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Necessary and sufficient conditions are established for cumulative process (associated with regenerative processes) to obey several classical limit theorems; e.g., a strong law of large numbers, a law of the iterated logarithm and a functional central limit theorem. The key random variables are the integral of the regenerative process over one cycle and the supremum of the absolute value of this integral over all possible initial segments of a cycle. The tail behavior of the distribution of the second random variable determines whether the cumulative process obeys the same limit theorem as the partial sums of the cycle integrals. Interesting open problems are the necessary conditions for the weak law of large numbers and the ordinary central limit theorem.
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1. Introduction

In this paper we establish necessary and sufficient (N&S) conditions for several limits to hold for appropriately normalized cumulative processes (associated with regenerative processes), with the emphasis being on the necessity. The limits we have in mind are the limits in the strong law of large numbers (SLLN), the law of the iterated logarithm (LIL), the weak law of large numbers (WLLN), the central limit theorem (CLT) and functional generalizations of these, denoted by FSLLN and so forth; we define the versions we consider precisely in Section 2. The topic of this paper is very close to classic results, e.g., see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968), Feller (1971), Chung (1974) and Gut (1988). Hence, there is considerable related literature. In particular, our papers extends Smith (1955), Chung (1967), Iglehart (1971), Brown and Ross (1972), Serfozo (1972, 1975), Whitt (1972), Glynn and Whitt (1987, 1988a,b) and Asmussen (1987).

We use the ‘classical’ definition of regenerative process throughout, i.e., the process splits into i.i.d. cycles; cf. Asmussen (1987, p. 125). For the necessity results, this is
without loss of generality. Let $0 \leq T(0) < T(1) < \cdots$ denote the regeneration times, with $T(-1) = 0$. Consider a stochastic process $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$ with general state space and a measurable real-valued function $f$. We assume that the process $\{X(t): t \geq 0\}$ is regenerative with respect to these regeneration times, and we focus on the associated cumulative process $C = \{C(t): t \geq 0\}$, defined by

$$C(t) = \int_0^t f(X(s)) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The key random variables associated with the cycles are

$$\begin{align*}
\tau_i &= T(i) - T(i-1), \\
Y_i(f) &= \int_{T(i-1)}^{T(i)} f(X(s)) \, ds, \\
W_i(f) &= \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T(i)} \left| \int_0^s f(X(T(i-1) + u)) \, du \right|.
\end{align*} \quad (1.2)$$

By ‘regenerative structure’, we mean that for any suitable $f$ the three-tuples $(\tau_i, Y_i(f), W_i(f))$ are i.i.d. for $i \geq 1$. We also assume throughout that $E\tau_1 < \infty$. In addition, we assume throughout that

$$\int_0^t |f(X(s))| \, ds < \infty \quad \text{w.p.1 for each } t, \quad (1.3)$$

which implies that the cumulative process $C$ has continuous sample paths w.p.1.

We shall consider the given function $f$ and a centered function $f_c$ defined by $f_c(x) = f(x) - \alpha$ for a constant $\alpha$, both of which are assumed to satisfy (1.3). When we write $Y_i$ and $W_i$ we understand the function $f$ to be the given one.

We are interested in N&S conditions for the cumulative process to obey the classical limit theorems. For this purpose, it is natural to represent the cumulative process as a random sum of i.i.d. summands (i.e., a stopped random walk) plus two remainder terms. In particular,

$$C(t) = \int_0^t f(X(s)) \, ds = S_{N(t)} + R_1(t) + R_2(t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.4)$$

where

$$S_n = Y_1 + \cdots + Y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (1.5)$$

with $S_0 = 0$, $N = \{N(t): t \geq 0\}$ is the (possibly delayed) renewal counting process associated with the regeneration times, i.e.,

$$N(t) = \max\{i: T(i) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.6)$$

and $R_i = \{R_i(t): t \geq 0\}$ are the remainder processes, defined by

$$R_1(t) = \int_0^{\min(T_i, T)} f(X(s)) \, ds \quad \text{and} \quad R_2(t) = \int_{T(N(t))}^t f(X(s)) \, ds, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (1.7)$$
Since \( E_T < \infty \), we have
\[
t^{-1}N(t) \to \lambda = 1/E_T \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty \quad \text{w.p.1,}
\] (1.8)
which we will exploit frequently. Since \(|R_1(t)| \leq W_0\), we see that the first remainder term \( R_1(t) \) in (1.7) is trivially dispensed with in limit theorems since it is bounded by a random variable that does not depend on \( t \). A significant part of the analysis is finding what knocks out the second remainder term \( R_2(t) \) in (1.7). Of course, the key relation here is
\[
|R_2(t)| \leq W_{N(t)+1}, \quad t \geq 0.
\] (1.9)
From (1.9) it is evident that we could just as well impose conditions on the supremum over the integral from \( s \) to the end of the cycle instead of on \( W_t(f) \). (This is to be expected since our definition of regenerative process is time reversible.)

Given (1.4), it is interesting to compare N&S conditions for limit theorems for the cumulative process \( C(t) \) with N&S conditions in the corresponding limit theorem for the random sums \( S_{N(t)} \). In turn it is interesting to compare the N&S conditions in the limit theorems for the random sums \( S_{N(t)} \) with the N&S conditions in the corresponding limit theorem for the ordinary partial sums \( S_n \) in (1.5). We state our main result in Section 3 so as to make these connections clear.

