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#### Abstract

We describe the eigenpairs of special kinds of tridiagonal matrices related to problems on traffic on a one-lane road. Some numerical examples are provided.
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## 1. Introduction

The $n$-by- $n$ tridiagonal matrix

$$
Q_{\rho}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \rho & & & \\
1-\rho & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
& \ddots & \ddots & \rho & \\
& & 1-\rho & 0 & \rho \\
& & & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\rho$ is an arbitrary real number in $(0,1)$, is of fundamental importance in understanding the dynamics of Newtonian particles in a chain with (generally) asymmetric nearest neighbor interactions, presuming $n$ to be large.

For an eigenvector $v$ of $Q_{\rho}$ associated with the eigenvalue $r$, i.e., for an eigenpair $\{r, v\},\{1-r, v\}$ is an eigenpair of $I-Q_{\rho}$. The matrix $Q_{\rho}$ derives its importance from the fact that $I-Q$ is the directed graph Laplacian (cf. e.g. [1-4,8]) associated with an important system of linear differential equations, modeling a simple instance of flocking behavior related to studies of automated traffic on a single-lane road. In this note we provide some expressions for the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the matrix $Q_{\rho}$. For that purpose, for a positive real number $\kappa$, we first analyze the location of the zeros of the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} g(x)-g(h(x)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
g(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} x^{n+1}-x^{n-1} \quad \text { and } \quad h(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{\kappa}{x}
$$

[^0]The method presented here relies on the observation that the eigenvalue equation for $Q_{\rho}$ can be rewritten as a twodimensional recursive system with appropriate boundary condition near 0 and $n$ indexes. This procedure can be found for instance in [5]. This case can also be seen from the perspective of the orthogonal polynomials theory as in [6].

## 2. The zeros of a polynomial

The main tool in analyzing the eigenvalues of the matrix $Q_{\rho}$ is the analysis of the location of the zeros of the polynomial $f(x)$ defined in (1.1). Henceforth, the square root stands for the root in the upper half-plane minus the negative real axis.

In the statement of Theorem 2.1 we use the following equation, where $\kappa>0$ and $\phi$ are real variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(1-\kappa)}{(1+\kappa)} \cot \phi=\cot n \phi \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $\kappa=1$, this is equivalent to $\cos n \phi=0$, and its solutions are given by $\phi_{\ell}= \pm \frac{(2 \ell+1) \pi}{2 n}$, for $\ell=0, \ldots, n-1$.
Theorem 2.1. For any positive real number $\kappa$, the Eq. (1.1) has $2 n+2$ roots. Two of these are the fixed points of h given by $\pm \sqrt{\kappa}$. The remaining $2 n$ roots have period 2 under the involution $h$ and are given as follows:
(i) If $\kappa \geq 1$ : $n$ roots are given by $\sqrt{\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi \ell}$, where $\phi_{\ell} \in\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, solves (2.1); the remaining roots are the images under $h$ of these or $\sqrt{\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \phi_{\ell}}$.
(ii) If $\kappa \in\left[\frac{n-1}{n+1}, 1\right)$ : Identical to (i).
(iii) If $\kappa \in\left(0, \frac{n-1}{n+1}\right): n-2$ roots are given by $\sqrt{\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi_{\ell}}$, where $\phi_{\ell} \in\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, solves (2.1); $n-2$ are images of these under $h$; the remaining roots are $x_{0} \in(\sqrt{\kappa}, 1)$ and its images under $h$ and multiplication by -1 . We have $x_{0}=1-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\kappa^{2}\right) \kappa^{n-1}+\mathcal{O}\left(\kappa^{2 n-2}\right)$.

Note that when $\kappa=\frac{n-1}{n+1}$, the fixed points of $h$ coincide with other roots, thus having higher multiplicity (namely 2 ). When multiple roots are present, we count them with (algebraic) multiplicity.

Proof. We have $x^{n+1} f(x)=\left(x^{2 n+2}-\kappa^{n+1}\right)-x^{2}\left(x^{2 n-2}-\kappa^{n-1}\right)$. This polynomial has exactly $2 n+2$ non-zero roots and these are also the roots of the equation $f(x)=0$ (always counting multiplicity). Two roots are given by the only fixed points of $h$, namely $\pm \sqrt{\kappa}$. Our strategy here is to then find $n$ roots of $f(x)$ in the upper half-plane. Since $h$ is an involution the remaining $n$ roots are then found by taking their image under $h$ to get the roots in the lower half-plane.

