



ELSEVIER

Available online at [www.sciencedirect.com](http://www.sciencedirect.com)

SCIENCE @ DIRECT®

European Journal of Combinatorics 27 (2006) 193–206

European Journal  
of Combinatorics[www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/ejc)

# Suborbital graphs for the normalizer of $\Gamma_0(m)$

Refik Keskin

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey*

Received 12 August 2003; accepted 30 September 2004

Available online 4 January 2005

---

## Abstract

In this study, we characterize all circuits in the suborbital graph for the normalizer of  $\Gamma_0(m)$  when  $m$  is a square-free positive integer. We propose a conjecture concerning the suborbital graphs.

© 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

MSC: 46A40; 05C05; 20H10

---

## 1. Introduction

Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $\Gamma_1(m)$  be the normalizer of the congruence subgroup  $\Gamma_0(m)$  of the modular group in  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ . The normalizer  $\Gamma_1(m)$  was studied by various authors (see [6,7] and the references there). A necessary and sufficient condition for  $\Gamma_1(m)$  to act transitively on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$  is given in [6]. In [1], the authors investigated the suborbital graph for the modular group on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  and so conjectured that the suborbital graph  $G(\infty, u/n)$  is a forest if and only if  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains no triangles where  $n > 1$ . Then, in [3], the author proved that the conjecture is true. In [4], we investigated the suborbital graph for the Hecke group  $H(\sqrt{m})$  on the set of cusps of  $H(\sqrt{m})$  where  $H(\sqrt{m})$  is the Hecke group generated by the mappings

$$z \rightarrow z + \sqrt{m}, z \rightarrow -1/z, \quad m = 1, 2, 3.$$

---

*E-mail address:* [rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr](mailto:rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr).

We showed that the length of a circuit in  $G(\infty, \frac{u}{n}\sqrt{m})$  is no larger than the orders of the elliptic elements of  $H(\sqrt{m})$  when  $n > 1$ . In this study, we are interested in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  when  $m$  is a square-free positive integer and we investigate the circuits in the suborbital graph for the normalizer  $\Gamma_1(m)$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We characterize all the circuits in the suborbital graph  $G(\infty, u/n)$  when  $n > 1$  (see Section 3 for the definition of the suborbital graph  $G(\infty, u/n)$ ). When  $n > 1$ , we showed that any circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  is in the form

$$v \rightarrow T(v) \rightarrow T^2(v) \rightarrow T^3(v) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v) \rightarrow v$$

for a unique elliptic element  $T$  in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  of order  $k$  and for some  $v \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then we propose a conjecture concerning the suborbital graphs.

### 2. The action of $\Gamma_1(m)$ on $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$

A complete description of the elements of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  is given in [10]. If we represent the elements of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  by the associated matrices, then the normalizer consists exactly of the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} ae & b/h \\ cm/h & de \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $e|(m/h^2)$  and  $h$  is the largest divisor of 24 for which  $h^2|m$  with the understanding that the determinant of the matrix is  $e > 0$ , and that  $(e, m/h^2e) = 1$ . The following theorem is proved in [6].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $m$  have prime power decomposition  $2^{\alpha_1}3^{\alpha_2}p_3^{\alpha_3} \dots p_r^{\alpha_r}$ . Then  $\Gamma_1(m)$  acts transitively on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  if and only if  $\alpha_1 \leq 7, \alpha_2 \leq 3, \alpha_i \leq 1, i = 3, 4, \dots, r$ .*

If  $m$  is a square-free positive integer, then  $h = 1$ . Therefore we give the following (see also [7]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $m$  be a square-free positive integer. Then we have*

$$\Gamma_1(m) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{q} & b/\sqrt{q} \\ cm/\sqrt{q} & d\sqrt{q} \end{pmatrix} : 1 \leq q, q|m; a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}; adq - bcm/q = 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $m$  be a square-free positive integer. Then, in view of the above theorem, the following theorem holds. (Here, for the sake of completeness, we give a simple proof.)

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $m$  be a square-free positive integer. Then  $\Gamma_1(m)$  acts transitively on the set  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$  of the cusps of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  where we represent  $\infty$  as  $\frac{1}{0} = \frac{-1}{0}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $k/s \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $(k, s) = 1$ . Let  $q_1 = (s, m)$ . Then  $s = s^*q_1$  for some integer  $s^*$ . Since  $m$  is square-free,  $(s, m/q_1) = 1$ . Thus we have  $(s, km/q_1) = 1$ . Therefore there exist two integers  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $(m/q_1)ky - sx = 1$ . Let  $q_2 = m/q_1$  and let

$$T(z) = \frac{k\sqrt{q_2}z + x/\sqrt{q_2}}{s\sqrt{q_2}z + y\sqrt{q_2}}.$$

Then it is easily seen that  $T \in \Gamma_1(m)$  and  $T(\infty) = k/s$ . Thus the proof follows.  $\square$

Let  $(m, n) = 1$  and let  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  be defined by

$$\Gamma_0^*(n) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a\sqrt{q} & b/\sqrt{q} \\ cm/\sqrt{q} & d\sqrt{q} \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(m) : c \equiv 0 \pmod{n} \right\}.$$

Then  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  and  $\Gamma_0(mn) \subset \Gamma_0^*(n) \subset \Gamma_1(m)$ .

Let  $(G, X)$  be a transitive permutation group, and suppose that  $R$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .  $R$  is said to be  $G$ -invariant if  $(x, y) \in R$  implies  $(g(x), g(y)) \in R$  for all  $g \in G$ . The equivalence classes of a  $G$ -invariant relation are called blocks.

We now give a lemma from [2].