Here is how the rest of the paper is organized. In Section 2 we specify precisely what we mean by the classical limit theorems. (It is important to note that there are several possible definitions.) After we state the main results in Section 3, we establish some supporting propositions in Section 4. We establish N&S conditions for the WLLN and a joint CLT for \( C \) and \( N \) in the case \( f \) is nonnegative in Section 5. We then prove the main result in Section 6. To shorten the paper, we have omitted several proofs; see the original unpublished paper for more details.

2. The classical limit theorems

Consider a stochastic process \( Z = \{Z(t): t \geq 0\} \) with real-valued sample paths having limits from the left and right. We say that \( Z \) obeys a SLLN if there exists a constant \( \alpha \) such that \( t^{-1}Z(t) \to \alpha \) as \( t \to \infty \) w.p.1. We say that \( Z \) obeys a FISLLN if there exists a constant \( \alpha \) such that, for each \( T \) with \( 0 < T < \infty \),
\[
\sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |n^{-1}Z(nt) - \alpha t| \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \quad \text{w.p.1.}
\] (2.1)
As in Theorem 4 of Glynn and Whitt (1988), such a FISLLN is actually equivalent to the ordinary SLLN above, so we do not discuss it further. (To verify this, we use the existence of left and right limits to conclude that \( \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |Z(s)| < \infty \) w.p.1 for all \( t \); see, e.g., Billingsley, 1968, p. 110).

We say that \( Z \) obeys an LIL if there exist constants \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) such that \( \beta > 0 \) and
\[
[Z(t) - \alpha t]/\sqrt{2tL} \sim [\sqrt{-\beta}, \sqrt{-\beta}] \quad \text{w.p.1.}
\] (2.2)
where $L_x = \max\{1, \log e x\}$, $L_k x = L_{k-1} (L_x)$ and $\rightsquigarrow$ denotes that the set on the left is relatively compact with the right being the set of all limit points of convergent subsequences (with $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$).

For the FLIL and FCLT we work in the function space $D[0, \infty)$ with the usual Skorohod ($J_1$) topology, see Billingsley (1968), Whitt (1980) and Ethier and Kurtz (1986). Following Strassen (1964), we say that $Z$ obeys a FLIL if there exist constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\beta \geq 0$ and a compact set $C$ in $D[0, \infty)$ such that

$$\frac{[Z(nt) - \alpha nt]}{\sqrt{2nL_2 n}} \rightsquigarrow \sqrt{\beta} C \quad \text{w.p.1} \quad (2.3)$$

where convergence of a subsequence is understood to be in $D[0, \infty)$ and the limit set $C$ is the set of all functions $\{x(t): t \geq 0\}$ that are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure with derivative $x'(t)$ satisfying $\int_0^t [x'(t)]^2 dt \leq 1$.

We say that $Z$ obeys a WLLN if there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that $t^{-1}Z(t) \Rightarrow \alpha$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $\Rightarrow$ denotes convergence in law, which coincides with convergence in probability in this case because $\alpha$ is deterministic. We say that $Z$ obeys a FWLLN if there exists a constant $\alpha$ such that

$$\frac{[Z(nt) - \alpha nt]}{n} \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

We say that $Z$ obeys a CLT if there exist constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{[Z(t) - \alpha t]}{\sqrt{t}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\beta} N(0, 1) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.5)$$

where $N(0, 1)$ denotes a standard (mean 0, variance 1) normal random variable. We say that $Z$ obeys a FCLT if there exist constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with $\beta \geq 0$ such that

$$\frac{[Z(nt) - \alpha nt]}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow \sqrt{\beta} B(t) \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (2.6)$$

where $B(t)$ is standard (drift 0, diffusion coefficient 1) Brownian motion.

It is significant that in all the limit theorems above we have stipulated fixed normalization constants and in the CLT we have specified that the limit be standard normal. For partial sums of i.i.d. random variables, these assumptions are known to significantly restrict the range of possibilities; i.e., see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968). For example, for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables, the CLT involves the domain of normal attraction of the normal law, for which the N&S condition is for the underlying distribution to have finite second moment; see Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968, p. 181).

3. The main result

In this section we state, wherever possible, N&S conditions for the three processes $S_n$, $S_{N(1)}$, and $C(t)$ defined in (1.1), and (1.4)–(1.6) to obey the seven limit theorems: SLLN, LIL, FLIL, WLLN, FWLLN, CLT and FCLT.

To relate the limit theorems for the partial sums to the random sums and cumulative processes, we assume that the summands $Y_i$ are of the form $Y_i(f_i)$ for an appropriate
centering constant $\alpha$. When $E|Y_1(f_c)| < \infty$, the parameter $\alpha$ will be chosen so that $EY_1(f_c) = 0$.

We prove the following in Section 6. More results in the case $f$ is nonnegative appear in Section 5.

**Theorem 1.** (a) For the WLLN and CLT, the N&S conditions for the random sums $S_{N(f)}$ and the cumulative process $C(t)$ are the same. For all other theorems, the N&S conditions for the partial sums $S_n$ and the random sums $S_{N(f)}$ are the same.

