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#### Abstract

First a brief survey of known facts is given. Main result of this paper: every polyhedral (i.e. 3-connected planar) graph $G$ with minimum degree at least 4 and order at least $k(k \geqslant 4)$ contains a connected subgraph on $k$ vertices having degrees (in $G$ ) at most $4 k-1$, the bound $4 k-1$ being best possible. (c) 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.


Keywords: 3-connected planar graph; Light graph; Subgraph with restricted degrees; Path

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we consider planar graphs without loops or multiple edges. For a plane graph $G$ let $V(G), E(G)$ and $F(G)$ denote the vertex set, edge set and face set, respectively, and let $v(G):=|V(G)|, e(G):=|E(G)|$ and $f(G):=|F(G)|$. For a face $\alpha \in F(G)$ let $V(\alpha)$ denote the set of vertices of $G$ that are incident upon $\alpha$. The degree of a face $\alpha \in F(G)$ is the number of edges incident upon $\alpha$ where each bridge is counted twice. For a graph $G$ let $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ denote the minimum and maximum (vertex) degree of $G$, respectively. Vertices and faces of degree $i$ are called $i$-vertices and $i$-faces, respectively. For $i \geqslant 0$ let $v_{i}(G)$ denote the number of $i$-vertices of $G$ and similarly for $i \geqslant 3$ let $f_{i}(G)$ denote the number of $i$-faces of $G$. A path (a cycle) on $k$ vertices is called a $k$-path (a $k$-cycle) and is denoted by $P_{k}=\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right]$ ( $C_{k}=\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right]$ ). For graphs $G$ and $H, G \cong H$ means that $G$ and $H$ are isomorphic. If $H$ is a (not necessarily induced) subgraph of $G$ and $H \neq G$ then $H$ is called a proper subgraph of $G$ (and $G$ a proper supergraph of $H$ ).

It is well known that every planar graph contains a vertex of degree at most 5 . Kotzig $[15,16]$ proved that every 3 -connected planar (i.e. polyhedral) graph $G$ contains

[^0]an edge with degree sum of its endvertices at most 13 in general and at most 11 if $\delta(G) \geqslant 4$; moreover these bounds are best possible. These results have been further extended in various directions, see, e.g., $[6,9,19]$.

In generalizing Kotzig's theorem, there are, among others, the following two possibilities.

### 1.1. Fixed subgraphs

Let $\mathscr{G}$ be a family of polyhedral graphs and let $H$ be a connected planar graph that is isomorphic to a proper subgraph of at least one member of $\mathscr{G}$. Let $\varphi(\mathscr{G}, H)$ be the smallest integer such that every $G \in \mathscr{G}$ that is a proper supergraph of $H$ contains a subgraph $K$ isomorphic to $H$ with

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \leqslant \varphi(\mathscr{G}, H) \quad \text { for every vertex } x \in V(K)
$$

If such a $\varphi(\mathscr{G}, H)$ exists we call the graph $H$ light in the family $\mathscr{G}$, otherwise we say that $H$ is not light in $\mathscr{G}$ and write $\varphi(\mathscr{G}, H)=\infty$.

Let $\mathscr{G}(c, \delta)$ be the family of all $c$-connected planar graphs with minimum degree at least $\delta$ and set $\varphi(c, \delta ; H)=\varphi(\mathscr{G}(c, \delta), H)$.

The following is a brief survey of known results; Theorems 6 and 7(i)-(iii) belong to this survey too.

Theorem 1 (Fabrici and Jendrol' [4]). (i) $H$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(3,3)$ if and only if $H \cong P_{k}$, $k \geqslant 1$.
(ii) $\varphi\left(3,3 ; P_{k}\right)=5 k, k \geqslant 1$.

Theorem 2 (Fabrici et al. [3]). (i) $H$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(3,4)$ if and only if $H \cong P_{k}$, $k \geqslant 1$.
(ii) $\varphi\left(3,4 ; P_{k}\right)=5 k-7, k \geqslant 8$.