**Lemma 1.** *Suppose that  $(G, X)$  is a transitive permutation group, and  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that, for some  $x \in X, G_x \subset H$ . Then*

$$R = \{(g(x), gh(x)) : g \in G, h \in H\}$$

*is an equivalence relation. Furthermore,  $R = \Delta$ , the diagonal of  $X \times X \Leftrightarrow H = G_x$ , and  $R = X \times X \Leftrightarrow H = G$ .*

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(G, X)$  be a transitive permutation group, and  $\approx$  the  $G$ -invariant equivalence relation defined in Lemma 1; then  $g_1(\alpha) \approx g_2(\alpha)$  if and only if  $g_1 \in g_2H$ . Furthermore, the number of blocks is  $|G : H|$ .*

Let  $G = \Gamma_1(m)$  and  $X = \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . In this case  $G_\infty = \langle T \rangle$  where  $T(z) = z + 1$ . It is clear that  $G_\infty \subset \Gamma_0^*(n) \subset G$ . Let  $\approx$  be the relation defined in Lemma 1, and assume that  $r/s, x/y \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Then according to Theorem 2.3, there exist  $T, S \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $T(\infty) = r/s, S(\infty) = x/y$  where

$$T(z) = \frac{r\sqrt{q_1}z + *}{(s\sqrt{q_1})z + *}, \quad S(z) = \frac{x\sqrt{q_2}z + *}{(y\sqrt{q_2})z + *}$$

for some divisors  $q_1$  and  $q_2$  of  $m$ . Therefore,  $r/s \approx x/y$  if and only if  $T(\infty) \approx S(\infty)$  if and only if  $T^{-1}S \in \Gamma_0^*(n)$ . We then see that  $T^{-1}S \in \Gamma_0^*(n)$  if and only if  $r/s \approx x/y$  if and only if  $ry - sx \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . The number of equivalence classes under  $\approx$  is  $|\Gamma_1(m) : \Gamma_0^*(n)|$ . We give the following from [11].

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then the index  $|\Gamma_1(m) : \Gamma_0^*(n)|$  of  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  is*

$$|\Gamma : \Gamma_0(n)| = n \prod_{p|n} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p}\right).$$

### 3. The suborbital graph for $\Gamma_1(m)$ on $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$

Let  $(G, X)$  be a transitive permutation group. Then  $G$  acts on  $X \times X$  by

$$g(\alpha, \beta) = (g(\alpha), g(\beta)) \quad (g \in G, \alpha, \beta \in X).$$

The orbits of this action are called suborbitals of  $G$ . The orbit containing  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is denoted by  $O(\alpha, \beta)$ . From  $O(\alpha, \beta)$  we can form a suborbital graph  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ : its vertices are the elements of  $X$ , and there is a directed edge from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  if  $(\gamma, \delta) \in O(\alpha, \beta)$ .

A directed edge from  $\gamma$  to  $\delta$  is denoted by  $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$  or  $\delta \leftarrow \gamma$ . If  $(\gamma, \delta) \in O(\alpha, \beta)$ , then we will say that there exists an edge  $\gamma \rightarrow \delta$  in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ .

Clearly  $O(\beta, \alpha)$  is also a suborbital, and it is either equal to or disjoint from  $O(\alpha, \beta)$ . In the former case,  $G(\alpha, \beta) = G(\beta, \alpha)$  and the graph consists of pairs of oppositely directed edges. It is convenient to replace each such pair by a single undirected edge, so that we have an undirected graph which we call self-paired. In the latter case,  $G(\beta, \alpha)$  is just  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  with the arrows reversed, and we call  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  and  $G(\beta, \alpha)$  paired suborbital graphs.

The above ideas were first introduced by Sims [8], and are also described in a paper by Neuman [5] and in the books by Tsuzuku [9] and by Bigg and White [2], the emphasis being on applications to finite groups.

If  $\alpha = \beta$ , then  $O(\alpha, \alpha)$  is the diagonal of  $X \times X$ . The corresponding suborbital graph  $G(\alpha, \alpha)$ , called the trivial suborbital graph, is self-paired: it consists of a loop based at each vertex  $x \in X$ . We will be mainly interested in the remaining non-trivial suborbital graphs.

We now investigate the suborbital graphs for the action of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Since  $\Gamma_1(m)$  acts transitively on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ , each non-trivial suborbital graph contains a pair  $(\infty, u/n)$  for some  $u/n \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Furthermore, it can be easily shown that  $O(\infty, u/n) = O(\infty, v/n)$  if and only if  $u \equiv v \pmod{n}$ . Therefore, we may suppose that  $u \leq n$  where  $(u, n) = 1$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *There is an isomorphism  $G(\infty, u/n) \rightarrow G(\infty, (n - u)/n)$  given by  $v \rightarrow 1 - v$ .*

**Proof.** It is clear that  $v \rightarrow 1 - v$  is one-to-one and onto. Suppose that there exists an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ . Then  $(r/s, x/y) \in O(\infty, u/n)$  and therefore there exists an element  $S$  in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $S(\infty) = r/s$  and  $S(u/n) = x/y$ . Let  $\Psi(z) = 1 - z$ . Then  $\Psi S \Psi \in \Gamma_1(m)$ . Moreover, we get

$$\Psi S \Psi(\infty) = \Psi S(\infty) = \Psi(r/s) = 1 - r/s$$

and

$$\Psi S \Psi((n - u)/n) = \Psi S(u/n) = \Psi(x/y) = 1 - x/y.$$

Then  $(1 - r/s, 1 - x/y) \in O(\infty, (n - u)/n)$ . This shows that there exists an edge  $1 - r/s \rightarrow 1 - x/y$  in  $G(\infty, (n - u)/n)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose  $(m, n) = 1$ . Then there exists an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  if and only if*

$$\frac{m}{q} | s, q | y, ry - sx = \mp n, \text{ and } x \equiv \mp qur \pmod{n}, y \equiv \mp qus \pmod{n}$$

for some divisor  $q$  of  $m$ .

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ . Then  $(r/s, x/y) \in O(\infty, u/n)$ , and therefore, there exists  $T \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $T(\infty) = r/s$  and  $T(u/n) = x/y$ . Suppose that

$$T(z) = \frac{a\sqrt{q}z + b/\sqrt{q}}{(cm/\sqrt{q})z + d\sqrt{q}}, adq - bcm/q = 1$$

for some  $q|m$ . Then we have  $a/(cm/q) = r/s$  and  $(auq + bn)/(cmu + dqn) = x/y$ . Since  $(a, cm/q) = 1$ , there exists  $i \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $a = (-1)^i r$ ,  $cm/q = (-1)^i s$ . On the other

hand, since  $(m, n) = 1$ , we see that  $(q, auq + bn) = 1$ . Moreover, since

$$d(auq + bn) - b(ucm/q + dn) = u,$$

and

$$aq(ucm/q + dn) - cm/q(auq + bn) = n,$$

it follows that  $(auq + bn, cmu + dqn) = 1$ . Thus there exists  $j \in \{0, 1\}$  such that  $(-1)^j x = auq + bn$ ,  $(-1)^j y = cmu + dqn$ . Hence we obtain the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cm/q & dq \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & uq \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (-1)^i r & (-1)^j x \\ (-1)^i s & (-1)^j y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

Taking determinants in (3.1) we see that  $n = (-1)^{i+j}(ry - sx)$ . Furthermore, we have  $x \equiv (-1)^{i+j} qur \pmod{n}$  and  $y \equiv (-1)^{i+j} qus \pmod{n}$ . So,  $ry - sx = \mp n$ , and  $x \equiv \mp qur \pmod{n}$ ,  $y \equiv \mp qus \pmod{n}$ . In addition, since  $cm/q = (-1)^i s$  and  $(-1)^j y = q(ucm/q + dn)$ , we have  $\frac{m}{q}|s$  and  $q|y$ .

