

A door-to-door approach to cervical cancer screening

See [Articles](#) page e85

In *The Lancet Global Health*, Silvina Arrossi and colleagues¹ report a cluster-randomised trial to investigate the effectiveness of using an existing network of trained community health workers to implement self-collection of samples for high-risk human papillomavirus (HPV) detection among women in Jujuy, Argentina—a region with one of the highest cervical cancer mortality rates nationally. In their Article, Arrossi and colleagues highlight two important issues. First, self-collection for HPV detection is an important method to reach women who are rarely or never screened. Second, non-clinicians such as community health workers can have a key role in increasing coverage.

Screening based on Papanicolaou (Pap) tests has significantly reduced cervical cancer incidence in high-income countries;² however, a small but important proportion of women are still rarely or never screened (eg, 11% in the USA).³ Screening coverage in low-income and middle-income countries is fairly low, in part because of limited resources and health infrastructure, including a shortage of health professionals to do screening.⁴ Self-collection of cervicovaginal samples for detection of HPV could eliminate the need for an initial pelvic examination by a trained health professional and increase screening coverage in countries of low income and middle income and hard-to-reach populations in high-income countries. Self-collection is highly acceptable among women,^{5,6} and self-collected samples are comparable to clinician-collected samples for detection of HPV when analysed with several PCR-based tests.⁷ In Europe, self-collection kits delivered to rarely screened women via the postal system increased cervical cancer screening coverage.⁸ Since postal systems in low-income and middle-income countries are less reliable, alternative delivery methods need be considered in the implementation of self-collection for HPV detection in these countries.

Community health workers, also referred to as lay health workers, are typically members of a community who receive some training to provide health services or health promotion. Organisation and compensation of community health workers ranges from paid employees in a country's health-care system—such as in Argentina—to unpaid volunteers in other countries.⁹ Community health workers have been successful

in significantly increasing childhood immunisation uptake, breastfeeding, and tuberculosis cure rates.¹⁰ In a randomised trial of more than 150 000 women in Mumbai, India, public health workers (similar to community health workers, and defined as women in the community with limited education and experience in working in health programmes) were effective in doing cervical cancer screening by visual inspection with acetic acid.¹¹ In India, the addition of this screening method by trained public health workers to the routine cervical cancer education led to a significant 31% reduction in cervical cancer mortality over 12 years.

In the trial by Arrossi and colleagues in Argentina,¹ community health workers randomly allocated to the intervention group delivered self-collection kits to women at their homes during routine visits, instructed women on how to obtain a cervicovaginal sample for HPV testing, and transported the gathered samples to health centres. Community health workers in the control group educated women about cervical cancer and HPV testing and encouraged women to visit a health centre for screening. Cervical cancer screening uptake was four times greater in the intervention group (86%) than in the control group (21%) over 12 months (risk ratio 4.02, 95% CI 3.44–4.71).

The novel strategy of using community health workers and self-collection to implement cervical cancer screening leaves many questions unanswered, most importantly the uncertainty of how to follow-up HPV-positive women. In this current trial,¹ women with HPV-positive results from self-collected samples were all referred to colposcopy (n=232), whereas women who tested HPV-positive from clinician-collected samples were triaged with cytology before referral to colposcopy (n=23). The referral of all women with HPV-positive samples for colposcopy might not be a realistic sustainable strategy and would further strain the limited colposcopy services in many low-income and middle-income countries. On the other hand, triaging HPV-positive women with cytology means that women who provide self-collected samples at home must go to health centres for an additional visit, eliminating the convenience of self-collection at home and adding another opportunity for loss to follow-up. More research studies, particularly cost-effectiveness assessments, are needed to understand what the

best strategy for follow-up of HPV-positive women would be with this screening strategy, under different resource settings.

Other screening strategies not considered in this study could be adapted on a wider scale. WHO promotes a strategy whereby women who are HPV-positive can be triaged by visual inspection with acetic acid and treated immediately with cryotherapy, thereby eliminating the reported 2 months from HPV test to colposcopy.¹² A lower cost HPV test might also increase the adoption of HPV testing in low-resource settings. All women in this study also had to go to the clinic to get their results, whereas the negative predictive value afforded by a negative HPV test result could be communicated easily back to women without needing to visit the clinic.