Theorem 3. (i) ([4]) $P_{k}(k \geqslant 1)$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.
(ii) ([17]) $C_{3}$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.
(iii) ([11]) $K_{1,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ are light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.
(iv) $([12]) C_{k}(k \geqslant 11)$ is not light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.
(v) ([12]) If $\Delta(H) \geqslant 6$ or $H$ contains a block on at least 11 vertices then $H$ is not light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.
(vi) $([14]) 5 k-235 \leqslant \varphi\left(3,5 ; P_{k}\right) \leqslant 5 k-7, k \geqslant 68$.
(vii) $([1]) \varphi\left(3,5 ; C_{3}\right)=7$.
(viii) $([11]) \varphi\left(3,5 ; K_{1,3}\right)=7, \varphi\left(3,5 ; K_{1,4}\right)=10$.

Theorem 4. (i) ([18]) $H$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(4,4)$ if and only if $H \cong P_{k}, k \geqslant 1$.
(ii) ([8]) $2 k+2 \leqslant \varphi\left(4,4 ; P_{k}\right) \leqslant 2 k+3, k \geqslant 1$.

Theorem 5. (i) ([4]) $P_{k}(k \geqslant 1)$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(4,5)$ and $\mathscr{G}(5,5)$.
(ii) ([17]) $C_{3}$ is light in $\mathscr{G}(4,5)$ and $\mathscr{G}(5,5)$.
(iii) ([11]) $K_{1,3}$ and $K_{1,4}$ are light in $\mathscr{G}(4,5)$ and $\mathscr{G}(5,5)$.
(iv) ([8]) $k+1 \leqslant \varphi\left(4,5 ; P_{k}\right) \leqslant k+4, k \geqslant 1$.
(v) ([8]) $\lfloor(2 k+8) / 3\rfloor \leqslant \varphi\left(5,5 ; P_{k}\right) \leqslant k+4, k \geqslant 1$.

For similar results about planar graphs with restricted minimum face size see, e.g., [7] and [13].

### 1.2. Connected subgraphs of order $k$

Let $k \geqslant 1$ be an integer and let $\mathscr{G}$ be a family of polyhedral graphs with at least one member of order at least $k$. Let $\tau(\mathscr{G}, k)$ be the smallest integer such that every graph $G \in \mathscr{G}$ of order at least $k$ contains a connected subgraph $H$ of order $k$ with

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \leqslant \tau(\mathscr{G}, k) \quad \text { for every vertex } x \in V(H)
$$

Set $\tau(c, \delta ; k)=\tau(\mathscr{G}(c, \delta), k)$. The following is known:
Theorem 6 (Fabrici and Jendrol' [5]). (i) $\tau(3,3 ; 1)=5$,
(ii) $\tau(3,3 ; 2)=10$,
(iii) $\tau(3,3 ; k)=4 k+3, k \geqslant 3$.

Instead of asking for bounds on the degrees of all vertices (i.e. on the maximum degree), one may ask for similar bounds on the degree sum of a fixed subgraph $H$ or a connected subgraph of order $k$. Several cases of such problems have been solved in [2,4,11,18].

## 2. Results

The first three values of $\tau$ for the family $\mathscr{G}(3,4)$ are already known, for $k=1$ and $k=2$ these are easy consequences of Euler's formula and Kotzig's result, respectively, and the third value was found by Jendrol' [10]. In (iv) the remaining values are determined.

Theorem 7. (i) $\tau(3,4 ; 1)=5$,
(ii) $\tau(3,4 ; 2)=7$,
(iii) $\tau(3,4 ; 3)=9$,
(iv) $\tau(3,4 ; k)=4 k-1, k \geqslant 4$.

Theorem 3(v) is improved by the next result.
Theorem 8. If $H$ is a connected planar graph with $\Delta(H) \geqslant 5$ then it is not light in $\mathscr{G}(3,5)$.

From Theorem 5(iii) it follows that the lower bound 5 in the above inequality is best possible.
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## 3. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 7(iv). I. To prove $\tau(3,4 ; k) \geqslant 4 k-1$ it is enough to exhibit a 3 -connected plane graph $\tilde{W}$ of order at least $k$ with $\delta(\tilde{W}) \geqslant 4$ in which any connected subgraph of order $k$ contains a vertex of degree at least $4 k-1$.

The construction starts with the graph $Z$ (Fig. 1). Let $P:=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{8}\right]$ and $Q:=\left[v_{1}, \ldots, v_{8}\right]$ be paths of $Z$ as drawn in Fig. 1. Let $W$ (Fig. 2) be the graph obtained from $2 k$ copies $\left(Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{2 k}\right)$ of $Z$ by identifying the path $Q$ of $Z_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 2 k)$ with $P$ of $Z_{i+1}$ (subscript addition is taken modulo $2 k$ ). $W$ has only vertices of degree 5 or 6 , except for two vertices of degree $2 k$.