Now suppose that for some divisors  $q$  of  $m$ ,  $q|y$ ,  $\frac{m}{q}|s$ ,  $\varepsilon(ry - sx) = n$ , and  $x \equiv \varepsilon qur \pmod{n}$ ,  $y \equiv \varepsilon qus \pmod{n}$  where  $\varepsilon = \mp 1$ . Then, we have  $\varepsilon x = qur + bn$ ,  $\varepsilon y = qus + kn$  for some integers  $k$  and  $b$ . Since  $m|sq$ ,  $sq = cm$  for some integer  $c$ . On the other hand, since  $q|y$  and  $(q, n) = 1$ , we see that  $q|k$ . This shows that  $\varepsilon y = qus + qdn$  for some integer  $d$ . Thus we obtain the matrix equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} r & b \\ s & dq \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & uq \\ 0 & n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r & \varepsilon x \\ s & \varepsilon y \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.2}$$

Taking determinants in (3.2) we get  $(rdq - sb)n = \varepsilon(ry - sx) = n$ . Thus  $rdq - sb = 1$ . By using  $s = cm/q$ , we obtain  $rdq - bcm/q = 1$ . If we take

$$T(z) = \frac{r\sqrt{q}z + b/\sqrt{q}}{(cm/\sqrt{q})z + d\sqrt{q}},$$

then we have  $T(\infty) = r/s$  and  $T(u/n) = (rqu + bn)/(mcu + dqn) = x/y$ . So, we see that  $(r/s, x/y) \in O(\infty, u/n)$ . Therefore there is an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ .  $\square$

From now on, unless otherwise stated, we will assume that  $(m, n) = 1$ .

**Corollary 1.** *There exists an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$  if and only if  $ry - sx = \mp 1$ , and  $q|s$ ,  $\frac{m}{q}|y$  for some  $q|m$ . In particular, if  $k$  is an integer, then there is an edge  $k \rightarrow \infty = \frac{1}{0}$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$ .*

Now let us represent the edges of  $G(\infty, u/n)$  as hyperbolic geodesics in the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ , that is, as Euclidean semi-circles or half-lines perpendicular to the real line. Then we have

**Lemma 3.** *No edges of  $G(\infty, 1)$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $r_1/s_1 \rightarrow x_1/y_1$  be an edge in  $G(\infty, 1)$ . Then  $T(\infty) = r_1/s_1$  and  $T(1) = x_1/y_1$  for some  $T \in \Gamma_1(m)$ . Let  $S(z) = z + 1$ . Then  $TS(\infty) = r_1/s_1$  and  $TS(0) = x_1/y_1$ . Since any element of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  preserves the geodesics, we may suppose that the edges  $0 \rightarrow \infty$  and  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ . But this is impossible, since  $ry - sx = \pm 1$ .  $\square$

In Section 2, we introduced for each integer  $n$ , an  $\Gamma_1(m)$ -invariant equivalence relation  $\approx$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$  with  $r/s \approx x/y$  if and only if  $ry - sx \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . If there is an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ , then this implies that  $ry - sx = \mp n$ . So,  $r/s \approx x/y$ . Thus each connected component of  $G(\infty, u/n)$  lies in a single block for  $\approx$ .

Let  $F(\infty, u/n)$  denote the subgraph of  $G(\infty, u/n)$  whose vertices form the block  $[\infty] = \{x/y : y \equiv 0 \pmod{n}\}$ .

Since  $\Gamma_1(m)$  acts transitively on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ , it permutes the blocks transitively. It can be easily seen that the subgraphs whose vertices form the blocks are all isomorphic.

**Theorem 3.3.** *There is an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $F(\infty, u/n)$  if and only if*

$$\frac{m}{q} |s, q|y, ry - sx = \mp n \text{ and } x \equiv \mp qur \pmod{n}$$

for some divisor  $q$  of  $m$ .

**Lemma 4.** *There is an isomorphism  $F(\infty, u/n) \rightarrow F(\infty, (n - u)/n)$  given by  $v \rightarrow 1 - v$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\Psi$  be as in Theorem 3.1. If  $r/s \in [\infty]$ , then  $1 - r/s = (s - r)/s \in [\infty]$ . The proof then follows.  $\square$

Let us represent the edges of  $F(\infty, u/n)$  as hyperbolic geodesics in the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 5.** *No edges of  $F(\infty, u/n)$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the edges  $r/sn \rightarrow x/yn$  and  $r'/s'n \rightarrow x'/y'n$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then  $ry - sx = \mp 1$ , and  $\frac{m}{q} |sn, q|yn$  for some  $q|m$ . Also,  $r'y' - s'x' = \mp 1$ , and  $\frac{m}{q} |s'n, q'|y'n$ . Since  $(m, n) = 1$ ,  $\frac{m}{q} |s, q|y$ , and  $\frac{m}{q} |s', q'|y'$ . Therefore, the edges  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  and  $r'/s' \rightarrow x'/y'$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ . This is impossible by Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.** *There does not exist any integer between two adjacent vertices in  $F(\infty, u/n)$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists an edge  $r/sn \rightarrow x/yn$  in  $F(\infty, u/n)$  and assume that  $k$  lies between the vertices. Then  $kn$  lies between the adjacent vertices  $r/s$  and  $x/y$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$ . There is also an edge  $kn \rightarrow \infty$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$ . But, this is impossible by Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $(m, n) > 1$ . Then there exists an edge  $r/s \rightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  if and only if*

$$ry - sx = \mp \frac{n}{q_1}, \frac{q}{q_1} |y, m|sq,$$

and

$$x \equiv \mp \frac{q}{q_1} ru \pmod{\frac{n}{q_1}}, \quad y \equiv \mp \frac{q}{q_1} su \pmod{n \frac{q}{q_1}}$$

for some divisor  $q$  of  $m$  with  $q_1 = (q, n)$ .