(b) The specific N&S conditions for the partial sums $S_n$ and the cumulative process $C(t)$ are given in Table 1, with a question mark indicating that the answer is unknown. Each established N&S condition for the cumulative process is the N&S condition for the partial sum plus the indicated extra condition.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limit theorem</th>
<th>Partial sums $S_n$</th>
<th>Cumulative process $C(t) = \int_0^t f(X(s)) , ds$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLLN</td>
<td>$E</td>
<td>Y_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIL</td>
<td>$E[Y_1(f_c)^2] &lt; \infty$</td>
<td>$+E[W_1(f_c)/L_2W_1(f_c)] &lt; \infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLIL</td>
<td>$E[Y_1(f_c)^2] &lt; \infty$</td>
<td>$+E[W_1(f_c)/L_2W_1(f_c)] &lt; \infty$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLLN</td>
<td>$tP(</td>
<td>Y_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FWLLN</td>
<td>$tP(</td>
<td>Y_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLT</td>
<td>$E[Y_1(f_c)^2] &lt; \infty$</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCLT</td>
<td>$E[Y_1(f_c)^2] &lt; \infty$</td>
<td>$+t^2P(W_1(f_c) &gt; t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) For the WLLN and CLT, the N&S condition for the partial sums $S_n$ is sufficient for the random sums $S_{N(f)}$ and the cumulative process $C(t)$. Moreover, these conditions are necessary in the sense that there are examples for which the random sum and cumulative process limits do not exist when these conditions are violated. (See Remark 3.2 below.)

(d) For the WLLN and the FWLLN, the centering constant $\alpha$ is necessarily the limit of $E|Y_1; |Y_1| \leq t|$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In all other cases it is necessarily $EY_1$, which is consequently finite.

(e) The normalizing constant $\beta$ in the I.I.I., FL.I.I., CLT and FCLT must always be the variance $\text{Var}(Y_1)$, which is necessarily finite for those limits.

**Remark 3.1.** We conjecture that the N&S conditions for the partial sums $S_n$ in the WLLN and CLT are also N&S conditions for the random sum $S_{N(f)}$ and the cumulative process $C(t)$. This would follow if the WLLN and the CLT in (2.5) for
were equivalent to the FWLLN in (2.4) and the FCLT in (2.6), respectively, for $S_{N(t)}$, which we also conjecture to be true.

**Remark 3.2.** The partial necessity result in part (c) of Theorem 1 is easily explained as follows: For any distribution of $Y_i$, we can construct a regenerative process such that $N(t) = [t]$, $C([t]) = S_{N(t)} = S_{[t]}$, and

$$C(t) = (1 - t + [t])C([t]) + (t - [t])C([t] + 1), \quad t \geq 0,$$

where $[t]$ is the greatest integer less than or equal to $t$; in particular, just let $f(x) = x$ and

$$X(t) = Y_{[1+t]}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Hence, for the WLLN and CLT, the cumulative process $C(t)$ and the random sum $S_{N(t)}$ are equivalent to the partial sum $S_{[t]}$. For such examples, the N&S condition for the partial sums also obviously is the N&S condition for $S_{N(t)}$ and $C(t)$.

**Remark 3.3.** The SLLN result is due to Smith (1955); see Theorem 3.1 of Asmussen (1987, p. 136). The standard sufficient condition for the CLT is $\text{Var } Y_i(f) < \infty$ and $\text{Var } \tau_i < \infty$, see Theorem 3.2 of Asmussen (1987, p. 136), which is stronger than our sufficient condition, because we do not require that $\text{Var } \tau_i < \infty$; see Proposition 2 below. To see that we could have $\text{Var } \tau_i = \infty$, suppose that $Y_i(f) = \tau_i + U_i$ where $\text{Var } U_i < \infty$. Then $Y_i(f) = U_i$ and $\text{Var } Y_i(f) < \infty$ for $\alpha = 1$.

**Remark 3.4.** The sufficient condition for the WLLN is weaker than $E|Y_i| < \infty$. Since $E|Y_i| = \int_0^\infty P(|Y_i| > t) \, dt$, $E|Y_i| < \infty$ implies that $tP(|Y_i| > t) \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$. For example, if $Y_i$ has a symmetric distribution with $P(Y_i > t) = A/t((\log t)^p$ for $p < 1$, then the conditions hold with $E|Y_i| = \infty$.

**Remark 3.5.** To see that the established conditions on $W_i(f_c)$ are needed in addition to the conditions on $Y_1$, consider the following example. Let $P(\tau_1 = 2) = 1$ and let $f(t) = Z_k$ for $2k - 2 \leq t < 2k - 1$ and $f(t) = -Z_k$ for $2k - 1 \leq t < 2k$, where $\{Z_k : k \geq 1\}$ is a sequence of i.i.d. random variables. Then $P(Y_1 = 0) = P(S_n = 0$ for all $n) = 1$, while $C(2k - 1) = Z_k = W_k$. Then apply Propositions 5–8 below.