Now-using the configurations $R_{k}$ and $S_{k}$ (Figs. 3(a), (b) and, for small $k$, Figs. 3(c)-(e)), both containing $k-1$ (black) vertices-we replace each 3-face of $W$ with one of these configurations as shown for one copy of $Z$ in Fig. 4 (for $k \geqslant 6$ ) to obtain the graph $\tilde{W}$. The numbers in Fig. 4 determine the configurations that replace (i.e. are inserted into) the 3 -faces of $W$ and their orientations. The numbers in each triangle denote the numbers of edges of $R_{k}$ (or $S_{k}$ ) incident at the vertices of the triangle. There is an analogous construction when $k=4$ or 5, involving Figs. 3(c), (d).
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Fig. 4.
Every connected subgraph $H$ of $\tilde{W}$ of order $k$ contains a (white) vertex of $W$ and it is easy to check that all vertices of $W$ have degree (in $\tilde{W}$ ) at least $4 k-1$.
II. It remains to show that $\tau(3,4 ; k) \leqslant 4 k-1$ for $k \geqslant 4$. Suppose there is a $k \geqslant 4$ such that $\tau(3,4 ; k) \geqslant 4 k$. Let $G$ be a counterexample with $n$ vertices and a maximum number of edges, say $m$. A vertex $x \in V(G)$ is said to be a major vertex or a minor vertex if $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \geqslant 4 k$ or $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)<4 k$, respectively.

Property 1. $G$ is a triangulation.
Proof. Assume that $G$ contains an $r$-face $\alpha, r \geqslant 4$. If $\alpha$ is incident upon a major vertex $x$ we add a diagonal $x y$ into $\alpha$, where $y$ is a vertex incident upon $\alpha$ but not adjacent


Fig. 5.
to $x$. Since the insertion of the diagonal $x y$ cannot create a minor component (i.e. a component of the graph induced by the set of minor vertices) of order at least $k$, we get a counterexample with $m+1$ edges, a contradiction. If $\alpha$ is incident only upon minor vertices, all these belong to the same minor component and we can again add a diagonal into $\alpha$ and obtain a counterexample with $m+1$ edges. This is a contradiction.

Let $M=M(G)$ be the plane graph induced by the set of major vertices of $G$ and let $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{\omega}(\omega \geqslant 1)$ be the components of $M$.

Property 2 (almost obvious). There is some component of $M$, say $M_{1}$, such that all other components of $M$ are subgraphs of the same component of $G-V\left(M_{1}\right)$.

Let $G^{\star}$ be the component of $G-\left(V\left(M_{2}\right) \cup \cdots \cup V\left(M_{\omega}\right)\right)$ that contains $M_{1}$ as a subgraph (where, possibly, $G^{\star} \notin \mathscr{G}(3,4)$ ).

Property 3. For each vertex $x$ of $M_{1}$ we have $\operatorname{deg}_{G^{\star}}(x)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x)$.
For $\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$ let $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$ be the (minor) subgraph induced by all those vertices of $V\left(G^{\star}\right) \backslash V\left(M_{1}\right)$ that lie in the interior of $\alpha$; clearly, $G$ being a triangulation, $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$ is connected. Let $T_{\alpha}$ be the subgraph of $G^{\star}$ induced by $V\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \cup V(\alpha)$.

Property 4. For each face $\alpha$ of $M_{1}$ we have $v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \leqslant k-1$.
For $\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right), x \in V\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $x \in V(\alpha)$, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m(x, \alpha)=\left|\left\{u \in V\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right): u x \in E\left(G^{\star}\right)\right\}\right| ; \\
& m(\alpha)=\sum_{x \in V(\alpha)} m(x, \alpha) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. For any $\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$ we have $m(\alpha) \leqslant 2 k-4+\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)$.
Proof. We can assume that the boundary of $\alpha$ is a cycle. Otherwise (Fig. 5(a)) we can cut every bridge and every articulation point of $\alpha$ (only for the purposes of this proof), as shown in Fig. 5(b). The graph $T_{\alpha}$ has $v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)$ vertices and
$e\left(T_{\alpha}\right) \leqslant 3 v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-6-\left(\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)-3\right)=3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-3+2 \operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)$ edges. Hence, $m(\alpha)=$ $e\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)-e\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \leqslant 3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-3+2 \operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)-\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)-\left(v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-1\right)=$ $2 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-2+\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha) \leqslant 2 k-4+\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)$.