**Proof.** The proof is similar.  $\square$

#### 4. Circuits in $G(\infty, u/n)$

Let  $(G, X)$  be a transitive permutation group and let  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  be a suborbital graph. If  $v \rightarrow w$  or  $w \rightarrow v$  in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  we represent this as  $v \rightleftharpoons w$ . By a circuit of length  $n$  we will mean  $n$  vertices  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n$  such that  $v_i \neq v_j$  for  $i \neq j$ , and  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons v_n \rightleftharpoons v_1$  where  $n \geq 3$ . A circuit of length 3 is called a triangle. A graph which contains no circuit is called a forest. If  $G$  has an element of finite order  $n$ , then it is easy to construct a circuit of length  $n$ . Assume that  $T$  is of finite order  $n$  and  $\alpha \in X$  for which  $T(\alpha) \neq \alpha$ . Then  $G(\alpha, \beta)$  is a non-trivial suborbital graph where  $\beta = T(\alpha)$ . We can construct a circuit of length  $n$  as follows. It is obvious that there exists an edge  $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$  in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that if  $w \rightarrow v$  is an edge in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ , then  $T(w) \rightarrow T(v)$  is an edge in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ . Thus we obtain the circuit  $\alpha \rightarrow T(\alpha) \rightarrow T^2(\alpha) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{n-1}(\alpha) \rightarrow \alpha$  in  $G(\alpha, \beta)$ .

It is easy to see that  $G(\infty, 1)$  contains many circuits. For instance, if  $n$  is odd, then  $\infty \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 1/2 \rightarrow 1/3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow 1/(n-1) \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \infty$  is a circuit of length  $n$  in  $G(\infty, 1)$  where  $G(\infty, 1)$  is the suborbital graph for the action of  $\Gamma_1(2)$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ . Moreover,  $\infty \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow 2/3 \rightarrow 1/2 \rightarrow 1/3 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \infty$  is a circuit of length 6 in  $G(\infty, 1)$  where  $G(\infty, 1)$  is the suborbital graph for the action of  $\Gamma_1(3)$  on  $\hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

We describe some circuits in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  when  $n > 1$ . We know that any element of finite order of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{C})$  is an elliptic element and that any elliptic element of any discrete subgroup of  $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$  is of finite order. To construct a circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  for some  $u/n$ , we may consider elliptic elements of  $\Gamma_1(m)$ . Moreover, we know from [6] (see also [7]) that the orders of the elliptic elements of  $\Gamma_1(m)$  may be 2, 3, 4, or 6. Let

$$T(z) = \frac{2z - 1}{3z - 1}, \quad S(z) = \frac{-3\sqrt{2}z + 5/\sqrt{2}}{-5\sqrt{2}z + 4\sqrt{2}}, \quad U(z) = \frac{-2\sqrt{3}z + 1/\sqrt{3}}{-7\sqrt{3}z + \sqrt{3}}.$$

Then  $T \in \Gamma_1(3)$ ,  $S \in \Gamma_1(2)$ ,  $U \in \Gamma_1(3)$ , and  $T^3 = S^4 = U^6 = I$ . Therefore

$$\infty \rightarrow T(\infty) \rightarrow T^2(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

is a triangle in  $G(\infty, T(\infty))$ ,

$$\infty \rightarrow S(\infty) \rightarrow S^2(\infty) \rightarrow S^3(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

is a circuit of length 4 in  $G(\infty, S(\infty))$ , and

$$\infty \rightarrow U(\infty) \rightarrow U^2(\infty) \rightarrow U^3(\infty) \rightarrow U^4(\infty) \rightarrow U^5(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

is a circuit of length 6 in  $G(\infty, U(\infty))$ . That is,

$$\infty \rightarrow 2/3 \rightarrow 1/3 \rightarrow \infty$$

is a triangle in  $G(\infty, 2/3)$ ,

$$\infty \rightarrow 3/5 \rightarrow 7/10 \rightarrow 4/5 \rightarrow \infty$$

is a circuit of length 4 in  $G(\infty, 3/5)$ , and

$$\infty \rightarrow 2/7 \rightarrow 5/21 \rightarrow 13/14 \rightarrow 4/21 \rightarrow 1/7 \rightarrow \infty$$

is a circuit of length 6 in  $G(\infty, 2/7)$ . In the following we prove our main theorems.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $(m, n) = 1$  and  $n > 1$ . Then any circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  is in the form*

$$v \rightarrow T(v) \rightarrow T^2(v) \rightarrow T^3(v) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v) \rightarrow v$$

for a unique elliptic mapping  $T$  of order  $k$  and for some  $v \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Proof.** Assume that  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains a circuit. Let this circuit be in the form  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow v_k \leftrightarrow v_1$  where each  $v_j$  is different from the others. Since  $(v_1, v_2) \in O(\infty, u/n)$ , there exists some  $S \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $S(\infty) = v_1$ , and  $S(u/n) = v_2$ . By applying  $S^{-1}$  to the above circuit and taking  $w_i = S^{-1}(v_i)$ , we obtain a circuit  $C$  in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \leftrightarrow w_3 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow w_{k-1} \leftrightarrow w_k \leftrightarrow \infty$$

where  $w_1 = \infty, w_2 = u/n$ . Since  $\infty \in [\infty]$ , we see that the edges of the above circuit lie in  $[\infty]$ . Since no edges of  $F(\infty, u/n)$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ , either  $u/n < w_3 < \dots < w_{k-1} < w_k$  or  $u/n > w_3 > \dots > w_{k-1} > w_k$ .

