

The Histone Deacetylase Sirt6 Regulates Glucose Homeostasis via Hif1 α

Lei Zhong,¹ Agustina D'Urso,¹ Debra Toiber,¹ Carlos Sebastian,¹ Ryan E. Henry,² Douangson D. Vadysirisack,¹ Alexander Guimaraes,³ Brett Marinelli,³ Jakob D. Wikstrom,^{5,9} Tomer Nir,⁶ Clary B. Clish,⁷ Bhavapriya Vaitheesvaran,⁸ Othon Iliopoulos,^{1,4} Irwin Kurland,⁸ Yuval Dor,⁶ Ralph Weissleder,³ Orian S. Shirihai,⁵ Leif W. Ellisen,¹ Joaquin M. Espinosa,² and Raul Mostoslavsky^{1,*}

¹The Massachusetts General Hospital Cancer Center, Harvard Medical School, Boston MA 02114, USA

²Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Molecular, Cellular, and Developmental Biology, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, CO 80309, USA

³Center for Systems Biology

⁴Department of Medicine, Hematology-Oncology Unit
Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA 02114 USA

⁵Department of Molecular Medicine, Boston University School of Medicine, Boston, MA 02118 USA

⁶Department Developmental Biology and Cancer Research, The Institute of Medical Research Israel-Canada, The Hebrew University-Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem 91120, Israel

⁷Center for Proteomics, The Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard, Cambridge, MA 02142 USA

⁸Michael F. Price Center, Albert Einstein College of Medicine, Bronx, NY 10461, USA

⁹The Wenner-Gren Institute, The Arrhenius Laboratories F3, Stockholm University, Stockholm SE-106 91, Sweden

*Correspondence: rmostoslavsky@mgh.harvard.edu

DOI 10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.041

SUMMARY

SIRT6 is a member of a highly conserved family of NAD⁺-dependent deacetylases with various roles in metabolism, stress resistance, and life span. SIRT6-deficient mice develop normally but succumb to a lethal hypoglycemia early in life; however, the mechanism underlying this hypoglycemia remained unclear. Here, we demonstrate that SIRT6 functions as a histone H3K9 deacetylase to control the expression of multiple glycolytic genes. Specifically, SIRT6 appears to function as a corepressor of the transcription factor Hif1 α , a critical regulator of nutrient stress responses. Consistent with this notion, SIRT6-deficient cells exhibit increased Hif1 α activity and show increased glucose uptake with upregulation of glycolysis and diminished mitochondrial respiration. Our studies uncover a role for the chromatin factor SIRT6 as a master regulator of glucose homeostasis and may provide the basis for novel therapeutic approaches against metabolic diseases, such as diabetes and obesity.

INTRODUCTION

Adaptation to stress represents a critical cellular response for maintenance of homeostatic balance. In yeast, the founding member of the sirtuin family, Sir2, was originally discovered as a silencing factor, functioning as a sensor of the metabolic activity of the cell to influence gene transcription, DNA repair, recombination, and life span (Haigis and Guarente, 2006; Longo and Kennedy, 2006). Later studies identified Sir2 as an NAD⁺-

dependent histone deacetylase, thereby linking chromatin silencing to cellular redox status.

In mammalian genomes, there are seven Sir2 homologs (SIRT1–7) (Frye, 2000). Little is known about the function of the mammalian SIRT6 protein. Our previous work has shown that SIRT6 is a nuclear, chromatin-bound protein (Mostoslavsky et al., 2006). Among the sirtuins, SIRT6 deficiency causes the most striking phenotype. SIRT6-deficient mice are born normally, and at around 3 weeks of age, they develop several acute degenerative processes, dying before one month of age. The defects include a severe metabolic imbalance, with low levels of serum IGF-1, complete loss of subcutaneous fat, lymphopenia, osteopenia, and acute onset of hypoglycemia, leading to death (Mostoslavsky et al., 2006). Furthermore, SIRT6 promotes the resistance to DNA damage and oxidative stress and suppresses genomic instability in mouse cells, in association with a role in base excision DNA repair (BER) (Mostoslavsky et al., 2006). Recent studies have demonstrated that SIRT6 is located at the telomeres in human cells, and knockdown of SIRT6 in these cells altered the telomere structure, causing accelerated senescence and telomere-dependent genomic instability. Furthermore, SIRT6 functions as a histone deacetylase, deacetylating histone H3 lysine 9 (H3K9) specifically at telomeres (Michishita et al., 2008). New studies indicate that SIRT6 can function as a corepressor of NF- κ B, silencing NF- κ B target genes through deacetylation of H3K9 and decreasing NF- κ B-dependent apoptosis and senescence (Kawahara et al., 2009). Therefore, it appears that SIRT6 can function as a histone H3K9 deacetylase in a cell- and context-dependent manner. At this point, however, it remains unclear what the molecular defect underlying the main phenotype in SIRT6-deficient mice is, namely the lethal hypoglycemia. Critically, it is not known whether SIRT6 is directly or indirectly involved in the modulation of glucose metabolism.

In the presence of O_2 and glucose, cells convert glucose to pyruvate, which enters the mitochondria, is converted to acetyl coenzyme A, and is metabolized via the tricarboxylic acid cycle (TCA), yielding reducing equivalents that are used for oxidative phosphorylation to generate adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP). However, under hypoxic or low nutrient conditions, cells shift their metabolism from aerobic to anaerobic metabolism, converting pyruvate instead to lactate ("Pasteur effect") (Aragones et al., 2009; Vander Heiden et al., 2009). With this energy compensation, cells continue to generate ATP (albeit less efficiently), in an attempt to meet their metabolic demands during this period of stress. The hypoxia-inducible transcription factor Hif1 α is a key mediator of this cellular adaptation to nutrient and oxygen stress (Lum et al., 2007; Seagroves et al., 2001), functioning as a direct transcriptional activator of multiple genes. On one hand, it enhances glycolytic flux by upregulating expression of key glycolytic genes, including the glucose transporters GLUT-1 and GLUT-3, lactate dehydrogenase (LDH), phosphoglycerate kinase (PGK-1), glucose-6-phosphate isomerase (GPI), and phosphofructokinase-1 (PFK-1) (Hu et al., 2006). On the other hand, Hif1 α directly inhibits mitochondrial respiration by upregulating expression of the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase (PDK) gene (Kim et al., 2006; Papandreou et al., 2006). PDK in turn phosphorylates and inactivates pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH), a rate-limiting enzyme that converts pyruvate to Acetyl-CoA to fuel the TCA cycle. Recent studies indicate that Hif1 α also diminishes mitochondrial activity through inhibition of the Cytochrome Oxidase Subunit Cox4-1 and the coactivator PGC-1 β (Fukuda et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2007). Overall, Hif1 α appears to modulate multiple genes in order to activate glycolysis and at the same time repress mitochondrial respiration in a coordinated fashion.

The activity of Hif1 α is tightly regulated. Under normoxia, Hif1 α is hydroxylated at multiple prolyl residues by the prolyl-hydroxylase-domain (PHD) proteins. Following hydroxylation, Hif1 α is recognized by the von Hippel-Lindau (VHL) ubiquitin ligase, marking Hif1 α for subsequent proteasome degradation. When O_2 or glucose are low, PHD proteins are inactivated, thereby stabilizing Hif1 α protein levels (Aragones et al., 2009). However, even under normoxic and normoglycemic conditions, Hif1 α regulates basal expression of its target genes (Carmeliet et al., 1998), suggesting that further mechanisms should be in place to ensure that this stress response is tightly regulated under normal nutrient conditions.

We now present data to demonstrate that SIRT6 deficiency causes a cell-autonomous upregulation of glucose uptake, both in vitro and in vivo, triggering a nutrient-stress response and a switch in glucose metabolism toward glycolysis and away from mitochondrial respiration. We propose that SIRT6 functions as a corepressor of Hif1 α transcriptional activity, deacetylating H3K9 at Hif1 α target gene promoters. In this way, SIRT6 maintains efficient glucose flux into the TCA cycle under normal nutrient conditions. Regulation of glucose flux by SIRT6 appears critical because SIRT6 deficiency causes a lethal hypoglycemia. In this context, it is striking that deficiency in a single chromatin factor exerts such a severe and specific metabolic phenotype, highlighting the crucial role for SIRT6 in this pathway.