If we substitute $x=\sqrt{\kappa} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi}$ into the equation $f(x)=0$ we get $\kappa \sin (n+1) \phi-\sin (n-1) \phi=0$, which is equivalent to $\kappa(\sin n \phi \cos \phi+\cos n \phi \sin \phi)=\sin n \phi \cos \phi-\cos n \phi \sin \phi$. Collecting similar terms then gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1-\kappa) \sin n \phi \cos \phi=(1+\kappa) \cos n \phi \sin \phi . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This in turn gives Eq. (2.1) upon division by $(1+\kappa) \sin n \phi \sin \phi$.
To prove (i), first note that the case $\kappa=1$ follows directly from Eq. (2.1). In the remaining cases the coefficient $\frac{1-\kappa}{1+\kappa}$ is negative. A straightforward graphical inspection of Eq. (2.1) (see Fig. 4.2, first figure) establishes the existence of $n$ solutions $\phi_{\ell}$, one in each interval $\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell=0,1, \ldots, n-1$.

Now we prove (ii). In this case the coefficient in Eq. (2.1) is greater than zero. We see upon inspecting the graphical solution (Fig. 4.2, second figure) that in the interval ( $0, \pi$ ), Eq. (2.1) has $n-2$ natural solutions, one in each interval $\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell=1, \ldots, n-2$. To see whether there are roots in the remaining two intervals for $\ell=0$ and $\ell=n-1$, divide Eq. (2.2) by $(1-\kappa) \cos n \phi \cos \phi$. We then get

$$
\frac{(1+\kappa)}{(1-\kappa)} \tan \phi=\tan n \phi
$$

This equation has a solution (not equal to 0 or $\pi$ ) in each of the two intervals if

$$
\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right|_{\phi=0} \frac{1+\kappa}{1-\kappa} \tan \phi>\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right|_{\phi=0} \tan n \phi
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\kappa>\frac{n-1}{n+1}
$$

Since the roots of a polynomial are continuous functions of the coefficients, we get roots of multiplicity 2 at $\pm \sqrt{\kappa}$, when $\kappa=\frac{n-1}{n+1}$.

The proof of (iii) runs parallel to the previous one except that now there are no solutions (other than 0 and $\pi$ ) in the intervals labeled $\ell=0$ and $\ell=n-1$. These solutions plus their images under $h$ give us $2 n-2$ roots of $f$. Straightforward arguments $\left(f(\sqrt{\kappa})=0, f(1)>0\right.$, and $\left.f^{\prime}(\sqrt{\kappa})<0\right)$ lead to the insight that there is a new real positive root in $(\sqrt{\kappa}, 1)$. Its image under $h$ then yields a root in ( $\kappa, \sqrt{\kappa}$ ). Since $x^{n+1} f(x)$ is even, we can multiply these roots by -1 to get two more.

Applying Newton's Method to the starting point 1, we get for one of the roots (up to $\mathcal{O}\left(\kappa^{2 n-2}\right)$ )

$$
\bar{x}=1-\frac{f(1)}{f^{\prime}(1)}=1-\frac{\left(1-\kappa^{2}\right) \kappa^{n-1}}{2\left(1+\kappa^{n-1}\right)} \approx 1-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\kappa^{2}\right) \kappa^{n-1}
$$

The precise estimate follows from the fact that Newton's Method converges quadratically. The other roots are obtained by taking the images under $h$ and multiplication by -1 .

## 3. The eigenpairs of $\boldsymbol{Q}_{\rho}$

In this section, we establish formulas for the eigenpairs of $Q_{\rho}$. It turns out that Theorem 2.1 can be translated rather easily to give our result here.

In the statement of Theorem 3.1 we use the following equation, where $\rho \in(0,1)$ and $\phi$ are real variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(2 \rho-1) \cot \phi=\cot n \phi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.1. For any real number $\rho \in(0,1)$, the matrix $Q_{\rho}$ has $n$ eigenvalues (counting multiplicity). They are given as follows:
(i) If $\rho \in\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right]$ : The $n$ eigenvalues are given by $2 \sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)} \cos \phi_{\ell}$, where $\phi_{\ell} \in\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, solves (3.1).
(ii) If $\rho \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{n+1}{2 n}\right]$ : Identical to (i).
(iii) If $\rho \in\left(\frac{n+1}{2 n}, 1\right): n-2$ eigenvalues are given by $2 \sqrt{\rho(1-\rho)} \cos \phi_{\ell}$, where $\phi_{\ell} \in\left(\frac{\ell \pi}{n}, \frac{(\ell+1) \pi}{n}\right)$, for $\ell \in\{1, \ldots, n-2\}$, solves (3.1); the remaining two are given by $\pm\left(1-\frac{(2 \rho-1)^{2}}{2 \rho^{2}}\left(\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}\right)^{n-1}\right)$, with an error $\mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}\right)^{2 n-2}\right)$ as $n$ tends to infinity.