### 4. Supporting propositions

In this section we present several basic propositions that help establish and interpret Theorem 1. The first four propositions show how the conditions on $Y_i(f_c) = Y_i(f - \alpha) \equiv Y_i(f) - \alpha \tau_1$ in Table 1 relate to conditions on $Y_i(f)$, $\tau_1$ and $\alpha$. 
Proposition 1. If $E|Y_1(f_c)| < \infty$ holds for some $\alpha$, then it holds for all $\alpha$, in which case $EY_1(f_c) = EY_1(f) - \alpha E\tau_1$. □

Proposition 2. A sufficient (but not necessary) condition for $E|Y_1(f_c)|^p < \infty$ for $p > 1$ is to have $E|Y_1(f)|^p < \infty$ and $E\tau_1^p < \infty$. □

Proposition 3. A WLLN holds for the partial sums of $Y_i(f_c)$ for one $\alpha$ if and only if it does for all $\alpha$. Moreover, the limit is $\gamma$ for $Y_i(f - \alpha_1)$ if and only if it is $\gamma - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\lambda^{-1}$ for $Y_i(f - \alpha_2)$.

Proposition 4. (a) If

$$tP(|Y_1(f_c)| > t) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty$$

for some $\alpha$, then it holds for all $\alpha$.

(b) If

$$E[Y_1(f) - \alpha_1\tau_1; |Y_1(f) - \alpha_1\tau_1| \leq t] \to \gamma \quad \text{as } t \to \infty,$$

then

$$E[Y_1(f) - \alpha_2\tau_1; |Y_1(f) - \alpha_2\tau_1| \leq t] \to \gamma - (\alpha_2 - \alpha_1)\lambda^{-1} \quad \text{as } t \to \infty.$$

Proof. We use Proposition 3 plus the fact that the conditions in Proposition 4 are known to be N&S for the WLLN for partial sums of i.i.d. random variables; see Feller (1971, p. 235). □

The conditions on $W_1(f_c)$ in Table 1 can be established, explained and applied via the following propositions.

Proposition 5. Let $\{Z_i: i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let $\phi(t)$ be a deterministic function of $t$ such that $\phi(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to \infty$. Then

$$\phi(n)^{-1} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{|Z_i|\} \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad (4.1)$$

if and only if

$$tP(|Z_1| > \phi(t)) \to 0 \quad \text{as } t \to \infty. \quad (4.2)$$

The following is a consequence of the Borel-Cantelli lemma; see Theorems 4.2.2 and 4.2.4 of Chung (1974).
Proposition 6. Let \( \{Z_i: i \geq 1\} \) be a sequence of i.i.d. random variables and let \( a_n \) be constants such that \( a_n \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \). Then the following are equivalent:

\begin{enumerate}
\item \( Z_n/a_n \to 0 \) w.p.1 as \( n \to \infty \).
\item \( \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{|Z_k|/a_n \to 0 \) w.p.1 as \( n \to \infty \).
\item \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|Z_i| > a_n) < \infty \).
\end{enumerate}

If these properties do not hold, then \( \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \{Z_n/a_n\} = \infty \) w.p.1. 

As a consequence of Proposition 6, we have:

Proposition 7. In the setting of Proposition 6, if \( a_n = n \), then a further equivalent property is \( E|Z_i| < \infty \).

For results related to the following proposition, see Lemma 2.1 of Gut (1978).

Proposition 8. Let \( c \) be a constant, \( 0 < c < 1 \). For any positive random variable \( Z \),

\[
P(Z^2 > nL_2n) \leq P\left(\frac{Z^2}{L_2Z} > n\right) \leq P(Z^2 > cnL_2n)
\]

for all sufficiently large \( n \), so that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(Z > \sqrt{nL_2n}) < \infty \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\frac{Z^2}{L_2Z} > n\right) < \infty.
\]

We now show that the second remainder term \( R_2(t) \) in (1.7) is asymptotically negligible in the setting of the WLLN and CLT, because \( E\tau_1 < \infty \). The asymptotic negligibility follows from convergence without further normalization, for which we must distinguish between the lattice and nonlattice cases. Recall that the distribution of \( \tau \) is lattice if \( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} P(\tau = k\delta) = 1 \) for some \( \delta \), with the largest such \( \delta \) being the span; otherwise it is nonlattice.

Proposition 9. (a) If \( \tau \) has a nonlattice distribution, then \( R_2(t) \Rightarrow R_2(\infty) \) as \( t \to \infty \), where \( R_2(t) \) is in (1.7) and \( R_2(\infty) \) is a proper random variable with distribution function

\[
P(R_2(\infty) \leq x) = \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} P(R_2(t) \leq x; \tau_1 > t) \, dt.
\]

(b) If \( \tau \) has a lattice distribution with period \( \delta \), then \( R_2(k\delta + y) \Rightarrow R_y(\infty) \) as \( k \to \infty \) for each \( y \), \( 0 \leq y < \delta \), and \( \sup_{0 \leq y < \delta} \{|R_2(k\delta + y)|\} \Rightarrow R'(\infty) \) as \( k \to \infty \) where \( R_y(\infty) \) and \( R'(\infty) \) are all proper random variables.
Proof. (a) We apply the key renewal theorem; see Asmussen (1987, p. 120). For this purpose, let \( g \) be a continuous nonnegative real-valued function of a real variable with \( g(t) \leq M \) for all \( t \). Note that \( E[g(R_2(t))] \) satisfies a renewal equation, i.e.,

\[
E[g(R_2(t))] = E[g(R_2(t))1_{(\tau_1 > t)}] + \int_0^t E[g(R_2(t-u))]P(\tau_1 \in du). \tag{4.4}
\]