RESULTS


SIRT6 Deficiency Causes a Cell-Autonomous Increase in Glucose Uptake

Our previous work showed that the most severe defect in SIRT6-deficient animals is lethal hypoglycemia. Although such a phenotype is typically associated with hyperinsulinemia, the mice exhibit normal pancreatic islets and, remarkably, lower blood insulin levels, indicating that low glucose may have triggered a reduction in insulin secretion as an adaptive response (Figures S1A and S1B available online). In addition, we found that the mice had no defects in glucose absorption in the intestine and did not exhibit increased glucose secretion by the kidney (Figure S1C and data not shown). These observations prompted us to test whether the mice were experiencing an intrinsic increase in glucose uptake, independent of insulin levels in blood. We first took advantage of labeled glucose (1,2- ^{13}C Glucose) to trace glucose in blood. As seen in Figure 1A, SIRT6-deficient animals clear glucose from blood significantly faster than wild-type littermates. We next used ^{18}F -fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (FDG-PET) to determine which tissues had increased glucose uptake. We show that SIRT6 mice exhibit a pronounced increase in glucose uptake in both muscle and brown adipose tissue (Figures 1B and 1C), whereas no changes were observed in the liver, brain, or heart (Figure 1C). Furthermore, expression of gluconeogenic genes were higher in SIRT6-deficient livers (data not shown), further suggesting that liver was trying to compensate for the hypoglycemia, rather than being a primary cause of it. This muscle- and BAT-specific increase in glucose uptake could explain the hypoglycemic phenotype of SIRT6-deficient mice.


In order to determine whether SIRT6 influences glucose uptake in a cell-autonomous fashion, we used flow-cytometry to measure glucose uptake in SIRT6 wild-type (WT) and knockout (KO) cells using a fluorescent glucose analog (2-NBDG) that is incorporated into cells and allows quantification of glucose uptake. Notably, both embryonic stem (ES) cells and mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) display a striking increase in glucose uptake as early as 1 hr following addition of the glucose analog (Figures 1D and 1E). Furthermore, this effect appears specific for SIRT6, as SIRT1-deficient MEFs do not show this phenotype. These results indicate that SIRT6 deficiency causes increased glucose uptake in a cell-autonomous fashion. It is still possible that this effect on glucose uptake was secondary to adaptation to chronic depletion of SIRT6. In order to rule out this possibility, we generated cells where SIRT6 can be inactivated in an acute manner. In this system, a previously characterized dominant-negative form of SIRT6 (Mostoslavsky et al., 2006; Kawahara et al., 2009) is specifically induced following treatment with tetracycline. Remarkably, 48 hr after expression of this dominant-negative mutant, cells exhibit a marked increase in glucose uptake (Figure 1F).

To definitively demonstrate that the glucose phenotype we observed was specific to the lack of SIRT6, we re-expressed SIRT6 in SIRT6 KO ES cells and MEFs and tested whether glucose uptake was normalized. Re-expression of SIRT6 rescued the metabolic phenotype, reducing glucose uptake significantly (Figure 1G and Figure S1D). In summary, these data show that


A




B




C





D




E



F



G



lack of SIRT6 in multiple cell types in vivo and in vitro causes a specific and cell-autonomous increase in glucose uptake.

Increased Membrane Expression of the Glucose Transporter GLUT1 in the Absence of SIRT6

Next we sought to assess whether the increased glucose uptake in SIRT6-deficient cells was associated with elevated expression of glucose transporters. The main glucose transporter in ES cells and MEFs is GLUT1, a receptor that modulates basal uptake of glucose, independent of growth factors or insulin (Pessin and Bell, 1992). Therefore, we stained cells with an antibody against GLUT1 and used confocal microscopy to determine quantitative differences in membrane expression of this receptor. We found that SIRT6 KO cells express substantially higher levels of membrane GLUT1 (Figures 2A and 2B), consistent with the increased glucose uptake in these cells.

Enhanced Glycolysis and Reduced Mitochondrial Respiration in SIRT6-Deficient Cells

The above results prompted us to test how glucose is utilized in SIRT6-deficient cells. We first measured lactate production, in order to determine whether glycolysis was enhanced. Indeed, both SIRT6-deficient ES cells (Figure 2C) and MEFs (Figure S2) display significantly higher levels of lactate when compared to WT cells. Concomitantly, lack of SIRT6 causes a reduction in oxygen consumption (Figure 2D), indicating that in SIRT6-deficient cells glucose is utilized primarily for glycolysis, whereas mitochondrial respiration is inhibited. To further validate these results, we performed mass-spectrometry-based metabolic profiling. Out of 106 metabolites analyzed, 22 showed altered levels in the SIRT6 KO cells ($p < 0.05$). Among those, we found multiple TCA metabolites that were reduced in SIRT6 KO cells (Figure 2E), further confirming that mitochondrial respiration is inhibited in these cells. Cells switch to glycolysis in order to sustain ATP production under conditions of nutrient stress. We therefore tested whether SIRT6-deficient cells were fitter than wild-type cells when exposed to nutrient starvation. Although ATP levels were similar in both cell types when the cells were maintained in normal media, SIRT6-deficient cells produce significantly higher levels of ATP after a few hours in low glucose (Figure 2F). Overall, these results indicate

that absence of SIRT6 causes a switch toward enhanced glycolysis and reduced mitochondrial respiration, a response usually observed under conditions of nutrient/oxygen stress.

Glycolytic Genes as Putative SIRT6 Targets in Glucose Metabolism

Based on the strong binding of SIRT6 to chromatin (Mostoslavsky et al., 2006), and the fact that SIRT6 is known to function as a histone H3K9 deacetylase (Kawahara et al., 2009; Michishita et al., 2008), we hypothesized that SIRT6 could influence glucose metabolism by controlling expression of key metabolic genes. We first performed comparative microarray gene expression analysis of WT and SIRT6 KO muscle and ES cells (Table S1). As previously reported (Kawahara et al., 2009), multiple pathways appear to be affected in the absence of SIRT6 (Table S1A). Notably, there is a statistically significant alteration in regulators of glucose metabolism (Table S1B), and clustering analysis of metabolic genes separated the samples based on genotype. When a glucose metabolic filter was applied, the highest difference was observed among key glycolytic genes, such as *Ldh*, triose phosphate isomerase (*Tpi*), aldolase, and the rate-limiting glycolytic enzyme phosphofructokinase-1 (*Pfk-1*). Using real-time PCR, we validated increased expression of all these genes in independent RNA samples (Figure 3A). *Glut1* was also increased at the level of RNA, thereby explaining the increased protein levels described above. Notably, we observed higher levels of the pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase genes *Pdk1* and *Pdk4*. As mentioned before, these enzymes phosphorylate and inhibit PDH, the rate-limiting enzyme that regulates entrance of pyruvate into the TCA cycle. In brief, our results indicate that in the absence of SIRT6, expression of multiple glucose-related genes are upregulated, causing enhanced glycolysis and, in parallel, inhibition of mitochondrial respiration.

SIRT6 Functions as an H3K9 Deacetylase to Regulate Glucose Homeostasis

In order to test whether SIRT6 directly controls expression of these genes, chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was performed using an antibody to SIRT6. As shown in Figure 3B, we find specific binding of SIRT6 to the promoter of all five of the

Figure 1. Increased Glucose Uptake in SIRT6-Deficient Cells and Mice

(A) [$1,2$ ^{13}C] labeled glucose trace assay was carried out on 16-day-old SIRT6 wild-type (WT) and knockout (KO) mice. See Experimental Procedures for details.

(B) Standard uptake value (SUV) ratio of labeled ^{18}F FDG-Glucose incorporation in WT and KO SIRT6 mice. The different tissues analyzed are indicated. Samples were normalized against brain, which exhibit stable glucose uptake across genotypes. The experiment is an average of three mice per genotype.

(C) 16-day-old SIRT6 WT and KO mice were PET imaged 60 min following intravenous (i.v.) injection of ^{18}F -glucose. Dotted lines indicate position of the brown adipose tissue (BAT). *: labeled glucose at site of injection (retro-orbital); the enhanced signal observed in the WT reflects the position of the head at this particular CT section; comparable intensity is observed in the KO on a different CT section).

