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#### Abstract

This present work is concerned with planar cracks embedded in an infinite space of one-dimensional hexagonal quasicrystals. The potential theory method together with the general solutions is used to develop the framework of solving the crack problems in question. The mode I problems of three common planar cracks (a penny-shaped crack, an external circular crack and a half-infinite crack) are solved in a systematic manner. The phonon and phason elastic fundamental fields along with some important parameters in crack analysis are explicitly presented in terms of elementary functions. Several examples are given to show the applications of the present fundamental solutions. The validity of the present solutions is discussed both analytically and numerically. The derived analytical solutions of crack will not only play an important role in understanding the phonon-phason coupling behavior in quasicrystals, but also serve as benchmarks for future numerical studies and simplified analyses.
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## 1. Introduction

Quasicrystals (QCs), which are aperiodic but ordered structural forms between crystals and glass, were initially discovered by Shechtman et al. (1984). Since then, the theoretical and experimental studies of QCs have been one of the foci of research in the physics of condensed matter. Up to now, more than 100 different alloys of QCs with stable thermodynamic properties have been produced (Fan and Mai, 2004) and the engineering application of QCs has been found recently, as reinforced phases of polymer-matrix composites (Kenzari et al., 2012).

According to the theory of elasticity based on Landau's theory (Landau and Lifshitz, 1958), the elastic behavior of QCs is described by the phonon and phason fields which are in general anisotropic and coupled to each other (Wang et al., 1997). This definitely brings out a great deal of challenges in mechanical analyses of QCs, and simplifications are therefore made in the previous investigations, especially those for cracks and dislocations (Fan, 2011). In the past three decades, various plane stress and strain problems were investigated, and many methods in classic planar elasticity have been generalized to QCs, for example, Fourier transform (Bochner and Chandrasekharan, 1949), perturbation method (Hinch, 1991), Stroh formulism (Stroh, 1958, 1962) and the complex variable function method developed by Muskhelishvili (1963). By these methods, some problems of crack (Fan et al., 2012), dislocation (Li and Liu, 2012), inclusion (Wang, 2004) and

[^0]bimaterials with imperfect interfaces (Gao and Ricoeur, 2010) have been successfully solved. It should be noted that aforementioned solutions are essentially independent of one spatial coordinate. This may not reflect the characteristics of a real problem in practice.

As a result, it is imperative to seek 3D elastic solutions to clarify the simplified analyses. Some scholars have made several developments relative to general solutions (Chen et al., 2004), Green's functions for an infinite space consisting of two materials (Gao and Ricoeur, 2011), axisymmetric crack and indentation problems (Peng and Fan, 2001), the interaction of the phonon and phason fields at a crack tip (Mariano et al., 2004), and non-axisymmetric mode I crack problem in the context of thermo-elasticity of QC (Li, 2013).

According to the review article (Fan and Mai, 2004) and the monograph (Fan, 2011), which comprehensively give the state of the art of investigations on the mechanical analyses of QCs, 3D analytical studies on the crack problems are quite scarce. The research works (Fan, 2011) conducted by Fan and his co-authors by means of Fourier and Hankel transforms are limited to axisymmetric problems and the physical quantities on the crack plane. To the best of authors' knowledge, there is no non-axisymmetric solutions available in the literature, within the framework of elasticity of QC.

From an overall point of view, most of foregoing research work were performed within the linear elasticity of QCs, and did not take self-actions in phason field into account. In some particular cases, the absence of phason self-actions would lead to non-physical results, which was first pointed out by Mariano (2006) and then numerically verified by Colli and Mariano (2011). Furthermore, self-interaction in phason field can be described in the context of nonlinear elasticity of QCs (Mariano, 2006). Recently, Mariano
and Planas (2013), on the basis of first invariance principles and within the framework of (both finite and small strain) continuum mechanics of QCs, discussed the existence of a phason self-interaction with both conservative and dissipative components. In this study, however, the assumptions in standard linear elasticity of QC are still accepted, and all the quantities obtained make a physical sense, as shown later.

The present work is on a penny-shaped crack, an external circular crack and a half-infinite plane crack, each of which is embedded in an infinite space of one-dimensional hexagonal quasi-crystals. The problems of these three typical cracks are classic ones in fracture mechanics and have been extensively investigated in the framework of pure elasticity (Fabrikant, 1989, 1991; Fabrikant and Karapetian, 1994; Fabrikant et al., 1994). In this study, two pairs of equal but opposite phonon and phason loads are applied on the upper and lower crack lips for each crack, and the original problem is transformed into a mixed boundary problem of a half-infinite space. Potential theory method (Fabrikant, 1989, 1991) in cooperation with the general solution (Chen et al., 2004) is employed to solve the resulting mixed boundary problem.

The next sections are organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall the general solutions in terms of quasi-harmonic functions for 3D static problems, which were proposed by Chen et al. (2004) using the rigorous operator theory and generalized Almansi's theorem. In Section 3 dedicated to extention of the potential theory method to the crack analysis of 1D hexagonal QCs, a new potential is introduced to account for the effect of phason field. The constants involved are determined and the boundary integro-differential equations (BIEs) are established using the boundary conditions as well as the properties of simple layer potentials (SLP). Section 4 is concerned with the solution of the BIEs and the exact and complete fundamental solutions expressed in terms of elementary functions. Some important quantities in crack analyses such as stress intensity factor (SIF) and crack surface displacement (CSD) are derived in Section 5. As applications of the present fundamental solutions, three concrete examples are given in Section 6. In Section 7, numerical calculations are performed to show the variations of physical quantities of special interests. Finally, some concluding remarks are drawn in Section 8.

## 2. General solution of static problem

Consider a 1D hexagonal QC with point groups $6 \mathrm{~mm}, 62_{h} 2_{h}, \overline{6}_{m} 2_{h}$ and $6 m_{h} m m$, whose atoms are arranged periodically in the $x-y$ plane and quasi-periodically in the $z$-direction in a Cartesian coordinate system Oxyz. Without the effect of body forces, the equilibrium equations, in terms of the phonon displacements ( $u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}$ ) and the phason degree of freedom $\left(w_{z}\right)$, read (Chen et al., 2004; Fan, 2011)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(c_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+c_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+c_{44} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) u_{x}+\left(c_{12}+c_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{y}}{\partial x \partial y} \\
& \quad+\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial x \partial z}+\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} w_{z}}{\partial x \partial z}=0,  \tag{1a}\\
& \left(c_{12}+c_{66}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{x}}{\partial x \partial y}+\left(c_{66} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial x^{2}}+c_{11} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial y^{2}}+c_{44} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) u_{y} \\
& \quad+\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} u_{z}}{\partial y \partial z}+\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} w_{z}}{\partial y \partial z}=0,  \tag{1b}\\
& \left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)+\left(c_{44} \Delta+c_{33} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) u_{z} \\
& \quad+\left(R_{3} \Delta+R_{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) w_{z}=0, \tag{1c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(\frac{\partial u_{x}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u_{y}}{\partial x}\right)+\left(R_{3} \Delta+R_{2} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) u_{z} \\
& \quad+\left(K_{2} \Delta+K_{1} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z^{2}}\right) w_{z}=0 \tag{1d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta=\partial^{2} / \partial x^{2}+\partial^{2} / \partial y^{2}$ is the plane Laplacian; $c_{i j}, K_{i}$ and $R_{i}$ are, respectively, phonon, phason and phonon-phason coupling elastic constants.

Physically, phason degree of freedom appears as a result of the quasi-periodic symmetric of QCs. Phason degrees of freedom describe the atomic jump from one location to another one nearby bearing a similar local environment or rearrangement of atoms from one potential valley to another, which will break the quasiperiodic symmetric property of QCs and change the system elastic energy (Wu et al., 2013). Corresponding to the phason degrees of freedom, there exist phason gradient, stress and force in theory of elasticity of QC (Fan, 2011).

It should be pointed out that the conservative component of the inner self-action as shown in Mariano (2006) in the phason field is not considered in (1d), which may result in non-physical results as evidenced by Colli and Mariano (2011). However, the topic is beyond the scope of the present study, and the common assumptions are accepted as in the recent advances for static problems (Fan et al., 2012; Gao and Ricoeur, 2011; Li, 2013) and dynamic problems (Fan et al., 2012; Radi and Mariano, 2011).

The general solutions to the partial differential equations in (1) depend on the eigenvalues $s_{i}(i=1,2,3)$, which are roots, with positive real parts $\left[\operatorname{Re}\left(s_{i}\right)>0\right]$, of the following characteristic equation (Chen et al., 2004)
$a s^{6}-b s^{4}+c s^{2}-d=0$,
where the constants $a, b, c$ and $d$, related to the material constants $c_{i j}, R_{i}$ and $K_{i}$, are specified by Chen et al. (2004) and are listed in Appendix A. From a physical point of view, the eigen-values $s_{i}$ characterize the anisotropy degree of the material under consideration.