Where \( 1_A \) is the indicator function of the set \( A \). Let \( z(t) = E[g(R_2(t))1_{(\tau_1 > t)}] \). We now show that \( z \) is directly Riemann integrable, so that we can apply the key renewal theorem. For this purpose, we apply Proposition 4.1(ii) of Asmussen (1987, p. 119). Since \( z(t) \leq M \), the function \( z \) is bounded. Moreover, \( b(t) = g(R_2(t))1_{(\tau_1 > t)} \) as a function of \( t \) has a single discontinuity at \( \tau_1 \) for each sample path. Hence, the function \( b \) is continuous w.p.1 at all points \( t \) for which \( P(\tau_1 = t) = 0 \). By the bounded convergence theorem, \( z(t) = E b(t) \) is thus continuous at all \( t \) for which \( P(\tau_1 = t) = 0 \). Since \( P(\tau_1 = t) = 0 \) for all but countably many \( t \), \( z \) is continuous almost everywhere with respect to Lebesgue measure. Next, let

\[
z_h(t) = \sup \{ z(y): kh \leq y \leq (k+1)h \}
\]

as on p. 118 of Asmussen (1987). Since \( z(t) \leq P(\tau_1 > t) \),

\[
\int_0^\infty z_h(t) \, dt \leq \sum_{k=0}^\infty P(\tau_1 > h) < \infty
\]

by Proposition 7 above. Hence, we have shown that \( z \) is indeed directly Riemann integrable. The key renewal theorem thus implies that \( E[g(R_2(t))] \to \lambda \int_0^\infty z(u) \, du \) as \( t \to \infty \). However, all bounded continuous nonnegative functions \( g \) determine convergence, so indeed \( R_2(t) \to R_2(\infty) \) as \( t \to \infty \). Moreover, we can characterize the limiting distribution using these functions \( g \), so that (4.3) holds.

(b) The argument is essentially the same; we apply discrete-time renewal theory along subsequences; see Asmussen (1987, pp. 8 and 121). \( \square \)

Under our i.i.d. conditions, functional versions of the WLLN and CLT for the partial sums are equivalent to the ordinary versions. For this purpose, we can apply Theorem 2.7 of Skorohod (1957), which we now quote.

**Proposition 10** (Skorohod, 1957). Let \( \{ U_n: i \geq 1 \} \) be i.i.d. for each \( n \) and let \( Z_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor nt \rfloor} U_n, \ t \geq 0 \). Then

\[
Z_n(t) \Rightarrow Z(t) \quad \text{as} \ n \to \infty \quad \text{in} \ D[0, \infty),
\]

where \( Z \) has stationary independent increments, if and only if \( Z_n(t) \Rightarrow Z(t) \) as \( n \to \infty \) in \( \mathbb{R} \) for each \( t \). \( \square \)

5. A joint central limit theorem

In this section we consider a joint CLT for the cumulative process \( C \) and the counting process \( N \). We obtain a necessity result in the case \( E|Y| < \infty \), which holds when \( f \) is nonnegative; necessity in the general case remains open.
Remark 5.1. Even without the i.i.d conditions, limits for the counting process $N$ alone hold if and only if the corresponding limit holds for the associated partial sums; see Section 7 of Whitt (1980), Theorems 3 and 6 of Glynn and Whitt (1988a), Theorem 1 of Glynn and Whitt (1988b) and Theorem 4.1 of Massey and Whitt (1993). For example, as a consequence, $N$ satisfies a CLT if and only if $E\tau_1^2 < \infty$. For this we apply Theorem 6 of Glynn and Whitt (1988) and Theorem 4, of Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968, p. 181).

We start with a necessary condition for the WLLN when $f$ is nonnegative.

Theorem 2. Suppose that $f$ is nonnegative. Then a N&S condition for the WLLNs for $S_n$, $S_{N(t)}$ and $C(t)$ is $E|Y_i| < \infty$.

Proof. If $f$ is nonnegative, then $Y_i = W_i$, so that $E|Y_i| < \infty$ is sufficient for the three SLLNs by Theorem 1. If $f$ is nonegative, then the N&S condition for the WLLN for $S_n$ in Table 1 is equivalent to $E|Y_i| < \infty$. By Proposition 9, the WLLNs for $S_{N(t)}$ and $C(t)$ are equivalent. Hence, suppose that $C(t)$ obeys a WLLN; and $E|Y_i| = \infty$. Since $f \geq 0$, we can apply the SLLN to conclude that

$$n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(f) \to \infty \quad \text{w.p.1 as } n \to \infty \quad (5.1)$$

(see Exercise 1 of Chung, 1974, p. 130), from which we can deduce from the SLLN proof in Theorem 1 that

$$t^{-1} \int_{0}^{t} f(X(s)) \, ds \to \infty \quad \text{w.p.1 as } t \to \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

(Recall that $W_i = Y_i$ when $f > 0$.) Hence, (5.1) cannot hold and we must have $E|Y_i(f)| < \infty$. \qed

Remark 5.2. An alternative approach to Theorem 2 (pointed out by A. Pukholskii) is to note that the WLLN for $C(t)$ implies the FWLLN because the sample paths are nondecreasing. This argument also depends critically on $f$ being nonnegative.