(D) SIRT6 WT and SIRT6 KO mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) together with SIRT1 WT and KO MEFs were grown in the presence of the fluorescent glucose analog NBDG (Invitrogen) for 1 hr, and glucose uptake was then quantified using flow cytometry (FACS). Dotted lines are controls without the fluorescent NBDG glucose analog.

(E) One WT and two independently generated SIRT6 KO ES lines (KO1 and KO2) were treated as in (D) and analyzed by FACS.

(F) 293T cells were stably transfected with a SIRT6 cDNA carrying a H133Y mutation (SIRT6HY) that acts as a dominant negative, under the control of the tetracycline promoter. Lower panel: Western blot showing that SIRT6 was induced specifically after tetracycline treatment (SIRT6). Empty vector was used as a control (Ctrl). Upper panel: glucose uptake was measured as in (D).

(G) SIRT6 KO cells were infected with a SIRT6-expressing lentivirus. Infected cells were sorted for GFP expression, and following expansion, cells were assayed for glucose uptake following 1 hr incubation with NBDG.

Error bars indicate the standard error of the mean (SEM). See also Figure S1.




Figure 2. Increased Lactate Production and Decreased Oxygen Consumption in SIRT6-Deficient Cells

(A) Confocal immunostaining in SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells using a GLUT1 antibody.

(B) Quantification of GLUT1 membrane staining in SIRT6 WT and KO cells.

(C) Lactate levels in SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells (KO1 and KO2).

(D) Oxygen consumption in live SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells under basal conditions, following the addition of the mitochondrial F1-F0-ATPase inhibitor oligomycin (5 μ M), the uncoupler FCCP (1 μ M), and the Complex I inhibitor rotenone (rot) (5 μ M) in combination with the Complex I inhibitor myxothiazol (5 μ M). Oxygen consumption rate (OCR) was measured using the XF24 SeaHorse Analyzer (Seahorse Bioscience). Each data point is the average of five independent measurements. Error bars indicate SEM.

(E) Protein lysates were purified from three independent samples of WT and KO ES cells and glucose metabolites were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS). Red asterisks: TCA intermediate metabolites.

(F) ATP levels were measured using the ATP Assay Kit (SIGMA) in SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells (KO1 and KO2) that were either in regular media or in low glucose (0.5 g/l) media for 36 hr.

See also Figure S2.

most upregulated glycolytic genes identified in our expression analysis, strongly indicating that SIRT6 functions as a direct transcriptional repressor for these genes. Previous work has identified SIRT6 as a histone H3K9 deacetylase (Michishita et al., 2008). We have confirmed these results and further show that lack of SIRT6 causes a bulk increase in H3K9 acetylation in ES cells, suggesting that SIRT6 is one of the main H3K9 deacetylases in these cells (Figure S3). Therefore, we tested whether SIRT6-deficient cells exhibit increased H3K9 acetylation in the promoters of these glycolytic genes. Indeed, ChIP analysis with an anti-H3K9Ac antibody clearly shows increased acetylation in all these putative targets (Figure 3C). Together, these results strongly suggest that SIRT6 directly suppresses expression of multiple glucose-metabolic genes by deacetylating H3K9 at their promoters.

To gain insight into the mechanism by which SIRT6 modulates expression of these genes, we chose one of these targets, *Ldhb*, to perform high-resolution, quantitative ChIP analysis. Using qPCR amplicons against eight different locations within this genomic region, we analyzed the behavior of RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) in WT cells versus SIRT6 KO cells. We employed

antibodies against total RNAPII as well as phospho-specific antibodies recognizing phosphorylation of Ser5 and Ser2 within the C-terminal domain (CTD) repeats of RBP1, the largest subunit of RNAPII (Donner et al., 2007). Interestingly, this analysis revealed that in WT cells the *Ldhb* promoter carries preloaded hypophosphorylated RNAPII and that SIRT6 depletion leads to increased RNAPII CTD phosphorylation concomitant with enhanced transcription elongation (Figure 3D). Whereas RNAPII was readily detectable at the LDHB transcription start site (TSS) in WT and SIRT6 KO cells, transit throughout the intragenic region was observed only in the latter. Furthermore, total RNAPII signals at the TSS were several-fold higher than at any amplicon in the intragenic region, a hallmark of RNAPII pausing at the promoter. Typically, Ser5 phosphorylation occurs at the 5' ends of genes and is associated with promoter escape by RNAPII. Accordingly, SIRT6 KO cells show significantly higher levels of this mark. Of note, the fold increase in Ser5 phosphorylation surpasses that of total RNAPII, indicating that in WT cells preloaded RNAPII exists in a hypophosphorylated state. The fact that *Ldhb* transcription is stimulated at post-RNAPII recruitment steps is




Figure 3. SIRT6 Directly Inhibits Expression of Glycolytic Genes Functioning as an H3K9 Deacetylase

(A) RNA was purified from SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells and real-time PCR (RT-PCR) was performed with primers specific for the indicated genes. Three independent samples were averaged, keeping a threshold of 0.4 as confidence value in the threshold cycle (Ct). Values were normalized against actin.

(B) Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays using an antibody against SIRT6 were performed on samples from SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells. RT-PCR were carried out using primers specific for the promoter regions of the indicated glycolytic genes, except for *Ldha*-1Kb, where primers lying 1 kb downstream of the 3'UTR of the *Ldha* gene were used and served as a negative control.

(C) ChIP assays were performed as described in (B), with an anti H3K9 acetylated antibody (Abcam). The *Ldha*-1kb primers were used as a negative control.

(D) High-resolution ChIP analysis was performed in the *Ldhb* locus using antibodies against total RNA polymerase II (RNAPII), phosphorylated serine 5 form of RNAPII (S5P-CTD), phosphorylated serine 2 form of RNAPII (S2P-CTD), and acetylated H3 lysine 9. Error bars in all graphs indicate SEM.

See also Table S1 and Figure S3.




Figure 4. SIRT6 Is a Corepressor of Hif1 α

(A) A luciferase reporter gene under the regulation of three tandem copies of Hypoxia-Responsive Elements (HRE) was cotransfected with empty vector (CMV), SIRT6 (S6), or SIRT6-HY (catalytic dead) plasmids into 293T cells and subjected to low-glucose (5 mM) conditions for 24 hr. Extracts were analyzed for Luciferase activity. Error bars indicate SEM.

(B) *Left panel:* A Flag control, a SIRT6-Flag, or a SIRT1-Flag protein was either expressed alone or coexpressed with Hif1 α -Myc in 293T cells, and following immunoprecipitation (IP) with either a Flag, a Myc, or an IgG antibody, extracts were analyzed by western blot and probed with the indicated antibodies. *Right panel:* Lysates were prepared from SIRT6 WT and KO muscle, and following IP with anti-Hif1 α antibody, extracts were analyzed by western blot probed with anti-SIRT6 antibody. The IgG band is shown as loading control.

(C) Lysates were prepared from SIRT6 WT or KO ES cells, followed by IP and western blot with a Hif1 α antibody.

(D) ES cells (left panel) or 293T cells stably expressing a tetracycline-inducible SIRT6 dominant-negative allele (S6HY) (right panel) were treated with or without the Hif1 α inhibitor #77 (Zimmer et al., 2008) and glucose uptake was measured by FACS, following 1 hr exposure to NBDG.

See also Figure S4.

reinforced by analysis of Ser2 phosphorylation, a mark of actively elongating RNAPII that is increased several fold in SIRT6 KO cells. Consistent with our conventional ChIP results (Figure 3C), we also observe higher H3K9 acetylation in this assay. It is, however, of interest that this increase occurs focally, close to the TSS, without spreading to nearby regions. Overall, these results indicate that SIRT6 action represses transcription of *Ldhd* (and arguably the other target genes) at regulatory steps downstream of RNAPII recruitment.

SIRT6 Functions as a Corepressor of Hif1 α

Our results thus far indicate that SIRT6 might play a role in redirecting carbohydrate flux from glycolysis to mitochondrial respiration, and in the absence of SIRT6, glycolysis is enhanced and the TCA cycle inhibited, a phenotype usually observed as an adaptation against nutrient or oxygen deprivation. One of the main positive regulators of this switch is the transcription factor Hif1 α . In this context, all the genes that were upregulated in the SIRT6-deficient cells are direct targets of Hif1 α . Therefore, we

decided to test whether SIRT6 could function to modulate a Hif1 α nutrient stress response. First, we tested whether SIRT6 could influence expression of a luciferase reporter carrying multiple Hypoxia-Responsive Elements (HREs), the consensus binding sequence for Hif1 α . This construct is specifically activated following low glucose/hypoxia, and thus it represents a direct measurement of Hif1 α activation in these cells (Zimmer et al., 2008). As shown in Figure 4A, exposing the cells to 24 hr low glucose elicited robust luciferase activity, and notably SIRT6 coexpression causes significant repression. Such an effect was not observed when we overexpressed a catalytically inactive mutant of SIRT6, suggesting that the enzymatic activity of SIRT6 was required for this specific effect on the promoter.