Chen et al. (2004), using the operator theory and generalized Almansi's theorem, gave 3D general solutions in terms of four quasiharmonic functions $\psi_{j}(j=0,1,2,3)$, for transversely isotropic materials whose eigenvalues $s_{j}$ are all distinct. In this case ( $s_{i} \neq s_{j}$ once $i \neq j$ ), the general solutions take in the simplest forms:
$U=-\bigwedge\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \psi_{j}+\mathrm{i} \psi_{0}\right), \quad u_{z m}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \alpha_{m j} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial z_{j}}, \quad(m=1,2)$,
with $z_{j}=z s_{j}(j=1,2,3), \mathrm{i}=\sqrt{-1}$ (without specification elsewhere), $\Lambda=\partial / \partial x+\mathrm{i} \partial / \partial y, U \equiv u_{x}+\mathrm{i} u_{y}, u_{z 1} \equiv u_{z}$ and $u_{z 2} \equiv w_{z}$. In addition, the constants $\alpha_{m j}$ are defined by Chen et al. (2004) and are listed in Appendix A. The potential functions $\psi_{j}(j=0,1,2,3)$ are required to satisfy
$\left(\Delta+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial z_{j}^{2}}\right) \psi_{j}=0(j=0,1,2,3)$,
with $s_{0}=\sqrt{c_{44} / c_{66}}$ and $z_{0}=z s_{0}$.
We can express the stress components in the following compact form
$\sigma_{1}=2 \sum_{j=1}^{3} \varpi_{j} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{j}}{\partial z_{j}^{2}}, \quad \sigma_{2}=-2 c_{66} \bigwedge^{2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} \psi_{j}+\mathrm{i} \psi_{0}\right)$,
$\sigma_{z m}=\sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{m j} \frac{\partial^{2} \psi_{j}}{\partial z_{j}^{2}}, \quad \tau_{z m}=\bigwedge\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} s_{j} \gamma_{m j} \frac{\partial \psi_{j}}{\partial z_{j}}-\mathrm{i} s_{0} \vartheta_{m} \frac{\partial \psi_{0}}{\partial z_{0}}\right)$,
where the constants $\gamma_{m j}$ and $\varpi_{j}$ are also given in Appendix A, and the following notations are introduced for the phonon stresses $\sigma_{i j}$ and the phason stresses $H_{i j}$
$\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{x x}+\sigma_{y y}, \quad \sigma_{2}=\sigma_{x x}-\sigma_{y y}+2 \mathrm{i} \sigma_{x y}, \quad \sigma_{z 1}=\sigma_{z z}$,
$\sigma_{z 2}=H_{z z}, \quad \tau_{z 1}=\sigma_{z x}+\mathrm{i} \sigma_{z y}, \quad \tau_{z 2}=H_{z x}+\mathrm{i} H_{z y}$.
In addition, the index $m$ ranges from 1 to 2 hereafter.
The general solutions in (3) and (5) have been proven to be completed as done by Gao et al. (2009). Based on the general solutions and the potential theory method presented by Fabrikant (1989, 1991), we can tackle many non-classic crack problems, as pointed out by Li (2013) in the recent study for 1D QCs under thermal loadings.

## 3. Generalized potential theory method for crack problem

Consider an infinite space of 1D hexagonal QCs weakened by a planar crack in parallel to the isotropic plane of the material, which lies in the plane $z=0$. The crack is subjected to a pair of phonon loads $p_{1}(x, y)$ and $-p_{1}(x, y)$, and a pair of phason loads $p_{2}(x, y)$ and $-p_{2}(x, y)$, on the upper and lower crack lips (see Fig. 1(a)). For notational convenience, the region occupied by the crack is symbolized by $S$ and the complement of $S$ is denoted by $\bar{S}$, so that $S \cap \bar{S}=\varnothing$ and $S \cup \bar{S}=I$ with $I$ denoting the plane $z=0$. By symmetry, we need to consider only the boundary value problem (BVP) of the half-space $z \geqslant 0$ with the following boundary conditions (BCs) on the plane $I$ (Fan, 2011; Peng and Fan, 2001):
$\sigma_{z 1}=p_{1}(x, y), \quad \sigma_{z 2}=p_{2}(x, y), \quad \forall(x, y) \in S ;$
$u_{z 1}=0, \quad u_{z 2}=0, \quad \forall(x, y) \in \bar{S} ;$
$\tau_{z 1}=0, \quad \forall(x, y) \in I$.
The BCs at infinite
$\sigma_{i j} \rightarrow 0, \quad H_{i j} \rightarrow 0, \quad \forall \sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}} \rightarrow \infty$
must be met as well.
Although no experiments have been reported yet on how to impose the phason loads, within the theory of elasticity of QC (Fan, 2011), however, traction in the phason field must exist on the boundary of a QC, from a theoretical point of view. Hence, we, from the perspective of theoretical study, set on the crack lips $\sigma_{z 2}=p_{2}(x, y)$, in this paper, in which $p_{2}(x, y)$ can either vanish or be a function of $x$ and $y$. Such a setting is without losing any generality. In fact, Gao and Ricoeur (2011) used a similar boundary conditions regarding phason force, during the course of derivation of Green's functions for two-dimensional quasi-crystal bimaterials. Of course, the boundary condition relative to phason field should be verified by future experiments.

The sole external boundary condition on phason traction, that appears to be reasonable in terms of phason stress is $\sigma_{z 2}=0$. From the perspective of continuum modeling, in fact, the degrees of freedom included in the phason field "internal" to material elements identified with points in space. The same phason actions appear often as about $1 \%$ perturbations of the standard stress field. Therefore, it is hard (even impossible, probably) to control them directly from the exterior, at the macroscopic scale.

To solve the above BVP, we assume that
$\psi_{0}=0, \quad \psi_{j}=d_{j 1} \Psi_{1}\left(z_{j}\right)+d_{j 2} \Psi_{2}\left(z_{j}\right)(j=1,2,3)$,
where $d_{i j}$ are constants to be determined and $\Psi_{m}(z)$ are defined by
$\Psi_{m}(z)=\Psi_{m}(M)=\iint_{S} \frac{\Omega_{m}\left(N_{0}\right) d S_{0}}{R\left(M, N_{0}\right)}$,
with $d S_{0}=d x_{0} d y_{0}, R\left(M, N_{0}\right)$ denoting the distance between $M(x, y, z)$ and $N_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, 0\right)$, and $\Omega_{m}=u_{z m}(x, y, 0)$ being the crack surface displacements (CSDs) in the phonon and phason field, respectively. Furthermore, $\Psi_{m}(z)$ are simple layer potentials (SLPs) with the property (Fabrikant, 1989, 1991; Kellogg, 1929)
$\left.\frac{\partial \Psi_{m}(z)}{\partial z}\right|_{z=0}=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}-2 \pi \Omega_{m}, & (x, y) \in S \\ 0, & (x, y) \in \bar{S}\end{array}\right.$.
Observe that (4) and (8) are verified by the potentials in (9), in view of the property of the harmonic function $1 / R\left(M, N_{0}\right)$ (Kellogg, 1929). As a consequence, our concern in what follows is about the satisfaction of the BCs prescribed by (7).

The satisfaction of (8) is of physical significance. As pointed out in Section 2, the exclusion of a conservative self-action may lead to meaningless results, from a physical point of view (Colli and Mariano, 2011). This crucial remark was first reported by Mariano (2006) and then evidenced by Colli and Mariano (2011). Owing to the absence of the self-action conservative components, the the dimensionless displacement in phason field at infinity would be divergent (Colli and Mariano, 2011). Now, (8) is checked to avoid failing into such a non-physical situation.

It can be readily verified that all the homogeneous BCs in (7) have been met upon letting
$\left[\begin{array}{l}d_{1 m} \\ d_{2 m} \\ d_{3 m}\end{array}\right]=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left[\begin{array}{ccc}s_{1} \gamma_{11} & s_{2} \gamma_{12} & s_{3} \gamma_{13} \\ \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{13} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \alpha_{23}\end{array}\right]^{-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}0 \\ \delta_{1 m} \\ \delta_{2 m}\end{array}\right]$,
with $\delta_{i m}$ being the Kronecker delta
Accounting for the first condition in (7), we can derive the following two boundary integro-differential equations (BIEs)
$-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} g_{m i}\right) \Delta \iint_{S} \frac{\Omega_{i}\left(N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} d S_{0}=p_{m}(N)$,
where
$g_{m i}=\sum_{k=1}^{3} \gamma_{m k} d_{k i}$,
and $R\left(N, N_{0}\right)$ is the distance between the points $N(x, y, 0) \in S$ and $N_{0}\left(x_{0}, y_{0}, 0\right) \in S$. Next, we can rewrite (13) as
$\Delta \iint_{S} \frac{\Omega_{m}\left(N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} d S_{0}=\mathrm{F}_{m}(N)$,
where $\mathrm{F}_{j}(N)$ are generalized loadings
$\mathrm{F}_{1}(N) \equiv \frac{g_{12} p_{2}(N)-g_{22} p_{1}(N)}{A}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{2}(N) \equiv \frac{g_{21} p_{1}(N)-g_{11} p_{2}(N)}{A}$,
with $A=g_{11} g_{22}-g_{12} g_{21}$.
For an irregular plane crack, we have established two BIEs in (15) bearing the same mathematical structure, which can be in general solved only numerically. However, for some special crack configurations, (15) is expected to have analytical solutions by using the results of potential theory method. Hereafter, our attention will be paid to the aforementioned three common cracks, in the following sections, where the cylindrical coordinate system $(r, \theta, z)$ and the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$, for convenience, are employed for circular and half-infinite plane cracks, respectively.