It is well known (cf., e.g., [7, p. 28]) that if $Q_{\rho}$ is irreducible, then the eigenvalues are all distinct, and in the case where $Q_{\rho}$ is sign-symmetric, they are all real.

Proof. Let $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$ be an eigenvector of $Q_{\rho}$ associated with the eigenvalue $r \in \mathbb{C}$. The idea is to replace the equation $Q_{\rho} v=r v$ by a local version modified by adequate boundary conditions. This (equivalent) local reformulation of the eigenpair equation for $Q_{\rho}$ is

$$
\text { For } j=1, \ldots, n:\binom{v_{j}}{v_{j+1}}=C^{j}\binom{v_{0}}{v_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\begin{array}{c}
v_{0}=0  \tag{3.2}\\
v_{n+1}=v_{n-1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Here the matrix $C$ is defined by

$$
C=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-\frac{1-\rho}{\rho} & \frac{r}{\rho}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and we will refer to the last two conditions as boundary conditions 1 and 2 , respectively. The aim is then to find $n$ pairs $\{r, v\}$ satisfying (3.2).

Let $h: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the involution given by $h(x)=\frac{1-\rho}{\rho x}$. The eigenvalues $x_{ \pm}$of $C$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{ \pm}=h\left(x_{\mp}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad r=\rho \operatorname{tr} C=\rho\left(x_{+}+h\left(x_{+}\right)\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the assumption of $x_{+}=h\left(x_{+}\right)$yields a procedure that produces all $n$ distinct pairs $\{r, v\}$ satisfying (3.2), we can consequently omit that case. Hence, let us assume that $x_{+} \neq h\left(x_{+}\right)$. In this case $C$ is diagonalizable, with eigenvectors $\left(1, x_{ \pm}\right)^{\mathrm{T}}$. Denote by $x$ either of the two eigenvalues. Any solution of the recursion (3.2) can be written as

$$
v_{j}=c_{+} x^{j}+c_{-} h(x)^{j} .
$$

Boundary condition 1 implies that $c_{-}=-c_{+}$(and $v_{j}$ is non-zero if $x \neq h(x)$ ). We can take $c_{+}=1$ without loss of generality. Boundary condition 2 becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n+1}-x^{n-1}-\left(h(x)^{n+1}-h(x)^{n-1}\right)=0 . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

After setting $\kappa=\frac{1-\rho}{\rho}$ this is equivalent to Eq. (1.1).
Finding the spectrum of $Q_{\rho}$ is equivalent to getting $n$ values for $\rho(x+h(x))$ (counting multiplicity), where $x$ is determined as (3.4). Hence, from Theorem 2.1, we may establish the result.


Fig. 4.1. The eigenvalues of $Q_{\rho}$ as function of $\rho$ for $n=5$ and $n=6$.


Fig. 4.2. When $\kappa>1$ (first figure) Eq. (2.1) has $2 n$ solutions in $(-\pi, \pi)$. When $0<\kappa<1$, there are only $2 n-2$ (see the second figure). Here the only solutions in $(0, \pi)$ are shown. In this figure $n=4$.

As regards the eigenvectors of $Q_{\rho}$, we may conclude the following proposition.
Corollary 3.2. The eigenvectors of $Q_{\rho}$ are given by $v_{j}=x^{j}-h(x)^{j}$, where $x$ satisfies (3.4).
For the case of $\rho=1 / 2$, we conclude that if $v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)$ is an eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue $\cos \frac{(2 \ell+1) \pi}{2 n}$, with $\ell \in\{0, \ldots, n-1\}$, then

$$
v_{j}=\sin \frac{(2 \ell-1) j}{2 n} \pi
$$

for $j=1, \ldots, n$.

## 4. Numerical examples

To end this note, we present below two graphs of the set of eigenvalues of $Q_{\rho}$ that were evaluated using MAPLE, for $n=5$ and $n=6$, and for $\rho$ in ( 0,1 ). We also present a sketch of the solution of Eq. (2.1) for two cases (see Fig. 4.1).
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