As Hif1 α appears to maintain basal activity even under normoglycemia (Carmeliet et al., 1998), we hypothesized that SIRT6 might bind Hif1 α at the chromatin to regulate its activity. In order to test whether SIRT6 and Hif1 α interact, FLAG-tagged SIRT6 was coexpressed with Myc-tagged Hif1 α in 293T cells. Western analysis of the IPs revealed that Myc-Hif1 α coprecipitated with

SIRT6 and, likewise, FLAG-SIRT6 coprecipitated with Hif1 α (Figure 4B). This interaction appears specific, as other FLAG-sirtuins, like SIRT1, did not interact with Hif1 α under these conditions (Figure 4B). In order to confirm that these proteins interact under physiological conditions, we immunoprecipitated Hif1 α from muscle and tested whether SIRT6 coprecipitated. As shown in Figure 4B, SIRT6 was readily detected in the Hif1 α IP, clearly indicating that endogenous Hif1 α and SIRT6 can interact.

Conditions of nutrient and oxygen stress cause activation of Hif1 α , with increased protein levels due to both protein synthesis and stabilization of the protein (Aragones et al., 2009). As lack of SIRT6 mimics a nutrient stress response, we determined whether SIRT6-deficient cells exhibit increased levels of Hif1 α . Extracts were purified from SIRT6 WT and KO cells grown under normoglycemic conditions, and western blot analysis was performed with an antibody specific for Hif1 α . As expected for a normoglycemic condition, Hif1 α was barely detected in WT cells. In contrast, Hif1 α was readily detected in SIRT6 KO cells (Figure 4C). These results strongly indicate that under normal nutrient conditions, SIRT6 plays an important inhibitory role upon Hif1 α -dependent glucose-related gene transcription, and lack of SIRT6 is sufficient to upregulate glycolytic gene transcription.

Downregulation of Hif1 α Rescues the Metabolic Phenotypes in SIRT6-Deficient Cells

The above results suggest that lack of SIRT6 triggers a Hif1 α -dependent metabolic switch. In order to test whether Hif1 α plays a critical role in this phenotype, we decided to inhibit Hif1 α in SIRT6 KO cells and test whether we could rescue the metabolic abnormalities observed in these cells. For this purpose, we first treated SIRT6 KO ES cells with a recently described small-molecule inhibitor of Hif1 α /Hif2 α (Zimmer et al., 2008). Treatment with this inhibitor for 24 hr was sufficient to completely revert the glucose uptake increase in SIRT6 KO cells (Figure 4D, left panel). This effect appears specific, as the compound did not affect WT cells. Furthermore, treatment with AKT or mTOR inhibitors, both modulators of insulin signaling and stress responses, was not able to rescue the metabolic phenotype (Figure S4), strongly indicating that SIRT6 modulates glucose homeostasis specifically through a Hif1 α -dependent pathway. To further validate these results, we performed a similar experiment in the inducible SIRT6 dominant-negative cells, where we previously showed that acute inactivation of SIRT6 leads to increase glucose uptake (Figure 1F). Similar to what we observed in the KO ES cells, treatment with the Hif1 α inhibitor readily decreased glucose uptake in these cells as well (Figure 4D, right panel).

In order to confirm the role of Hif1 α in this phenotype, we specifically knocked down Hif1 α in SIRT6-deficient cells. We grew multiple independent ES clones obtained following infection with a shRNA-Hif1 α virus. Notably, in those clones where Hif1 α was downregulated, the increased glucose uptake was completely rescued (Figure 5A, clones #1 and #2). This effect is specific, as WT cells show no effect upon Hif1 α knockdown. Furthermore, in those few clones where the Hif1 α knockdown failed (as an example, see clone #3, Figure 5A), we observed no changes in glucose uptake. To test at the transcriptional level the critical modulators of this rescue, we purified RNA from the SIRT6 KO/Hif1 α knockdown cells and analyzed expression of

the glycolytic genes previously identified. Notably, expression of most of these glycolytic genes was rescued to the levels observed in WT cells (Figure 5B). One exception is *Pdk1*, which exhibits no statistical differences between the parental SIRT6 KO and the Hif1 α knockdown cells, suggesting that in this case, the *Pdk4* isoform plays a more dominant role.

Taking advantage of these Hif1 α knockdown cells, we tested whether Hif1 α was required to recruit SIRT6 to these glycolytic gene promoters. For this purpose, ChIP with anti-SIRT6 antibodies was performed in these cells, and SIRT6 occupancy on those promoters evaluated. As seen in Figure 5C, lack of Hif1 α significantly reduced SIRT6 binding to these promoters, indicating that SIRT6 is specifically recruited to these promoters via its physical interaction with Hif1 α .

Lack of SIRT6 Increases Both Protein Synthesis and Stability of Hif1 α

In order to gain further insight into the increase levels of Hif1 α that we observed in our SIRT6-deficient cells, we first tested whether SIRT6 directly regulates Hif1 α . For this purpose, we analyzed RNA levels in SIRT6-deficient cells. As shown in Figure 6A, Hif1 α RNA levels were comparable between WT and KO cells, indicating that Hif1 α is not a direct transcriptional target of SIRT6. Previous studies have indicated that Hif1 α could itself be acetylated (Jeong et al., 2002). However, such findings were later disputed (Arnesen et al., 2005; Murray-Rust et al., 2006). In this context, we also failed to detect Hif1 α acetylation *in vivo*, even in SIRT6 KO cells, where total levels of Hif1 α were significantly higher (Figure S5); therefore, a direct effect for SIRT6 on Hif1 α appears unlikely. We next tested whether protein stability of Hif1 α was increased in the SIRT6 KO cells. For this purpose, we treated cells with the iron chelator CoCl₂, which inhibits the activity of the prolyl-hydroxylases, therefore inhibiting degradation of Hif1 α . Whereas treatment with the drug robustly increase Hif1 α in WT cells, this effect was significantly diminished in the SIRT6 KO cells (Figure 6B), indicating that in these cells Hif1 α is already stabilized. However, some increase was observed in the KO cells, suggesting that increased stability could only partially account for the higher levels observed in these cells. We therefore tested whether Hif1 α protein synthesis was also enhanced in the absence of SIRT6. We first took advantage of a previously described luciferase reporter carrying the 5' untranslated region (UTR) of the Hif1 α gene (Bert et al., 2006). Notably, SIRT6 KO cells exhibit a significant increase in luciferase activity under basal conditions, similar to the levels observed in WT cells following nutrient/oxygen stress (Figure 6C). As an independent assay, we purified the polysomes fraction of ribosomes and quantified the rate of Hif1 α translation in both WT and KO cells (Serikawa et al., 2003). Consistent with the previous assay, SIRT6 KO cells exhibit a clear increase in Hif1 α translation in this assay as well (Figure 6D). Altogether, these results indicate that both Hif1 α protein synthesis and stability are increased in SIRT6-deficient cells.

Increased Expression of Glycolytic Genes and Increased Lactate Production in SIRT6-Deficient Mice

We next tested whether this glycolytic switch that we observed *in vitro* was also responsible for the metabolic phenotype




Figure 5. Knocking down Hif1 α Completely Rescues the Metabolic Phenotype in SIRT6-Deficient Cells

(A) SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells were infected with either a Hif1 α knockdown lentivirus (shHif1 α) or vector alone (scr). Independent clones were expanded, and glucose uptake was measured using NBDG, as described before. *Lower right panel*: Western blot analysis of the different clones with an anti-Hif1 α antibody. Note that clone #3 failed to downregulate Hif1 α , and thus it served as an internal control.

(B) RNA was purified from Hif1 α knockdown clones and glycolytic gene expression levels were examined by RT-PCR. The different analyzed genes are indicated. Fold induction was normalized against actin. Three independent samples were averaged, keeping a threshold of 0.4 as confidence value in the threshold cycle (Ct). Error bars in all graphs indicate SEM.

