Letters to the Editor – Brief Case Reports

Association of minimal-change disease and polycythaemia in a very elderly patient

Asociación de nefropatía por cambios mínimos y policitemia en un paciente muy anciano

To the Editor,

We report the case of a 85 year old male who was admitted with nephrotic syndrome for study. His medical history included hypertension, atrial fibrillation, hiatal hernia and benign prostatic hypertrophy. He had undergone surgery for colorectal adenocarcinoma in 2006. No history of smoking or lung disease. He is receiving regular treatment with amiodipine 5 mg/day, enalapril 5 mg/day, dabigatran 110 mg/12 h (for last 10 days), tamsulosin 0.4 mg/day, dutasteride 0.5 mg/day omeprazole 40 mg/day. In the 5 days prior to admission, the patient had come to the emergency room on 2 occasions with edema in hands and legs, and treatment with furosemide 80 mg orally was prescribed. Without achieving clinical improvement, he went to the emergency room for a third time, and the Department of Nephrology was then consulted for edema and urinalysis with protein ++++. On physical examination, the patient had an acceptable general condition, was conscious and responsive. On admission, blood pressure was 126/78 mmHg, heart rate 73 bpm, and temperature 37 °C. Cardiac auscultation was arrhythmic with no murmurs, and respiratory auscultation presented bibasilar crackles. He had pitting edema (++++/++++) at both limbs. The remainder of the physical examination was anodyne. Table 1 shows test results before the nephrotic syndrome was detected during a visit to the ER, at the day of admission, during hospitalization and at the first visit after his discharge from the hospital.

All other blood analytical determinations showed: glucose 100 mg/dl, urea 72 mg/dl, uric acid 7.9 mg/dl, cholesterol 439 mg/dl, LDL-cholesterol 342 mg/dl, HDL-cholesterol 75 mg/dl, triglycerides 112 mg/dl, total protein 4.9 mg/dl, calcium 7.6 mg/dl, phosphorus 3.6 mg/dl, sodium 127 mmol/l, potassium 4.5 mmol/l. Blood electrophoresis showed an increase in α2 and β globulins levels, with a percent decrease in albumin without monoclonal peaks. Tumor markers (CEA, CA 19.9, PSA) were within normal limits. Serology for hepatitis B, C and HIV was negative. Immunological study (C-reactive protein, rheumatoid factor, ANA, complement and immunoglobulins A and M) was normal. There was a slight decrease in immunoglobulin G of 730 mg/dl (normal: 751–1560). Vitamin B12 and folic acid levels were normal. In urine, the electrophoretic study showed non-selective proteinuria and negative Bence-Jones.

Abdominal ultrasound was difficult to assess due to poor acoustic window: the left kidney had a very difficult viewing while the right had a normal morphology and echogenicity, but there was no dilation of the urinary tract. No findings in the rest of the ultrasound examination. Venous Doppler in lower limb excluded DVT.

We proceeded to perform symptomatic treatment of nephrotic syndrome with intravenous use of 20% albumin and furosemide 120 mg, spironolactone 12.5 mg, enalapril 5 mg/day, atorvastatin 40 mg/day along with enoxaparin 60 mg/day sc. To determine the cause of nephrotic syndrome, a first renal biopsy was performed on the left kidney without getting sufficient material to establish an etiologic diagnosis (2 sclerotic glomeruli). After the first renal biopsy, empirical treatment was initiated with prednisone 60 mg/day. The patient was clinically better, and weight and edema loss started. However, in the new proteinuria control performed seven days after starting prednisone, proteinuria remained above 25 g. Given the histological lack of available information, it was decided to repeat a second renal biopsy of the right kidney, finding “6 glomeruli per slice plane without significant alterations, and tubule-interstitium component and vessels without findings”, that is why the patient was diagnosed with

minimal change glomerulonephritis. Given these histological findings, the established treatment with prednisone was maintained, and 5 days after the second biopsy, proteinuria was reduced to less than 2 g. With clinical and analytical improvement, the patient was discharged.

From the first visit to the ER, polycythemia was detected, with the rest of the series in the normal range. The polycythemia has been present during hospitalization and even on the first visit after discharge. Hematology were consulted, and a blood morphology study was requested (red blood cells: normocytic–normochromic with ovalocytes presence and some poikilocytes, white blood cells series: neutrophils with decreased cytoplasmic granulation; Platelet series: without significant morphological alterations); JAK-2 mutations not detected and exon 12 was negative. By these findings, together with the absence of splenomegaly, the polycythemia was considered spurious. Despite clinical improvement, with remission of proteinuria, polycythemia persisted, that is why it was decided to perform a phlebotomy.