Successful scale-up of programmes centred around community health workers is dependent on provision of adequate training, motivation (possibly in the form of material benefits), and supervision of community health workers,¹³ which would probably need additional funding; these extra costs to current cervical cancer screening programmes need to be evaluated.

Nevertheless, since networks of community health workers are already providing health services and undertaking health promotion in many countries of low and middle income, and hard-to-reach populations in developed countries, their inclusion in cervical cancer prevention could increase screening coverage, as shown by Arrossi and colleagues in Argentina. Applied research is needed to better understand how community health workers could be incorporated into existing cervical cancer screening programmes to increase coverage and ensure high follow-up.

*Mona Saraiya, Virginia Senkomago
 Division of Cancer Prevention and Control, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA 30329, USA
 msaraiya@cdc.gov

The views expressed in this Comment do not necessarily represent the official position of the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. VS has written abstracts and reports analysing data from research projects funded by Trovagene and Hologic/GenProbe. MS declares no competing interests.

Copyright © Saraiya et al. Open access article published under the terms of CC BY-NC-ND.

- 1 Arrossi S, Thouyaret L, Herrero R, et al, and the EMA Study team. Effect of self-collection of HPV DNA offered by community health workers at home visits on uptake of screening for cervical cancer (the EMA study): a population-based cluster-randomised trial. *Lancet Glob Health* 2015; **3**: 85–94.
- 2 Gustafsson L, Pontén J, Zack M, Adami H. International incidence rates of invasive cervical cancer after introduction of cytological screening. *Cancer Causes Control* 1997; **8**: 755–63.
- 3 Benard VB, Thomas CC, King J, Massetti GM, Doria-Rose VP, Saraiya M. Vital signs: cervical cancer incidence, mortality, and screening—United States, 2007–2012. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2014; **63**: 1004–09.
- 4 Gakidou E, Nordhagen S, Obermeyer Z. Coverage of cervical cancer screening in 57 countries: low average levels and large inequalities. *PLoS Med* 2008; **5**: e132.
- 5 Scarinci IC, Litton AG, Garcés-Palacio IC, Partridge EE, Castle PE. Acceptability and usability of self-collected sampling for HPV testing among African-American women living in the Mississippi Delta. *Womens Health Issues* 2013; **23**: e123–30.
- 6 Mitchell S, Ogilvie G, Steinberg M, Sekikubo M, Biryabarema C, Money D. Assessing women’s willingness to collect their own cervical samples for HPV testing as part of the ASPIRE cervical cancer screening project in Uganda. *Int J Gynecol Obstet* 2011; **114**: 111–15.
- 7 Arbyn M, Verdoordt F, Snijders PJ, et al. Accuracy of human papillomavirus testing on self-collected versus clinician-collected samples: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Oncol* 2014; **15**: 172–83.
- 8 Racey CS, Withrow DR, Gesink D. Self-collected HPV testing improves participation in cervical cancer screening: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Can J Public Health* 2013; **104**: e159–66.
- 9 Joshi R, Alim M, Kengne AP, et al. Task shifting for non-communicable disease management in low and middle income countries—a systematic review. *PLoS One* 2014; **9**: e103754.
- 10 Lewin S, Munabi-Babigumira S, Glenton C, et al. Lay health workers in primary and community health care for maternal and child health and the management of infectious diseases. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010; **3**: CD004015.
- 11 Shastri SS, Mitra I, Mishra GA, et al. Effect of VIA screening by primary health workers: randomized controlled study in Mumbai, India. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2014; **106**: dju009.
- 12 WHO. Comprehensive cervical cancer control: a guide to essential practice. 2nd edn. 2014. <http://www.who.int/reproductivehealth/publications/cancers/cervical-cancer-guide/en/> (accessed Dec 22, 2014).
- 13 Pallas SW, Minhas D, Pérez-Escamilla R, Taylor L, Curry L, Bradley EH. Community health workers in low-and middle-income countries: what do we know about scaling up and sustainability? *Am J Public Health* 2013; **103**: e74–82.