(C) Hif1 α recruits SIRT6 to the glycolytic promoters. ChIP was performed on wild-type control (WT-ctrl) and Hif1 α knockdown cells (WT-shHif) with an antibody against SIRT6. RT-PCR were carried out using primers specific for the promoter region of the *Ldhd* gene. SIRT6 KO cells were used as negative controls in the ChIP assay.

observed in vivo. Protein extracts were purified from muscle of multiple SIRT6 WT and KO mice, and westerns were performed with antibodies against the different glycolytic enzymes. Strikingly, the two rate-limiting factors PFK-1 and PDK1, which were barely detectable in WT muscle, were expressed at very high levels in SIRT6 KO muscle (Figure 7A). As well, we observed higher levels of other glycolytic genes, such as TPI1, whereas expression of the p53 target TIGAR, which was recently shown to inhibit glycolysis (Bensaad et al., 2006), was reduced in the KO samples. Using immunostaining, we then tested for expres-

sion of the GLUT1 transporter. Similar to what we found in ES cells, muscle but not brain from SIRT6-deficient animals expressed significantly higher levels of GLUT1 (Figure 7B). Finally, we tested whether lactate production was increased in the KO animals. As shown in Figure 7C, SIRT6 KO animals exhibit a modest but statistically significant increase in serum lactate, when compared to WT animals, thus supporting the argument that lack of SIRT6 in vivo promotes uncontrolled glucose uptake and a glycolytic switch, consistent with our findings in vitro.




Figure 6. Increased Hif1 α Stability and Protein Synthesis in SIRT6-Deficient Cells

(A) RNA was purified from SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells and Hif1 α expression was analyzed by RT-PCR using primers specific for the mRNA of Hif1 α . Results are shown as the mean \pm SEM (n = 6).

(B) *Upper panel*: Lysates were prepared from SIRT6 WT or KO ES cells, followed by IP and western blot with a Hif1 α antibody. Samples were either left untreated or treated with the Hif1 α stabilizer CoCl₂ (150 μ M) for 24 hr prior to lysate preparation. *Lower panel*: Quantitative densitometric analysis of Hif1 α levels from the upper panel blot.

(C) Wild-type (WT) and SIRT6-deficient (KO) cells were co-transfected with an empty 5'UTR-Luc vector or Hif1 α 5'UTR-Luc reporters and shifted 6 hr post-transfection to no glucose-hypoxia conditions for 24 hr for measurement of luciferase activity.

(D) Polysome profile analysis of WT and Sirt6-deficient (KO) ES cells. *Lower panel*: WT and KO cells were treated with cycloheximide (CHX) for 10 min before collection. The lysates were processed for polysome analysis by velocity sedimentation on sucrose gradients. Gradients were fractionated by scanning at 254 nm, and the resulting absorbance profiles are shown with sedimentation from left to right. *Upper panel*: Quantitative RT-PCR was performed to assess distribution of HIF1 α mRNA. Error bars in all graphs indicate SEM. See also Figure S5.

Hif1 α Inhibition Rescues the Glucose Phenotype in SIRT6-Deficient Mice

To test whether the hypoglycemia observed in the SIRT6-deficient animals was dependent on Hif1 α , as we found in vitro, we treated SIRT6-deficient animals with the Hif1 α inhibitor described above. Strikingly, treatment with the drug caused a fast and specific increase in blood glucose levels specifically in the KO animals (Figure 6D). These results indicate that, similar to what we observed in our SIRT6 KO ES cells, regulation of glucose metabolism by SIRT6 depends on Hif1 α in vivo as well.

DISCUSSION

Our studies reveal that SIRT6 functions as a histone deacetylase to control glucose homeostasis by inhibiting multiple glycolytic genes in a coordinated fashion (Figure 7E). Under conditions of normal glucose availability, SIRT6 represses expression of key enzymes, diverting pyruvate toward the mitochondrial TCA cycle for efficient ATP production. At those promoters, SIRT6 competes with the transcriptional activator Hif1 α to maintain proper glucose flux toward mitochondrial respiration and to prevent excessive glycolysis. Several lines of evidence support this model. First, SIRT6 deficiency causes upregulation of glycolytic genes at the level of expression, a finding that is accompanied by increased glucose uptake and a switch toward glycolysis even under normal nutrient conditions (Figures 1, 2, and 3). Second, SIRT6 directly binds to the promoters of these genes,

and in the absence of SIRT6, H3K9 acetylation increases specifically in those promoters (Figures 3B–3D). Third, we find that SIRT6 influences glycolysis as a corepressor of Hif1 α , and in the absence of SIRT6, the glycolytic phenotype can be rescued by knocking down Hif1 α in these cells (Figures 4 and 5).

Thus, SIRT6 acts as a safeguard mechanism to downmodulate basal transcription of Hif1 α target genes under normal nutrient conditions (Carmeliet et al., 1998). In this context, there are two plausible scenarios. The first possibility is that SIRT6 binding to the promoters inhibits recruitment of Hif1 α (accelerating its degradation). Alternatively, Hif1 α could already localize to the promoters under normoglycemia, but the presence of SIRT6 would inhibit its transcriptional activity. Even though we found that SIRT6 and Hif1 α can interact (Figure 4B), we were unable to perform ChIP assays with anti-Hif1 α antibodies; therefore, we cannot rule out at present either possibility. However, recent studies have shown that, indeed, Hif1 α occupies its target promoters even under normoxia (Xia et al., 2009), supporting our second model. Notably, early studies have demonstrated that Hif1 α activates transcription through recruitment of the histone acetyl-transferase p300/CBP (Arany et al., 1996; Kallio et al., 1998); consequently, SIRT6 might compete against recruitment of p300, maintaining histones in those promoters in a hypoacetylated state. Future studies will likely address this possibility. In the context of the Hif1 α knock-down experiments, it is intriguing that despite full rescue of the metabolic phenotype (see Figure 5A), only a subset of glycolytic genes were rescued (Figure 5B, see for instance *Pdk1*). These results suggest that although SIRT6 regulates multiple glycolytic genes in a coordinated fashion, only few of them play a dominant role in this glycolytic switch.




Figure 7. Hif1 α -Dependent Increased Glycolysis and Lactate Production in SIRT6-Deficient Mice

(A) Lysates were prepared from muscles of four littermate pairs of SIRT6 WT and KO mice. Western analysis was carried out with antibodies against the indicated proteins. Tubulin was used as a loading control.

(B) Immunostaining with a GLUT1 antibody (green) was carried out on muscles and brain from SIRT6 WT and KO mice. Nuclei were stained with DAPI (blue). Images were taken using a confocal microscope with constant laser beam for all images (KR: 39.8; IRIS: 2.0).

(C) Serum was purified from SIRT6 WT and KO mice, and lactate was measured using the Lactate Assay Kit (BioVision). Error bars indicate SEM; $n = 4$ for each genotype.

(D) A Hif1 α small-molecule inhibitor rescues the glucose phenotype in SIRT6-deficient mice. Hif1 α inhibitor #77 (20 μ g/g weight) was injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) in 19-day-old WT and SIRT6 KO mice, and 30 min later blood was withdrawn for glucose measurement. Five percent DMSO (dilution solution) was injected as control.

(E) Model. Under normal nutrient conditions, SIRT6 inhibits expression of glycolytic genes acting as an histone deacetylase to corepress Hif1 α . This maintains proper flux of glucose to the TCA cycle. Under conditions of nutrient stress, SIRT6 is inactivated, allowing activation of Hif1 α , recruitment of p300, acetylation of H3K9 at the promoters, and increased expression of multiple metabolic genes, causing increased glycolysis and decreased mitochondrial respiration. See also Figure S6.