Lemma 2 (Fabrici et al. [3]). For any triangle $\alpha=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$, such that $T_{\alpha}$ is a triangulation, we have $m\left(x_{i}, \alpha\right) \leqslant k-2$, for all $i \in\{1,2,3\}$.

We omit the (easy but somewhat lengthy) proof of this fact. The main idea is this: if there were a vertex $x_{i} \in V(\alpha)$ adjacent to all vertices of $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$, then there would be a vertex $y \in G_{\alpha}^{\star}$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{\alpha}^{\star}}(y)=\operatorname{deg}_{G}(y) \leqslant 3$, contradicting $\delta(G) \geqslant 4$.

Lemma 3. For any triangle $\alpha=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$ we have $m(\alpha) \leqslant 2 k-3$, for $k \geqslant 5$.
Proof. If $v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \leqslant 3$ then $m(\alpha) \leqslant 6<2 k-3$. So suppose $v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \geqslant 4$. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1: If $T_{\alpha}$ is not a triangulation, then $e\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \geqslant v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)$ (because the graph $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$ is connected and contains a cycle). It has $v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+3$ vertices and $e\left(T_{\alpha}\right) \leqslant 3 v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-7=3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+2$ edges, which yields $m(\alpha)=e\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-3-e\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \leqslant$ $3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+2-3-v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)=2 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-1 \leqslant 2 k-3$ (by Property 4).

Case 2: If $T_{\alpha}$ is a triangulation, each 1-vertex $u$ of $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$ (i.e. $\operatorname{deg}_{G_{\alpha}^{\star}}(u)=1$ ) is adjacent to all three vertices of $\alpha$ (because of $\delta(G) \geqslant 4$ ). Hence, there is at most one 1 -vertex in $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$.

2(a). Is there some 1 -vertex in $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$, then (without loss of generality) $m\left(x_{1}, \alpha\right)=1$ and by Lemma 2 we have $m\left(x_{2}, \alpha\right), m\left(x_{3}, \alpha\right) \leqslant k-2$ which implies $m(\alpha) \leqslant 2 k-3$.

2(b). Is there no 1-vertex in $G_{\alpha}^{\star}$, then $e\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \geqslant v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+1$ (because of Property 1). The graph $T_{\alpha}$ has now $v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+3$ vertices and $e\left(T_{\alpha}\right)=3 v\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-6=3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+3$ edges, which yields $m(\alpha)=e\left(T_{\alpha}\right)-3-e\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right) \leqslant 3 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+3-3-\left(v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)+1\right)=2 v\left(G_{\alpha}^{\star}\right)-$ $1 \leqslant 2 k-3$ (by Property 4 ).

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x \in V\left(M_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(x)=2 e\left(M_{1}\right)=\sum_{\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)=\sum_{i \geqslant 3} i f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Euler's polyhedral formula provides

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(M_{1}\right) \leqslant 3 v\left(M_{1}\right)-6, \quad f\left(M_{1}\right) \leqslant 2 v\left(M_{1}\right)-4 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

First consider the case $k \geqslant 5$. Using (1), (2) and Lemmas 1 and 3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x \in V\left(M_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \\
& =\sum_{x \in V\left(M_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{G^{\star}}(x)=\sum_{x \in V\left(M_{1}\right)} \operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(x)+\sum_{\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right)} m(\alpha)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leqslant 2 e\left(M_{1}\right)+\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right) \\
\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha) \geqslant 4}}\left(2 k-4+\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)\right)+\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right) \\
\operatorname{deg}_{M_{1}}(\alpha)=3}}(2 k-3) \\
& =2 e\left(M_{1}\right)+(2 k-4) \sum_{i \geqslant 3} f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)+\sum_{i \geqslant 3} i f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)-2 f_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& =4\left(3 e\left(M_{1}\right)-2 e\left(M_{1}\right)\right)+(2 k-4) f\left(M_{1}\right)-2 f_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& =4\left(3 v\left(M_{1}\right)+3 f\left(M_{1}\right)-6-\sum_{i \geqslant 3} i f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)+(2 k-4) f\left(M_{1}\right)-2 f_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& =12 v\left(M_{1}\right)-24-4 \sum_{i \geqslant 3}(i-3) f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)+(2 k-4) f\left(M_{1}\right)-2 f_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& \leqslant 12 v\left(M_{1}\right)-24-2 \sum_{i \geqslant 4} f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)+(2 k-4) f\left(M_{1}\right)-2 f_{3}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& =12 v\left(M_{1}\right)-24+(2 k-6) f\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& \leqslant 12 v\left(M_{1}\right)-24+(2 k-6)\left(2 v\left(M_{1}\right)-4\right) \\
& =4 k v\left(M_{1}\right)-8 k .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that there is a vertex $\tilde{x} \in V\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(\tilde{x}) \leqslant\left(4 k v\left(M_{1}\right)-8 k\right) /$ $v\left(M_{1}\right)=4 k-(8 k) / v\left(M_{1}\right)<4 k$, which is a contradiction because $\tilde{x}$ is a major vertex.