We now state N&S conditions for the joint CLT.

Theorem 3. (a) If $E[\tau_1^2] < \infty$ and $E[Y_i(f)^2] < \infty$, then $(C(t), N(t))$ obeys a joint CLT, i.e.,

$$t^{-1/2}(C(t) - \alpha t, N(t) - \lambda t) \Rightarrow N(0, \Sigma) \quad \text{as } t \to \infty \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2 \quad (5.3)$$

where $\lambda = 1/E\tau_1$, $\alpha = \lambda EY_i(f)$ and $N(0, \Sigma)$ is a bivariate normal distribution with covariance matrix elements $\Sigma_{11} = \lambda E[Y_i(f)^2]$, $\Sigma_{22} = \lambda^3 \text{Var } \tau_1$ and $\Sigma_{12} = \lambda^2 E[Y_i(f) \tau_1]$.

(b) If $E|Y_i| < \infty$, then the joint CLT (5.3) implies that $E[Y_i(f)^2] < \infty$ and $E[\tau_1^2] < \infty$. 

Proof. (a) the sufficiency is a minor extension of Theorem 1 of Glynn and Whitt (1987). (b) Turning to the necessity, we reverse the argument and note that (5.3) implies
\[ t^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} (Y_i(f) - EY_i(f)) - N(t) - \lambda t \right) \Rightarrow N(0, \Sigma), \] (5.4)
because the difference is asymptotically negligible, by virtue of Proposition 9. Now we apply Theorem 7(a) of Glynn and Whitt (1988a), for which we use the assumption that \( E[Y_i] < \infty \). It implies that
\[ t^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{N(t)} Y_k(f_c) - \sum_{k-1}^{[\lambda t]} Y_k(f_c) \right) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad t \to \infty, \] (5.5)
which with the converging-together theorem, Theorem 4.1 of Billingsley (1968), implies that the partial sums of \( Y_i(f_c) \) obey a CLT. As before, Theorem 4 of Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968, p. 181) then implies that \( E[Y_i(f_c)^2] < \infty \). By Remark 5.1, the CLT for \( N \) implies that \( E[\tau_i^2] < \infty \). □

6. Proof of Theorem 1

We treat the theorems in order of their appearance in Table 1.

6.1. SLLN

The condition \( E[Y_i] < \infty \) is well known to be N&S for the partial sums \( S_n \) and the random sums \( S_{N(t)} \); see e.g., Chung (1974, p. 126). Since
\[ |C(t) - S_{N(t)}| \leq |R_1(t)| + |R_2(t)| \leq |R_1(t)| + W_{N(t)+1}, \] (6.1)
by (1.4) and (1.7), and
\[ \frac{N(t)+1}{t} W_{N(t)+1} = \frac{W_{N(t)+1}}{t}, \] (6.2)
Proposition 7 implies that \( E[Y_i] < \infty \) and \( EW_i < \infty \) are sufficient for the cumulative process \( C(t) \) to obey the SLLN. To establish the necessity for \( C(t) \), suppose that \( t^{-1}C(t) \to \gamma \) w.p.1 as \( t \to \gamma \), where \( 0 < \gamma < \infty \). First, since
\[ \frac{C(T_k)}{T_k} = \frac{R_1(T_k)}{T_k} + \frac{S_k}{T_k} \] (6.3)
and (1.8) is equivalent to \( k^{-1}T_k \to \lambda^{-1} \) w.p.1 as \( k \to \infty \), we see that then \( n^{-1}S_n \to \lambda^{-1} \gamma \) w.p.1 as \( n \to \infty \), which implies that \( E[Y_i] < \infty \) and \( \gamma = \lambda E[Y_1] \). Next suppose that \( EW_i = \infty \). Then, by Proposition 7, \( \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} n^{-1}W_n > 0 \) w.p.1 (indeed, even \( \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} n^{-1}W_n = \infty \) w.p.1), so that there is a sequence of random times \{\beta_k: k \geq 1\} such that \( T_{n_k} \equiv \beta_k < T_{n_k+1} \) and
\[ \lim \sup_{k \to \infty} \beta_k^{-1} \int_{t_{n_k}}^{t_{n_k}} f(X(s)) \, ds > 0, \] (6.4)
so that
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \beta_k^{-1} \int_0^{\beta_k} f(X(s)) \, ds > \lim_{k \to \infty} T_k^{-1} \int_0^{T_k} f(X(s)) \, ds = EY_1; \tag{6.5}
\]
i.e., then \( t^{-1} C(t) \) fails to converge w.p.1 as \( t \to \infty \), so that assuming \( EW_t = \infty \) leads to a contradiction.