An alternative explanation to our results would be that, in addition to deacetylating histones at those putative targets, SIRT6 actually regulates Hif1 α itself. In this regard, we find no changes in Hif1 α RNA levels in SIRT6-deficient cells (Figure 6A), indicating that SIRT6 does not regulate expression of this factor. A second possibility would be that SIRT6 deacetylates Hif1 α , and in the absence of SIRT6, Hif1 α is acetylated and stabilized. However, as shown above, we also failed to detect Hif1 α acetylation in vivo, even in SIRT6 KO cells, where total levels of Hif1 α were

significantly higher (Figure S5A); therefore, a direct effect for SIRT6 on Hif1 α appears unlikely. On the other hand, we find that lack of SIRT6 increases both protein synthesis and protein stability of Hif1 α . Previous studies have shown that conditions of nutrient stress and increase in lactate production can function as a positive feedback to induce both protein synthesis and stability of Hif1 α (Lu et al., 2002; Hirota and Semenza, 2005). Although at present other possibilities cannot be ruled out, SIRT6-deficient cells experienced both nutrient stress and

increased lactate production, likely explaining the increased Hif1 α levels observed in these cells.

Using high-resolution quantitative ChIP mapping of the LDHB gene, we gained further insight into the molecular mechanisms of SIRT6 silencing. Our results indicate that in wild-type cells, SIRT6 binding maintains low levels of H3K9 acetylation at the LDHB promoter, thereby inhibiting transcription, despite the presence of preloaded RNAPII. In the absence of SIRT6, transcription is activated, as indicated by robust enrichment of Ser5- and Ser2-phosphorylated RNAPII, markers of promoter escape and transcriptional elongation, respectively. These results are intriguing, suggesting that SIRT6, a histone deacetylase, might repress transcription at a stage downstream of RNAPII recruitment. Recent studies have shown that engaged but paused polymerase plays an important role on genes that need to be rapidly activated (Core and Lis, 2008). Changes in nutrient conditions could vary rapidly, and therefore, it is tempting to speculate that SIRT6 could repress transcription while maintaining an engaged polymerase, which in turn will allow rapid activation of transcription at these glycolytic genes upon changes in nutrient availability. Whether this represents a general epigenetic mode of regulation remains to be determined.

Recent studies have shown that SIRT6 can function as a corepressor of NF- κ B, modulating expression of NF- κ B targets (Kawahara et al., 2009). Furthermore, RelA haploinsufficiency was able to rescue the lethality of SIRT6-deficient animals. However, glucose levels in these animals remain low for the first weeks of life. Therefore, it is unlikely that NF- κ B represents the initial trigger in the hypoglycemic phenotype observed. Consistent with this notion, we do not observe changes in expression of NF- κ B targets in our muscle-microarray data (Table S1A). Overall, these results strongly support a model where the defects in glucose metabolism observed in the absence of SIRT6 stem from its role in controlling glycolytic gene expression rather than through modulation of NF- κ B targets.

Our model for SIRT6 function predicts that under conditions of nutrient stress, SIRT6 would be inactivated, triggering a Hif1 α -dependent glycolytic switch, similar to what we observed in our SIRT6-deficient cells (Figure 7E). In this regard, we do not observe changes in total levels nor in localization of SIRT6 protein following glucose deprivation (Figure S6). One possibility is that SIRT6 activity is controlled at a posttranscriptional level, an alternative that is under current investigation. It is interesting that nutrient deprivation has been shown to increase levels of another mammalian sirtuin, SIRT1 (Cohen et al., 2004; Nemoto et al., 2004), indicating that these proteins might have evolved to function in contrasting scenarios. In this context, whereas SIRT1 activators have been shown to protect against metabolic diseases such as type II diabetes, as published (Baur et al., 2006; Lagouge et al., 2006; Milne et al., 2007), in the case of SIRT6, inhibition rather than activation might prove beneficial to lower blood glucose in metabolic diseases.

The increased glycolytic capacity and reduced oxidative phosphorylation we observe in SIRT6-deficient cells are reminiscent of the “Warburg effect” described by Otto Warburg several decades ago (Warburg, 1956). Such a phenomenon describes the peculiarity that most cancer and highly proliferative cells

rely on aerobic glycolysis rather than respiration for their energy and metabolic needs (Vander Heiden et al., 2009). Consistent with our observations in SIRT6-deficient cells, recent studies indicate that aerobic glycolysis requires Hif1 α as well (Lum et al., 2007), and Hif1 α confers resistance to apoptosis in cancer cells under hypoxic conditions in a GLUT1-dependent manner (Kilic et al., 2007). Based on this analogy, one could predict that lack of SIRT6 should provide an advantage for tumorigenic growth. In this context, SIRT6-deficient ES cells exhibit increased resistance to apoptosis when exposed to hypoxia/hypoglycemia (Figure S5B); however, we are currently unable to test this hypothesis in vivo, as SIRT6-deficient animals die early in life. Although it remains unclear what the trigger is that allows the switch from oxidative phosphorylation to aerobic glycolysis (Vander Heiden et al., 2009), our results indicate that inhibition of SIRT6 might be an important player.

Previous studies in yeast, worms, and flies have linked Sir2 proteins to the regulation of longevity (Finkel et al., 2009; Longo and Kennedy, 2006; Yu and Auwerx, 2009). Whether such a role is conserved in mammals remains unclear. However, multiple lines of evidence indicate a critical role for some of these mammalian sirtuins in regulating metabolic homeostasis (Canto et al., 2009). As changes in calorie intake and metabolic balance has been previously linked to life-span regulation in mammals (Yu and Auwerx, 2009; Barzilai and Bartke, 2009), our new results with SIRT6 place this chromatin deacetylase as a potential candidate among sirtuins to influence aging and age-related diseases. Notably, two recent articles have shown that Hif1 α can modulate life span in *C. elegans* (Chen et al., 2009; Mehta et al., 2009). Whether this is the case in mammals remains unknown; however, our results suggest that sirtuins and Hif1 α may function in a coordinated fashion to modulate metabolic homeostasis in higher eukaryotes.

Overall, our studies have demonstrated a role for the histone deacetylase SIRT6 in controlling glucose homeostasis. The severe metabolic phenotypes we observed indicate that among the mammalian sirtuins, SIRT6 appears to play a dominant role in regulating energy balance. They also suggest that although a glycolytic switch might be an important acute adaptive response in situations of nutrient stress or cancer growth, chronic and sustained activation of this switch (as in the case of SIRT6 deficiency) is rather detrimental.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Western and Immunostaining Analysis

Western analysis was carried out as previously described (Cheng et al., 2003). The antibodies used are as follows: anti-SIRT6 (Abcam), anti-Hif1 α (Novus), anti-Flag (Sigma), anti-PFK-1 (Abcam), anti-PDK1 (Abcam), anti-TPI1 (Proteintech), anti-TIGAR (Abcam), anti-Tubulin (Sigma). For immunostaining of ES cells, cells were grown on coverslips and fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS as described (Bassing et al., 2002). Cells were stained with anti-Glut1 antibody (Alpha Diagnostic). Images were taken using a confocal microscope with constant laser beam for all images (KR:39.8; IRIS:2.0).

Lactate and Oxygen Consumption Assays

Lactate concentration was determined with the Lactate Assay Kit (BioVision). Optical density (OD) was measured at 570 nm, 30 min after addition of substrate. For oxygen consumption, 4×10^5 SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells were

seeded, and 24 hr oxygen consumption rate was measured with the Seahorse XF24 instrument (Seahorse Bioscience), as published (Liu et al., 2009).

Metabolite Analysis

SIRT6 wild-type and KO cells were grown under normal nutrient conditions, and methanol-fixed proteins were analyzed by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS), as described (Lewis et al., 2008).

Glucose Uptake Assays in Mice and in Cells

For the assessment of in vivo glucose disposal, [$1,2\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$] glucose was IP injected (2 mg/gm body weight) into mice ($n = 4$). Blood samples were collected 30 min after and processed for GC/MS analysis as previously described (Xu et al., 2004). For the glucose uptake assay in mice, 16-day-old SIRT6 WT and KO mice were imaged using a Siemens Inveon PET-CT 45 min post-injection of approximately 500 μCi of FDG, as published elsewhere (Boiselle et al., 1998). For glucose uptake assays in cells, cells were grown under normal conditions for 24 hr and 100 μM 2-NBDG (Invitrogen) was added to the media for 2 hr. Fluorescence was measured in a FACSCalibur Analyzer (BD).

ATP Concentration Assays

SIRT6 WT or KO ES cells were grown in low glucose (0.5 g/l) media for 24 hr and ATP concentration was measured by ATP bioluminescent somatic cell assay kit (Sigma) per manufacturer instructions.

