

Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378

www.elsevier.com/locate/jalgebra

An exact sequence for the Brauer group of a finite quantum group

Yinhuo Zhang¹

School of Mathematics and Computing Science, Victoria University of Wellington, PO Box 600, Wellington, New Zealand Received 25 September 2002

Communicated by Michel van den Bergh

Abstract

In this paper, we give a general method to compute the Brauer group of a finite quantum group, i.e., a faithfully projective coquasitriangular Hopf algebra over a commutative ring with unity. Let *(H, R)* be a finite quantum group with an *R*-matrix *R* on $H \otimes H$. There exists a braided Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_R in the braided monoidal category of right *H*-comodules [S. Majid, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 86 (1993) 187–221]. We construct a group Gal(H_R) consisting of quantum commutative H_R^* -bigalois objects and show that there is an exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

 $1 \rightarrow Br(k) \rightarrow BC(k, H, R) \rightarrow Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$,

where $Br(k)$ is the usual Brauer group of k and $BC(k, H, R)$ is the Brauer group of (H, R) with respect to the *R*-matrix *R*.

2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Let k be a commutative ring with unity, H a Hopf algebra over k with a bijective antipode. In [6], we introduced H -Azumaya algebras and the Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ classifying the *H*-Azumaya algebras. When *H* is a finite commutative and cocommutative Hopf algebra, the Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ turns out to be the Brauer–Long group introduced by F.W. Long in [15,16] which in turn is the generalization of the Brauer–Wall

E-mail address: yinhuo.zhang@mcs.vuw.ac.nz.

¹ The author was partially supported by the URC of USP.

 $0021-8693/$$ – see front matter \odot 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.jalgebra.2003.09.011

group $BW(k)$ (see [38]). In [7], we made further investigation on the basic properties of *H*-Azumaya algebras and studied in detail the split part of the Brauer group $BO(k, H)$ in order to find a non-abelian cohomological interpretation of some subgroups of BQ*(k, H)*. This approach turns out to be difficulty as later on we found that the Hopf automorphism group can be embedded into the Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ (see [33]), which showed that $BQ(k, H)$ is not necessarily a torsion group. In [35] we calculated the Brauer group of the Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra and found that the Hopf automorphism group is not the only non-torsion part of $BQ(k, H)$, the group of Galois objects plays the nontorsion role as well in the Brauer group $BO(k, H)$. Nevertheless, when *H* is commutative and cocommutative, the group of Galois objects and the group of Hopf automorphisms generate a subgroup that is isomorphic to a factor group of the group of bigalois objects, cf. [4,5]. A similar situation occurs for the Brauer group of a triangular Hopf algebra (see [36]). This fact indicates that the group of bigalois objects plays the vital role in the computation of the Brauer group of a finite quantum group. The indication was further strengthened by a beautiful exact sequence of the Brauer–Long group due to K.-H. Ulbrich in [31] where the group of bigalois objects appears in the picture. However, when *(H, R)* is no longer commutative and cocommutative, the group of bigalois objects of *H* or H^* does not fit into an exact sequence of the Brauer group of *H*. The solution found in this paper is the deformation (or transmutation in the sense of Majid [20]) \mathcal{H}_R of a finite quantum group *(H, R)*, which is no longer a Hopf algebra, but a left coideal subalgebra of the quantum double $D(H)$. The main idea of this paper is to embed the quotient group $BC(k, H, R)/Br(k)$ of a finite quantum group (H, R) into a suitable group of 'bigalois objects' of the \mathcal{H}_R , which is easier to compute (or to estimate). Since the full Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ of any finite Hopf algebra *H* is equal to $BC(k, D(H)^*, R')$, where $(D(H)^*, R')$ is the dual of the Drinfel'd quantum double group, it is sufficient to consider the general case BC*(k, H, R)* for a finite quantum group *(H, R)*.

In Section 1, we recall the definition of the Brauer groups $BQ(k, H)$ and $BC(k, H, R)$ when *(H, R)* is a coquasitriangular Hopf algebra. In Section 2, we consider the braided Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_R of a finite quantum group (H, R) constructed by S. Majid in [20]. The algebra \mathcal{H}_R is a left coideal subalgebra of the quantum double $D(H)$ though it is not a Hopf subalgebra in the usual sense. It turns out that any YD *H*-module can be treated as an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule. In other words, there exists a covariant functor from the category of Yetter–Drinfel'd H -modules to the category of \mathcal{H}_R -bimodules (see Proposition 2.7). This fact enables us to define a generalized cotensor product in the YD *H*-module category.

In Section 3, we consider YD *H*-module algebras that are \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects in the sense of [26]. These bigalois objects form a monoidal category under the generalized cotensor product. We construct a group Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) consisting of \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects which are quantum commutative. The group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ plays the main role in the computation of the Brauer group $BC(k, H, R)$. When (H, R) is triangular the group Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) is an abelian group.

In Section 4, we establish a group homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ from the Brauer group $BC(k, H, R)$ to the group $Gal(H_R)$. In order to define the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$, we have to show that any element of BC*(k, H, R)* is represented by an *H*-Azumaya algebra which is an *H*op-Galois extension of its coinvariants. Such an *H*-Azumaya algebra is called a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra. The centralizer of the coinvariants of a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra

turns out to be an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois object. The kernel of the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ is isomorphic
to the usual Brauer group $\text{Br}(k)$ of k. Thus the quotient group $\text{BC}(k, H, R)/\text{Br}(k)$ is to the usual Brauer group $Br(k)$ of k. Thus the quotient group $BC(k, H, R)/Br(k)$ is determined by the group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ of bigalois objects.

In Section 5, we calculate the group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$, where (H, R) is the Sweedler CQT Hopf algebra. In this case the exact sequence (23) is split and the Brauer group $BC(k, H_4, R)$ is determined.

The main result of this paper has been included in the author's expository paper [37] without proof. The readers would get a better overview of the Brauer group theory of Hopf algebras from [37].

1. Preliminaries

Let *k* be a fixed commutative ring with unit. Throughout all algebras, unadorned \otimes , Hom are over *k*. A module (or an algebra) is said to be *finite* if it is faithfully projective (i.e., faithful, finitely generated and projective) as a *k*-module. A *finite quantum group* is a finite Hopf algebra over *k* with a coquasitriangular (CQT) structure. That is, there is an invertible element $R \in (H \otimes H)^*$, the convolution algebra of $H \otimes H$, subject to the following conditions:

(CQT1) *R(h* ⊗ 1*)* = *R(*1 ⊗ *h)* = *ε(h)*, $(CQT2)$ $R(x \otimes yz) = \sum R(x_{(1)} \otimes z)R(x_{(2)} \otimes y),$ $(CQT3)$ $R(yz \otimes x) = \sum R(y \otimes x_{(1)})R(z \otimes x_{(2)}),$ $(CQT4)$ $\sum R(x_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})x_{(2)}y_{(2)} = \sum R(x_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)})y_{(1)}x_{(1)}$

for all *x*, *y* and $z \in H$. Since *H* is faithfully projective, we may identify *R* with an invertible element $\sum R^1 \otimes R^2$ in $H^* \otimes H^*$. In this case, (H^*, R) is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, namely, *R* as an element in the dual Hopf algebra $(H^*, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ satisfies the conditions:

 $(QT1)$ $\sum \underline{\varepsilon}(R^1)R^2 = \sum R^1 \underline{\varepsilon}(R^2) = 1,$ $(QT2)$ $\overline{\sum} \underline{\Delta}(R^1) \otimes R^2 = \sum R^1 \otimes r^1 \otimes R^2r^2$, $(QT3) \sum R^{1} \otimes \underline{\Delta}(R^{2}) = \sum R^{1}r^{1} \otimes r^{2} \otimes R^{2},$ (QT4) *R∆(p)* = *∆*op*(p)R*

for all $p \in H^*$, where $r = R$. To a CQT structure R, we associate two Hopf algebra maps:

$$
\Theta_l: H^{\text{cop}} \to H^*, \quad \Theta_l(h)(l) = R(h \otimes l),
$$

$$
\Theta_r: H^{\text{op}} \to H^*, \quad \Theta_r(h)(l) = R(l \otimes h).
$$

Since Θ_l is a Hopf algebra map, we deduce that $R(S \otimes S) = R$. Recall the definition of the Brauer group of a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode and some related notions. Let *H* be a Hopf algebra with a bijective antipode (not necessarily finite). A Yetter-Drinfel'd *H*-module (simply, YD *H*-module) *M* is a crossed *H*-bimodule [39]. That is, *M* is a *k*-module which is at once a left *H*-module and a right *H*-comodule satisfying the following equivalent compatibility conditions [14, 5.1.1]:

(i)
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} m_{(1)} = \sum (h_{(2)} \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h_{(2)} \cdot m)_{(1)} h_{(1)},
$$

\n(ii) $\sum (h \cdot m)_{(0)} \otimes (h \cdot m)_{(1)} = \sum h_{(2)} \cdot m_{(0)} \otimes h_{(3)} m_{(1)} S^{-1} (h_{(1)}),$

where the sigma notations for a comodule and for a comultiplication can be found in the reference books [28]. Denote by \mathcal{Q}^H the category of YD *H*-modules and YD *H*-module morphisms. A YD *H*-module algebra is a YD *H*-module *A* such that *A* is a left *H*-module algebra and a right H^{op} -comodule algebra. For the details of H -(co)module algebras we refer to [1,23,28]. Let *A* and *B* be two YD *H*-module algebras. The braided product algebra *A* # *B* defined below is again a YD *H*-module algebra:

$$
(a \# b)(c \# d) = \sum ac_{(0)} \# (c_{(1)} \cdot b)d
$$

for all $a, c \in A$ and $b, d \in B$. The *H*-module and H^{op} -comodule structures of $A \# B$ are the diagonal *H*-module and co-diagonal H^{op} -comodule structures of $A \otimes B$ respectively. More details on braided product # can be found in [6].

In [6] we defined the Brauer group of a Hopf algebra *H* by considering isomorphism classes of *H*-Azumaya algebras. A YD *H*-module algebra *A* is said to be *H-Azumaya* if it is finite as a *k*-module and if the following two YD *H*-module algebra maps are isomorphisms:

$$
F: A \# \overline{A} \to \text{End}(A), \qquad F(a \# \overline{b})(x) = \sum ax_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \cdot b),
$$

$$
G: \overline{A} \# A \to \text{End}(A)^{\text{op}}, \qquad G(\overline{a} \# b)(x) = \sum a_{(0)}(a_{(1)} \cdot x)b,
$$

where \overline{A} is the *H*-opposite YD *H*-module algebra of *A*, that is, $\overline{A} = A$ as a YD *H*-module, but with the multiplication given by

$$
\bar{a} \cdot \bar{b} = \sum \overline{b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \cdot a)}
$$

for \overline{a} , $\overline{b} \in \overline{A}$ (see [6] for the details). For a finite YD *H*-module *M*, the endomorphism algebra $\text{End}_k(M)$ is a YD *H*-module algebra with *H*-structures given by

$$
(h \cdot f)(m) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot f(S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m),
$$

$$
\sum f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} = \sum f(m_{(0)})(0) \otimes S^{-1}(m_{(1)}) f(m_{(0)})(1)
$$

for *f* ∈ End*(M)* and *m* ∈ *M*. The elementary *H*-Azumaya algebra End*(M)*op has the different H -structures from those of $End(M)$ (see [6] for the details).

Two *H*-Azumaya algebras *A* and *B* are Brauer equivalent (denoted $A ∼ B$) if there exist two finite YD *H*-modules *M* and *N* such that $A \# End(M) \cong B \# End(N)$. Note that *A* ∼ *B* if and only if *A* is *H*-Morita equivalent to *B* (see [6, Theorem 2.10]). The relation \sim is an equivalence relation on the set *B*(*k*, *H*) of isomorphism classes of *H*-Azumaya algebras and the quotient set of $B(k, H)$ modulo \sim is a group, called the Brauer group of the Hopf algebra *H*, denoted by $BQ(k, H)$. An element in $BQ(k, H)$ represented by

an H -Azumaya algebra A is indicated by [A]. The unit in $BQ(k, H)$ is represented by End*(M)* for any finite YD *H*-module *M*.