6.2. LIL

The condition \( E[Y_1^2(f_c)] < \infty \) is well known to be N&S for the partial sums of \( Y_i(f_c) \) to obey the LIL with \( \beta = \text{Var} Y_1(f_c) \); see Strassen (1966), Heyde (1968) and Stout (1974, pp. 297–298). Since
\[
\frac{S_{N(t)}}{\sqrt{2N(t)L_2N(t)}} = \frac{S_{N(t)}}{\sqrt{2tL_2t}} \left( \frac{tL_2t}{N(t)L_2N(t)} \right) \tag{6.6}
\]
and (1.8) implies that
\[
\frac{tL_2t}{N(t)L_2N(t)} \to \lambda^{-1} \quad \text{w.p.1 as} \quad t \to \infty, \tag{6.7}
\]
the LIL holds for the partial sums if and only if it does for the random sums; for \( S_{N(t)} \), \( \beta = \lambda \text{Var} Y_1(f_c) \). By (6.1), we establish sufficiency for the cumulative process if we show that \( W_{N(t)+1}(f_c)/\sqrt{tL_2t} \to 0 \) w.p.1 as \( t \to \infty \). By (6.7), it suffices to show that
\[
\frac{W_n(f_c)}{nL_2n} \to 0 \quad \text{w.p.1 as} \quad n \to \infty. \tag{6.8}
\]

Proposition 7 with the condition on \( W_n(f_c) \) implies that \( W_n(f_c)/nL_2W_n(f_c) \to 0 \) w.p.1 as \( n \to \infty \). Propositions 6–8 then imply (6.8).

Turning to the necessity, from the LIL for \( C(t) \), we obtain the LIL for the partial sums themselves by considering the subsequence of times \( \{T(n): n \geq 1\} \). By the known converse of the LIL for the partial sums, we deduce that we must have \( E[Y_1^2(f_c)] < \infty \). Finally, if the moment condition on \( W_n(f_c) \) is violated, then, by Proposition 7,
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P \left( \frac{W_n(f_c)}{L_2W_n(f_c)} > n \right) = \infty. \tag{6.9}
\]

By Proposition 8 and Borel–Cantelli,
\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{W_n(f_c)}{\sqrt{nL_2n}} > 0 \quad \text{w.p.1.} \tag{6.10}
\]

As in the necessity for the SLLN in (6.4) and (6.5), (6.10) implies that there are random times \( \beta_k \) with \( T_{n_i} < \beta_k < T_{n_i+1} \) such that
\[
\limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta_k L_2 \beta_k}} \int_0^{\beta_k} f_c(X(s)) \, ds \geq \limsup_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{T_k L_2 T_k}} \int_0^{T_k} f_c(X(s)) \, ds = \text{Var} Y_1(f_c).\]
6.3. FLIL

In our i.i.d. setting, the sufficient condition for the LIL implies the FLIL for the partial sums of $Y_i(f_c)$; see Strassen (1964). Since the FLIL implies the ordinary LIL, by virtue of the continuous mapping applied to the projection at time 1, the N&S condition for the LIL for the partial sums is N&S for the FLIL for the partial sums. By (1.8) the SLLN holds for $N(t)$. As before, the SLLN for $N(t)$ is equivalent to a FSLLN of the form

$$\frac{N(nt)}{n} \rightarrow \lambda t \quad \text{w.p.1 in } D[0, \infty) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.11)$$

Using the random time change by $N(nt)/n$ in $D[0, \infty)$, which is a continuous map (see Section 17 of Billingsley, 1968, or Whitt, 1980), we obtain

$$\frac{S_{N(nt)}}{\sqrt{2nL_2n}} \rightarrow C' \quad \text{w.p.1 in } D[0, \infty) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.12)$$

where $C'$ is the set of $y$ in $D[0, \infty)$ such that $y(t) = x(\lambda t)$, $t \geq 0$, for $x$ in $C$, and $C$ is the limit set associated with the partial sums. As before, the FLIL for the random sums implies the ordinary LIL, which we saw in part (1) implies the LIL for the partial sums.

To establish the FLIL for the cumulative process $C(t)$, we apply the moment condition on $W_i(f_c)$. With this moment condition, Propositions 6-8 imply that

$$\left(\frac{nL_2n}{n}\right)^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \{W_k(f_c)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{w.p.1 as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.13)$$

Then (6.11) and (6.13) imply that

$$\left(\frac{nL_2n}{n}\right)^{-1/2} \max_{1 \leq k \leq N(nt) + 1} \{W_k(f_c)\} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{w.p.1 as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (6.14)$$

Given the FLIL for the cumulative process, (6.2) and (6.14) imply the FLIL for $C(t)$.

Turning to the necessity for the cumulative process, we obtain the FLIL for the partial sums by considering the times $T(nt)/n$. (The first remainder term is obviously asymptotically negligible.) Hence, $E[Y_i^2(f_c)] < \infty$ is a necessary condition. Since

$$\frac{T(N(nt))}{n} \rightarrow t \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty) \quad \text{w.p.1 as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (6.15)$$

we have the joint limit

$$\left(\frac{nL_2n}{n}\right)^{-1/2} \left(\int_0^{nt} f_c(X(s)) \, ds, \int_0^{T(N(nt))} f_c(X(s)) \, ds\right) \rightarrow (C, C) \quad \text{w.p.1} \quad (6.16)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $D[0, \infty) \times D[0, \infty)$. Hence, the normalized difference converges to 0, i.e.,

$$\left(\frac{nL_2n}{n}\right)^{-1/2} \int_{T(nt)}^{nt} f_c(X(s)) \, ds \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty) \quad \text{w.p.1 as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (6.17)$$
or, equivalently, (6.14) holds, which in turn is equivalent to (6.13) given (6.11). By Propositions 6–8, (6.13) implies the moment condition on $W_i(f)$.\par