Suppose that  $u/n < w_3 < \dots < w_{k-1} < w_k$ . Let  $w_k = x/yn > u/n$  and suppose that  $\infty \rightarrow x/yn$  in  $F(\infty, u/n)$ . Then  $1/0 \rightarrow x/yn$ , so  $yn - 0r = n$ . That is,  $y = 1$ . Since  $1/0 \rightarrow x/n$ , we see that  $(m/q)|0$  and  $q|n$  for some  $q|m$ . Thus  $q = 1$  and therefore  $x \equiv u \pmod{n}$ . Then  $x = u + bn$  for some integer  $b > 0$ . This shows that  $x/n = u/n + b$ , which implies that there exists an integer  $a$  in the interval  $(u/n, x/n)$ . Therefore,  $a$  must lie between two adjacent vertices of the above circuit  $C$ . But this is impossible by Lemma 6. Therefore,  $w_k \leftarrow \infty$  is impossible and thus we have  $w_k \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $r/sn \rightarrow \infty$  be an edge in  $F(\infty, u/n)$ , then it is seen that  $s = 1$ . Since  $r/n \rightarrow 1/0$ ,  $(m/q)|n$  and  $q|0$  for some  $q|m$ . Thus we see that  $q = m$ . Therefore  $1 \equiv -rmu \pmod{n}$ . Since  $w_k = x/yn \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $y = 1$  and  $1 + xmu \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Let  $w_k = x/n = (u + k_0)/n, k_0 \geq 1$ . Then, we have  $1 + mu(u + k_0) \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Thus the mapping

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{m}z + (mu(u + k_0) + 1)/n\sqrt{m}}{-n\sqrt{m}z + (u + k_0)\sqrt{m}}$$

is in  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  and  $\varphi(\infty) = u/n, \varphi((u + k_0)/n) = \varphi(w_k) = \infty$ . Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{u + \frac{x}{y}}{n}\right) = \frac{u + \frac{y}{m(k_0y - x)}}{n}.$$

Since  $\varphi$  is increasing and  $u/n < \varphi(u/n)$ , we see that

$$u/n < \varphi(w_3) < \dots < \varphi(w_{k-1}).$$

By applying the mapping  $\varphi$  to the circuit  $C$ ,

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \leftrightarrow w_3 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow w_{k-1} \leftrightarrow w_k \rightarrow \infty,$$

we obtain another circuit  $C^*$  in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow \varphi(u/n) \leftrightarrow \varphi(w_3) \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow \varphi(w_{k-1}) \rightarrow \infty$$

of the same length. Let  $\varphi(w_{k-1}) = r/n$ . Then since  $r/n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have  $1 \equiv -rmu \pmod{n}$ . Since  $1 \equiv -xmu \pmod{n}$ , we get  $mxu \equiv mru \pmod{n}$ . Since  $(mu, n) = 1$ ,



we obtain  $x \equiv r \pmod n$ . Thus  $x/n = r/n + b$  for some integer  $b$ . If  $r/n$  is different from  $x/n$ , then  $b \neq 0$ , so there exists an integer  $a$  between  $r/n$  and  $x/n$ . Firstly, assume that  $r/n < x/n$ . Then either  $r/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C$  or there exist two adjacent vertices  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  in  $C$  such that  $w_j < r/n < w_{j+1}$ . Assume that  $w_j < r/n < w_{j+1}$ . Then the edges  $r/n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $w_j \rightleftharpoons w_{j+1}$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is impossible by Lemma 5. If  $r/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C$ , then the integer  $a$  must lie between two adjacent vertices of the circuit  $C$ . But this is impossible by Lemma 6. Now assume that  $x/n < r/n$ . Then either  $x/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C^*$ , or there exist two adjacent vertices  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  in  $C$  such that  $\varphi(w_j) < x/n < \varphi(w_{j+1})$ . The same argument gives a contradiction. Therefore  $r/n = x/n$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(w_{k-1}) = w_k$ . Now assume that  $\varphi^i(w_{k-i}) = w_k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and then we show that  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) = w_k$ . Since  $w_{k-s-1} \rightleftharpoons w_{k-s}$  and  $\varphi^s(w_{k-s}) = w_k$ , we have  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s}) = \varphi(w_k) = \infty$ . By applying  $\varphi$  to the circuit  $C$ ,  $s + 1$  times, we get the circuit

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow \varphi(u/n) \rightarrow \varphi^2(u/n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \dots \rightleftharpoons \varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) \rightleftharpoons \infty.$$

A similar argument shows that  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) = w_k$ . Now we show that

$$\varphi^k(\infty) = \infty, \varphi^k(u/n) = u/n, \text{ and } \varphi^k(w_k) = w_k.$$

Taking  $i = k - 1$ , we obtain  $w_k = \varphi^{k-1}(w_1) = \varphi^{k-1}(\infty)$ . Thus  $\varphi^k(\infty) = \varphi(w_k) = \infty$ . Moreover,  $\varphi^k(u/n) = \varphi^k(\varphi(\infty)) = \varphi(\varphi^k(\infty)) = \varphi(\infty) = u/n$  and  $\varphi^k(w_k) = \varphi^{k-1}(\varphi(w_k)) = \varphi^{k-1}(\infty) = \varphi^{-1}(\infty) = w_k$ . Therefore  $\varphi^k$  has three different fixed points and this implies that  $\varphi^k$  is the identity mapping. So  $\varphi$  is an elliptic element of the order  $k$ . Since  $\varphi$  is elliptic,  $k_0 = 1$  and  $m \leq 3$ . On the other hand, since  $\varphi$  is injective and  $\varphi^i(w_{k-i}) = w_k = \varphi^{i+1}(w_{k-i-1})$ , we see that  $\varphi(w_{k-i-1}) = w_{k-i}$ . Thus it can be seen that  $w_i = \varphi^{i-1}(\infty)$ . Moreover, we see that our circuit is in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow w_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{k-1} \rightarrow w_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Therefore the circuit  $C$  is of the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \varphi(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^2(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^3(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi^{k-1}(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

for the elliptic mapping  $\varphi$  of order  $k$  where

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{m}z + (mu(u + 1) + 1)/n\sqrt{m}}{-n\sqrt{m}z + (u + 1)\sqrt{m}}.$$

Then it follows that the first circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightleftharpoons v_3 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons v_k \rightleftharpoons v_1$$

is equal to the circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow T(v_1) \rightarrow T^2(v_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v_1) \rightarrow v_1$$

where  $T = S\varphi S^{-1}$  and  $T$  is an elliptic mapping in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  of order  $k$ .