Now let *H* be a CQT Hopf algebra with a CQT structure *R*. If *M* is a right *H*-(or H^{op} -)comodule, the Hopf algebra map Θ_l induces a left *H*-module structure on *M* as follows:

$$
h \triangleright_1 m = \Theta_l(h) \cdot m = \sum m_{(0)} R(h \otimes m_{(1)}) \tag{1}
$$

for $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. The *H*-action (1) together with the original *H*-coaction makes *M* into a YD *H*-module, cf. [7,14]. Denote by M_R^H the category of YD *H*-modules with the left *H*-module structure (1) coming from the right *H*-comodule structure. It is obvious that M_R^H is a full braided monoidal subcategory of \mathcal{Q}^H .

When $A = M$ is a right H^{op} -comodule algebra, (1) makes A into a left H-module algebra and hence a YD *H*-module algebra. In the sequel, a YD *H*-module algebra *A* is called an *R-module algebra* if the *H*-action on *A* comes from the *H*op-coaction on *A* through *R*. An *R*-module algebra is said to be *R-Azumaya* if it is *H*-Azumaya. The subset of $BO(k, H)$ consisting of the elements represented by the *R*-Azumaya algebras turns out to be a subgroup of $BO(k, H)$, denoted by $BC(k, H, R)$. It is obvious that $BC(k, H, R)$ contains the Brauer group Br*(k)*.

Dually, if *H* is a QT Hopf algebra with a QT structure *R*, then a left *H*-module algebra *A* is simultaneously a YD *H*-module algebra with the right H^{op} -comodule structure given by

$$
A \to A \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \quad a \mapsto \sum R^2 \cdot a \otimes R^1
$$

for all $a \in A$. The subset of BQ(k, H) consisting of the elements represented by the H -Azumaya algebras with right H^{op} -comodule structures stemming from left H -module structures in the above way, turns out to be a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$, denoted by BM*(k, H, R)*. It is obvious that BM*(k, H, R)* contains the Brauer group Br*(k)*.

The Brauer group $BQ(k, H)$ is a special case of the Brauer group $Br(\mathcal{C})$ of a braided monoidal category C as introduced in [34]. The fact that $BC(k, H, R)$ is a subgroup of $BQ(k, H)$ when (H, R) is a CQT Hopf algebra, can be explained in a categorical way. If D is a full braided monoidal subcategory of a braided monoidal category C , then the Brauer group $Br(\mathcal{D})$ is a subgroup of $Br(\mathcal{C})$. This fact allows us to consider various subgroups of the Brauer group $Br(C)$ of a braided monoidal category C whenever C contains certain closed braided subcategories. For example, if (H, R) is a CQT Hopf algebra, then the category M_R^H of right *H*-comodules is a full braided monoidal subcategory of the braided category \mathbb{Q}^H of YD *H*-modules with the braiding φ given by:

$$
M \otimes N \to N \otimes M
$$
, $m \otimes n \mapsto \sum n_{(0)} \otimes m_{(0)}R(n_{(1)} \otimes m_{(1)})$,

where $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. The Brauer group $Br(M_R^H)$ of M_R^H is indeed $BC(k, H, R)$. When *H* is a finite Hopf algebra, it is well-known that the category of YD *H*-modules is equivalent to the category of left $D(H)$ -modules (see [18]), where $D(H)$ is the Drinfel'd double of *H*. So we have that $BQ(k, H) = BM(k, D(H), R) = BC(k, D(H)^*, R)$, where *R* is the canonical quasitriangular structure on $D(H)$.

To end this section let us recall the notion of a Hopf Galois extension by a Hopf algebra *H*. A right *H*-comodule algebra *A* is said to be *H*-Galois if the canonical *k*-module map

$$
\beta: A \otimes_{A_0} A \to A \otimes H, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \sum ab_{(0)} \otimes b_{(1)}
$$

is an isomorphism, where

$$
A_0 = \left\{ x \in A \mid \sum x_{(0)} \otimes x_{(1)} = x \otimes 1 \right\}
$$

is the coinvariant subalgebra of *A*. For a general Hopf Galois theory one may refer to [23, 26,27].

2. The braided Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_R

Every CQT Hopf algebra (H, R) gives rise to a braided Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_R in the braided monoidal category M_R^H . This process is called transmutation. In this section, we study the braided Hopf algebra (or the braided group) \mathcal{H}_R of a CQT Hopf algebra (H, R) constructed by Majid [20] and establish a relationship between the category ^Q*^H* of YD *^H*-modules and the category of \mathcal{H}_R -bimodules. At the end of the section, we will define a generalized cotensor product in the category \mathcal{Q}^H . We start with Majid's construction of the braided group \mathcal{H}_R from (H, R) .

Lemma 2.1 [20, Theorem 4.1]. *Let (H, R) be a CQT Hopf algebra. Then there is a braided Hopf algebra* \mathcal{H}_R *in the category* \mathbf{M}_R^H *described as follows in terms of H*. As a *k-module and coalgebra,* H_R *coincides with* H *. The multiplication* \star *and the antipode* S_R *are given by*

$$
h \star l = \sum l_{(2)} h_{(2)} R(S^{-1}(l_{(3)}) l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}),
$$

\n
$$
S_R(h) = \sum S(h_{(2)}) R(S^2(h_{(3)}) S(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(4)}),
$$
\n(2)

where $h, l \in H$. As an object in \mathbf{M}_{R}^{H} , \mathcal{H}_{R} has the adjoint right coaction:

$$
\rho(h) = \sum h_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})h_{(3)} \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathcal{H}_R.
$$

For further details on transmutation and braided groups, we refer to [20]. In [12] Doi and Takeuchi constructed a double Hopf algebra for a CQT Hopf algebra *(H, R)* (not necessarily finite). This double Hopf algebra, denoted by $D[H]$, is equal to $H \otimes H$ as a coalgebra with the multiplication given by

$$
(h \otimes l)(h' \otimes l') = \sum hh'_{(2)} \otimes l_{(2)}l'R(h'_{(1)} \otimes l_{(1)})R(S(h'_{(3)}) \otimes l_{(3)})
$$

for h, l, h' and $l' \in H$. The antipode of $D[H]$ is given by

$$
S(h \otimes l) = (1 \otimes S(l))(S(h) \otimes 1)
$$

for all $h, l \in H$.

Write $h \bowtie l$ for an element in $D[H]$ and $H \bowtie H$ for $D[H]$. Since *H* is finite, the canonical Hopf algebra map $\Theta_l : H \to H^{*op}$ given by $\Theta_l(h)(l) = R(h \otimes l)$ induces an Hopf algebra map from $D[H]$ to $D(H)$, the Drinfel'd quantum double $H^{*op} \bowtie H$ (see [13]).

$$
\Phi: D[H] \to D(H), \quad \Phi(h \bowtie l) = \Theta_l(h) \bowtie l.
$$

When Θ_l is an isomorphism, we can identify $D[H]$ with $D(H)$. Any YD *H*-module is automatically a left $D[H]$ -module. Moreover, the following lemma claims that \mathcal{H}_R can be embedded into *D*[*H*].

Lemma 2.2. *The following k-module map is an injective algebra map*:

$$
\phi: \mathcal{H}_R \to D[H], \quad \phi(h) = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \bowtie h_{(1)}.
$$

Proof. Given $h, l \in \mathcal{H}_R$, we have

$$
\begin{split}\n\phi(h \star l) &= \sum \phi(l_{(2)}h_{(2)})R\big(S^{-1}(l_{(3)})l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}\big) \\
&= \sum S^{-1}(l_{(3)}h_{(3)}) \bowtie l_{(2)}h_{(2)}R\big(S^{-1}(l_{(4)})l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}\big) \\
&= \sum S^{-1}(h_{(4)})S^{-1}(l_{(3)}) \bowtie l_{(2)}h_{(3)}R\big(S^{-1}(l_{(4)}) \otimes h_{(1)}\big)R(l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}\big) \\
&= \sum S^{-1}(h_{(4)})S^{-1}(l_{(3)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)}R\big(S^{-1}(l_{(4)}) \otimes h_{(1)}\big)R(l_{(2)} \otimes h_{(3)}\big) \\
&= \sum (S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \bowtie h_{(1)}\big)\big(S^{-1}(l_{(2)}) \bowtie l_{(1)}\big) \\
&= \phi(h)\phi(l).\n\end{split}
$$

It is obvious that ϕ is injective. \Box

Proposition 2.3. \mathcal{H}_R *is a left* $D[H]$ *-comodule algebra.*

Proof. Define a *k*-module map from \mathcal{H}_R to $D[H] \otimes \mathcal{H}_R$ as follows:

$$
\chi: \mathcal{H}_R \to D[H] \otimes \mathcal{H}_R, \quad \chi(h) = \sum (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \bowtie h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}.
$$

It is easy to check that χ is a left *D*[*H*]-comodule map. We have to show that χ is an algebra map. Indeed, if $h, l \in \mathcal{H}_R$, then

$$
\chi(h \star l) = \sum \chi(h_{(2)}l_{(1)})R(l_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})h_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(h_{(4)}l_{(3)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)}l_{(2)}R(l_{(4)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})h_{(5)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(h_{(4)}l_{(3)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)}l_{(2)}R(l_{(4)} \otimes h_{(5)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(S^{-1}(l_{(5)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(l_{(4)}h_{(5)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)}l_{(2)}R(l_{(3)} \otimes h_{(4)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(S^{-1}(l_{(5)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(l_{(4)}h_{(5)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)} \otimes l_{(3)}h_{(4)}R(l_{(2)} \otimes h_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(S^{-1}(l_{(5)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(l_{(6)}h_{(6)}) \bowtie h_{(2)}l_{(1)} \otimes l_{(3)}h_{(5)}R(S^{-1}(l_{(4)})l_{(2)} \otimes h_{(4)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(l_{(5)} \otimes h_{(3)})R(S^{-1}(l_{(7)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \bowtie h_{(1)}) (S^{-1}(l_{(5)}) \bowtie l_{(1)}) \otimes l_{(3)}h_{(3)}
$$

\n
$$
\times R(S^{-1}(l_{(4)})l_{(2)} \otimes h_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \chi(h)\chi(l).
$$

Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 show that H_R can be embedded into $D[H]$ as a left coideal subalgebra. In fact, \mathcal{H}_R can be further embedded into $D(H)$ as a left coideal subalgebra.

Corollary 2.4. *The composite algebra map*:

$$
\mathcal{H}_R \stackrel{\phi}{\to} D[H] \stackrel{\phi}{\to} D(H)
$$

is injective.

Proof. Since *H* is faithfully flat over *k*, we have that the kernel of Φ is Ker $(\Theta_l) \bowtie H$. If $\Phi\phi(h) = 0$ for some $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, then

$$
\phi(h) = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \bowtie h_{(1)} \in \text{Ker}(\Theta_l) \bowtie H.
$$

It follows that

$$
1 \bowtie h = \sum \Theta_l(1) \bowtie \varepsilon (S^{-1}(h_{(2)}))h_{(1)}
$$

=
$$
\sum (\varepsilon_{H^*} \otimes \iota) (\Theta_l(S^{-1}(h_{(2)})) \bowtie h_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
(\varepsilon_{H^*} \otimes \iota) \Phi \phi(h) = 0
$$

where *ι* is the identity map. This implies that $h = 0$ and hence $\Phi \phi$ is injective. Moreover, since Φ is a Hopf algebra map, $\Phi\phi(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is a left coideal subalgebra of $D(H)$. \Box

Let us now consider Yetter–Drinfel'd H -modules and H_R -bimodules. Let M be a Yetter–Drinfel'd module over H , or a left $D(H)$ -module. The following composite map:

$$
\mathcal{H}_R \otimes M \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \iota} D[H] \otimes M \xrightarrow{\Phi \otimes \iota} D(H) \otimes M
$$

makes *M* into a left H_R -module. If we write \rightarrow for the above left action, then we have the explicit formula:

$$
h \to m = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \rhd_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot m)
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(2)} \cdot m_{(0)}) R(S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \otimes h_{(3)} m_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}))
$$
 (3)

for $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, $m \in M$ and \triangleright_1 as in (1).