6.4. WLLN

The stated conditions for the partial sums in Table 1 are known to be N&S; see Theorem 1 of Feller (1971, p. 235). By Proposition 10, the WLLN implies the FWLLN for the partial sums in this setting. Alternatively, it is not difficult to show that the conditions are N&S for the FWLLN directly. Since the FWLLN implies the WLLN, we only need demonstrate sufficiency. Instead of (7.4) and (7.5) on p. 234, 235 of Feller (1971), we write

$$P\left(\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} |S_{[nr]} - tm'_n| > nx\right)$$

$$\leq P\left(\max_{1 \leq k < n} |S'_k - km'_n| > nx\right) + P(S_k \neq S'_k \text{ for some } k, 1 \leq k \leq n)$$

$$\leq \frac{E(X^2_1)}{nx^2} + nP(|X_1| > s_n)$$

using Kolmogorov’s inequality in the second step. The rest of the argument is the same.

The FWLLN for the partial sums in turn implies the FWLLN for the random sums, by virtue of a random-time change argument (as in Section 17 of Billingsley, 1968, or Section 3 of Whitt, 1980). The FWLLN for the random sums implies the ordinary WLLN. By applying the continuous projection map at $t = 1$. (Alternatively, the WLLN for the random sums follows directly from the WLLN for the partial sums; see Theorem 10.1 of Révész (1968, p. 148).)

Finally, the WLLN for the random sums is equivalent to the WLLN for the cumulative processes by (6.1) and Proposition 9. In particular, since $|R_i(t)| \leq W_0$, $R_i(t)/t \Rightarrow 0$ as $t \to \infty$; Proposition 9 implies that $R_i(t)/t \Rightarrow 0$.

6.5. FWLLN

The sufficiency for the partial sums and random sums follows from the argument in Subsection 6.4. Given the FWLLN for the random sums, the FWLLN for the cumulative process follows from the extra condition on $W_i(f)$, Proposition 5 with $Z_i = W_i(f)$ and $\phi(t) = t$, and (6.1). Then, by a random time change argument,

$$n^{-1}W_{N(nt)+1}(f) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty)$$

(6.18)

but, by (6.1),

$$\sup_{0 \leq r < 1} \{ |n^{-1}S_{[nr]} - n^{-1}C(nt)| \} \leq n^{-1}W_0 + n^{-1} \max_{1 \leq k < N(n)+1} \{ W_k(f) \}. \quad (6.19)$$

We now turn to necessity. Given the FWLLN for the random sums, we obtain the FWLLN for the partial sums by applying the converse to continuity for composition, i.e., Theorem 3.3 of Whitt (1980). We have already seen that the FWLLN
for the partial sums implies the condition on $Y_t(f_c)$. For the cumulative process, first we apply a random time change argument to get the FWLLN for the partial sums, which in turn implies the condition on $Y_t(f_c)$. In particular, $n^{-1}T(nt) \to \lambda t$ as $n \to \infty$ in $D[0, \infty)$, so that

$$n^{-1}S_{[nt]} = n^{-1} \int_0^{T(nt)} f_c(X(s)) \, ds - n^{-1}R_t(nt) \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad \text{in } D[0, \infty). \quad (6.20)$$

Finally, to establish the condition on $W_t(f_c)$, we note that $T(N(nt) \to t$ as $n \to \infty$ in $D[0, \infty)$, so that

$$n^{-1} \left( \int_0^{nt} f_c(X(s)) \, ds, \int_0^{T(N(nt))} f_c(X(s)) \, ds \right) \Rightarrow (0, 0) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad (6.21)$$

in $D[0, \infty) \times D[0, \infty)$, which in turn implies that

$$n^{-1} \max_{0 \leq k \leq N(nt)} W_k(f_c) \leq \sup_{0 \leq t \leq 1} \left\{ n^{-1} \left| \int_{T(N(nt))}^{nt} f_c(X(s)) \, ds \right| \right\} \Rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty \quad (6.22)$$

which by Proposition 5 implies the condition on $W_t(f_c)$.

6.6. CLT and FCLT

By p. 181 of Gnedenko and Kolmogorov (1968), $E[Y_1^2(f_c)] < \infty$ and $E[Y_t(f_c)] = 0$ in N&S for the CLT for the partial sums. Donsker's theorem of Proposition 10 implies that this condition is also N&S for the FCLT. Given the FCLT for partial sums, we obtain the FCLT for random sums, by a random time change argument as in Section 17 of Billingsley. As usual, the FCLT for the random sums implies the ordinary CLT for random sums by applying the continuous mapping theorem with the projection at $t = 1$. Just as in Subsection 6.4, the CLT for the random sums is equivalent to the CLT for the cumulative process, because $R_t(t)/\sqrt{t} \Rightarrow 0$ and $R_2(t)/\sqrt{t} \Rightarrow 0$ as $t \to \infty$, the last by Proposition 9. The necessity result for the FCLT follows by the same reasoning as for the FWLLN in Subsection 6.5. \qed
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