## 4. Fundamental elastic field

Assume that the planar cracks are located on the plane $z=0$, and the regions occupied by the cracks are symbolized by $S \equiv\{(r, \theta) \mid r \leqslant a, 0 \leqslant \theta \leq 2 \pi\}$ for the penny-shaped crack of radius $a$, by $S \equiv\{(r, \theta) \mid r \geqslant a, 0 \leqslant \theta \leq 2 \pi\}$ for the external circular crack with an interior radius $a$, and by $S \equiv\{(x, y) \mid-\infty<x<+\infty$, $y \geqslant 0\}$ for the half-infinite crack (see Fig. 1(b)-(d)). For the three common planar cracks, the solutions to the BIEs in (15) can be analytically obtained by virtue of the potential theory method,


Fig. 1. Schematic figures of a planar crack (a) and of the vertical cross sections of the three common cracks: penny-shaped crack (b), external circular crack (b) and halfinfinite crack (d). Two pairs of opposite concentrated forces $\pm P_{1}$ (phonon) and $\pm P_{2}$ (phason) acting on the lips of a flat crack $S$ perpendicular to the $z$ axis common to the polar coordinate system $(r, \theta, z)$ and the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$.
namely, Fabrikant $(1989,1991)$ for penny-shaped crack, Fabrikant et al. (1994) for external crack and Fabrikant and Karapetian (1994) for the half-infinite crack. Substituting the resultant expressions for $\Omega_{m}$ into (10), we determine the SLPs $\Psi_{m}(z)$ as
$\Psi_{m}(z)=-\frac{1}{2 \pi^{3}} \iint_{S} K\left(M ; N_{0}\right) \mathrm{F}_{m}\left(N_{0}\right) r_{0} d r_{0} d \theta_{0}$,
where $K\left(M ; N_{0}\right)$ is the Green's function (Fabrikant, 1989, 1991; Fabrikant and Karapetian, 1994; Fabrikant et al., 1994)
$K\left(M ; N_{0}\right)=\iint_{S} \frac{1}{R\left(N_{\rho}, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \left[\frac{\eta\left(N_{\rho}, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N_{\rho}, N_{0}\right)}\right] \frac{d S_{\rho}}{R\left(M, N_{\rho}\right)}$,
with $d S_{\rho}=\rho d \rho d \phi=d x_{0} d y_{0}$, and
$\eta\left(N, N_{\rho}\right)= \begin{cases}\sqrt{a^{2}-r^{2}} \sqrt{a^{2}-\rho^{2}} / a & \text { penny-shaped, } \\ \sqrt{r^{2}-a^{2}} \sqrt{\rho^{2}-a^{2}} / a & \text { external circular, } \\ 2 \sqrt{y y_{0}} & \text { half-infinite plane, }\end{cases}$
with $N_{\rho}$ denoting the point $(\rho, \phi, 0)$ for the circular cracks and ( $x_{0}, y_{0}, 0$ ) for the half-infinite crack. Although the integral in (18) is difficult to explicitly figure out, the various derivatives of $K\left(M ; N_{0}\right)$, necessary to construct the 3D fundamental elastic fields, can be found in Fabrikant (1989) and are listed in Appendix B.

As shown in Fig. 1, consider that two pairs of equal but opposite concentrated phonon forces $\pm P_{1}$ and phason forces $\pm P_{2}$ are respectively applied at the points $N_{1}\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}, 0^{ \pm}\right)\left[N_{1}\left(x_{1}, y_{1}, 0^{ \pm}\right)\right]$and $N_{2}\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}, 0^{ \pm}\right)\left[N_{2}\left(x_{2}, y_{2}, 0^{ \pm}\right)\right]$on the crack lips of circular cracks (half-infinite crack), i.e., $p_{m}(r, \theta)=P_{m} \delta\left(r-r_{m}\right) \delta\left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right) / r,\left[p_{m}(x, y)\right.$ $\left.=P_{m} \delta\left(x-x_{m}\right) \delta\left(y-y_{m}\right)\right]$. The exact and fundamental elastic field in the half-space $z \geqslant 0$ induced by the external forces can be
figured out by inserting the Green's function in (18) and its derivatives in Appendix B to (3) and (5). In terms of elementary functions, corresponding fundamental field to the three cracks can be uniformly expressed as
$U=\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} f_{1 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right), \quad u_{z m}=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} \alpha_{m j} f_{2 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right)$,
$\sigma_{1}=-\frac{2}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} \varpi_{j} f_{3 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right), \quad \sigma_{2}=\frac{2 c_{66}}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} f_{4 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right)$,
$\sigma_{z m}=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} \gamma_{m j} f_{3 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right), \quad \tau_{z m}=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{i j}^{0} s_{j} \gamma_{m j} f_{5 i}^{(k)}\left(z_{j}\right)$,
with
$P_{1 j}^{0}=P_{1}\left(d_{j 2} g_{21}-d_{j 1} g_{22}\right), \quad P_{2 j}^{0}=P_{2}\left(d_{j 1} g_{12}-d_{j 2} g_{11}\right)$.
The superscript $k=p, e$ and $h$ of the functions in (20) indicates the penny-shaped, external circular and half-infinite cracks, respectively. In (20), functions $f_{j m}^{(k)}(z) \quad(j=1,2, \ldots, 5)$ is equal to $f_{j}^{(k)}\left(r, \theta, z ; r_{m}, \theta_{m}\right)$ for circular crack and $f_{j}^{(k)}\left(x, y, z ; x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$ for the half-infinite crack, and all these functions, directly related to the derivatives of the Green's function in (18), are specified in Appendix B.

In the physical context of the present paper, the fundamental solutions (20) reflect, in particular, the effects of the phononphson coupling on the elastic fields in a 1D hexagonal QC body weakened by a flat crack.

## 5. Stress intensity factor and crack surface displacement

Since the fundamental solutions have been derived explicitly in the last section, it is ready to obtain some important quantities associated with the crack. In what follows, we derive the stress intensity factors (SIFs) and crack surface displacements (CSDs) for the three cracks.

### 5.1. Penny-shaped crack

In view of the properties specified in (B-3), we can deduce that
$f_{2}^{(p)}\left(N ; N_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \frac{\eta\left(N, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)}, \quad N \in S$,
$f_{3}^{(p)}\left(N ; N_{0}\right)=-\sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}{r^{2}-a^{2}}} \frac{1}{R^{2}\left(N, N_{0}\right)}, \quad N \in \bar{S}$.
Thus, the normal stress components at the point $N(r, \theta, 0) \in \bar{S}$ are given by
$\sigma_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i} B_{m i} \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-r_{i}^{2}}{r^{2}-a^{2}}} \frac{1}{r^{2}+r_{i}^{2}-2 r r_{i} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{i}\right)}$,
where the constants are specified by
$B_{m 1}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{m j}\left(d_{j 2} g_{21}-d_{j 1} g_{22}\right)$,
$B_{m 2}=\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A} \sum_{j=1}^{3} \gamma_{m j}\left(d_{j 1} g_{12}-d_{j 2} g_{11}\right)$.
With the aid of (14), we can derive the normal stress components outside the crack as
$\sigma_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)=-\frac{P_{m}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-r_{m}^{2}}{r^{2}-a^{2}}} \frac{1}{r^{2}+r_{m}^{2}-2 r r_{m} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)}$.
It is seen that the normal phonon and phason stresses depend only upon the external phonon load and no interactions between the phonon and phason fields occur in regard to these normal stresses.

Defining the stress intensity factors for mode I crack problems by
$k_{m I}=\lim _{r \rightarrow a} \sqrt{r-a} \sigma_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)$,
we get
$k_{m I}=-\frac{P_{m}}{\sqrt{2 a} \pi^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{m}^{2}}}{a^{2}+r_{m}^{2}-2 a r_{m} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)}$.
It is clearly shown that the SIFs, independent of the material properties, are related to the magnitudes and positions of the external loadings.

The CSDs $\Omega_{m}=u_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)$ can be derived from (20) and (22) as

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)= & -\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{1 j}^{0} \alpha_{m j}\right) f_{21}^{(p)}(0) \\
& -\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{2 j}^{0} \alpha_{m j}\right) f_{22}^{(p)}(0), \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{2 m}^{(p)}(0)=f_{2}^{(p)}\left(r, \theta, 0 ; r_{m}, \theta_{m}\right)$. Using the relations in (21) and (12), we can simplify (28) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{1} & =-\frac{P_{1} g_{22}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(p)}(0)+\frac{P_{2} g_{12}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(p)}(0), \quad \Omega_{2} \\
& =\frac{P_{1} g_{21}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(p)}(0)-\frac{P_{2} g_{11}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(p)}(0) . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

### 5.2. External circular crack

To obtain the SIFs and CSDs, we need the behaviors of two functions $f_{2}^{(e)}(z)$ and $f_{3}^{(e)}(z)$ when $z$ tends to zero. From (B-4b), (B-4c) and (B-6), it is seen that
$f_{2}^{(e)}\left(N ; N_{0}\right)=-\frac{1}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \frac{J\left(N, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} N \in S$,
$f_{3}^{(e)}\left(N ; N_{0}\right)=-\sqrt{\frac{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}} \frac{1}{R^{2}\left(N, N_{0}\right)} N \in \bar{S}$,
where
$J\left(N, N_{0}\right)=\sqrt{\left(r^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}\right)} / a$.
We can obtain the generalized normal stresses in the crack neck $\bar{S}$ as
$\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}=\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i} B_{m i} \sqrt{\frac{r_{i}^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}} \frac{1}{r^{2}+r_{i}^{2}-2 r r_{i} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)}$.
By means of (14), (32) is simplified as
$\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}=-\frac{P_{m}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{r_{m}^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}} \frac{1}{r^{2}+r_{m}^{2}-2 r r_{m} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)}$.
Introducing the stress intensity factors of mode I
$k_{m I}=\lim _{r \rightarrow a} \sqrt{a-r} \sigma_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)$,
to describe the singularities at the crack tip, we obtain from (33) that
$k_{m I}=-\frac{P_{m}}{\pi^{2} \sqrt{2 a}} \frac{\sqrt{r_{m}^{2}-a^{2}}}{a^{2}+r_{m}^{2}-2 a r_{m} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)}$.
From (20) and (39), the CSDs are determined as
$\Omega_{m}=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{1 j}^{0} \alpha_{m j}\right) f_{21}^{(e)}(0)-\frac{1}{\pi^{2} A}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{3} P_{2 j}^{0} \alpha_{m j}\right) f_{22}^{(e)}(0)$,
with $f_{2 m}^{(e)}(0)=f_{2}^{(e)}\left(r, \theta, 0 ; r_{m}, \theta_{m}\right)$ Taking advantage of the relations in (21) and (12), we simplify (36) as
$\Omega_{1}=-\frac{P_{1} g_{22}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(e)}(0)+\frac{P_{2} g_{12}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(e)}(0)$,
$\Omega_{2}=\frac{P_{1} g_{21}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(e)}(0)-\frac{P_{2} g_{11}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(e)}(0)$.