Since there is an augmentation map ε on \mathcal{H}_R , we may define the \mathcal{H}_R -invariant set of a left \mathcal{H}_R -module M which is

$$
M^{\mathcal{H}_R} = \{ m \in M \mid h \rightarrow m = \varepsilon(h)m, \ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_R \}.
$$

When a left \mathcal{H}_R -module comes from a YD *H*-module, the invariant *k*-module can be characterized as follows:

Lemma 2.5. *Let M be a YD H-module. Then*

$$
M^{\mathcal{H}_R} = \left\{ m \in M \mid h \cdot m = h \triangleright_1 m = \sum m_{(0)} R(h \otimes m_{(1)}), \ \forall h \in H \right\}.
$$

Proof. By definition of the action of \mathcal{H}_R on *M*, we have

$$
h \to m = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot m)
$$

for any $h \in H_R$ and $m \in M$. It follows that the latter set is contained in M^{H_R} . Conversely, if $m \in M^{\mathcal{H}_R}$, then we have

$$
h \cdot m = \sum h_{(3)} \triangleright_1 (S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot m))
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(2)} \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \rightarrow m)
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(2)} \triangleright_1 (\varepsilon(h_{(1)})m)
$$

=
$$
h \triangleright_1 m
$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $m \in M$. \Box

Following Lemma 2.5, we obtain that the invariant submodule $M^{\mathcal{H}_R}$ of a YD *H*-module *M* is the maximal submodule sitting in the subcategory M_R^H , where the left *H*-action is the induced one \triangleright ₁. Thus we get a covariant functor κ :

$$
\kappa: \mathcal{Q}^H \to \mathbf{M}_R^H, \quad \kappa(M) = M^{\mathcal{H}_R}.
$$

It follows that a *YD H-module is an object in* \mathbf{M}_R^H *if and only if* \mathcal{H}_R *acts trivially on* M *, i.e.,* $M = M^{H_R}$. Observe that the functor *κ* has a left adjoint functor, the embedding functor from \mathbf{M}_{R}^{H} to \mathcal{Q}^{H} .

Now we define a right \mathcal{H}_R -module structure on a YD *H*-module *M*. Observe that the right *H*-comodule structure of *M* induces two left *H*-module structures. The first one is (1), and the second one is given by

$$
h \triangleright_2 m = \sum m_{(0)} R(S(m_{(1)}) \otimes h)
$$
 (4)

for $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. With this second left *H*-action on *M*, *M* becomes a right *D*[*H*]module.

Lemma 2.6. *Let M be a YD H-module. Then M is a right D*[*H*]*-module defined by*

$$
m \leftarrow (h \bowtie l) = S(l) \bowtie_2 (S(h) \cdot m) \tag{5}
$$

for h,l ∈ *H and m* ∈ *M. Moreover, if A is a YD H-module algebra, then* (5) *makes A into a right D*[*H*] cop*-module algebra.*

Proof. Since *M* is a left *H*-module under both actions \cdot and \triangleright ₂, it is sufficient to show that

$$
m \leftarrow [(1 \bowtie l)(h \bowtie 1)] = [m \leftarrow (1 \bowtie l)] \leftarrow (h \bowtie 1) = S(h) \cdot (S(l) \bowtie m)
$$

for $h, l \in H$ and $m \in M$. Indeed, we have

$$
m \leftarrow [(1 \bowtie l)(h \bowtie 1)]
$$

= $\sum m \leftarrow (h_{(2)} \bowtie l_{(2)}) R(h_{(1)} \otimes l_{(1)}) R(S(h_{(3)}) \otimes l_{(3)})$
= $\sum S(l_{(2)}) \bowtie_2 (S(h_{(2)}) \cdot m) R(h_{(1)} \otimes l_{(1)}) R(S(h_{(3)}) \otimes l_{(3)})$
= $\sum S(h_{(3)}) \cdot (S(l_{(3)}) \bowtie_2 m) R(h_{(4)} \otimes l_{(4)}) R(S(h_{(2)}) \otimes l_{(2)})$
 $\times R(h_{(1)} \otimes l_{(1)}) R(S(h_{(5)}) \otimes l_{(5)})$
= $S(h) \cdot (S(l) \bowtie_2 m)$

for $h, l \in H$ and $m \in M$. The second statement is obvious. \Box

Unfortunately, the right $D[H]$ -module structure (5) does not commute with the canonical left *D*[*H*]-module structure induced by Hopf algebra map *Φ* to make *M* into a $D[H]$ -bimodule. However the right \mathcal{H}_R -module structure on M given by

$$
M\otimes \mathcal{H}_R \stackrel{\iota \otimes \phi}{\longrightarrow} M\otimes D[H] \to M,
$$

together with the left \mathcal{H}_R -module structure (3) makes *M* into an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule. Write \triangleleft for the above right action of \mathcal{H}_R , then we have the explicit formula:

$$
m \triangleleft - h = \sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot m)
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(3)} \cdot m_{(0)}) R(h_{(4)} m_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$
 (6)

for $m \in M$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let* M *be a YD H-module. Then* M *is an* H_R *-bimodule via* (3) *and* (6)*.*

Proof. Given $h, l \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $m \in M$, we have to prove

$$
(l \rightarrow m) \lhd -h = l \rightarrow (m \lhd -h),
$$

i.e.,

$$
\sum S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot (S^{-1}(l_{(2)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(1)} \cdot m)))
$$

=
$$
\sum S^{-1}(l_{(2)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(1)} \cdot (S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot m))).
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\sum S^{-1}(l_{(2)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(1)} \cdot (S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot m)))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum S^{-1}(l_{(4)}) \rhd_1 (S(h_{(2)}) \rhd_2 (l_{(2)}h_{(4)} \cdot m)) R(l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)}) R(l_{(3)} \otimes S(h_{(1)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum S(h_{(3)}) \rhd_2 (S^{-1}(l_{(5)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(2)}h_{(6)} \cdot m)) R(l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(5)})
$$
\n
$$
\times R(l_{(3)} \otimes S(h_{(1)})) R(l_{(6)} \otimes S(h_{(4)})) R(S^{-1}(l_{(4)}) \otimes S(h_{(2)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (S^{-1}(l_{(3)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(2)}h_{(4)} \cdot m)) R(l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)}) R(l_{(4)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (S^{-1}(l_{(3)}) \rhd_1 (h_{(3)}l_{(1)} \cdot m)) R(l_{(2)} \otimes h_{(4)}) R(l_{(4)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum S(h_{(1)}) \rhd_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot (S^{-1}(l_{(2)}) \rhd_1 (l_{(1)} \cdot m))),
$$

where the first, the second and the forth equation follow from the following identities respectively:

332 *Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378*

$$
h \rhd_2 (l \cdot m) = \sum l_{(2)} \cdot (h_{(2)} \rhd_2 m) R(l_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}) R(S(l_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)})),
$$

\n
$$
l \rhd_1 (h \rhd_2 m) = \sum h_{(2)} \rhd_2 (l_{(2)} \rhd_1 m) R(S(l_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(1)}) R(l_{(3)} \otimes h_{(3)}),
$$

\n
$$
h \rhd_1 (l \cdot m) = \sum l_{(2)} \cdot (h_{(2)} \rhd_1 m) R(h_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(l_{(1)})) R(S(h_{(3)} \otimes l_{(3)}))
$$

for $h, l \in H$ and $m \in M$. So M is an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule. \Box

Remark that the right \mathcal{H}_R -invariant of a YD *H*-module is different from the left one described in Lemma 2.5. One may apply the same argument in Lemma 2.5 to obtain the right invariant set of a YD *H*-module *M*:

$$
\{m \in M \mid h \cdot m = h \triangleright_2 m, \ \forall h \in H\}.
$$

Like the set of left invariants, the set of right invariants is the maximal right–right YD *H*-submodule of *M*. Combining Lemmas 2.2, 2.6 and Propositions 2.3, 2.7, we obtain the following:

Corollary 2.8. *If* A *is a YD* H *-module algebra, then* A *is an* H_R *-bimodule algebra in the sense that*

$$
h \to (ab) = \sum (h_{(-1)} \to a)(h_{(0)} \to b),
$$

(ab) $\triangleleft - h = \sum (a \triangleleft - h_{(0)})(b \to h_{(-1)})$ (7)

for $a, b \in A$ *and* $h \in H_R$ *, where* $\chi(h) = \sum h_{(-1)} \otimes h_{(0)} \in D[H] \otimes H_R$ *,* \rightarrow *and* \leftarrow *stand for the left and right actions of D*[*H*] *on a YD H-module.*

Proof. We show the second equation and leave the first one to the readers. Given $a, b \in A$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ we have

$$
(ab) \triangleleft - h = \sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot (ab))
$$

= $\sum (S(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(3)} \cdot a)) (S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(4)} \cdot b))$
= $\sum (a \leftarrow (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \bowtie h_{(2)})) (b \leftarrow (S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \bowtie h_{(1)}))$
= $\sum (a \triangleleft - h_{(0)})(b \leftarrow h_{(-1)})$.

In the sequel, we define a generalized cotensor product in the category of Yetter– Drinfel'd modules of a CQT Hopf algebra *(H, R)*.

Given two YD *H*-modules *X* and *Y*, let $X \wedge Y$ be the cotensor product

$$
\left\{\sum x_i \otimes y_i \mid \sum (x_i \triangleleft - h) \otimes y_i = \sum x_i \otimes (h \multimap y_i), \ \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_R\right\}.
$$

Observe that *X* \wedge *Y* is still an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule with the left and right \mathcal{H}_R -module structures stemming from the left \mathcal{H}_R -module structure of *X* and the right \mathcal{H}_R -module structure of *Y* respectively. Does this H_R -bimodule structure of $X \wedge Y$ come from a YD *H*-module structure on $X \wedge Y$? To answer this question, we need to characterize the cotensor product *X* ∧ *Y* .

Lemma 2.9. *Let X, Y be two YD H-modules. Then*

$$
X \wedge Y = \Big\{ \sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y \mid \sum h_{(1)} \cdot x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y_i
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y_i, \ \forall h \in H \Big\}.
$$

Proof. Let *T* be the following set:

$$
\left\{\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \otimes Y \mid \sum h_{(1)} \cdot x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y_i = \sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y_i, \forall h \in H\right\}.
$$

In order to simplify the computation, we will write $x \otimes y$ for an element $\sum x_i \otimes y_i$ in *X* \otimes *Y* in the sequel. Given *x* \otimes *y* \in *X* \wedge *Y* and *h* \in *H*, we have

$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot x \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 (S(h_{(2)}) \rhd_2 (h_{(3)} \cdot x)) \otimes h_{(4)} \rhd_1 y
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 (x \lhd - h_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(3)} \rhd_1 y
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x \otimes h_{(3)} \rhd_1 (h_{(2)} \rhd y)
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x \otimes h_{(4)} \rhd_1 (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \rhd_1 (h_{(2)} \cdot y))
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y.
$$

So *x* \otimes *y* belongs to *T* and we have that $X \wedge Y \subseteq T$. Conversely, if $x \otimes y \in T$, and $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, we have

$$
(x \triangleleft - h) \otimes y = \sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot x) \otimes y
$$

= $\sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot x) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(4)})h_{(3)} \triangleright_1 y$
= $\sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \triangleright_2 x) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(3)} \cdot y)$
= $\sum x \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot y)$
= $x \otimes (h \rightarrow y).$

It follows that $T \subseteq X \wedge Y$. \Box

Lemma 2.9 results in an alternative definition of $X \wedge Y$ which is more applicable when we test whether an element is in $X \wedge Y$. Moreover, it leads to the following left *H*-action on $X \wedge Y$ given by

$$
h \cdot \sum (x_i \otimes y_i) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y_i = \sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x_i \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y_i \tag{8}
$$

whenever $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \wedge Y$ and $h \in H$. To show that (8) is a left *H*-module structure on $X \wedge Y$, one simply applies Lemma 2.9. Nevertheless, this left *H*-module structure fits in a YD *H*-module structure with the right *H*-comodule structure inheriting from $X \otimes Y$.

Proposition 2.10. $X \wedge Y$ *with the* H *-action* (8) *and the right* H *-coaction inheriting from X* ⊗ *Y is a YD H-module.*

Proof. We show that *X* \land *Y* is an *H*-subcomodule of *X* ⊗ *Y*. Again we write *x* ⊗ *y* ∈ *X* \land *Y* for an element in $X \wedge Y$. It is sufficient to verify that

$$
\sum x_{(0)} \otimes y_{(0)} \langle p, y_{(1)} x_{(1)} \rangle \in X \wedge Y
$$

for all $p \in H^*$ and $x \otimes y \in X \wedge Y$. Indeed, we have for all $h \in H$,

$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot x_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y_{(0)} \langle p, y_{(1)}x_{(1)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot (p_{(2)} \cdot x) \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 (p_{(1)} \cdot y)
$$

=
$$
\sum p_{(3)} \cdot (h_{(2)} \cdot x) \otimes p_{(2)} \cdot (h_{(3)} \rhd_1 y) \langle p_{(1)}, h_{(4)} \rangle \langle p_{(4)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum p_{(3)} \cdot (h_{(2)} \rhd_2 x) \otimes p_{(2)} \cdot (h_{(3)} \cdot y) \langle p_{(1)}, h_{(4)} \rangle \langle p_{(4)}, S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 (p_{(2)} \cdot x) \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot (p_{(1)} \cdot y)
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x_{(0)} \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y_{(0)} \langle p, y_{(1)}x_{(1)} \rangle,
$$

where we abuse the use of the \cdot for both the action of *H* on *M* and the dual action of H^* on *M* in order to reduce new symbols. It follows that *X* ∧ *Y* is a right *H*-subcomodule of $X \otimes Y$.