Luciferase Reporter Assays

1×10^5 293T cells were transfected using Trans-IT 293 (Mirus) with 1 μg of the following plasmids as described in the text: pGL3::HRE4, pCMV-3xFLuc-SIRT6 and pCMV-3xFLuc-SIRT6HY. Twenty-four hours after transfection, cells were harvested and luciferase activity was determined using the Dual-Luciferase Reporter Assay system (Promega).

ChIPs and Quantitative RT-PCR

ChIP and qRT-PCR were performed as previously described (Donner et al., 2007). The antibodies and the primers' sequences for all the RT-PCRs are included in Extended Experimental Procedures and Table S1.

Retroviral Infection

SIRT6 WT cDNA was amplified by PCR and cloned into the pHAGE2-EF1a-dsRed-IRES-tomato vector. Hif1 α shRNA lentivirus vectors were obtained from The RNAi Consortium Library (MGH). SIRT6 WT and SIRT6 KO ES cells were infected by incubating with virus and 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$ polybrene. Forty-eight hours later, cells were selected in 2.5 g/ml puromycin and single colonies were picked and plated for various experiments.

5' UTR Assays

SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells were transfected using Lipofectamine 2000 with a luciferase vector lacking 5'UTR as control and a vector with a partial HIF1 α 5'UTR (kindly provided by Gregory J. Goodall) cotransfected with the Renilla Luciferase plasmid. Six hours post-transfection media were changed to normal or no glucose and incubated in 1% Oxygen chamber for 24 hr. Protein was extracted as detailed in the promega kit for Dual Luciferase Assay per manufacturer instructions. Luminescence was read at 500 nm.

Polysome Profiling Analysis

Cytoplasmic extracts were purified from cycloheximide-treated SIRT6 WT and KO ES cells. The lysates were processed for polysome analysis by velocity sedimentation on sucrose gradients. Quantitative RT-PCR was performed on purified mRNA to assess distribution of HIF1 α mRNA, which was normalized to 18S mRNA. For details, see Extended Experimental Procedures.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, six figures, and one table and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.cell.2009.12.041

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the V Foundation (R.M.), the Sidney Kimmel Cancer Research Foundation (R.M.), a New Investigator Grant from AFAR (R.M.), A New Investigator Award from The Massachusetts Life Sciences Center (R.M.), a P&F Award from the Joslin Diabetes Center (R.M.), and Grant P30 DK57521 from the Metabolic Physiology Core (BADERC). D.T. is supported by a fellowship from the Brain Power for Israel Fund. Y.D. is supported by JDRF. J.M.E. is supported by NIH-RO1 CA117907 and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute. We thank Magali Silberman, Daniel Holoch, Pere Puigserver, John Dominy, Matthew Vander Heiden, Mike Zimmer, David Lombard, Bjoern Schwer, Fred Alt, Katrin Chua, and members of the Bardeesy, Hochedlinger, Hock, and Ramaswamy labs for reagents and helpful discussions. We thank Kelly Shay, Laura Prickett-Rice, and Kate Folz-Donahue for technical assistance. We are also thankful to Nabeel Bardeesy and Hanno Hock for critically reading the manuscript and Jose Polo for assistance with the ChIP assays.

Received: July 28, 2009

Revised: October 27, 2009

Accepted: December 21, 2009

Published: January 21, 2010

REFERENCES

- Aragones, J., Fraisl, P., Baes, M., and Carmeliet, P. (2009). Oxygen sensors at the crossroad of metabolism. *Cell Metab.* 9, 11–22.
- Arany, Z., Huang, L.E., Eckner, R., Bhattacharya, S., Jiang, C., Goldberg, M.A., Bunn, H.F., and Livingston, D.M. (1996). An essential role for p300/CBP in the cellular response to hypoxia. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 93, 12969–12973.
- Arnesen, T., Kong, X., Evjenth, R., Gromyko, D., Varhaug, J.E., Lin, Z., Sang, N., Caro, J., and Lillehaug, J.R. (2005). Interaction between HIF-1 alpha (ODD) and HARD1 does not induce acetylation and destabilization of HIF-1 alpha. *FEBS Lett.* 579, 6428–6432.
- Barzilai, N., and Bartke, A. (2009). Biological approaches to mechanistically understand the healthy life span extension achieved by calorie restriction and modulation of hormones. *J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci.* 64, 187–191.
- Bassing, C.H., Chua, K.F., Sekiguchi, J., Suh, H., Whitlow, S.R., Fleming, J.C., Monroe, B.C., Ciccone, D.N., Yan, C., Vlasakova, K., et al. (2002). Increased ionizing radiation sensitivity and genomic instability in the absence of histone H2AX. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 99, 8173–8178.
- Baur, J.A., Pearson, K.J., Price, N.L., Jamieson, H.A., Lerin, C., Kalra, A., Prabhu, V.V., Allard, J.S., Lopez-Lluch, G., Lewis, K., et al. (2006). Resveratrol improves health and survival of mice on a high-calorie diet. *Nature* 444, 337–342.
- Bensaad, K., Tsuruta, A., Selak, M.A., Vidal, M.N., Nakano, K., Bartrons, R., Gottlieb, E., and Vousden, K.H. (2006). TIGAR, a p53-inducible regulator of glycolysis and apoptosis. *Cell* 126, 107–120.
- Bert, A.G., Grepin, R., Vadas, M.A., and Goodall, G.J. (2006). Assessing IRES activity in the HIF-1alpha and other cellular 5' UTRs. *RNA* 12, 1074–1083.
- Boiselle, P.M., Patz, E.F., Jr., Vining, D.J., Weissleder, R., Shepard, J.A., and McLoud, T.C. (1998). Imaging of mediastinal lymph nodes: CT, MR, and FDG PET. *Radiographics* 18, 1061–1069.
- Canto, C., Gerhart-Hines, Z., Feige, J.N., Lagouge, M., Noriega, L., Milne, J.C., Elliott, P.J., Puigserver, P., and Auwerx, J. (2009). AMPK regulates energy expenditure by modulating NAD+ metabolism and SIRT1 activity. *Nature* 458, 1056–1060.
- Carmeliet, P., Dor, Y., Herbert, J.M., Fukumura, D., Brusselmans, K., Dewerchin, M., Neeman, M., Bono, F., Abramovitch, R., Maxwell, P., et al. (1998). Role of HIF-1alpha in hypoxia-mediated apoptosis, cell proliferation and tumour angiogenesis. *Nature* 394, 485–490.
- Chen, D., Thomas, E.L., and Kapahi, P. (2009). HIF-1 modulates dietary restriction-mediated lifespan extension via IRE-1 in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS Genet.* 5, e1000486.