### 5.3. Half-infinite plane crack

From (B-8), it is easy to get that
$\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} l_{1}^{*}=\min (y, 0), \quad \lim _{z \rightarrow 0} l_{2}^{*} \rightarrow \max (y, 0)$,
$\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} h^{*}=2 \sqrt{y_{0} \max (y, 0)}, \quad \lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{z}{h^{*}}=\sqrt{-\frac{\min (y, 0)}{y_{0}}}$.
By virtue of (B-7b), (B-7c) and (38), we arrive at
$f_{2}^{(h)}(0)=-\frac{1}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \frac{\eta\left(N, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)}, \quad(y>0)$,
$f_{3}^{(h)}(0)=-\frac{1}{R^{2}\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \sqrt{\frac{y_{0}}{-y}}, \quad(y<0)$.
Thus the generalized normal stresses in the region $\bar{S}(y<0)$ are obtained as
$\sigma_{z m}(x, y, 0)=\sum_{i=1}^{2} P_{i} B_{m i} \sqrt{\frac{y_{i}}{-y}} \frac{1}{\left(x-x_{i}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{i}\right)^{2}}$.
In view of (14), (54) is recast into
$\sigma_{z m}(x, y, 0)=-\frac{P_{m}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{y_{m}}{-y}} \frac{1}{\left(x-x_{m}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{m}\right)^{2}}$.
Defining the SIFs of mode I crack problem
$k_{m I}=\lim _{y \rightarrow 0^{-}} \sqrt{-y} \sigma_{z m}(x, y, 0)$,
we immediately get
$k_{m I}=-\frac{P_{m}}{\pi^{2}} \frac{\sqrt{y_{m}}}{\left(x-x_{m}\right)^{2}+y_{m}^{2}}$.
The CSDs $\Omega_{m}=u_{m}(x, y, 0)$ can be obtained from (39) and (20). Without details, they read
$\Omega_{1}=-\frac{P_{1} g_{22}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(h)}(0)+\frac{P_{2} g_{12}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(h)}(0)$,
$\Omega_{2}=\frac{P_{1} g_{21}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{21}^{(h)}(0)-\frac{P_{2} g_{11}}{2 \pi^{3} A} f_{22}^{(h)}(0)$,
with $f_{2 m}^{(h)}(0)=f_{2}^{(h)}\left(x, y, 0 ; x_{m}, y_{m}\right)$.
For arbitrary distributed phonon and phason loads $p_{m}(x, y)$, the corresponding physical quantities of interest can be obtained by integrating the relative fundamental results over the crack surface. We present three typical examples, which are classic problems and are often solely investigated in literature, in the next section, where distributive loads are considered to be applied on the crack lips.

## 6. Application of the fundamental solution

### 6.1. Uniformly loaded penny-shaped crack

Consider case where the crack is under the action of two pairs of equal but opposite pressures $\pm p_{1}^{0}$ (phonon) and $\pm p_{2}^{0}$ (phason), which are uniformly distributed over the crack lips, namely, $p_{1}(r, \theta)=p_{1}^{0}, p_{2}(r, \theta)=p_{2}^{0}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{1}^{0} \equiv \mathrm{~F}_{1}(N)=\left(g_{12} p_{2}^{0}-g_{22} p_{1}^{0}\right) / A \quad$ and $\mathrm{F}_{2}^{0} \equiv \mathrm{~F}_{2}(N)=\left(g_{21} p_{1}^{0}-g_{11} p_{2}^{0}\right) / A$.

The problem in question is thus axisymmetric. Under this circumstance, the generalized normal stresses $\Sigma_{z m}$ at the crack front, which are independent of $\theta$, can be derived by integrating the fundamental solutions in (25)

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)= & -\frac{p_{m}^{0}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{a} \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-r_{m}^{2}}{r^{2}-a^{2}}} r_{m} d r_{m} \\
& \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d \theta_{m}}{r^{2}+r_{m}^{2}-2 r r_{m} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{m}\right)} \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking advantage of the following identity,
$\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{x^{2}-y^{2}}{x^{2}+y^{2}-2 x y \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)} d \theta_{0}=1(x>y>0)$,
we can obtain that
$\left.\sigma_{z z}\right|_{z=0}=\frac{2 p_{1}^{0}}{\pi}\left[\arcsin \frac{a}{r}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{r^{2}-a^{2}}}\right]$,
$\left.H_{z z}\right|_{z=0}=\frac{2 p_{2}^{0}}{\pi}\left[\arcsin \frac{a}{r}-\frac{a}{\sqrt{r^{2}-a^{2}}}\right]$.
Then, the SIFs can be obtained from (53) and (26) as
$k_{m I}=-\frac{\sqrt{2 a}}{\pi} p_{m}^{0}$.
It is noted that (48) can be retrieved by integrating (27) with the help of (46).

Following a similar procedure, the CSDs for the uniformly loaded crack can be deduced via integration of (63). Without details, they turn out to be
$\Omega_{m}(r)=u_{z m}(r, \theta, 0)=-\frac{F_{m}^{0}}{\pi^{2}} \sqrt{a^{2}-r^{2}}$,
which can be directly recovered by solving the (BIEs) (Fabrikant, 1989)
$\Delta \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta_{0} \int_{0}^{a} \frac{\Omega_{m}\left(r_{0}, \theta_{0}\right)}{\sqrt{r^{2}+r_{0}^{2}-2 r r_{0} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)}} r_{0} d r_{0}=\mathrm{F}_{m}^{0}$.
Since the problem is axisymmetric and the crack front always keeps the shape of a circle concentric to the original one in the plane $z=0$, the energy release rate (ERR) is invariant along the crack front. Prior to presenting the ERR, we have to examine the asymptotic behavior of the elastic quantities around the crack tip. Letting $\rho_{0}=|r-a| \rightarrow 0$, we can obtain the following asymptotic expressions
$\sigma_{z z}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=-\frac{p_{1}^{0}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2 a}{\rho_{0}}}, \quad H_{z z}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=-\frac{p_{2}^{0}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2 a}{\rho_{0}}}$,
$\Omega_{1}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=-\frac{g_{12} p_{2}^{0}-g_{22} p_{1}^{0}}{\pi^{2} A} \sqrt{2 a \rho_{0}}, \Omega_{2}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right)=-\frac{g_{21} p_{1}^{0}-g_{11} p_{2}^{0}}{A} \sqrt{2 a \rho_{0}}$.
Then, with the help of the concept of crack closure energy (Fan, 2011), the total potential energy release rate is given by
$\Pi=\lim _{\Delta a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta a} \int_{0}^{\Delta a} \sigma_{z z}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Omega_{1}^{s}\left(\Delta a-\rho_{0}\right)+H_{z z}^{s}\left(\rho_{0}\right) \Omega_{2}^{s}\left(\Delta a-\rho_{0}\right) d \rho_{0}$.
Substituting (50) into (51) and making use of (48) immediately give rise to
$\Pi=-\frac{1}{2 A}\left[g_{22} k_{1 I}^{2}+g_{11} k_{2 I}^{2}-\left(g_{12}+g_{21}\right) k_{1 I} k_{2 I}\right]$.
The first, second and third terms in the brackets attributes to the phonon field, phason field and phonon-phason interactions, respectively.

In principle, the remaining components of the phonon-phason elastic field can be figured out in the same manner described above. However, the integrals involved is very tedious. In Appendix C, we present an alternative way to seek the elastic fields associated with the crack in question.

### 6.2. External circular crack subjected to annular ring loads

Assume that two pairs of loads $\pm p_{1}^{0}$ (phonon) and $\pm p_{2}^{0}$ (phason), which are uniformly applied on the annular ring region $a \leqslant b \leqslant r \leqslant c<\infty$. Now the problem is degenerated to an axisymmetric one and all the physical quantities are consequently independent of $\theta$.

The corresponding normal stresses in the crack neck can be obtained by integrating over the annular region

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}= & -\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta_{1} \int_{b}^{c} \sqrt{\frac{r_{1}^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}} \\
& \times \frac{p_{m}^{0} r_{1} d r_{1}}{r^{2}+r_{1}^{2}-2 r r_{1} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{1}\right)} . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Making use of the identity (46), we rewrite (53) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}= & \frac{2 p_{m}^{0}}{\pi}\left[\sqrt{\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}}-\arctan \sqrt{\frac{b^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}}\right] \\
& -\frac{2 p_{m}^{0}}{\pi}\left[\sqrt{\frac{c^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}}-\arctan \sqrt{\frac{c^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-r^{2}}}\right] . \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, we can derive the SIFs from (34) as
$k_{m I}=-\frac{p_{m}^{0}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2}{a}}\left(\sqrt{c^{2}-a^{2}}-\sqrt{b^{2}-a^{2}}\right)$,
which can be also retrieved by integrating (35).