Next we show that the right *H*-comodule $X \wedge Y$ with the left *H*-module structure (8) is a YD *H*-module. For $h \in H$ and $x \otimes y \in X \wedge Y$, we have

$$
\rho(h \cdot (x \otimes y)) = \sum \rho(h_{(1)} \cdot x \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd y)
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(2)} \cdot x_{(0)} \otimes h_{(5)} \rhd y_{(0)} \otimes h_{(6)} y_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) h_{(3)} x_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(2)} \cdot (x_{(0)} \otimes y_{(0)}) \otimes h_{(3)} y_{(1)} x_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

for any $h \in H$ and $x \otimes y \in X \wedge Y$. \Box

In order to show that the canonical H_R -bimodule structure on $X \wedge Y$ stems from the YD *H*-module structure defined above, we have to show that

$$
h \rightarrow \left(\sum x_i \otimes y_i\right) = \sum (h \rightarrow x_i) \otimes y_i,
$$

$$
\left(\sum x_i \otimes y_i\right) \triangleleft - h = \sum x_i \otimes (y_i \triangleleft - h)
$$

$$
(9)
$$

whenever $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $\sum x_i \otimes y_i \in X \wedge Y$. We verify the first formula and leave the second one to the readers. Indeed,

$$
h \to (x \otimes y) = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot (x \otimes y))
$$

= $\sum S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot x \otimes h_{(2)} \triangleright_1 y)$
= $\sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot x) \otimes y$
= $(h \to x) \otimes y$,

for any $h \in H_R$ and $x \otimes y \in X \wedge Y$. Thus the right (or left) H_R^* -comodule structure of $X \wedge Y$ only comes from the right one of *X* (or the left one of *Y*). The \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure (9) of *X* \land *Y* shows that the generalized cotensor product \land is associative.

Now we consider the cotensor product of two YD H -module algebras. Let $\#_R$ be the braided product in the category M_R^H to differ from the braided product in \mathcal{Q}^H . This makes sense when a YD *H*-module algebra *A* can be treated as an algebra in M_R^H by forgetting the *H*-module structure of *A* and endowing with the induced *H*-module structure (1). Let *X* and *Y* be two YD *H*-module algebras. If there is no confusion we will write $\sum x_i \# y_i$ (or simply $x \# y$) for an element in $X \wedge Y$ as we can multiply them in $X \#_R Y$.

Proposition 2.11. *If X and Y are two YD H-module algebras, then* $X \wedge Y$ *is a YD H*-module algebra and $X \wedge Y$ *is a subalgebra of* $X \#_R Y$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.10, *X* \land *Y* is a YD *H*-module. It remains to be shown that *X* \land *Y* is a left H -module algebra and a right H^{op} -comodule algebra.

First we have to show that *X* \wedge *Y* is a subalgebra of *X* #*R Y*. Write *x* \otimes *y* and *x'* \otimes *y'* for two arbitrary elements of $X \wedge Y$. We show that

$$
(x \# y)(x' \# y') = \sum x x'_{(0)} \otimes y_{(0)} y' R (x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

is in $X \wedge Y$. For $h \in H$, we have

$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot (xx'_{(0)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd 1 (y_{(0)}y')R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot xb_{(1)})(h_{(2)} \cdot x'_{(0)}) \otimes (h_{(3)} \rhd 1 y_{(0)})(h_{(4)} \rhd 1 y')R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot x)(h_{(2)} \cdot x'_{(0)}) \otimes y_{(0)}y'_{(0)}R(h_{(3)} \otimes y_{(1)})R(h_{(4)} \otimes y'_{(1)})R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(2)})
$$

$$
= \sum (h_{(1)} \cdot x)(h_{(4)} \cdot x'_{(0)}) \otimes y_{(0)} y'_{(0)} R(h_{(5)} x'_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

$$
\times R(h_{(2)} \otimes y_{(2)}) R(h_{(6)} \otimes y'_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot x \otimes h_{(2)} \mapsto y)(h_{(3)} \cdot x' \otimes h_{(4)} \mapsto y').
$$

Similarly, one may obtain

$$
\sum h_{(1)} \triangleright_2 (xx'_{(0)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot (y_{(0)}y')R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \triangleright_2 x \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y) (h_{(3)} \triangleright_2 x' \otimes h_{(4)} \cdot y').
$$

Thus we obtain that

$$
\sum h_{(1)} \cdot (xx'_{(0)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 (y_{(0)}y')R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot x \otimes h_{(2)} \rhd_1 y) (h_{(3)} \cdot x' \otimes h_{(4)} \rhd_1 y')
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot y) (h_{(3)} \rhd_2 x' \otimes h_{(4)} \cdot y')
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 (xx'_{(0)}) \otimes h_{(2)} \cdot (y_{(0)}y')R(x'_{(1)} \otimes y_{(1)}).
$$

By Lemma 2.9, $X \wedge Y$ is a subalgebra of $X \#_R Y$ and hence a H^{op} -comodule subalgebra of *X* $#_R$ *Y*. Moreover, the previous computations actually showed that *X* \wedge *Y* is a left *H*-module algebra with the *H*-action (8). It follows from Proposition 2.10 that *X* ∧ *Y* is a YD H -module algebra. \square

To end this section we present the dual comodule version of (7) which is needed in the next section. Observe that the dual coalgebra \mathcal{H}_R^* is a left $D[H]^*$ -module quotient coalgebra of the dual Hopf algebra $D[H]^*$ in the sense that the following coalgebra map is a surjective $D[H]^*$ -module map:

$$
\phi^*: D[H]^* \to \mathcal{H}_R^*, \quad p \bowtie q \mapsto qS^{*-1}(p),
$$

where $D[H]^* = H^* \bowtie H^*$ is equal to $H^* \otimes H^*$ as an algebra but has the dual comultiplication of the multiplication of $D[H]$. Thus a left (or right) $D[H]^*$ -comodule *M* is a left (or right) \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule in the natural way through ϕ^* . In order to distinguish $D[H]^*$ or \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structures from the *H*-comodule structures (e.g., a YD *H*-module has all three comodule structures) we use different uppercase Sweedler sigma notations:

- (i) $\sum x^{[-1]} \otimes x^{[0]}$, $\sum x^{[0]} \otimes x^{[1]}$ stand for left and right *D*[*H*]^{*}-comodule structures, respectively.
- (ii) $\sum x^{(-1)} \otimes x^{(0)}$, $\sum x^{(0)} \otimes x^{(1)}$ stand for left and right \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structures, respectively.

Now let *X* be a YD *H*-module algebra. Then *X* is both a left and right *D*[*H*]-module algebra, and therefore an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule algebra in the sense of (7). Thus the dual comodule versions of the formulas in (7) read as follows:

$$
\sum (ab)^{(0)} \otimes (ab)^{(1)} = \sum a^{[0]}b^{(0)} \otimes a^{[1]} \to b^{(1)},
$$

$$
\sum (ab)^{(-1)} \otimes (ab)^{(0)} = \sum b^{[-1]} \to a^{(-1)} \otimes a^{(0)}b^{[0]}
$$
 (10)

for *a*, *b* ∈ *X*, where \rightarrow is the left action of *D*[*H*]^{*} on \mathcal{H}_R^* . We will call *X* a *right* (*or left*) \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule algebra in the sense of (10).

Finally, for a YD *H*-module *M*, we will write M_0 (or $\circ M$) for the right (or left) \mathcal{H}_R^* coinvariants. For instance,

$$
M_{\diamond} = \left\{ m \in M \mid \sum m^{(0)} \otimes m^{(1)} = m \otimes \varepsilon \right\}.
$$

It is obvious that $M_{\circ} = M^{\mathcal{H}_R}$. If we let *k* be the trivial YD *H*-module, then

$$
M_{\diamond} = k \wedge M, \qquad \diamond M = M \wedge k.
$$

Moreover, if *A* is a YD *H*-module algebra, then A_0 and ϕA are subalgebras of *A*.

3. The group Gal (H_R)

In this section, we construct a group Gal (H_R) of 'bigalois' objects for H_R . The group Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) plays the vital part in this paper. Let *A* be a right $D[H]^*$ -comodule algebra. Then *A* is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule algebra in the sense of (10).

Definition 3.1. Let *A* be a right $D[H]^*$ -comodule algebra. The extension A/A_{\diamond} is said to be a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois extension if the *k*-module map

$$
\beta^r : A \otimes_{A_{\diamond}} A \to A \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*, \quad \beta^r(a \otimes b) = \sum a^{(0)} b \otimes a^{(1)}
$$

is an isomorphism. Similarly, if *A* is a left $D[H]^*$ -comodule algebra, then A/\sqrt{A} is said to be left Galois if the *k*-module map

$$
\beta^l: A \otimes_{\vartriangle} A A \to \mathcal{H}_R^* \otimes A, \quad \beta^l(a \otimes b) = \sum b^{(-1)} \otimes ab^{(0)}
$$

is an isomorphism. If in addition the subalgebra δA (or A_{δ}) is trivial and A is faithfully flat over *k*, then *A* is called a *left* (or *right*) \mathcal{H}_R^* -*Galois object*. A right $D[H]^*$ -comodule algebra is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object if and only if the functor $A \otimes -$ defines an category equivalence from category of left *k*-modules to the category of (A, \mathcal{H}_R^*) -Hopf modules (see [26]). For more details on Hopf quotient Galois theory, the readers may refer to [21,26,27].

The objects we are interested in are those \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects which are both left and right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois such that the left and right \mathcal{H}_R^* -coactions commute. Denote by $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ the category of YD *H*-module algebras which are \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects. The morphisms in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ are YD *H*-module algebra isomorphisms. This is because any YD *H*-module algebra map between two Galois objects *A* and *B* yields that *B* is an (A, \mathcal{H}_R^*) -Hopf module and hence $B \cong A \otimes k = A$ by the equivalence mentioned in the previous paragraph. We show that the category $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is closed under the cotensor product ∧.

Proposition 3.2. *If X, Y are two objects of* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *, then* $X \wedge Y$ *is an object of* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *.*

Proof. From Proposition 2.11 we know that $X \wedge Y$ is a YD *H*-module algebra. It remains to show that $X \wedge Y$ is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois object. Note that the \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure on $X \wedge Y$ induced by its YD *H*-module structure is given by the left \mathcal{H}_R -module structure of *X* and the right \mathcal{H}_R -module structure of *Y* (see (9)). Thus the right (or left) \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure of *X* \land *Y* comes from the right one of *X* (or the left one of *Y*).

From the remark at the end of Section 2, we have

$$
(X \wedge Y)_{\diamond} = k \wedge (X \wedge Y) = (k \wedge X) \wedge Y = k \wedge Y = k.
$$

Similarly the left coinvariant subalgebra of $X \wedge Y$ is trivial as well. To show that $X \wedge Y$ is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object, we need to consider the YD *H*-module $(X \otimes X) \wedge Y$, where $X \otimes X$ is the YD *H*-module of the YD *H*-module algebra $X \# X$ in the category Q^H .

Now one may take a while to check that the following diagram is commutative:

where τ is the *k*-module map given by

$$
\tau ((x \# y) \otimes (x' \# y')) = \sum (x \otimes x'^{(0)}) \# (x'^{(1)} \triangleright_1 y) y'
$$

and the *k*-module map δ is defined by

$$
\delta((x \otimes x') \# y) = \sum x^{(0)} x' \# y \otimes x^{(1)}.
$$

However, we have to show that τ and δ are well-defined. We leave the easier verification of τ to the readers, and show that δ is well-defined.