Now suppose that  $u/n > w_3 > \dots > w_{k-1} > w_k$ . Then there exists a circuit in  $F(\infty, (n - u)/n)$  in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow (n - u)/n \rightleftharpoons 1 - w_3 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons 1 - w_{k-1} \rightleftharpoons 1 - w_k \rightleftharpoons \infty.$$

But the above circuit must be of the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \varphi(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^2(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^3(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi^{k-1}(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

for some elliptic element  $\varphi$  of order  $k$  and

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-(n-u)\sqrt{m}z + (m(n-u)(n-u+1)+1)/n\sqrt{m}}{-n\sqrt{m}z + (n-u+1)\sqrt{m}}.$$

Then, one can easily see that our circuit in  $F(\infty, u/n)$  must be in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \Psi\varphi\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^2\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^3\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^{k-1}\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\Psi(z) = 1 - z$ . Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\Psi\varphi\Psi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{m}z + (mu(u-1)+1)/n\sqrt{m}}{-n\sqrt{m}z + (u-1)\sqrt{m}}$$

and that  $\Psi\varphi\Psi$  is an elliptic element of order  $k$ . Thus it follows that the first circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \leftrightarrow v_3 \leftrightarrow \dots \leftrightarrow v_k \leftrightarrow v_1$$

is equal to the circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow T(v_1) \rightarrow T^2(v_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v_1) \rightarrow v_1$$

where  $T = S\Psi\varphi\Psi S^{-1}$  and  $T$  is an elliptic mapping of order  $k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.**  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains a circuit if and only if  $mu^2 \mp mu + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  and  $m \leq 3$ .

**Proof.** The first part of the theorem is obvious. Let  $mu^2 \mp mu + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  and  $m \leq 3$ . Then the mapping

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{m}z + (mu(u \mp 1) + 1)/n\sqrt{m}}{-n\sqrt{m}z + (u \mp 1)\sqrt{m}}$$

is in  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  and  $\varphi(\infty) = u/n$ . Moreover,  $\varphi$  is of finite order and the order of  $\varphi$  is equal to 4 if  $m$  is 2 and 6 if  $m = 3$ . The proof then follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.** Let  $m \leq 3$ . If  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains a circuit of length  $k$ , then  $\Gamma_0^*(n)$  contains an elliptic element of order  $k$  where  $k \geq 3$ .

We give some lemmas which will be useful in the proof of the next theorem. In what follows, we will assume that  $(m, n) > 1$ .

**Lemma 7.** Let  $r/s$  and  $x/y$  be rational numbers such that  $ry - sx = -1$ , where  $s \geq 1, y \geq 1$ . Then there exist no integers between  $r/s$  and  $x/y$ .

**Proof.** Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $r/s < k < x/y$ . Then  $r < sk$  and  $x > ky$ . Thus  $1 = sx - ry > sx - sky = s(x - ky) \geq s$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** Suppose that there is an edge  $r/sn \leftrightarrow x/y$  in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ . Then we have  $n|y$  and  $ry - snx = \mp n$ . In particular, if  $\infty \leftrightarrow x/y$ , then  $y = n$ .

**Proof.** Let  $r/sn \rightarrow x/y$  be an edge in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ . Then by **Theorem 3.4**, there exists some divisor  $q$  of  $m$  such that  $y \equiv \mp \frac{q}{q_1} snu \pmod{n \frac{q}{q_1}}$  and  $ry - snx = \mp \frac{n}{q_1}$  where  $q_1 = (q, n)$ . Then it follows that  $n|y$  and therefore  $q_1 = 1$ . This shows that  $ry - snx = \mp n$ . Now suppose that  $x/y \rightarrow r/sn$  is an edge in  $G(\infty, u/n)$ . Then by **Theorem 3.4**, there exists some divisor  $q$  of  $m$  such that  $snx - ry = \mp \frac{n}{q_1}$  and  $sn \equiv \mp yu \frac{q}{q_1} \pmod{n \frac{q}{q_1}}$  where  $q_1 = (q, n)$ . Thus we see that  $n|\frac{q}{q_1}yu$  and therefore  $n|\frac{q}{q_1}y$ , since  $(u, n) = 1$ . Then

$$\frac{q}{q_1}snx - ry \frac{q}{q_1} = \mp \frac{n}{q_1} \frac{q}{q_1}.$$

Thus it follows that  $n|(nq/q_1^2)$ , which implies that  $q_1^2|q$ . Since  $m$  is a square-free integer and  $q|m$ , we see that  $q_1 = 1$ . Therefore,  $snx - ry = \mp n$ , which implies that  $n|ry$ . Thus,  $n|y$ , since  $(n, r) = 1$ . If  $\infty \rightarrow x/y$ , then the proof is similar.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** Let  $C$  be a circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow w_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{k-1} \rightarrow w_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then there exist no integers between adjacent vertices of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$  and any rational number of the form  $a/n$  does not lie between adjacent vertices of  $C$  in  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Proof.** By **Lemma 8**, any edge of  $C$  whose vertices in  $\mathbb{Q}$  is of the form  $x/yn \rightarrow r/sn$  with  $snx - ryn = \mp n$ . Suppose that the integer  $k$  lies between  $x/yn$  and  $r/sn$ . Then  $kn$  must lie between  $x/y$  and  $r/s$ , which is impossible by **Lemma 7**. Now suppose that  $x/yn$  and  $r/sn$  are adjacent vertices of  $C$  with  $x/yn < a/n < r/sn$ . Then  $x/y < a < r/s$  and  $sx - ry = -1$ , which contradicts **Lemma 7**.  $\square$

Now let us represent the edges of  $G(\infty, u/n)$  as hyperbolic geodesics in the upper half-plane  $\mathcal{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \text{Im } z > 0\}$ . Then we have

**Corollary 5.** Let  $C$  be any circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow w_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{k-1} \rightarrow w_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then no edges of  $C$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proof.** First of all, we note that the edge  $\infty \rightarrow x/n$  and any other different edge in the form  $x/yn \rightarrow r/sn$  with  $snx - ryn = \mp n$  do not cross in  $\mathcal{U}$  by **Corollary 4**. Now suppose that the edges  $w_i \rightarrow w_{i+1}$  and  $w_j \rightarrow w_{j+1}$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since  $w_i \rightarrow w_{i+1}$ , there exists  $T \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $T(\infty) = w_i$  and  $T(u/n) = w_{i+1}$ . Applying the mapping  $T$  to the vertices of the above edges, we see that the edges  $\infty \rightarrow u/n$  and  $T^{-1}(w_j) \rightarrow T^{-1}(w_{j+1})$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ . Since the edges  $\infty \rightarrow u/n$  and  $T^{-1}(w_j) \rightarrow T^{-1}(w_{j+1})$  are in the circuit