The CSDs, in this case, can be deduced by integrating the fundamental results in (37) as
$\Omega_{m}=-\frac{\mathrm{F}_{m}^{0}}{\pi^{2}} I_{e}(r)$,
where
$I_{e}(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} d \theta_{0} \int_{b}^{c} \frac{1}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \frac{J\left(N, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} r_{0} d r_{0}$.
The integral (57) can be figured out by following the method proposed by Li (2012) and it turns out to be a continuous function of $r$
$I_{1}(r)= \begin{cases}F_{1}(r ; c, a)-F_{1}(r ; b, a), & a \leqslant r \leqslant b, \\ F_{1}(r ; c, a)-F_{2}(r ; b, a), & b \leqslant r \leqslant c, \\ F_{2}(r ; c, a)-F_{2}(r ; b, a), & c \leqslant r<\infty,\end{cases}$
where $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$ are combinations of elliptic functions defined in Appendix D.

With the previous results, the asymptotic behaviors of the stress and displacement can be derived
$\sigma_{z z}^{s}=-\frac{k_{1 I}}{\sqrt{\rho_{0}}}, \quad \Omega_{1}^{s}=\frac{\left(g_{22} k_{1 I}-g_{12} k_{2 I}\right)}{\pi} \sqrt{\rho_{0}}$,
$H_{z z}^{s}=-\frac{k_{2 I}}{\sqrt{\rho_{0}}}, \quad \Omega_{2}^{s}=-\frac{\left(g_{21} k_{1 I}-g_{11} k_{2 I}\right)}{\pi} \sqrt{\rho_{0}}$,
with $\rho_{0}=|a-r| \rightarrow 0$. Thus the ERR defined in (51) is of the following form
$\Pi=-\frac{1}{2 A}\left[g_{22} k_{1 I}^{2}+g_{11} k_{2 I}^{2}-\left(g_{12}+g_{21}\right) k_{1 I} k_{2 I}\right]$,
which is identical to that for penny-shaped crack under the uniform loads.

### 6.3. Half-infinite crack subjected to linear loads

Let us now consider two pairs of linear loads $\pm P_{1}^{0}$ (phonon) and $\pm P_{2}^{0}$ (phason) applied along the lines $y= \pm y_{m}\left(y_{m}>0\right)$, namely, $p_{m}(x, y)=P_{m}^{0} \delta\left(y-y_{m}\right)$. Thus the crack problem is reduced to an plane strain one and all the physical quantities would be independent of the argument $x$.

Parallel to the foregoing two cases, we can express $\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}$ as
$\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}=-\frac{1}{\pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} \sqrt{\frac{y_{0}}{-y}} \frac{P_{m}^{0} \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{m}\right)}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}} d y_{0}\right]$,
which can be readily evaluated, with the aid of the property of the Dirac-delta function, as
$\left.\sigma_{z m}\right|_{z=0}=-\frac{P_{m}^{0}}{\pi\left(y_{m}-y\right)} \sqrt{\frac{y_{m}}{-y}}, \quad\left(y<0, y_{m}>0\right)$.
As a consequence, the SIFs are of the form
$k_{m I}=-\frac{P_{m}^{0}}{\pi^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\sqrt{y_{m}} d x_{0}}{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+y_{m}^{2}}=-\frac{P_{m}^{0}}{\pi \sqrt{y_{m}}}$.
From (44), we can express the CSDs in the current case as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{1}= & -\frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{22}}{2 \pi^{3} A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right) f_{2}^{*}(0) d y_{0} \\
& +\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{12}}{2 \pi^{3} A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right) f_{2}^{*}(0) d y_{0} \\
\Omega_{1}= & \frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{21}}{2 \pi^{3} A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{1}\right) f_{2}^{*}(0) d y_{0} \\
& -\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{11}}{2 \pi^{3} A} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0} \int_{0}^{+\infty} \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{2}\right) f_{2}^{*}(0) d y_{0} . \tag{63}
\end{align*}
$$

It is evident that the CSDs are dependent of the following integral

$$
\begin{align*}
I_{h}\left(y ; y_{m}\right)= & \frac{1}{2 \pi} \\
& \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d x_{0}\left[\int_{0}^{+\infty} \frac{\delta\left(y_{0}-y_{m}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} \arctan \frac{\eta\left(N, N_{0}\right)}{R\left(N, N_{0}\right)} d y_{0}\right] \tag{64}
\end{align*}
$$

which seems to be difficult to evaluate. On the other hand, (64) can be transformed into the following form with the help of the results involved in Fabrikant and Karapetian (1994)
$I_{h}\left(y ; y_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{y-t}} \int_{t}^{+\infty}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{y_{0}-t}} £^{*}\left(y+y_{0}-2 t\right) \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{m}\right)\right] d y_{0}$,
where $£^{*}(\cdot)$ is an operator defined as
$£^{*}(\varrho) f(x, \cdot)=\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho f\left(x_{0}, \cdot\right) d x_{0}}{\varrho^{2}+\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}}, \quad \varrho>0$.
By virtue of (66), we have
$£^{*}\left(y+y_{0}-2 t\right) \delta\left(y_{0}-y_{m}\right)=\delta\left(y_{0}-y_{m}\right)$.
Substitution of (67) into (57) yields
$I_{h}\left(y ; y_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{y} \frac{d t}{\sqrt{y-t} \sqrt{y_{m}-t}}$.
Applying the Euler substitution $\sqrt{y-t} \sqrt{y_{m}-t}=x_{0}-t$, we reduce (68) to
$I_{h}\left(y ; y_{m}\right)=\int_{0}^{\sqrt{y_{1}}} \frac{d x_{0}}{y+y_{m}-2 x_{0}}$,
which is equal to
$I_{h}\left(y ; y_{m}\right)=\ln \frac{\sqrt{y+y_{m}}}{\left|\sqrt{y}-\sqrt{y_{m}}\right|}$.
Consequently, the CSDs turn out to be
$\Omega_{1}=\frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{22}}{\pi^{2} A} I\left(y ; y_{1}\right)-\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{12}}{\pi^{2} A} I\left(y ; y_{2}\right)$,
$\Omega_{2}=-\frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{21}}{\pi^{2} A} I\left(y ; y_{1}\right)+\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{11}}{\pi^{2} A} I\left(y ; y_{2}\right)$.
As expected from a physical point of view, $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ are logarithmic singular along the lines $y=y_{m}$, in contrast to the circular cracks exhibiting a square-root singularity.

Similar to the cases of penny-shaped and external circular cracks, we need the asymptotic expressions of stresses and CSDs involved in the definition of $\operatorname{ERR}$ (51). From (61) and (70), it is evident that
$\sigma_{z z}^{s}=\frac{k_{1 I}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \quad \Omega_{1}^{s}=\frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{22}}{\pi^{2} A} I_{h}^{s}\left(\rho ; y_{1}\right)-\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{12}}{\pi^{2} A} I_{h}^{s}\left(\rho ; y_{2}\right)$,
$H_{z z}^{s}=\frac{k_{2 I}}{\sqrt{\rho}}, \quad \Omega_{2}^{s}=-\frac{P_{1}^{0} g_{21}}{\pi^{2} A} I_{h}^{s}\left(\rho ; y_{1}\right)+\frac{P_{2}^{0} g_{11}}{\pi^{2} A} I_{h}^{s}\left(\rho ; y_{2}\right)$,
with $\rho=|y| \rightarrow 0$ and $I_{h}^{S}\left(\rho ; y_{m}\right)=\ln \frac{\sqrt{y_{m}}}{\sqrt{y_{m}}-\sqrt{\rho}}$.
Starting from (51) and making use of the results
$\lim _{\Delta a \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\Delta a} \int_{0}^{\Delta a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\rho}}\left[\ln \frac{\sqrt{y_{m}}}{\left(\sqrt{y_{m}}-\sqrt{\rho}\right)}\right] d \rho=\frac{\pi}{2 \sqrt{y_{m}}}$,
we arrive at
$\Pi=-\frac{1}{2 A}\left[g_{22} k_{1 I}^{2}+g_{11} k_{2 I}^{2}-\left(g_{12}+g_{12}\right) k_{11} k_{2 I}\right]$,
which is of the same form as those for penny-shaped and external circular cracks.

## 7. Numerical results and discussions

This section is devoted to numerically presenting the the foregoing analytical results. To this end, a specific 1D hexagonal QC is considered, with the material properties tubulated in Table 1, by refereing to previous studies (Li, 2013; Radi and Mariano, 2011; Wu et al., 2013). Correspondingly, the eigenvalue $s_{i}$ ( $i=0,1, \ldots, 3$ ), characterizing the anisotropic degree of the materials, have the following the numerical values $s_{0}=1.0260, s_{1}=$ $0.7488+0.5177 \mathrm{i}, s_{2}=0.7488-0.5177 \mathrm{i}$ and $s_{3}=0.5070$, which are different from each other.

For the sake of illustration, the following dimensionless quantities is introduced
$\xi=\frac{x}{a}, \quad \eta=\frac{y}{a}, \quad \zeta=\frac{z}{a}, \quad r^{\prime}=\frac{r}{a}$,
$U_{r}^{\prime}=\frac{u_{r}}{a}, \quad \Omega_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{\Omega_{1}}{a}, \quad \Omega_{2}^{\prime}=\frac{\Omega_{2}}{a}, \quad \Sigma_{\zeta}=\frac{\sigma_{21}}{c_{11}}, \quad K_{1 I}^{\prime}=\frac{k_{11}^{\prime} \sqrt{a}}{c_{11}}$.
In other words, $a, c_{11}, c_{11} / \sqrt{a}$ are selected as reference scales for spatial coordinates/displacement, stress and stress intensity factors, respectively. Throughout this section, attention is confined to the physical quantities on the crack plane $z=0$, for simplicity.