Observe that the multiplication map of *X*: $X \otimes X \rightarrow X$ is an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule map because *X* is an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule algebra in the sense of Corollary 2.8. The multiplication map then induces an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule map:

$$
\mu: (X \otimes X) \wedge Y \to X \wedge Y.
$$

If we can prove that the map *η*:

$$
(X \otimes X) \wedge Y \to (X \otimes X) \wedge Y \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*, \quad (x \otimes x') \# y \mapsto \sum (x^{(0)} \otimes x') \# y \otimes x^{(1)}
$$

is well-defined, then δ is well-defined because δ is actually the composite map of η with μ :

$$
\delta: (X \otimes X) \wedge Y \stackrel{\eta}{\to} (X \otimes X) \wedge Y \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^* \stackrel{\mu}{\to} X \wedge Y \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*.
$$

To show that *η* is well-defined, it is equivalent to show that for any $l \in H_R$, and $(x \otimes x')$ # *y* ∈ $(X \otimes X)$ ∧ *Y*, the element

$$
(l \rightarrow x \otimes x') \# y
$$

is still in $(X \otimes X) \wedge Y$. This is the case since

$$
((l \rightarrow x \otimes x') \rightsquigarrow h) \# y = \sum [((l \rightarrow x) \rightsquigarrow h_{(0)}) \otimes (x' \leftarrow h_{(-1)})] \# y
$$

$$
= \sum [l \rightarrow (x \triangleleft h_{(0)}) \otimes (x' \leftarrow h_{(-1)})] \# y
$$

$$
= \sum (l \rightarrow x \otimes x') \# (h \rightarrow y)
$$

for any $h, l \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $(x \otimes x') \# y \in (X \otimes X) \wedge Y$.

Since both τ and δ are obviously isomorphisms, we obtain that $\beta_{X \wedge Y}$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $X \wedge Y$ is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois extension of *k*. Similarly, one may show that $X \wedge Y$ is a left \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois extension of *k*.

Finally we have to show that $X \wedge Y$ is faithfully flat over *k*. Observe that

$$
X \otimes (X \wedge Y) \cong (X \otimes X) \wedge Y
$$

\n
$$
\cong (X \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*) \wedge Y
$$

\n
$$
\cong X \otimes (\mathcal{H}_R^* \wedge Y)
$$

\n
$$
\cong X \otimes Y,
$$

where $\mathcal{H}_R^* = H^*$ as an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is defined below and the last isomorphism will be proved in Proposition 3.4. Since $X \otimes Y$ and X are faithfully flat, it follows that $X \wedge Y$ is faithfully flat. Thus $X \wedge Y$ is an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. \Box

Now let *H*[∗] be the convolution algebra of *H*. There is a canonical YD *H*-module structure on H^* such that H^* is a YD H -module algebra. For h^* , $p \in H^*$ and $h \in H$, we define

$$
h \cdot p = \sum p_{(1)} \langle p_{(2)}, h \rangle, \quad H\text{-action},
$$

$$
h^* \cdot p = \sum h_{(2)}^* p S^{-1} (h_{(1)}^*), \quad H\text{-coaction}
$$
 (11)

where we use *S* for the antipodes of both *H* and H^* in order to simplify the notations and we will do the same in the sequel. Before we show that H^* is an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ we need to work out the comultiplication of \mathcal{H}_{R}^{*} . Since *H* is finite, we may think of the CQT structure *R* of *H* as an element $\sum R^1 \otimes R^2$ in $H^* \otimes H^*$. Then we have

$$
\Delta_R(p) = \sum R^2 r^2 p_{(2)} \otimes r^1 p_{(1)} S^{(-1)}(R^1)
$$

=
$$
\sum R^2 p_{(1)} r^2 \otimes p_{(2)} r^1 S^{(-1)}(R^1)
$$

where $r = R$, $p \in H^*$.

Lemma 3.3. H^* *is an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the induced \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure (3) and (6) on H^* is the same as the dual \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure stemming from the comultiplication Δ_R of \mathcal{H}_R^* . Indeed, given $p \in H^*$, $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, we have

$$
h \rightarrow p = \sum S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \triangleright_1 (h_{(1)} \cdot p)
$$

= $\sum \Theta_l (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}))(h_{(1)} \cdot p)S^{(-1)}(\Theta_l (S^{-1}(h_{(2)})))$
= $\sum \Theta_l (S^{-1}(h_{(3)})) p_{(1)} \Theta_l (h_{(2)}) \langle p_{(2)}, h_{(1)} \rangle$
= $\sum R^2 p_{(1)} r^2 \langle p_{(2)}, h_{(1)} \rangle \langle r^1, h_{(2)} \rangle \langle S^{(-1)}(R^1), h_{(3)} \rangle$
= $\sum R^2 p_{(1)} r^2 \langle p_{(2)} r^1 S^{(-1)}(R^1), h \rangle$
= $\sum p^{(1)} \langle p^{(2)}, h \rangle$.

Similarly, one have $p \triangleleft - h = \sum p^{(2)} \langle p^{(1)}, h \rangle$ for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $p \in H^*$. Since \mathcal{H}_R^* is a quotient coalgebra of $D[H]^*$, we have that H^* with the \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule structure Λ_R is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois object. \Box

Denote by *I* the object H^* described in Lemma 3.3. In fact, *I* is the unit of the category $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Before we prove this, we need to figure out the relation between \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure and the *H*∗-comodule structures of a YD *H*-module. Let *M* be a YD *H*-module. We use the following summation notation for the dual *H*∗-comodule structure of the left *H*-module structure of *M*:

$$
M \to M \otimes H^*, \quad m \mapsto \sum m_{[0]} \otimes m_{[1]},
$$

and the usual Sweedler notation $\sum m_{(0)} \otimes m_{(1)}$ for the *H*-comodule structure of *M*. The right and left \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure will be indicated by the Sweedler 'uppercase' sigma notations.

It is not difficult to check that the right $D[H]^*$ -comodule structure of *M* reads as follows:

$$
M \to M \otimes D[H]^*, \quad m \mapsto \sum m_{0} \otimes \Theta_r(m_{[0](1)}) \bowtie m_{[1]}.
$$

Similarly, one may get the dual left $D[H]^*$ -comodule structure of (5). It follows from (7) that we obtain the corresponding dual right \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure of (3) and the dual left \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure of (6) respectively:

$$
M \to M \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*, \quad \sum m^{(0)} \otimes m^{(1)} = \sum m_{0} \otimes m_{[1]} S^{-1} (\Theta_r(m_{[0](1)})),
$$

$$
M \to \mathcal{H}_R^* \otimes M, \quad \sum m^{(-1)} \otimes m^{(0)} = \sum \Theta_l(m_{[0](1)}) m_{[1]} \otimes m_{0}.
$$
 (12)

Proposition 3.4. *The category* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *is a monoidal category with product* \wedge *and the unit I .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $I \wedge X \cong X \cong X \wedge I$ for any $X \in \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. We show that *I* ∧ *X* \cong *X*. The proof of *X* ∧ *I* \cong *X* is similar. Let ρ ⁺ be the composite map of the flip map with the right \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure of *X*. We show that

$$
\rho^+ : X \to I \wedge X, \quad \rho^+(x) = \sum x^{(1)} \# x^{(0)}
$$

is the desired isomorphism in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$
\sum (x^{(1)} \triangleleft - h) \# x^{(0)} = \sum x^{(2)} \langle x^{(1)}, h \rangle \# x^{(0)}
$$

$$
= \sum x^{(1)} \# (h \to x^{(0)})
$$

for $h \in H_R$ and $x \in X$. So ρ^+ is a well-defined isomorphism with the inverse given by

$$
I \wedge X \to X
$$
, $\sum p_i \# x_i \mapsto \sum p_i(1)x_i$.

Secondly, we verify that ρ^+ is an algebra map. For *x*, $y \in X$ and $h \in H_R$, we compute $\rho^{+}(x)\rho^{+}(y)$.

$$
\rho^{+}(x)\rho^{+}(y) = \sum (x^{(1)} \# x^{(0)})(y^{(1)} \# y^{(0)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum x^{(1)}y^{(1)}_{(0)} \# x^{(0)}_{(1)}y^{(0)}R(y^{(1)}_{(1)} \otimes x^{(1)}_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum x^{(1)}(\Theta_r(x^{(0)}_{(1)}) \cdot y^{(1)}) \# x^{(0)}_{(0)}y^{(0)}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum x_{[1]}\Theta_r(S(x_{[0](3)}))\Theta_r(x_{[0](2)})y_{[1]}\Theta_r(S(y_{[0](1)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times \Theta_r(S(x_{[0](1)})) \# x_{0}y_{0}
$$

342 *Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378*

$$
= \sum x_{[1]} y_{[1]} \Theta_r \big(S(y_{[0](1)} x_{[0](1)}) \big) \# x_{0} y_{0}
$$

=
$$
\sum (xy)^{(1)} \otimes (xy)^{(0)}
$$

=
$$
\sum \rho^+(xy).
$$

Finally it is not hard to check that ρ^+ is a YD *H*-module morphism, hence a morphism in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. \Box

Note that the proof of Proposition 3.4 deduced that the coalgebra \mathcal{H}_R^* with the convolution algebra structure is a *braided Hopf algebra* in M_R^H (see the definition from [19,29]). A more categorical study of the braided Hopf algebra \mathcal{H}_R^* will be included in the forthcoming paper [40].

Denote by $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$ the set of the isomorphism classes of objects in $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Propositions 3.2, 3.4 say that $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is a semigroup. The rest of this section is devoted to show that $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$ contains a group.

Let *X* be an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Let \overline{X} be the opposite algebra in \mathbf{M}_R^H . That is, $\overline{X} = X$ as a right H^{op} -comodule, but with the multiplication given by

$$
\overline{x} \circ \overline{y} = \sum \overline{y_{(0)}x_{(0)}} R(y_{(1)} \otimes x_{(1)})
$$

when \overline{x} , $\overline{y} \in \overline{X}$. Since the *H*-action on *X* does not give an *H*-module algebra structure on \overline{X} , we have to define a new *H*-action on \overline{X} such that \overline{X} together with the inherited H^{op} -comodule structure from *X* is a YD *H*-module algebra. Let *H* act on \overline{X} as follows

$$
h \to \bar{x} = \sum \overline{S(h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} R(h_{(6)} \otimes x_{(2)}) R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))
$$

$$
\times R(h_{(5)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(3)}^u \cdot (h_{(2)} \triangleright_{2} (h_{(5)} \triangleright_{1} x)) R(S(h_{(4)}) \otimes h_{(1)})
$$
(13)

where $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, $\bar{x} \in \overline{X}$, $h^u = \sum S(h_{(2)})u^{-1}(h_{(1)})$ and $u = \sum S(R^2)R^1 \in H^*$ is the Casimir element of H^* . Since the square of the antipode of H^* is an inner automorphism induced by the Casimir element u , we have the formulae (see [17]):

$$
\sum u(h_{(1)})h_{(2)} = \sum S^2(h_{(1)})u(h_{(2)}),
$$

$$
\sum u^{-1}(h_{(1)})S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) = \sum S(h_{(1)})u^{-1}(h_{(2)})
$$
 (14)

for any $h \in H$. We will use the formulas (14) quite often in the sequel. For instance, one may change the order of the actions \triangleright_1 , \triangleright_2 and \cdot in the formula (13) in order to have an alternative formula:

$$
h \to \bar{x} = \sum h_{(1)} \triangleright_2 (h_{(4)} \triangleright_1 (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \cdot x)) R(S^2(h_{(5)}) \otimes h_{(2)})
$$
(15)

for $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $x \in \overline{X}$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let X be an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *. Then the right* H^{op} *-comodule algebra* \overline{X} *with the H-action* (13) *is a YD H-module algebra.*

Proof. We show that \overline{X} together with (13) is a YD *H*-module, and leave to the readers the tedious check that \overline{X} is left *H*-module algebra.