Finally consider the case $k=4$. For each triangle $\alpha=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$ Lemma 2 im plies $m\left(x_{i}, \alpha\right) \leqslant 2$. For any $r$-face $\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right), r \geqslant 4$, there are at most 2 vertices of $\alpha$ with $m(x, \alpha)=3$ (otherwise there is a $K_{3,3} \subseteq G^{\star}$, a contradiction to planarity) and for each other vertex $y$ of $\alpha$ we have $m(y, \alpha) \leqslant 2$. Each major vertex $x$ of $G$ has $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \geqslant 4 k=16$. That means, there is no 3-vertex in $M_{1}$, for each 4-vertex $x$ of $M_{1}$ and each of the four faces incident upon $x$ we must have $m(x, \alpha)=3$ and, eventually, each 5 -vertex $x$ of $M_{1}$ must be incident upon some face $\alpha \in F\left(M_{1}\right)$, such that $m(x, \alpha)=3$. Let $A$ denote the number of pairs $(x, \alpha)$ such that $x \in V(\alpha)$ and $m(x, \alpha)=3$. By the preceding arguments

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \sum_{i \geqslant 4} f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant A \geqslant 4 v_{4}\left(M_{1}\right)+v_{5}\left(M_{1}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A well-known formula for connected planar graphs says that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \geqslant 3}(6-i) v_{i}+2 \sum_{i \geqslant 3}(3-i) f_{i}=12 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$
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which, in connection with $v_{3}\left(M_{1}\right)=0$, implies

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 v_{4}\left(M_{1}\right)+v_{5}\left(M_{1}\right) \geqslant & 2 v_{4}\left(M_{1}\right)+v_{5}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
= & 12+\sum_{i \geqslant 6}(i-6) v_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)+2 \sum_{i \geqslant 3}(i-3) f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right) \\
& >2 \sum_{i \geqslant 4} f_{i}\left(M_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

contradicting inequality (3).
Proof of Theorem 8. For each connected planar graph $H$ with $\Delta(H) \geqslant 5$ and for each integer $k \geqslant 6$ we shall find a graph $G \in \mathscr{G}(3,5)$, a proper supergraph of $H$, such that each subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to $H$ contains a vertex $x$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{G}(x) \geqslant k$.
The construction starts with any triangulation $T_{H}$ of the graph $H$. Into each 3face $\alpha=x y z \in F\left(T_{H}\right)$ we insert two vertex-disjoint cycles $C_{k}=\left[u_{1}, \ldots, u_{k}\right]$ and $\tilde{C}_{k}=$ $\left[\tilde{u}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{u}_{k}\right]$, a new vertex $w$ and edges $u_{i} \tilde{u}_{i}, u_{i} \tilde{u}_{i+1}, w \tilde{u}_{i}(i=1, \ldots, k$, subscript addition is taken modulo $k$ ) and $x u_{1}, \ldots, x u_{\lfloor k / 3\rfloor+1}, y u_{\lfloor k / 3\rfloor+1}, \ldots, y u_{2\lfloor k / \beta\rfloor+1}, z u_{2\lfloor k / 3\rfloor+1}, \ldots, z u_{k}, z u_{1}$. The resulting graph is denoted by $G$ (Fig. 6).

It is easy to see that for the graph $N$ induced by the set of all vertices of $G$ whose degree does not exceed $k-1$ we have $\Delta(N) \leqslant 4$, which means that any subgraph of $G$ isomorphic to $H$ contains a vertex of $G-N$.
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