
51. Modi WS, Nash WG, Ferrari AC, O'Brien SJ: **Cytogenetic methodologies for gene mapping and comparative analyses in mammalian cell culture systems.** *Gene Anal Tech* 1987, 4:75–85.
52. Lopez JV, Yuhki N, Masuda R, Modi WS, O'Brien SJ: **Numt, a recent transfer and tandem amplification of mitochondrial DNA to the nuclear genome of the domestic cat.** *J Mol Evol* 1994, 39:174–190.
53. Nei M, Li W-H: **Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in the terms of restriction endonucleases.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1979, 76:5269–5273.
54. Nei M, Tajima F: **Maximum likelihood estimation of the number of nucleotide substitutions from restriction sites data.** *Genetics* 1983, 105:207–217.
55. Felsenstein J: **Evolutionary trees from DNA sequences: a maximum likelihood approach.** *J Mol Evol* 1981, 17:368–376.