### 7.1. Validity of the present solutions

First, let us first discuss the validity of the present solutions. It is seen from (1) that the phonon-phason coupling effect will vanish by artificially letting $R_{i}$ be zero. In such a special case, the elastic field resulting from an external mechanical force should be identical to those in the context of pure elasticity. This provides a useful way to check the validity of the present solutions. In this subsection, the validity of the present solutions for circular cracks is discussed by following this path.

Table 2 lists the dimensionless $\operatorname{CSD} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ and the dimensionless normal stress $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ in the intact region $\bar{S}$, for a penny-shaped crack subjected to a pair of uniform pressures $p_{0} / c_{11}=6 \times 10^{-4}$. Comparison of the present solutions is made with in their elastic counterparts, and an excellent agreement is observed.

For the external circular crack, we consider a concentrated phonon force $P_{1}=-\pi^{2} a^{2} c_{11} \times 10^{-6}$ applied at the point $\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{1}\right)=(2,0)$ on the crack lip. Similar to the case of the penny-shaped crack, comparison is made with the counterpart given by Fabrikant et al. (1994) in the framework of pure elasticity, as shown in Table 3. Again, the present solutions coincide with those in literature.

It should be pointed out that the data only in Tables 2 and 3 are derived by setting $R_{i}$ to null. Moreover, the other components of the physical quantities also agree with their counterparts in literature. However, they are omitted for the space-saving purpose.

As far as the half-infinite plane crack is concerned, we adopt another way to check the appropriateness of the solutions. Previous studies (Fabrikant et al., 1993, Fabrikant et al., 1995; Li, 2013) clearly reveal that the fundamental solutions for a half-infinite crack can be extracted from those for the penny-shaped crack, through a limiting procedure.

To fulfill our purpose, we first place the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y, z)$ at the edge of the circular crack so that

Table 1
Material constants for a particular 1D hexagonal quasi-crystal. ( $c_{i j}, R_{i}$ and $K_{i}$ in $10^{9} \mathrm{Nm}^{-2}$ ).

| $c_{11}$ | $c_{12}$ | $c_{13}$ | $c_{33}$ | $c_{44}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 150 | 55 | 45 | 90 | 50 |
| $R_{1}$ | $R_{2}$ | $R_{3}$ | $K_{1}$ | $K_{2}$ |
| -1.68 | 1.20 | 1.20 | 0.084 | 0.036 |

$x=r \cos \theta, \quad y=r \sin \theta+a, \quad z=z$.
Then we let the the radius of the circular crack approaches to infinite, i.e., $a \rightarrow \infty$. Such a procedure is graphically shown in Fig. 2. In this manner, a half-infinite plane crack is generated and the solutions associated with the circular crack consequently tend to the ones for half-infinite plane crack.

It is noted that the fundamental solutions for penny-shaped and half-infinite cracks are of the same forms. As a result, we need pay our attentions only to the functions $f_{j}^{p}(z)$ and $f_{j}^{(h)}(z)(j=1-5)$ involved in those fundamental solutions. This purpose can be readily achieved by virtue of the following results
$\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}{a}=2 l_{2}^{*}, \quad \lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}}{a}=-2 l_{1}^{*}, \quad \lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}{a}=2 y_{0}$,
$\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s^{2}}{a}=s^{*}, \quad \lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} h=h^{*}, \quad \lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}}{\bar{s}}=c$,
where $l_{1,2}, h$ and $s$ are defined in (B-3), and $l_{1,2}^{*}, h^{*}, s^{*}$ and $c$ are given in (B-8). Furthermore, $R_{0}$ and $t$ specified by (B-2) keep invariant during the course of limiting procedure.

With the help of (76), we are readily to obtain that
$\lim _{a \rightarrow \infty} f_{j}^{(p)}(z)=f_{j}^{(h)}(z), \quad j=1-5$
where $f_{j}^{(h)}(z)$ and $f_{j}^{(p)}(z)$ are defined in (B-7) and (B-1), respectively.

### 7.2. Normal stress, SIF and CSD

Consider a penny-shaped crack and an external circular crack, both of which are subjected to a pair of concentrated phonon forces only. The force is assumed to be $P_{1}=-\pi^{2} a^{2} c_{11} \times 10^{-6}$ and is applied at the points $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=\left(\lambda, 0,0^{ \pm}\right)$. Without specification elsewhere, the parameter $\lambda<1.0$ for penny-shaped crack is assigned to 0 and 0.5 , and $\lambda>1.0$ for external circular crack is set to 2.0 and 3.0. In this subsection, we only focus on the normal stresses in the region $\bar{S}$ of the crack plane, SIFs and CSDs of the circular cracks.

It is seen from (25) and (33) that the normal stress $\sigma_{z z}$ in the intact region is independent of the material properties and only a function of $r$ and $\theta$. Its variations are plotted in Fig. 3, which clearly shows that $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ decrease with $r^{\prime}$ and $\theta$, for these two circular cracks. As expected, $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is singular at the crack front $r^{\prime}=1.0$.

To investigate its whole profile, Fig. 4 displays the 3D figure and the contour of $\Sigma_{\zeta}$. From an overall point of view, $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ changes significantly in the neighborhood of the point $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=(1,0,0)$. Furthermore, the symmetry with respect to the $x$-axis $(y=0)$, associated with the problem in question in this subsection, is checked.

The singularity in $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ is quantified by the dimensionless stress intensity factor $K_{11}^{\prime}$, whose expression for the circular cracks reads
$\sqrt{2} K_{1 I}^{\prime} \times 10^{6}=\frac{\sqrt{\left|1-\lambda^{2}\right|}}{1+\lambda^{2}-2 \lambda \cos \theta}$.

Table 2
The dimensionless displacement and normal stress component for the penny-shaped crack on the crack plane $\zeta=0$.

| $r^{\prime}=\frac{r}{a}$ | $\Omega_{1}^{\prime} \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $r^{\prime}=\frac{r}{a}$ |  | $\Sigma_{\zeta} \times 10^{3}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Present | Fabrikant (1989) |  | Present | Fabrikant (1989) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.0 | 1.179279 | 1.179279 | 1.05 | 0.711432 | 0.711432 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.2 | 1.155452 | 1.155452 | 1.10 | 0.397664 | 0.397664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.4 | 1.080827 | 1.080827 | 1.15 | 0.269894 | 0.269894 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.6 | 0.943423 | 0.943423 | 1.20 | 0.199560 | 0.199560 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.8 | 0.707567 | 0.707567 | 1.25 | 0.155095 | 0.155095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.0 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 1.30 | 0.124608 | 0.124608 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3
The dimensionless displacements at the point $(\xi, \eta, \zeta)=(\xi, 0,0)$ for the external circular crack subjected to a concentrated phonon force exerted at $\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, \theta_{1}\right)=(2,0)$.

| $\xi=\frac{\chi}{a}$ | $\Omega_{1}^{\prime} \times 10^{5}$ |  | $U_{x}^{\prime} \times 10^{5}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Present | Fabrikant et al. (1994) | Present | 0.230594 |
| 1.0 | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.249483 | 0.230594 |
| 1.2 | 0.371466 | 0.371466 | 0.301589 | 0.249483 |
| 1.4 | 0.633399 | 0.633399 | 0.421162 | 0.401589 |
| 1.6 | 1.071278 | 2.305933 | 0.797463 | 0.797462 |
| 1.8 | 2.305933 |  |  |  |



Fig. 2. A schematic figure for the limiting procedure $a \rightarrow \infty$. $N_{1}\left[x_{1}\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right), y_{1}\left(r_{1}, \theta_{1}\right)\right]$ and $N_{2}\left[x_{2}\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right), y_{2}\left(r_{2}, \theta_{2}\right)\right]$ denote the points at which the concentrated phonon and phason forces are respectively applied on the lips of penny-shaped and half infinite plane cracks.

Since $K_{1 I}^{\prime}$ is independent of the material constants, the SIFs should coincide with their counterparts in the framework of the elasticity.

More specifically, the result for the penny-shaped crack is identical to those predicted by Fabrikant (1989) and the remaining is same to these proposed by Fabrikant et al. (1994). As expected, when the force is applied at the center of the penny-shaped crack, namely, $\lambda=0, K_{1 I}^{\prime}$ is independent of the variable $\theta$ because the problem under consideration is axisymmetric. However, in the case of $\lambda>0$ for circular cracks, the problem is thus non-axisymmetric and $K_{11}^{\prime}$ decreases with $\theta \in[0, \pi)$. These characteristics are reflected in Fig. 5.

Fig. 6 illustrates the dimensionless $\operatorname{CSD} \Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ as a function of dimensionless $r^{\prime}$. As expected, $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ is singular at the point $\left(r^{\prime}, \theta, \zeta\right)=(0.5,0,0)$ for the penny-shaped crack and at $(2.0,0,0)$ for the external circular crack. Further, Fig. 6 also indicates that $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ decreases with $\theta \in[0, \pi)$.

From (29) and (37), distribution of $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$ is similar to that of $\Omega_{1}^{\prime}$, since they are different from each other only by a constant. Hence, the distribution of the latter is not presented for brevity. Furthermore, under the current circumstance, the ratio between them
$\alpha=\frac{\Omega_{2}}{\Omega_{1}}=-\frac{g_{21}}{g_{11}}=-27.0426$
characterizes the effect of the phonon-phason effect.


Fig. 3. The dimensionless normal stress component $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ as functions of $r^{\prime}(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})$ and $\theta / \pi(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d})$ for penny-shaped crack (a, b) and external circular crack (b, d). Data are for $\lambda=0.50$ for the penny-shaped crack and $\lambda=2.0$ for the latter.


Fig. 4. The 3D figure (a, c) and the contour (b, d) of the dimensionless normal stress components $\Sigma_{\zeta}$ on the intact region $\bar{S}$ for the penny-shaped crack (a, b) and circular external crack ( $\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}$ ). Data are for $\lambda=0.50$ for former and $\lambda=2.0$ for the latter. The symbol $\oplus$ denotes the position of the external load in (b), and the dashed lines in (b) and ( d ) stand for the edges of the circular cracks.