The association of nephrotic syndrome and polycythemia is rare. Less common is minimal change glomerulonephritis, the cause of nephrotic syndrome in patients with polycythemia. Well, we are describing a case of this association in a very elderly patient.

Most cases of nephrotic syndrome and polycythemia documented in the literature correspond to focal segmental glomerulosclerosis, mainly related with polycythemia vera, and, in some isolated cases, with secondary polycythemia. Some cases of idiopathic membranous nephropathy and secondary polycythemia, are also collected. Regarding the association of minimal-change disease and polycythemia, as in our case, in reviewing the literature we have only found a few cases. Although nephrotic syndrome occurs in childhood, and both entities are treated with glucocorticoids, it is in the second decade of life, coinciding with a nephrotic relapse when polycythemia is discovered. In our case, the beginning of both the nephrotic syndrome and polycythemia occur simultaneously and in the eighth decade of life.

In the cases of minimal-change disease and/or membranous nephropathy associated with polycythemia reported in the literature, it is established the hypothesis that as a result of renal hypoxia and increased secretion of interleukin-8 by the kidney, an overproduction of erythropoietin would take place, although in some of these cases the levels were normal and in others they were not available. In our case, although we do not have the levels of erythropoietin, having ruled out the presence of primary polycythemia and other secondary causes, perhaps this can be explained by the existence of renal ischemia associated with severe nephrotic syndrome.

Glucocorticoid therapy in known cases of minimal-change disease and polycythemia allows the disappearance of proteinuria and the levels of hemoglobin and hematocrit were maintained within normal range. In our patient, treatment with corticosteroids allowed a complete remission of proteinuria and a normalization of the levels of transferrin, while polycythemia persisted until the first outpatient visit, requiring the completion of a phlebotomy as a treatment for this.

In conclusion, we are describing a rare case of minimal-change disease and secondary polycythemia, with a simultaneous onset of both clinical entities in a very elderly patient. Steroid treatment allowed proteinuria remission and transferrin normalization, but without changes in blood parameters. A phlebotomy was necessary to correct the problem.
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Ibuprofen-induced acute interstitial nephritis in the paediatric population

Nefritis intersticial aguda por ibuprofeno en población pediátrica

To the Editor,

Acute tubulointerstitial nephritis (ATIN) leads to acute kidney damage; it has a low incidence in the paediatric population and is present in up to 15% of renal biopsies performed in adults for acute kidney damage. Currently, the most common cause of ATIN is drugs. Other causes include infection, immunological disease (such as systemic lupus erythematosus or Sjögren’s syndrome), and sometimes the cause is unknown. Several groups of drugs have been identified as ethiological agents: antibiotics and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), which are extensively used in routine clinical practice, are among the most frequently implicated in ATIN.

We present 3 cases of paediatric patients with non-oliguric acute kidney damage, seen in our department between 2008 and 2010, with a working diagnosis of NSAID-induced (ibuprofen) ATIN.

None of the children had a past history of kidney disease or other past medical history of relevance, and all had had blood tests in the previous months with normal creatinine levels and the estimated glomerular filtration rate according to the original Schwartz formula were normal. On physical examination, there were no signs of dehydration, blood pressures were normal for the patients’ age, sex, and height, and there was no oedema. There were no features of obstructive uropathy or evidence of glomerulopathy (C3 and C4 complement study, immunoglobulins, and ANA were normal). The 3 patients had received ibuprofen before developing kidney damage. The patients’ clinical characteristics and laboratory results are presented in Table 1.

On admission, ibuprofen treatment was stopped in patients 1 and 3. Patient 2, who was referred from another hospital, had stopped the medication 2 days before admission to our department. Once a diagnosis of ATIN was suspected, treatment was started with intravenous methylprednisolone boluses (15 mg/kg/day for 3 consecutive days, accounting for 250–500 mg iv/day of methylprednisolone) followed by oral prednisolone (starting dose 1 mg/kg/day) at a reducing dose, which was then stopped at 8 weeks. None of the patients had adverse effects attributable to steroids. Urea and creatinine returned to normal levels during the first week in all 3 cases (Fig. 1). Urinary biochemistry and sediment returned to normal within 6 months.

Drug-induced ATIN is the result of a cell-mediated hypersensitivity reaction to a drug. It occurs independently of the route of administration (intravenous, intramuscular, oral, or rectal) and the duration of treatment. Patients who have had