First we show that (13) is a left *H*-module structure on \overline{X} . Given $h, l \in H$ and $\overline{x} \in \overline{X}$, we have

$$
l \Delta (h \Delta \bar{x})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum l \Delta \bar{S}(h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}R(h_{(6)} \otimes x_{(2)})R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))R(h_{(5)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \bar{S}(l_{(4)}) \cdot (S(h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)})R(l_{(6)} \otimes S(h_{(4)})x_{(2)}h_{(8)})R(S(h_{(5)})x_{(1)}h_{(7)} \otimes S(l_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(l_{(5)} \otimes S(l_{(2)}))u^{-1}(l_{(1)})R(h_{(10)} \otimes x_{(4)})R(x_{(3)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(9)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \bar{S}(h_{(6)}l_{(6)}) \cdot x_{(0)}R(l_{(8)} \otimes h_{(8)})R(l_{(9)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))R(l_{(10)} \otimes x_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(5)} \otimes l_{(5)})R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(4)}))R(h_{(7)} \otimes S(l_{(3)}))R(l_{(7)} \otimes S(l_{(2)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(10)} \otimes x_{(4)})R(x_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(4)}))R(h_{(9)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))u^{-1}(h_{(1)})u^{-1}(l_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \bar{S}(l_{(4)}h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}R(h_{(5)} \otimes l_{(5)})R(l_{(8)} \otimes h_{(8)})R(l_{(9)}h_{(9)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(7)}l_{(7)} \otimes S(l_{(2)}))R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(l_{(4)}h_{(4)}))
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \bar{S}^{-1}(l_{(3)}h_{(3)}) \cdot x_{(0)}((u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1})R_{21}R)(l_{(5)} \otimes h_{(5)})
$$

\n<math display="</math>

where we used the identity $(u^{-1} \otimes u^{-1})R_{21}R = \Delta(u^{-1})$ (see [17] for the proof), and $R_{21} = \sum R^2 \otimes R^1.$

Next we show that \overline{X} is a YD *H*-module. Given $h \in H$ and $\overline{x} \in \overline{X}$, we compute $\rho(h \rightarrow \overline{x}).$

$$
\rho(h \to \bar{x}) = \sum \overline{(S(h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)})_{(0)}} \otimes (S(h_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)})_{(1)} R(h_{(6)} \otimes x_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(3)})) R(h_{(5)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})) u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} \otimes S(h_{(4)}) x_{(1)} h_{(6)} R(x_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(8)} \otimes x_{(3)}) R(h_{(7)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})) u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} \otimes x_{(2)} S(h_{(3)}) h_{(6)} u^{-1}(h_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(8)} \otimes x_{(3)}) R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(4)}) R(h_{(6)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} \otimes x_{(2)} h_{(7)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) u^{-1}(h_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(8)} \otimes x_{(3)}) R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(4)})) R(h_{(7)} \otimes S(h_{(2)}))
$$

\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} \otimes h_{(8)} x_{(3)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) u^{-1}(h_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(h_{(7)} \otimes x_{(2)}) R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(4)})) R(h_{(6)} \otimes S(h_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
= \sum h_{(2)} \rightarrow \overline{x_{(0)}} \otimes h_{(3)} x_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}).
$$

So \overline{X} is a YD *H*-module algebra. \Box

Now let us look at the \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure of *X* stemming from the new YD *H*module structure of *X*.

Lemma 3.6. *The* \mathcal{H}_R *-bimodule structure on* \overline{X} *is given by*

$$
h \to \bar{x} = \sum \overline{S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \cdot (h_{(1)} \rhd_2 x)} \equiv \overline{h \to x},
$$

$$
\bar{x} \lhd - h = \sum \overline{S(h_{(1)}) \cdot (h_{(2)} \rhd_1 x)} \equiv \overline{x \leftarrow h}.
$$
 (16)

Proof. We verify the right \mathcal{H}_R -action, and leave the left \mathcal{H}_R -action to be checked by the readers. Indeed, for $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$ and $\bar{x} \in \overline{X}$, we have

$$
\bar{x} \triangleleft - h = \sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_{2} (h_{(2)} \triangleleft \bar{x})
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \overline{h_{(4)} \triangleright_{1} (S^{-1}(h_{(3)}) \cdot x)} u(h_{(1)}) R(S(h_{(5)}) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(3)})) \text{ (using (15))}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \cdot (h_{(7)} \triangleright_{1} x)} R^{-1} (h_{(6)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(5)}))
$$
\n
$$
\times R(h_{(8)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(3)})) R(S(h_{(9)}) \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(2)})) u(h_{(1)})
$$
\n
$$
= \sum \overline{S^{-1}(h_{(2)}) \cdot (h_{(4)} \triangleright_{1} x)} u^{-1}(h_{(3)}) u(h_{(1)})
$$

$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(3)}) \cdot (h_{(4)} \rhd_1 x)} u^{-1}(h_{(2)}) u(h_{(1)})
$$

$$
= \sum \overline{S(h_{(1)}) \cdot (h_{(2)} \rhd_1 x)}.
$$

From (16), one obtains that the \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure of \overline{X} is given by a new \mathcal{H}_R bimodule structure of X defined by \leftarrow and \rightarrow . We will use a different Sweedler uppercase sigma notation to denote the dual \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule structure of the new \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure of *X*:

$$
\sum x^{\langle -1 \rangle} \otimes x^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \qquad \sum x^{\langle 0 \rangle} \otimes x^{\langle 1 \rangle}.
$$

Thus the \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule structure (16) on \overline{X} can be translated into the \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule structure on \overline{X} in the following way:

$$
\sum \overline{x}^{(0)} \otimes \overline{x}^{(1)} = \sum \overline{x^{(0)}} \otimes x^{(1)} = \sum \overline{x_{(0)[0]}} \otimes \Theta_l(S(x_{(1)})) S^{-1}(x_{(0)[1]}),
$$

$$
\sum \overline{x}^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{x}^{(0)} = \sum x^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{x^{(0)}} = \sum S(x_{(0)[1]}) \Theta_r(x_{(1)}) \otimes \overline{x_{(0)[0]}}.
$$
 (17)

Now let us recall that a YD *H*-module algebra *A* is said to be *quantum commutative* if

$$
ab = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \cdot a)
$$
 (18)

for any $a, b \in A$. That is, *A* is a commutative algebra in Q^H .

Lemma 3.7. Let A be a quantum commutative YD H-module algebra. Let \overline{A} be the *opposite algebra in* \mathbf{M}_{R}^{H} *. Then the multiplication of* \overline{A} *reads as follows:*

$$
\bar{a} \circ \bar{b} = \sum \overline{a_{(0)}(S(a_{(1)}) \to b)} = \sum \overline{(a \leftarrow b_{(1)})b_{(0)}}
$$
(19)

for $a, b \in A$ *, where the actions* \leftarrow *and* \rightarrow *are defined in* (16)*.*

Proof. Let \overline{a} and \overline{b} be two elements in \overline{A} . By definition, we have

$$
\overline{a} \circ \overline{b} = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rhd_1 a).
$$

Since *A* is also quantum commutative in Q^H , we have

$$
\bar{a} \circ \bar{b} = \sum \overline{b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rhd_1 a)} = \sum \overline{S(b_{(1)}) \cdot (b_{(2)} \rhd_1 a)b_{(0)}} = \sum (\overline{a \cdot b_{(1)})b_{(0)}}.
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\overline{a} \circ \overline{b} = \sum \overline{(S(a_{(1)}) \rhd_2 b)a_{(0)}} = \sum \overline{a_{(0)}(a_{(1)} \cdot (S(a_{(2)}) \rhd_2 b))} = \sum \overline{a_{(0)}(S(a_{(1)}) \to b)}
$$

for any \overline{a} , $\overline{b} \in \overline{A}$. \Box

Proposition 3.8. Let *X* be an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ such that *X* is quantum commutative in \mathcal{Q}^H . *Then the opposite algebra* \overline{X} *in* \mathbf{M}_R^H *is an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *.*

Proof. We have to show that \overline{X} is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects. Note that the sets of left and right \mathcal{H}_R -invariants are equal to the sets of the right and left \mathcal{H}_R -invariants of X respectively by Lemmas 3.6 and 2.5 and the remark preceding to Corollary 2.8. Thus *X* has trivial left and right \mathcal{H}_{R}^{*} -coinvariants. Let *f* : *X* ⊗ *X* → *X* ⊗ *X* be the *k*-module map defined by $f(x \otimes y) = \sum x_{(0)} \otimes S(x_{(1)}) \rightarrow y$. It is easy to see that *f* is a *k*-module isomorphism. Let us compute the canonical Galois *k*-module map $\beta_{\overline{X}}^l$ from $\overline{X} \otimes \overline{X}$ to $\mathcal{H}_R^* \otimes \overline{X}$. By applying the formulae (17) and (19), we obtain

$$
\begin{split} \beta_{\overline{X}}^l(\overline{y}\otimes \overline{x}) &= \sum \overline{x}^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{y} \circ \overline{x}^{(0)} \\ &= \sum x^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{y} \overline{x^{(0)}} \\ &= \sum x^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{y_{(0)}(S(y_{(1)}) \twoheadrightarrow x^{(0)})} \\ &= \sum \left(S(y_{(1)}) \twoheadrightarrow x \right)^{(-1)} \otimes \overline{y_{(0)}(S(y_{(1)}) \twoheadrightarrow x)^{(0)}} \end{split}
$$

for \overline{x} , $\overline{y} \in \overline{X}$. Since *X* is right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois, we have the canonical isomorphism β'_X :

$$
\beta_X^r(x \otimes y) = \sum x^{(0)} y \otimes x^{(1)} = \sum x_{0} y \otimes x_{[1]} S^{-1} (\Theta_r(x_{[0](1)}))
$$

for any $x, y \in X$. The map β_X^r induces an isomorphism

$$
\gamma^r:X\otimes X\to X\otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*
$$

given by $\gamma^r(y \otimes x) = \sum yx_{(0)[0]} \otimes S(x_{(0)[1]})\Theta_r(x_{(1)}) = \sum yx^{(0)} \otimes x^{(-1)}$. Identifying the *k*-module *X* with \overline{X} the map $\beta \frac{l}{X}$ is the following composite *k*-module isomorphism:

$$
X\otimes X\stackrel{f}{\to} X\otimes X\stackrel{\gamma^r}{\to} X\otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*\stackrel{\tau}{\to} \mathcal{H}_R^*\otimes X
$$

where τ is the flip map.

Similarly, one may verify that $\beta_{\overline{X}}^r$ is the composite isomorphism:

$$
X \otimes X \xrightarrow{g} X \otimes X \xrightarrow{\gamma'} \mathcal{H}_R^* \otimes X \xrightarrow{\tau} X \otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*,
$$

where *g* and γ^l are given by

$$
g(x \otimes y) = \sum x \leftarrow y_{(1)} \otimes y_{(0)}, \qquad \gamma^l(x \otimes y) = \sum x^{(1)} \otimes x^{(0)}y
$$

for *x*, $y \in X$. So \overline{X} is indeed an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois object, and hence an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. \Box

Now we are able to prove our main theorem in this section. Denote by $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ the subset of $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$ consisting of the isomorphism classes of objects in $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$ that are quantum commutative in \mathcal{Q}^H .

Theorem 3.9. *The set* Gal (H_R) *is a group.*

Proof. First of all we show that $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is a sub-semigroup of $E(\mathcal{H}_R)$. It is obvious that *I* is a quantum commutative algebra and $[I] \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Suppose that [X] and [Y] are two elements of Gal (H_R) . We have to verify that *X* \wedge *Y* is quantum commutative. For simplicity, we will write $x \neq y$ for an element $\sum x_i \neq y_i$ of $X \wedge Y$. Given $x \neq y$, $a \neq b \in X \wedge Y$, we compute $\sum (x_{(0)} \# y_{(0)})(y_{(1)}x_{(1)} \to (a \# b)).$

$$
\sum (x_{(0)} \# y_{(0)})(y_{(1)}x_{(1)} \rightarrow (a \# b))
$$

=
$$
\sum (x_{(0)} \# y_{(0)})(y_{(1)}x_{(1)} \rightarrow a \# y_{(2)}x_{(2)} \cdot b)
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(S(y_{(1)})y_{(2)}x_{(1)} \rightarrow a) \# y_{(0)}(y_{(3)}x_{(2)} \cdot b)
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \rightarrow a) \# y_{(0)}(y_{(1)} \cdot (x_{(2)} \rightarrow b))
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \cdot a) \# y_{(0)}(y_{(1)} \cdot (x_{(2)} \rightarrow b))
$$

=
$$
\sum ax_{(0)} \# (x_{(1)} \rightarrow b) y
$$

=
$$
(a \# b)(x \# y).
$$

This means that $X \wedge Y$ is quantum commutative as well.