Fig. 5. The distributions of the dimensionless SIF $K_{11}^{\prime} \times 10^{6}$ in the polar system, for the penny-shaped crack (a) and the external circular crack (b). The solid and dashed lines represents the data for $\lambda=0.0$ and 0.5 for the former crack, and for $\lambda=2.0$ and 3.0 for the latter. The symbols ( $\Delta$ ) and ( $\square$ ) stand for the elastic results given by Fabrikant (1989) and Fabrikant et al. (1994).

 $\lambda=0.5$ (a) and $\lambda=2.0$ (b).

## 8. Concluding remarks

The problem of a planar crack contained in an infinite medium of 1D hexagonal QCs has been analytically treated by means of the potential theory method in conjunction with the general solutions of 3D static problems. In particular, a new potential has been introduced to account for the phonon field. Corresponding boundary integral equations, which are of primary significance for boundary element method, are established.

When the lips of crack with special configurations (pennyshaped, external circular and half-infinite plane) are subjected to non-axisymmetric external concentrated forces, the resulting phonon and phason elastic fields are expressed completely and exactly in terms of some elementary functions. The physical quantities such as SIFs and CSDs, which play an important role in crack analysis, have been explicitly derived. In particular, no interactions between the phonon and phason fields are observed in SIFs.

For the crack subjected to arbitrarily distributed loading, corresponding physical quantities can be obtained by integrating the fundamental solution over the crack surface. In the present study, three concrete examples, which are classical in the context of the fracture mechanics, are presented to illustrate applications of the fundamental solutions. Of particular interest is that the energy release rate for the three common cracks bears an identical expressions in terms of stress intensity factors.

It is also interesting that the fundamental solutions for the three cracks are of a uniform form. This means intrinsic relations must exist among the solutions for these three cracks, for example, the solution for half-infinite plane crack can be exacted from those for penny-shaped crack through a limiting procedure. However, the relations between penny-shaped and external circular cracks remain unknown.

It should be noted that the results presented in this work are valid under the condition that the eigenvalues defined in Section 2 are all distinct. However, if any two, or more than two, of them are equal, the corresponding results can be extracted from the present ones through the limiting procedure suggested by Fabrikant (1989) using L'Hôspital rule.
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## Appendix A

The constants $a, b, c$ and $d$ involved in the characteristic equation (2) are related to the material properties as follows (Chen et al., 2004)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=c_{44}\left(R_{2}^{2}-c_{33} K_{1}\right), d=c_{11}\left(R_{3}^{2}-c_{44} K_{2}\right) \\
& b=c_{33}\left[-c_{44} K_{2}+\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right)^{2}\right]-K_{1}\left[c_{11} c_{33}+c_{44}^{2}-\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +R_{2}\left[2 c_{44} R_{3}+c_{11} R_{2}-2\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right)\right] \\
& c=c_{44}\left[-c_{11} K_{1}+\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right)^{2}\right]-K_{2}\left[c_{11} c_{33}+c_{44}^{2}-\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& +R_{3}\left[2 c_{11} R_{2}+c_{44} R_{3}-2\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

In order to specify the constants in (3) and (5), we introduce the following constants

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}=-K_{2}\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)+R_{3}\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right), m_{2}=-K_{1}\left(c_{13}+c_{44}\right)+R_{2}\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right) \\
& m_{3}=-c_{11} K_{1}-c_{44} K_{2}+\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right)^{2}, m_{4}=c_{11} R_{2}-c_{44} R_{1}-c_{13}\left(R_{1}+R_{3}\right) \\
& \beta_{1 j}=m_{2} s_{j}^{2}-m_{1}, \beta_{2 j}=-c_{11} K_{2}-m_{3} s_{j}^{2}-c_{44} K_{1} s_{j}^{4}, \beta_{3 j}=c_{11} R_{3}-m_{4} s_{j}^{2}+c_{44} R_{2} s_{j}^{4}
\end{aligned}
$$

The constants in (3) and (5) are defined as
$\alpha_{1 j}=\frac{\beta_{2 j}}{\beta_{1 j} s_{j}}, \quad \alpha_{2 j}=\frac{\beta_{3 j}}{\beta_{1 j} s_{j}}, \quad \varpi_{j}=c_{12}+c_{13} \alpha_{1 j} s_{j}+R_{1} \alpha_{2 j} s_{j}, \quad \vartheta_{1}=c_{44}$,
$\gamma_{1 j}=c_{13}+c_{33} \alpha_{1 j} s_{j}+R_{2} \alpha_{2 j} s_{j}, \quad \vartheta_{2}=R_{3}, \quad \gamma_{2 j}=R_{1}+R_{2} \alpha_{1 j} s_{j}+K_{1} \alpha_{2 j} s_{j}$.

## Appendix B

Here, the derivatives of Green's function $K\left(M ; N_{0}\right)$ in (18) are given case by case for the three typical cracks.

## B.1. Penny-shaped crack

The derivatives of the Green's function, which are also involved in (20), have been derived by Fabrikant (1989) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigwedge K=2 \pi f_{1}^{(p)}(z)=\frac{2 \pi}{\bar{t}}\left[\frac{z}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{h}{R_{0}}-\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}}{\bar{s}} \arctan \frac{\bar{s}}{\sqrt{l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}}}\right] \tag{B-1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{2}^{(p)}(z)=-\frac{2 \pi}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{h}{R_{0}}$,
$\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial z^{2}}=2 \pi f_{3}^{(p)}(z)=2 \pi\left[\frac{z}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h}{R_{0}}-\frac{h}{z\left(R_{0}^{2}+h^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{r^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}{l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}-\frac{z^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\right)\right]$,
$\bigwedge^{2} K=2 \pi f_{4}^{(p)}(z)=2 \pi\left\{\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}}{\bar{t} \bar{s}}\left(\frac{2}{\bar{t}}-\frac{r_{0} e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}}{\bar{s}^{2}}\right) \arctan \frac{\bar{s}}{\sqrt{l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}}}\right.$
$-\frac{z\left(3 R_{0}^{2}-z^{2}\right)}{\bar{t}^{2} R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h}{R_{0}}+\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}}}{\bar{t} \bar{s}^{2}\left[l_{2}^{2}-r r_{0} e^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)}\right]}$
$\left.-\frac{z h}{\left(R_{0}^{2}+h^{2}\right)}\left[\frac{t}{\bar{t} R_{0}^{2}}-\frac{r^{2} e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \theta}}{\left(l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}\right)\left(l_{2}^{2}-r^{2}\right)}\right]\right\}$,
$\bigwedge \frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{5}^{(p)}(z)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=2 \pi\left[\frac{t}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h}{R_{0}}+\frac{z h}{R_{0}^{2}+h^{2}}\left(\frac{r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}}{l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}+\frac{t}{R_{0}^{2}}\right)\right], \tag{B-1e}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the over bar stands for the conjugate of a complex variable, and
$t=r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}-r_{0} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}, h=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}}{a}$,
$\bar{s}=\sqrt{a^{2}-r r_{0} e^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)}}$,
$R_{0}=\sqrt{r^{2}+r_{0}^{2}-2 r r_{0} \cos \left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)+z^{2}}$,
$l_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{(r+a)^{2}+z^{2}}-\sqrt{(r-a)^{2}+z^{2}}\right]$,
$l_{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\sqrt{(r+a)^{2}+z^{2}}+\sqrt{(r-a)^{2}+z^{2}}\right]$.
In addition, the characteristic lengths $h, l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ have the following properties
$l_{1} \rightarrow \min (a, r), l_{2} \rightarrow \max (a, r)$,
$h \rightarrow \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{a^{2}-\min ^{2}(a, r)}}{a}, \quad \frac{h}{z} \rightarrow \sqrt{\frac{a^{2}-r_{0}^{2}}{\max ^{2}(a, r)-a^{2}}}$,
when $z$ approaches to 0 .
It is noted that, the variables $r_{0}$ and $\theta_{0}$ should be replaced by $r_{m}$ and $\theta_{m}$, respectively, when the function $f_{j}^{(p)}(z)$ in (B-1) are replaced by $f_{j m}^{(p)}(z)$ in (20).