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(\infty) \rightarrow T^{-1}(u/n) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{-1}(w_j) \\ \rightarrow T^{-1}(w_{j+1}) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{-1}(\infty), \end{aligned}$$

we have  $x/yn = T^{-1}(w_j)$  and  $r/sn = T^{-1}(w_{j+1})$  with  $rjn - snx = \mp n$ . Then the edges  $\infty \rightarrow u/n$  and  $x/yn \rightarrow r/sn$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is impossible.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(m, n) > 1$ . Then any circuit in  $G(\infty, u/n)$  is in the form

$$v \rightarrow T(v) \rightarrow T^2(v) \rightarrow T^3(v) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v) \rightarrow v$$

for a unique elliptic mapping  $T$  of order  $k$  and for some  $v \in \hat{\mathbb{Q}}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contain a circuit in the form

$$v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightleftharpoons v_3 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons v_k \rightleftharpoons v_1$$

where each  $v_j$  is different from the others. Then since  $(v_1, v_2) \in O(\infty, u/n)$ , there exists some  $S \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $S(\infty) = v_1, S(u/n) = v_2$ . Then  $S^{-1}(v_1) = \infty, S^{-1}(v_2) = u/n$ . By applying  $S^{-1}$  to the circuit and taking  $w_i = S^{-1}(v_i)$ , we obtain the circuit  $C$

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightleftharpoons w_3 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons w_{k-1} \rightleftharpoons w_k \rightleftharpoons \infty$$

where  $w_1 = \infty, w_2 = u/n$ . Since no edges of  $C$  cross in  $\mathcal{U}$ , either  $u/n < w_3 < \dots < w_{k-1} < w_k$  or  $u/n > w_3 > \dots > w_{k-1} > w_k$ . Suppose that  $u/n < w_3 < \dots < w_{k-1} < w_k$ . Let  $w_k = x/n$  and suppose that  $w_k = x/n \leftarrow \infty$ . Then  $(\infty, w_k) \in O(\infty, u/n)$ . Thus there exists  $T_1 \in \Gamma_1(m)$  such that  $T_1(\infty) = \infty$  and  $T_1(u/n) = w_k = x/n$ . Then it is seen that  $T_1(z) = z + b$  for some integer  $b$  and so  $x/n = (u/n) + b$ . Therefore, there exists an integer  $a$  between  $u/n$  and  $x/n$ . Since  $a$  is not any vertex of the above circuit  $C$ , there exist two vertices  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  such that  $w_j < a < w_{j+1}$ . But this is impossible by Corollary 4. Therefore  $w_k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus a simple calculation shows that there exists a divisor  $q$  of  $m$  such that  $m|qn$  and  $1 + xuy \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Let  $w_k = (u + k_0)/n$ . Then since  $m|qn$  and  $qu(u + k_0) + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ , the mapping

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{q}z + (qu(u + k_0) + 1)/n\sqrt{q}}{(-nq/\sqrt{q})z + (u + k_0)\sqrt{q}}$$

is in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  and  $\varphi(\infty) = u/n, \varphi(w_k) = \varphi((u + k_0)/n) = \infty$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{u + \frac{x}{y}}{n}\right) = \frac{u + \frac{y}{q(k_0y - x)}}{n}$$

for  $0 \leq x/y \neq k_0$ .

By applying  $\varphi$  to the above circuit  $C$ , we obtain another circuit  $C^*$

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow \varphi(u/n) \rightleftharpoons \varphi(w_3) \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons \varphi(w_{k-1}) \rightarrow \infty,$$

which is of the same length. Since  $\varphi$  is increasing and  $u/n < \varphi(u/n)$ , we see that  $u/n < \varphi(w_3) < \dots < \varphi(w_{k-1})$ . Let  $\varphi(w_{k-1}) = r/n$ . Since  $r/n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $w_k = x/n \rightarrow \infty$ , there exist two mappings  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  such that  $T_1(\infty) = x/n, T_1(u/n) = \infty, T_2(\infty) = r/n$ , and  $T_2(u/n) = \infty$ . Thus we get  $T_2T_1^{-1}(\infty) = T_2(u/n) = \infty$  and  $T_2T_1^{-1}(x/n) = T_2(\infty) = r/n$ . Thus we see that  $T_2T_1^{-1}(z) = z + b$  for some integer  $b$ . This implies that  $b + x/n = r/n$ . Assume that  $x/n \neq r/n$ . Then there exists an integer  $a$  between  $x/n$  and  $r/n$ . Firstly, assume that  $r/n < x/n$ . Then either  $r/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C$  or there exist two adjacent vertices  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  in  $C$  such that  $w_j < r/n < w_{j+1}$ . The case  $w_j < r/n < w_{j+1}$  is impossible by Corollary 4. If  $r/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C$ , then the integer  $a$  must lie between two adjacent vertices of  $C$ , which is impossible by Corollary 4. Now assume that  $x/n < r/n$ . Then either  $x/n$  is a vertex in the circuit  $C^*$  or there exist two adjacent vertices  $w_j$  and  $w_{j+1}$  in  $C$  such that  $\varphi(w_j) < x/n < \varphi(w_{j+1})$ . By Corollary 4, we get another contradiction. Therefore

$r/n = x/n$ , i.e.,  $\varphi(w_{k-1}) = w_k$ . Now assume that  $\varphi^i(w_{k-i}) = w_k$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ , and then we show that  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) = w_k$ . Since  $w_{k-s-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} w_{k-s}$  and  $\varphi^s(w_{k-s}) = w_k$ , we have  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) = \varphi(w_k) = \infty$ . By applying  $\varphi$  to the circuit  $C$ ,  $s + 1$  times, we get the circuit

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow \varphi(u/n) \rightarrow \varphi^2(u/n) \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \dots \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \infty.$$