- Cheng, H.L., Mostoslavsky, R., Saito, S., Manis, J.P., Gu, Y., Patel, P., Bronson, R., Appella, E., Alt, F.W., and Chua, K.F. (2003). Developmental defects and p53 hyperacetylation in Sir2 homolog (SIRT1)-deficient mice. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *100*, 10794–10799.
- Cohen, H.Y., Miller, C., Bitterman, K.J., Wall, N.R., Hekking, B., Kessler, B., Howitz, K.T., Gorospe, M., de Cabo, R., and Sinclair, D.A. (2004). Calorie restriction promotes mammalian cell survival by inducing the SIRT1 deacetylase. *Science* *305*, 390–392.
- Core, L.J., and Lis, J.T. (2008). Transcription regulation through promoter-proximal pausing of RNA polymerase II. *Science* *319*, 1791–1792.
- Donner, A.J., Szostek, S., Hoover, J.M., and Espinosa, J.M. (2007). CDK8 is a stimulus-specific positive coregulator of p53 target genes. *Mol. Cell* *27*, 121–133.
- Finkel, T., Deng, C.X., and Mostoslavsky, R. (2009). Recent progress in the biology and physiology of sirtuins. *Nature* *460*, 587–591.
- Frye, R.A. (2000). Phylogenetic classification of prokaryotic and eukaryotic Sir2-like proteins. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* *273*, 793–798.
- Fukuda, R., Zhang, H., Kim, J.W., Shimoda, L., Dang, C.V., and Semenza, G.L. (2007). HIF-1 regulates cytochrome oxidase subunits to optimize efficiency of respiration in hypoxic cells. *Cell* *129*, 111–122.
- Haigis, M.C., and Guarente, L.P. (2006). Mammalian sirtuins—emerging roles in physiology, aging, and calorie restriction. *Genes Dev.* *20*, 2913–2921.
- Hirota, K., and Semenza, G.L. (2005). Regulation of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 by prolyl and asparaginyl hydroxylases. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* *338*, 610–616.
- Hu, C.J., Iyer, S., Sataur, A., Covello, K.L., Chodosh, L.A., and Simon, M.C. (2006). Differential regulation of the transcriptional activities of hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha (HIF-1alpha) and HIF-2alpha in stem cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* *26*, 3514–3526.
- Jeong, J.W., Bae, M.K., Ahn, M.Y., Kim, S.H., Sohn, T.K., Bae, M.H., Yoo, M.A., Song, E.J., Lee, K.J., and Kim, K.W. (2002). Regulation and destabilization of HIF-1alpha by ARD1-mediated acetylation. *Cell* *111*, 709–720.
- Kallio, P.J., Okamoto, K., O'Brien, S., Carrero, P., Makino, Y., Tanaka, H., and Poellinger, L. (1998). Signal transduction in hypoxic cells: inducible nuclear translocation and recruitment of the CBP/p300 coactivator by the hypoxia-inducible factor-1alpha. *EMBO J.* *17*, 6573–6586.
- Kawahara, T.L., Michishita, E., Adler, A.S., Damian, M., Berber, E., Lin, M., McCord, R.A., Ongaigui, K.C., Boxer, L.D., Chang, H.Y., et al. (2009). SIRT6 links histone H3 lysine 9 deacetylation to NF-kappaB-dependent gene expression and organismal life span. *Cell* *136*, 62–74.
- Kilic, M., Kasperczyk, H., Fulda, S., and Debatin, K.M. (2007). Role of hypoxia inducible factor-1 alpha in modulation of apoptosis resistance. *Oncogene* *26*, 2027–2038.
- Kim, J.W., Tchernyshyov, I., Semenza, G.L., and Dang, C.V. (2006). HIF-1-mediated expression of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase: a metabolic switch required for cellular adaptation to hypoxia. *Cell Metab.* *3*, 177–185.
- Lagouge, M., Arghmann, C., Gerhart-Hines, Z., Meziane, H., Lerin, C., Daussin, F., Messadeq, N., Milne, J., Lambert, P., Elliott, P., et al. (2006). Resveratrol improves mitochondrial function and protects against metabolic disease by activating SIRT1 and PGC-1alpha. *Cell* *127*, 1109–1122.
- Lewis, G.D., Wei, R., Liu, E., Yang, E., Shi, X., Martinovic, M., Farrell, L., Asnani, A., Cyrille, M., Ramanathan, A., et al. (2008). Metabolite profiling of blood from individuals undergoing planned myocardial infarction reveals early markers of myocardial injury. *J. Clin. Invest.* *118*, 3503–3512.
- Liu, J., Cao, L., Chen, J., Song, S., Lee, I.H., Quijano, C., Liu, H., Keyvanfar, K., Chen, H., Cao, L.Y., et al. (2009). Bmi1 regulates mitochondrial function and the DNA damage response pathway. *Nature* *459*, 387–392.
- Longo, V.D., and Kennedy, B.K. (2006). Sirtuins in aging and age-related disease. *Cell* *126*, 257–268.
- Lu, H., Forbes, R.A., and Verma, A. (2002). Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 activation by aerobic glycolysis implicates the Warburg effect in carcinogenesis. *J. Biol. Chem.* *277*, 23111–23115.
- Lum, J.J., Bui, T., Gruber, M., Gordan, J.D., DeBerardinis, R.J., Covello, K.L., Simon, M.C., and Thompson, C.B. (2007). The transcription factor HIF-1alpha plays a critical role in the growth factor-dependent regulation of both aerobic and anaerobic glycolysis. *Genes Dev.* *21*, 1037–1049.
- Mehta, R., Steinkraus, K.A., Sutphin, G.L., Ramos, F.J., Shamieh, L.S., Huh, A., Davis, C., Chandler-Brown, D., and Kaerberlein, M. (2009). Proteasomal regulation of the hypoxic response modulates aging in *C. elegans*. *Science* *324*, 1196–1198.
- Michishita, E., McCord, R.A., Berber, E., Kioi, M., Padilla-Nash, H., Damian, M., Cheung, P., Kusumoto, R., Kawahara, T.L., Barrett, J.C., et al. (2008). SIRT6 is a histone H3 lysine 9 deacetylase that modulates telomeric chromatin. *Nature* *452*, 492–496.
- Milne, J.C., Lambert, P.D., Schenk, S., Carney, D.P., Smith, J.J., Gagne, D.J., Jin, L., Boss, O., Perni, R.B., Vu, C.B., et al. (2007). Small molecule activators of SIRT1 as therapeutics for the treatment of type 2 diabetes. *Nature* *450*, 712–716.
- Mostoslavsky, R., Chua, K.F., Lombard, D.B., Pang, W.W., Fischer, M.R., Gelton, L., Liu, P., Mostoslavsky, G., Franco, S., Murphy, M.M., et al. (2006). Genomic instability and aging-like phenotype in the absence of mammalian SIRT6. *Cell* *124*, 315–329.
- Murray-Rust, T.A., Oldham, N.J., Hewitson, K.S., and Schofield, C.J. (2006). Purified recombinant hARD1 does not catalyze acetylation of Lys532 of HIF-1alpha fragments in vitro. *FEBS Lett.* *580*, 1911–1918.
- Nemoto, S., Fergusson, M.M., and Finkel, T. (2004). Nutrient availability regulates SIRT1 through a forkhead-dependent pathway. *Science* *306*, 2105–2108.
- Papandreou, I., Cairns, R.A., Fontana, L., Lim, A.L., and Denko, N.C. (2006). HIF-1 mediates adaptation to hypoxia by actively downregulating mitochondrial oxygen consumption. *Cell Metab.* *3*, 187–197.
- Pessin, J.E., and Bell, G.I. (1992). Mammalian facilitative glucose transporter family: structure and molecular regulation. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* *54*, 911–930.
- Seagroves, T.N., Ryan, H.E., Lu, H., Wouters, B.G., Knapp, M., Thibault, P., Laderoute, K., and Johnson, R.S. (2001). Transcription factor HIF-1 is a necessary mediator of the pasteur effect in mammalian cells. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* *21*, 3436–3444.
- Serikawa, K.A., Xu, X.L., MacKay, V.L., Law, G.L., Zong, Q., Zhao, L.P., Bumgarner, R., and Morris, D.R. (2003). The transcriptome and its translation during recovery from cell cycle arrest in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Mol. Cell. Proteomics* *2*, 191–204.
- Vander Heiden, M.G., Cantley, L.C., and Thompson, C.B. (2009). Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation. *Science* *324*, 1029–1033.
- Warburg, O. (1956). On the origin of cancer cells. *Science* *123*, 309–314.
- Xia, X., Lemieux, M.E., Li, W., Carroll, J.S., Brown, M., Liu, X.S., and Kung, A.L. (2009). Integrative analysis of HIF binding and transactivation reveals its role in maintaining histone methylation homeostasis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* *106*, 4260–4265.
- Xu, J., Chang, V., Joseph, S.B., Trujillo, C., Bassilian, S., Saad, M.F., Lee, W.N., and Kurland, I.J. (2004). Peroxisomal proliferator-activated receptor alpha deficiency diminishes insulin-responsiveness of gluconeogenic/glycolytic/pentose gene expression and substrate cycle flux. *Endocrinology* *145*, 1087–1095.
- Yu, J., and Auwerx, J. (2009). The role of sirtuins in the control of metabolic homeostasis. *Ann. N Y Acad. Sci.* *1173* (Suppl 1), E10–E19.
- Zhang, H., Gao, P., Fukuda, R., Kumar, G., Krishnamachary, B., Zeller, K.I., Dang, C.V., and Semenza, G.L. (2007). HIF-1 inhibits mitochondrial biogenesis and cellular respiration in VHL-deficient renal cell carcinoma by repression of C-MYC activity. *Cancer Cell* *11*, 407–420.
- Zimmer, M., Ebert, B.L., Neil, C., Brenner, K., Papaioannou, I., Melas, A., Tolliday, N., Lamb, J., Pantopoulos, K., Golub, T., et al. (2008). Small-molecule inhibitors of HIF-2a translation link its 5'UTR iron-responsive element to oxygen sensing. *Mol. Cell* *32*, 838–848.