## B.2. External circular crack

We can define the derivatives of the Green's function for external circular crack by referring to the article (Fabrikant et al., 1994) as
$\bigwedge K=2 \pi f_{1}^{(e)}(z)=\frac{2 \pi}{\bar{t}}\left\{\frac{z}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{J}{R_{0}}-\arctan \frac{\sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}}{a}-\frac{\sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}}{\bar{s}^{\prime}}\right.$ $\left.\left[\arctan \frac{\bar{s}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}}-\arctan \frac{\bar{s}^{\prime}}{a}\right]\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{2}^{(e)}(z)=-\frac{2 \pi}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{J}{R_{0}}, \tag{B-4b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial z^{2}}=2 \pi f_{3}^{(e)}(z)= & 2 \pi\left[\frac{z}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{J}{R_{0}}+\frac{J}{z\left(R_{0}^{2}+J^{2}\right)}\left(\frac{z^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}-\frac{l_{2}^{2}-r^{2}}{l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}\right)\right], \\
\bigwedge^{2} K=2 \pi f_{4}^{(e)}(z)= & 2 \pi\left\{\frac { \sqrt { r _ { 0 } ^ { 2 } - a ^ { 2 } } } { \overline { t } \overline { s } ^ { \prime } } ( \frac { 2 } { \overline { t } } + \frac { r _ { 0 } e ^ { \mathrm { i } \theta _ { 0 } } } { \overline { s } ^ { \prime 2 } } ) \left[\arctan \frac{\bar{s}^{\prime}}{\sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}}\right.\right. \\
& \left.-\arctan \frac{\bar{S}^{\prime}}{a}\right]+\frac{a \sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}}{\bar{t} \bar{s}^{\prime} r e^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}}-\frac{z\left(3 R_{0}^{2}-z^{2}\right)}{\bar{t}^{2} R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{J}{R_{0}} \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}} \sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1}^{2}} r_{0} e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}}{\overline{t s^{\prime}}\left[l_{1}^{2}-r r_{0} e^{\mathrm{i}\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)}\right]}+\frac{2}{\bar{t}^{2}} \arctan \frac{\sqrt{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}}{a} \\
& \left.\frac{z J}{R_{0}^{2}+J^{2}}\left[\frac{r^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \theta_{0}}}{\left(l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}\right) \sqrt{r^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}}-\frac{t}{\bar{t} R_{0}^{2}}\right]\right\},  \tag{B-4d}\\
\bigwedge \frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{5}^{(e)}(z)= & 2 \pi\left[\frac{t}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{J}{R_{0}}-\frac{J}{R_{0}^{2}+J^{2}}\left(\frac{t}{R_{0}^{2}}-\frac{r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta_{0}}}{l_{2}^{2}-l_{1}^{2}}\right)\right], \tag{B-4e}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R_{0}, t$ and $l_{1,2}$ are defined in (B-2), and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s^{\prime}=\sqrt{r r_{0} e^{-\mathrm{i}\left(\theta-\theta_{0}\right)}-a^{2}}, \quad J=\sqrt{\left(l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}\right)\left(r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}\right)} / a . \tag{B-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the property of the characteristic lengths $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$, we can obtain that
$\lim _{z \rightarrow 0} \frac{J}{z}=\sqrt{\frac{r_{0}^{2}-a^{2}}{a^{2}-\min ^{2}(a, r)}}$.

## B.3. Half-infinite plane crack

The derivatives of the Green's functions in (18) and the functions involved in (20) for the half-infinite plane crack are of the following form (Fabrikant and Karapetian, 1994)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bigwedge K=2 \pi f_{1}^{(h)}(z)=\frac{2 \pi}{\bar{t}}\left[\frac{z}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}}-c \arctan \sqrt{\frac{\bar{s}^{*}}{-2 l_{1}^{*}}}\right],  \tag{B-7a}\\
& \frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{2}^{(h)}(z)=-\frac{2 \pi}{R_{0}} \arctan \frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}},  \tag{B-7b}\\
& \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial z^{2}}=2 \pi f_{3}^{(h)}(z)=2 \pi\left[\frac{z}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}}-\frac{h^{*}}{z\left(R_{0}^{2}+h^{* 2}\right)}\left(\frac{l_{1}^{*}}{l_{1}^{*}-l_{2}^{*}}-\frac{z^{2}}{R_{0}^{2}}\right)\right], \tag{B-7c}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bigwedge^{2} K=2 \pi f_{4}^{(h)}(z)=2 \pi\left\{-\frac{2 \sqrt{y_{0} l_{1}^{*}}}{\bar{t} \overline{\bar{s}}\left(\bar{s}^{*}-2 l_{1}^{*}\right)}+\frac{c}{\bar{t}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\overline{\bar{s}}^{*}}+\frac{2}{\bar{t}}\right) \arctan \sqrt{\frac{\bar{s}^{*}}{-2 l_{1}^{*}}}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{z\left(3 R_{0}^{2}-z^{2}\right)}{\bar{t}^{2} R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}}-\frac{z h^{*}}{R_{0}^{2}+h^{* 2}}\left[\frac{t}{\bar{t} R_{0}^{2}}+\frac{1}{4 l_{2}^{*}\left(l_{2}^{*}-l_{1}^{*}\right)}\right]\right\}, \quad(\mathrm{B}-7 \mathrm{c} \tag{B-7d}
\end{align*}
$$

$\bigwedge \frac{\partial K}{\partial z}=2 \pi f_{5}^{(h)}(z)=2 \pi\left\{\frac{t}{R_{0}^{3}} \arctan \frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}}+\frac{h^{*}}{R_{0}^{2}+h^{* 2}}\left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\left(l_{1}^{*}-l_{2}^{*}\right)}+\frac{t}{R_{0}^{2}}\right]\right\}$,
where
$t=\left(x-x_{0}\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(y-y_{0}\right), \quad s^{*}=\left(y+y_{0}\right)-\mathrm{i}\left(x-x_{0}\right), \quad c=\sqrt{\frac{2 y_{0}}{\bar{s}^{*}}}$,
$R_{0}=\sqrt{\left(x-x_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(y-y_{0}\right)^{2}+z^{2}}, \quad 2 l_{1,2}^{*}=y \mp \sqrt{y^{2}+z^{2}}, \quad h^{*}=2 \sqrt{y_{0} l_{2}^{*}}$.

## Appendix C

The elastic field in the infinite QC space weakened by a uniformly load penny-shaped crack can be obtained via the general solutions for crack problem, which were developed by Peng and Fan (2001). Such an approach consists of Fourier series, Hankel transform and duel integral equations, and all the physical quantities are in the form of integral with Bessel functions involved. As a result, the physical quantities seem not to be readily obtained in this way. Owing to its significance of the problem, here, we provide a simple and straightforward method to fulfill our purpose.

Substituting (49) into (10), we can obtain the potentials as (Fabrikant, 1989)
$\Psi_{m}(r, z)=-\frac{F_{m}^{0}}{2 \pi}\left[\left(2 a^{2}+2 z^{2}-r^{2}\right) \arcsin \frac{a}{l_{2}}-\frac{2 a^{2}-3 l_{1}^{2}}{a} \sqrt{l_{2}^{2}-a^{2}}\right]$.

According to (3), (5), (9) and (C-1), the corresponding elastic field is obtained through a procedure of differentiation
$U=\frac{r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j}\left[\frac{a \sqrt{l_{2 j}^{2}-a^{2}}}{l_{2 j}^{2}}-\arcsin \frac{a}{l_{2 j}}\right]$,
$u_{z m}=-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j} \alpha_{m j}\left[z_{j} \arcsin \frac{a}{l_{2 j}}-\sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}}\right]$,
$\sigma_{1}=-\frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j} \varpi_{j}\left[\arcsin \frac{a}{l_{2 j}}-\frac{a \sqrt{l_{2 j}^{2}-a^{2}}}{l_{2 j}^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}}\right]$,
$\sigma_{2}=-\frac{4 c_{66} r^{2} e^{\mathrm{i} 2 \theta}}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j} \frac{a \sqrt{l_{2 j}^{2}-a^{2}}}{l_{2 j}^{4}\left(l_{2 j}^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}\right)}$,
$\sigma_{z m}=-\frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j} \gamma_{m j}\left[\arcsin \frac{a}{l_{2 j}}-\frac{a \sqrt{l_{2 j}^{2}-a^{2}}}{l_{2 j}^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}}\right]$,
$\tau_{z m}=-\frac{2 a^{2} r e^{\mathrm{i} \theta}}{\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{3} D_{j} s_{j} \gamma_{m j} \frac{\sqrt{a^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}}}{l_{2 j}^{2}\left(l_{2 j}^{2}-l_{1 j}^{2}\right)}$,
with $D_{j}=\sum_{k=1}^{2} d_{j k} F_{k}^{0}$ and $l_{m j}=l_{m}\left(z_{j}\right)$ specified in (B-2). It should be pointed out that the displacements in ( $\mathrm{C}-2 \mathrm{~b}$ ) and the normal stresses in (C-2e) are readily reduced to (49) and (53), respectively, upon letting $z=0$.

## Appendix D

This section is devoted to define the special functions involved in (69) (Gradsbteyn and Ryzbik, 2000)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{1}(r ; \alpha, \beta) \equiv \int_{\beta}^{r} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}-x^{2}}{r^{2}-x^{2}}} d x=\alpha E(\xi, t)-\beta \sqrt{\frac{r^{2}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}}(\alpha>r>\beta \geqslant 0) \\
& F_{2}(r ; \alpha, \beta) \equiv \int_{\beta}^{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}-x^{2}}{r^{2}-x^{2}}} d x \\
& =r E(\xi, t)-\frac{r^{2}-\alpha^{2}}{r} F(\xi, t)-\beta \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}{r^{2}-\beta^{2}}}(r>\alpha>\beta \geqslant 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $F(\xi, t)$ and $E(\xi, t)$ are elliptic functions of the first and second kinds and defined by
$F(\xi, t)=\int_{0}^{\xi} \frac{d x}{\sqrt{1-t^{2} \sin ^{2} x}}, \quad E(\xi, t)=\int_{0}^{\xi} \sqrt{1-t^{2} \sin ^{2} x} d x$,
with

$$
\xi=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\arcsin \frac{\alpha}{r} \sqrt{\frac{r^{2}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}}  \tag{D-2}\\
\arcsin \frac{r}{\alpha} \sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}{r^{2}-\beta^{2}}}
\end{array} \quad r>\alpha>\beta, \quad, \quad t=\frac{\min (r, \alpha)}{\max (r, \alpha)} .\right.
$$

In the limiting case $r \rightarrow \beta^{+}$with $\alpha>r$, we have the properties
$\xi \rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{r} \sqrt{\frac{r^{2}-\beta^{2}}{\alpha^{2}-\beta^{2}}}, \quad F(\xi, t) \rightarrow \xi, E(\xi, t) \rightarrow \xi$.
These limits are of significance in predicting the asymptotic behaviors of CSDs.
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