Secondly, we show that *X* is quantum commutative if *X* is quantum commutative. Indeed, given \overline{x} , $\overline{y} \in \overline{X}$, we have

$$
\sum \overline{y_{(0)}} \circ (y_{(1)} \rightarrow \overline{x}) = \sum \overline{y_{(0)}} \circ \overline{S(y_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} R(y_{(6)} \otimes x_{(2)}) R(x_{(1)} \otimes S(y_{(3)}))
$$

\n
$$
\times R(y_{(5)} \otimes S(y_{(2)}))u^{-1}(y_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (\overline{S(y_{(6)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} y_{(0)} R(S(y_{(5)}) x_{(1)} y_{(7)} \otimes y_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(y_{(9)} \otimes x_{(3)}) R(x_{(2)} \otimes S(y_{(4)})) R(y_{(8)} \otimes S(y_{(3)}))u^{-1}(y_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (\overline{S(y_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} y_{(0)} R(S(y_{(4)}) x_{(1)} y_{(7)} \otimes y_{(1)}) R(y_{(8)} \otimes x_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(x_{(2)} y_{(7)} \otimes S(y_{(3)}))u^{-1}(y_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (\overline{S(y_{(5)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} y_{(0)} R(S(y_{(4)}) x_{(1)} y_{(7)} \otimes y_{(1)}) R(y_{(8)} \otimes x_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R(S(x_{(2)} y_{(7)}) \otimes y_{(2)})u^{-1}(y_{(3)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (\overline{S(y_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} y_{(0)} R(S(y_{(3)}) \otimes y_{(1)}) R(y_{(5)} \otimes x_{(1)})u^{-1}(y_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (\overline{S(y_{(4)}) \cdot x_{(0)}} y_{(0)} u^{-1}(y_{(3)}) R(S^{-1}(y_{(2)}) \otimes y_{(1)}) R(y_{(5)} \otimes x_{(1)})
$$

348 *Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378*

$$
= \sum \overline{(S(y_{(1)}) \cdot x_{(0)}) y_{(0)}} R(y_{(2)} \otimes x_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum \overline{y_{(0)} x_{(0)}} R(y_{(1)} \otimes x_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\overline{x} \circ \overline{y}.
$$

Thus we have proved that $[\overline{X}]$ is an element of Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) if $[X] \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Finally we show that $[\overline{X}]$ is the inverse of $[X]$ in Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) .

In order to show that $X \wedge \overline{X} \cong I$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$, it is sufficient to construct a non-zero YD *H*-module algebra map from *I* to $X \wedge \overline{X}$. Since *X* is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object we have the isomorphism:

$$
\beta^r:X\otimes X\to X\otimes \mathcal{H}_R^*.
$$

Write $\sum U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p)$ for the inverse image $(\beta^r)^{-1}(1 \otimes p)$ of an element $p \in \mathcal{H}_R^*$. We claim that $\sum U_i(p) \otimes \overline{V_i(p)}$ is in $X \wedge \overline{X}$ for any $p \in \mathcal{H}_R^*$. To show this we need to verify that

$$
\sum (U_i(p) \triangleleft - h) \# \overline{V_i(p)} = \sum U_i(p) \# \big(h \to \overline{V_i(p)} \big),
$$

or

$$
\sum (U_i(p) \triangleleft - h) \# V_i(p) = \sum U_i(p) \# \big(h \to V_i(p) \big),
$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$.

Indeed, if $p \in \mathcal{H}_R^*$, we have the formulae

$$
\beta^r \left(\sum U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p) \right) = \sum U_i(p)^{(0)} V_i(p) \otimes U_i(p)^{(1)} = 1 \otimes p,
$$

$$
\gamma^r \left(\sum U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p) \right) = \sum U_i(p) V_i(p)^{(0)} \otimes V_i(p)^{(-1)} = 1 \otimes p.
$$

Similarly, writing $\sum X_j(p) \otimes Y_j(p)$ for the element $(\beta^l)^{-1}(p \otimes 1)$ if $p \in \mathcal{H}_R^*$, then we have

$$
\sum X_j(p)^{\langle 1 \rangle} \otimes X_j(p)^{\langle 0 \rangle} Y_j(p) = p \otimes 1
$$

and

$$
\sum X_j(x^{(1)}) \otimes Y_j(x^{(1)})x^{(0)} = x \otimes 1 \tag{20}
$$

for any $x \in X$. Applying formula (20), we obtain

$$
\sum (\iota \otimes \gamma^r)(1 \otimes U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p)) = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes p
$$

=
$$
\sum U_i(p)V_i(p)^{(0)} \otimes 1 \otimes V_i(p)^{(-1)}
$$

=
$$
\sum U_i(p)X_j(q) \otimes Y_j(q)V_i(p)^{(0)} \otimes V_i(p)^{(-1)}
$$

=
$$
\sum (\iota \otimes \gamma^r)U_i(p)X_j(q) \otimes Y_j(q) \otimes V_i(p),
$$

where $q = V_i(p)^{(1)}$. Since $\iota \otimes \gamma^r$ is an isomorphism, we obtain

$$
\sum 1 \otimes U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p) = \sum U_i(p) X_j(q) \otimes Y_j(q) \otimes V_i(p)^{(0)}, \qquad (21)
$$

where again $q = V_i(p)^{(1)}$. Now let $\beta^l \otimes \iota$ act on both sides of (21), we get

$$
\sum U_i(p)^{(-1)} \otimes U_i(p)^{(0)} \otimes V_i(p) = \sum V_i(p)^{(1)} \otimes U_i(p) \otimes V_i(p)^{(0)}.
$$

It follows that

$$
\sum (U_i(p) \triangleleft - h) \# V_i(p) = \sum U_i(p) \# (h \rightarrow V_i(p)),
$$

for any $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, and hence $\sum U_i(p) \# \overline{V_i(p)}$ is in $X \wedge \overline{X}$.

Next we show that the well-defined map

$$
\omega: I \to X \wedge \overline{X}, \quad \omega(p) = (\beta^r)^{-1} (1 \otimes p)
$$

is an algebra map. In order to simplify the notations, we write $a \# \overline{b}$ and $c \# \overline{d}$ for $\omega(p)$ and $\omega(q)$ respectively, where $p, q \in I$. Since

$$
\sum a^{(0)} b \otimes a^{(1)} = \sum a_{0} b \otimes a_{[1]} S^{-1} (\Theta_r(a_{[0](1)})) = 1 \otimes p
$$

is equivalent to

$$
\sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]} \Theta_r(b_{(1)}) = 1 \otimes p,
$$

It is sufficient to show that

$$
\sum x_{[0]}y_{(0)} \otimes x_{[1]} \Theta_r(y_{(1)}) = 1 \otimes pq,
$$

where

$$
x \# \overline{y} = (a \# \overline{b})(c \# \overline{d}) = \sum (ac_{(0)} \# \overline{d_{(0)}b_{(0)}}) R(d_{(1)}c_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)}).
$$

Indeed, we have

$$
\sum x_{[0]} y_{(0)} \otimes x_{[1]} \Theta_r(y_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum a_{[0]} c_{(0)[0]} d_{(0)} b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]} c_{(0)[1]} \Theta_r(d_{(1)}) \Theta_r(b_{(1)}) R(d_{(2)} c_{(1)} \otimes b_{(2)}).
$$

Applying the equations:

$$
\sum c_{(0)[0]}d_{(0)} \otimes c_{(0)[1]} \Theta_r(d_{(1)}) \otimes S^{-1}(\Theta_l(d_{(2)}c_{(1)}))
$$

=
$$
\sum c_{0}d_{(0)} \otimes R^{-1}(c_{[1]}\Theta_r(d_{(2)}) \otimes S^{-1}(\Theta_l(c_{[0](1)}d_{(1)}))R)
$$

=
$$
1 \otimes (\iota \otimes S)(R^{-1}(q \otimes 1)R)
$$

=
$$
\sum 1 \otimes S(R^1)qr^1 \otimes S(R^2r^2)
$$

where $R = r$, we obtain

$$
\sum x_{[0]}y_{(0)} \otimes x_{[1]} \Theta_r(y_{(1)})
$$
\n
$$
= \sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]}S(R^1)qr^1\Theta_r(b_{(1)})\langle S(R^2r^2), b_{(2)}\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]}(S(R^1) \cdot q)\Theta_r(b_{(1)})\langle S(R^2, b_{(2)})\rangle
$$
\n
$$
= \sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]}q_{(0)}\Theta_r(b_{(1)})R(S(q_{(1)}) \otimes S(b_{(2)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]}q_{(0)}(q_{(1)} \cdot \Theta_r(b_{(1)}))
$$
\n
$$
= \sum a_{[0]}b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]} \Theta_r(b_{(1)})q
$$
\n
$$
= 1 \otimes pq.
$$

So *ω* is indeed an algebra map.

Finally, we show that ω is a YD *H*-module map so that it is an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule map (or a \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule map) as well. Given $p \in I$ and $h \in \mathcal{H}_R$, we have

$$
\sum U_i(p)_{[0]} V_i(p)_{(0)} \otimes U_i(p)_{[1]} \Theta_r(V_i(p)_{(1)}) = 1 \otimes p.
$$

It implies that

$$
h \cdot \omega(p) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot U_i(p) \# h_{(2)} \rhd_1 \overline{V_i(p)}
$$

=
$$
\sum U_i(p)_{[0]} \# \overline{V_i(p)_{(0)}} \langle h, U_i(p)_{[1]} \Theta_r (V_i(p)_{(1)}) \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum U_i(p_{(1)}) \# \overline{V_i(p_{(1)})} \langle h, p_{(2)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\omega(h \cdot p).
$$

To show that ω is H^{op} -colinear, we verify that ω is left H^* -linear. Indeed, if $p \in H^*$ and $q \in I$, we have

$$
\omega(p \cdot q) = \sum \omega(p_{(2)} q S^{-1}(p_{(1)}))
$$

=
$$
\sum \omega(p_{(2)}) \omega(q) \omega(S^{-1}(p_{(1)}))
$$

=
$$
\sum \omega(q)_{(0)} (\omega(q)_{(1)} \cdot \omega(p_{(2)})) \omega(S^{-1}(p_{(1)}))
$$

=
$$
\sum \omega(q)_{(0)} \omega(p_{(2)}) \omega(S^{-1}(p_{(1)})) (\omega(q)_{(1)}, p_{(3)})
$$

=
$$
\sum \omega(q)_{(0)} (\omega(q)_{(1)}, p),
$$

where we used the facts that *X* $\wedge \overline{X}$ is quantum commutative, that ω is an algebra map and that ω is *H*-linear. So ω is indeed a YD *H*-module algebra map, and $I \cong X \wedge \overline{X}$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. This proved that $[\overline{X}]$ is a right inverse of $[X]$ in Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) . Since any element of Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) has a right inverse element in Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) , Gal (\mathcal{H}_R) is a group. \Box

When (H, R) is a cotriangular Hopf algebra, the braided monoidal category M_R^H is a symmetric monoidal category. Since the multiplication of a generalized cotensor product *A* ∧ *B* is defined in M_R^H , we expect Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) to be an abelian group, and this is the case.

Proposition 3.10. *If* (H, R) *is a cotriangular Hopf algebra, then* $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *is an abelian group.*

Proof. Let [*A*] and [*B*] be two elements of Gal(H_R). We prove that $A \wedge B \cong B \wedge A$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Let Ψ be the braiding from $A \#_R B$ to $B \#_R A$. We show that Ψ restricts to an isomorphism from $A \wedge B$ to $B \wedge A$:

$$
\Psi: A \wedge B \to B \wedge A, \quad \sum a_i \# b_i \mapsto \sum b_{i(0)} \# a_{i(0)} R(b_{i(1)} \otimes a_{i(1)}).
$$

First we show that $\Psi(A \wedge B) \subseteq B \wedge A$. For simplicity, we write $a \# b$ for an element in $A \wedge B$. Given $h \in H$, we have

$$
h \cdot (a \# b) = \sum h_{(1)} \cdot a \# h_{(2)} \rhd_1 b = \sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 a \# h_{(2)} \cdot b.
$$

Applying Ψ on both sides of the two equations, we obtain

$$
\Psi(h \cdot (a \# b)) = \sum \Psi(h_{(1)} \cdot a \# h_{(2)} \rhd_1 b)
$$

= $\sum b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)} a_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)})) R(h_{(4)} \otimes b_{(2)})$
= $\sum b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes h_{(3)} a_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)})) R(b_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(4)}))$
= $\sum b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) h_{(3)} a_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}))$
= $\sum b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}))$
= $\sum h_{(1)} \rhd_2 b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)}),$

where the third equation holds because *R* is cotriangular. On the other hand,

$$
\Psi(h \cdot (a \# b)) = \sum \Psi(h_{(1)} \rhd_2 a \# h_{(2)} \cdot b)
$$

= $\sum h_{(3)} \cdot b_{(0)} \# a_{(0)} R(h_{(4)} b_{(1)} S^{-1}(b_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)}) R(S(a_{(2)}) \otimes h_{(1)})$
= $\sum h_{(3)} \cdot b_{(0)} \# a_{(0)} R(h_{(4)} b_{(1)} S^{-1}(b_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)}) R(h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)})$
= $\sum h_{(3)} \cdot b_{(0)} \# a_{(0)} R(h_{(4)} b_{(1)} S^{-1}(b_{(2)}) h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})$
= $\sum h_{(1)} \cdot b_{(0)} \# a_{(0)} R(h_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)}) R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)})$
= $\sum h_{(1)} \cdot b_{(0)} \# h_{(2)} \rhd_1 a_{(0)} R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)}).$

It follows from Lemma 2.9 that the element $\Psi(a \# b)$ is in $B \wedge A$. Moreover, we have proved that $\Psi(h \cdot (a \# b)) = h \cdot \Psi(a \# b)$. That is, Ψ is an *H*-module map and hence a YD *H*-module map from $A \wedge B$ to $B \wedge A$. Since Ψ is the restriction of the braiding on $A \wedge B$, we have that $\Psi_{B \wedge A} \circ \Psi_{A \wedge B} = \text{Id}_{A \wedge B}$. So Ψ is an isomorphism.