A similar argument shows that  $\varphi^{s+1}(w_{k-s-1}) = w_k$ . Thus we get  $\varphi^k(\infty) = \infty$ ,  $\varphi^k(u/n) = u/n$ , and  $\varphi^k(w_k) = w_k$ . Therefore,  $\varphi^k$  is the identity mapping and thus  $\varphi$  is an elliptic mapping of order  $k$ . Since  $\varphi$  is an elliptic mapping,  $k_0 = 1$  and  $q \leq 3$ . Moreover, it can be seen that  $\varphi(w_{k-i-1}) = w_{k-i}$  and  $w_i = \varphi^{i-1}(\infty)$ . Therefore, we see that our circuit  $C$  is in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow u/n \rightarrow w_3 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow w_{k-1} \rightarrow w_k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus the circuit  $C$  is of the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \varphi(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^2(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^3(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi^{k-1}(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

for the elliptic mapping  $\varphi$  of order  $k$  where

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{q}z + (qu(u+1) + 1)/n\sqrt{q}}{(-nq/\sqrt{q})z + (u+1)\sqrt{q}}$$

$q|m$ ,  $q \leq 3$ , and  $m|qn$ . Then it follows that the first circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} v_3 \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \dots \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} v_k \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} v_1$$

is equal to the circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow T(v_1) \rightarrow T^2(v_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v_1) \rightarrow v_1$$

where  $T = S\varphi S^{-1}$  and  $T$  is an elliptic mapping in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  of order  $k$ .

Now assume that  $u/n > w_3 > \dots > w_{k-1} > w_k$ . Then there exists a circuit

$$\infty \rightarrow (n-u)/n \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} 1-w_3 \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \dots \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} 1-w_{k-1} \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} 1-w_k \stackrel{\varphi}{\mapsto} \infty$$

in  $G(\infty, (n-u)/n)$  with  $(n-u)/n < 1-w_3 < \dots < 1-w_{k-1} < 1-w_k$ . But this circuit must be of the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \varphi(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^2(\infty) \rightarrow \varphi^3(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \varphi^{k-1}(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

for the elliptic mapping  $\varphi$  of order  $k$  where

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{(n-u)\sqrt{q}z + (q(n-u)(n-u+1) + 1)/n\sqrt{q}}{(-(n-u)q/\sqrt{q})z + (n-u+1)\sqrt{q}},$$

$q|m$ ,  $q \leq 3$ , and  $m|qn$ . Then our circuit must be in the form

$$\infty \rightarrow \Psi\varphi\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^2\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^3\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Psi\varphi^{k-1}\Psi(\infty) \rightarrow \infty$$

where  $\Psi(z) = 1-z$ . Moreover, it can be seen that

$$\Psi\varphi\Psi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{q}z + (qu(u-1) + 1)/n\sqrt{q}}{(-nq/\sqrt{q})z + (u-1)\sqrt{q}}$$

and that  $\Psi\varphi\Psi$  is an elliptic element of order  $k$ . Then it follows that our first circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \stackrel{\Psi\varphi\Psi}{\mapsto} v_3 \stackrel{\Psi\varphi\Psi}{\mapsto} \dots \stackrel{\Psi\varphi\Psi}{\mapsto} v_k \stackrel{\Psi\varphi\Psi}{\mapsto} v_1$$

is equal to the circuit

$$v_1 \rightarrow T(v_1) \rightarrow T^2(v_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v_1) \rightarrow v_1$$

where  $T = S\Psi\varphi\Psi S^{-1}$  and  $T$  is an elliptic mapping in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  of order  $k$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $(m, n) > 1$ . Then  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains a circuit if and only if  $qu^2 \mp qu + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  for some divisor  $q$  of  $m$  with  $m|qn$ ,  $q \leq 3$ .*

**Proof.** The first part of the theorem is obvious. Let  $qu^2 \mp qu + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$  for some divisor  $q$  of  $m$  with  $m|qn$ ,  $q \leq 3$ . Then the mapping

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{-u\sqrt{q}z + (qu(u \mp 1) + 1)/n\sqrt{q}}{(-nq/\sqrt{q})z + (u \mp 1)\sqrt{q}}$$

is in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  and  $\varphi(\infty) = u/n$ . Moreover, it can be seen easily that  $\varphi$  is of finite order and that the order of  $\varphi$  is equal to 3, 4, and 6 when  $q$  is 1, 2, and 3 respectively. The proof then follows.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *Let  $(m, n) > 1$ . If  $G(\infty, u/n)$  contains a circuit of the length  $k$ , then  $\Gamma_1(m)$  contains an elliptic element of order  $k$ .*

At this point, it is reasonable to conjecture that

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $n > 1$  and let  $\Gamma_1(m)$  act transitively on  $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then any circuit of the length  $k$  in the suborbital graph  $G(\infty, u/n)$  is of the form*

$$v \rightarrow T(v) \rightarrow T^2(v) \rightarrow T^3(v) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow T^{k-1}(v) \rightarrow v$$

for a unique elliptic element  $T$  in  $\Gamma_1(m)$  of order  $k$  and for some  $v \in \mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ .

## References

- [1] G.A. Jones, D. Singerman, K. Wicks, The modular group and generalized Farey graphs, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 160, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991, pp. 316–338.
- [2] N.L. Bigg, A.T. White, Permutation group and combinatorial structures, London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, vol. 33, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979.
- [3] M. Akbas, On suborbital graphs for the modular group, Bull. London. Math. Soc. 33 (2001) 647–652.
- [4] R. Keskin, On suborbital graphs for some Hecke groups, Discrete Math. 234 (2001) 53–64.
- [5] P.M. Neuman, Finite permutation groups, edge coloured graphs and matrices, in: M.P.J. Curran (Ed.), Topic in Group Theory and Computation, Academic Press, London, New York, San Francisco, 1977.
- [6] M. Akbas, D. Singerman, The signature of the normalizer of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ , London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Series 165 (1992) 77–86.
- [7] C. Maclachlan, Groups of units of zero ternary quadratic forms, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 88 (1981) 141–157.
- [8] C.C. Sims, Graphs and finite permutation groups, Math. Z. 95 (1967) 76–86.
- [9] T. Tsuzuku, Finite Groups and Finite Geometries, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1982.
- [10] J.H. Conway, S.P. Norton, Monstrous moonshine, Bull. London Math. Soc. 11 (1979) 308–339.
- [11] R. Keskin, Some subgroups of the normalizer of  $\Gamma_0(m)$  (submitted for publication).