Now it remains to show that Ψ is an algebra map. To simplify the notations we let $a \# b$ and $c \# d$ be two elements in $A \wedge B$. Then

$$
\Psi\big((a\#b)(c\#d)\big) = \sum b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)}c_{(0)}R(d_{(1)}b_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)}a_{(1)})R(c_{(2)} \otimes b_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)}c_{(0)}R(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)}a_{(1)})R(b_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}a_{(2)})R(c_{(3)} \otimes b_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)}c_{(0)}R(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)}a_{(1)})R(b_{(1)} \otimes c_{(2)}a_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
\times R\big(b_{(2)} \otimes S^{-1}(c_{(3)})\big)
$$

\n
$$
= \sum b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)}c_{(0)}R(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)}a_{(1)})R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)}c_{(0)}R(d_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})R(d_{(2)} \otimes c_{(1)})R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(2)})
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (b_{(0)} \#a_{(0)})(\#c_{(0)})R(d_{(1)} \otimes c_{(1)})R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})
$$

\n
$$
= \Psi(a\#b)\Psi(c\#d).
$$

Thus we have proved that Ψ is an algebra isomorphism and that $A \wedge B \cong B \wedge A$ in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. So Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) is an abelian group. \Box

4. The exact sequence

In this section, we investigate the *R*-Azumaya algebras which are Galois extensions of its coinvariants, and establish a group homomorphism from BC*(k, H, R)* to the group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ constructed in the previous section. The main result will be the exact sequence (23). In the sequel, for simplifying notations we will write M_0 for the coinvariant set $M^{\text{co }H}$ of a right *H*-comodule:

$$
\Big\{m\in M\,\big|\,\sum m_{(0)}\otimes m_{(1)}=m\otimes 1\Big\}.
$$

We start with a special elementary *R*-Azumaya algebra.

Lemma 4.1. Let $M = H^{op}$ be the right regular H^{op} -comodule, and let A be the induced *R*^{-Azumaya algebra End (M) *. Then* $A \cong H^{*op} \# H^{op}$ *, where the left* H^{op} -action on H^{*op} *is*} *given by* $h \cdot p = \sum p_{(1)} \langle p_{(2)}, S^{-1}(h) \rangle = S^{-1}(h) \rightarrow p$ *, whenever* $h \in H^{\text{op}}$ *and* $p \in H^{*{\text{op}}}$ *.*

Proof. Let $\pi : H^{op} \to \text{End}(M)$ be the representation of the regular left H^{op} -module. We claim that π is a right *H*^{op}-colinear algebra map. Indeed, by definition, the right *H*^{op}comodule structure of $\pi(h)$, for $h \in H^{op}$, is given by

$$
\rho(\pi(h))(x \otimes 1) = \sum (\pi(h)(x_{(0)}))_{(0)} \otimes S^{-1}(x_{(1)}) (\pi(h)(x_{(0)}))_{(1)}
$$

=
$$
\sum (x_{(1)}h)_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(x_{(2)})(x_{(1)}h)_{(2)}
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(1)}h_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(x_{(3)})x_{(2)}h_{(2)}
$$

=
$$
\sum \pi(h_{(1)})(x) \otimes h_{(2)}
$$

for any $x \in M$. It follows that $\rho(\pi(h)) = \sum_{\alpha} \pi(h_{(1)}) \otimes h_{(2)}$. So π is a right H^{op} -colinear algebra map. This fact implies that the right *H*op-comodule algebra *A* is a smash product A_0 # H^{op} [10, 1.4], where A_0 is the coinvariant subalgebra of A .

Now we show that A_0 is isomorphic to H^{*op} . It is obvious that $A_0 = \text{End}^H(M)$, the subalgebra of all H^{op} -colinear endomorphisms of *M*. We know that the *k*-module map

$$
\lambda: H^{*op} \to \text{End}^H(H^{op}), \quad \lambda(p)(x) = \sum p(x_{(1)})x_{(2)}
$$

for $p \in H^{*op}$ and $x \in H^{op}$, is an algebra isomorphism. Finally, for $p \in H^{*op}$, $h \in H^{op}$ and $x \in M$, we have

$$
\pi(h)(\lambda(p)(x)) = \sum x_{(2)}h\langle p, x_{(2)}\rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum (S^{-1}(h_{(1)}) \to p)(\pi(h_{(2)})(x)).
$$

It follows that the action of H^{op} on H^{*op} is

$$
h \cdot p = S^{-1}(h) \rightarrow p = \sum p_{(1)} \langle p_{(2)}, S^{-1}(h) \rangle
$$

for $h \in H^{op}$ and $p \in H^{*op}$. \Box

Corollary 4.2. *Any element of* BC*(k, H, R) can be represented by an R-Azumaya algebra that is a smash product.*

Proof. Let [A] be an element of $BC(k, H, R)$. Since $End(H^{op})$ represents the unit of $BC(k, H, R)$, we have $[A \# End(H^{op})] = [A]$. Now the composite algebra map

$$
H^{\text{op}} \stackrel{\lambda}{\to} \text{End}(H^{\text{op}}) \hookrightarrow A \# \text{End}(H^{\text{op}})
$$

is still H^{op} -colinear. It follows that $A \# End(H^{op})$ is a smash product algebra $B \# H^{op}$ where $B = (A \# \text{End}(H^{\text{op}}))_0$. \Box

Since any smash product algebra is a Galois extension of its coinvariants, we have that *any element of* BC*(k, H, R) can be represented by an R-Azumaya algebra which is an H*op*-Galois extension of its coinvariants.*

Lemma 4.3. *Let A be an R-Azumaya algebra. If A is an H*op*-Galois extension of A*0*, then* \overline{A} *is a H*^{op}-*Galois extension of* A_0^{op} .

Proof. Since A/A_0 is H^{op} -Galois, we have the canonical isomorphism:

$$
\beta'_A: A \otimes_{A_0} A \to A \otimes H^{op}, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \sum a_{(0)}b \otimes b_{(1)}.
$$

Since the flip map τ is a *k*-module isomorphism from $A \otimes_{A_0} A$ to $\overline{A} \otimes_{A_0^{op}} \overline{A}$, β'_A gives an isomorphism

$$
\eta\colon\overline{A}\otimes_{A_0^{\rm{op}}}\overline{A}\to \overline{A}\otimes H^{\rm{op}},\quad \eta\big(\overline{a}\otimes \overline{b}\big)=\sum \overline{a_{(0)}b}\otimes a_{(1)}.
$$

Define a *k*-module map:

$$
\xi : \overline{A} \otimes H^{\text{op}} \to \overline{A} \otimes H^{\text{op}}, \quad \overline{a} \otimes h \mapsto \sum \overline{a_{(0)}} \otimes h_{(3)} R(h_{(2)} \otimes a_{(1)} S(h_{(1)})).
$$

We show that ξ is a k -module isomorphism. As remarked in the previous section, we may view *R* as an element $\sum R^1 \otimes R^2$ in $H^* \otimes H^*$ which is a QT structure of H^* . Then the element $u = \sum R^2 S^{-1} (R^1)$ is the Casimir element of H^* that is invertible. Thus we may rewrite the k -module map ξ as the following composite map:

$$
\overline{A}\otimes H^\text{op}\xrightarrow{\iota\otimes\widetilde{u}}\overline{A}\otimes H^\text{op}\xrightarrow{\sigma}\overline{A}\otimes H^\text{op}
$$

where \tilde{u} is defined by $\tilde{u}(h) = h \leftarrow u = \sum h_{(2)}u(h_{(1)})$, and σ is defined by

$$
\sigma\left(\overline{a}\otimes h\right) = \sum \overline{h_{(1)} \rhd_1 a} \otimes h_{(2)} = \sum \overline{a_{(0)}} \otimes h_{(2)} R(h_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})
$$

for all $a \in A$ and $h \in H^{\text{op}}$. Since \tilde{u} and σ are *k*-module isomorphisms, we have that ξ is an isomorphism. It is easy to check that $\beta_{\overline{A}} = \xi \beta'_A \tau$. So $\beta_{\overline{A}}$ is an isomorphism, and $\overline{A}/A_0^{\text{op}}$ is an H^{op} -Galois extension. \square

In the sequel, an *R*-Azumaya algebra *A* is said to be *Galois* if it is a right *H*op-Galois extension of its coinvariant subalgebra *A*0. Let *A* be a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra. Denote by $\pi(A)$ the centralizer subalgebra $C_A(A_0)$ of A_0 in *A*. It is clear that $\pi(A)$ is an *H*^{op}comodule subalgebra of *A*. The Miyashita–Ulbrich–Van Oystaeyen (MUVO) action [22, 30,32] of *H* on $\pi(A)$ is given by

$$
h \to a = \sum X_i(h)aY_i(h), \tag{22}
$$

where $\sum X_i(h) \otimes Y_i(h) = \beta^{-1}(1 \otimes h)$, for $h \in H$. It is well-known (e.g., see [6,30]) that $\pi(A)$ together with the action (22) is a new YD *H*-module algebra. Moreover, $\pi(A)$ is quantum commutative in the sense of (18). By Corollary 2.8, $\pi(A)$ is an \mathcal{H}_R -bimodule algebra, or *A* is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule algebra.

Lemma 4.4. *Let A be a Galois R-Azumaya algebra. Then* $\pi(A)/k$ *is an* \mathcal{H}_R^* -biextension.

Proof. Given $a \in \pi(A)_{\diamond}$, then by Lemma 2.5, we have $h \to a = h \triangleright a$ for any $h \in H$. Then for any element $b \in A$, we have

$$
ab = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rightarrow a) = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rhd_1 a).
$$

This means that *a* is an element in the left *H*-center of *A* that is trivial [7]. So $\pi(A)_{\diamond} = k$. Similarly, for $a \in \mathcal{A}(A)$, we have

$$
ab = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rightarrow a) = \sum b_{(0)}(b_{(1)} \rhd_2 a) = \sum b_{(0)}a_{(0)}R^{-1}(a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)}),
$$

for any $b \in A$. This implies that $\sum a_{(0)}b_{(0)}R(a_{(1)} \otimes b_{(1)}) = ba$ for any $b \in A$. So *a* is in the right *H*-center of *A* that is trivial as well. It follows that $\pi(A)/k$ is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -biextension. \Box

Next we show that $\pi(A)$ is faithfully flat over *k*. To this end we consider the algebra *A* $#_R$ \mathcal{H}_R^* . There is a left *A* $# \overline{A} = A^e$ module structure on *A* $#_R$ \mathcal{H}_R^* as follows:

$$
(a \# \overline{b}) \cdot (c \otimes p) = \sum a(S(b_{(2)}) \triangleright_2 c) b_{(0)} \otimes (S(b_{(1)}) - \triangleright p)
$$

for $a \# \overline{b} \in A^e$ and $c \otimes p \in A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$. It is not hard to verify that $A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$ is an object in the category $_{A^e}Q^H$ which is equivalent to Q^H through the pair of functors $((-)^A, A \otimes -)$ (see [7, Proposition 2.6] for further details).

Let *Γ* be the *H*^{op}-comodule subalgebra of $A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$:

$$
(A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*)^A = \{ x \in A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^* \mid (b \# 1)x = (1 \# \bar{b})x, \ \forall b \in A \}.
$$

Then $A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^* \cong A \otimes \Gamma$ by [7, Proposition 2.6]. Thus Γ is a faithfully flat algebra over k since *A* and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_R^*$ are faithfully flat.

Lemma 4.5. *Let A be a Galois R-Azumaya algebra. Then* $\pi(A) \cong \Gamma$ *and hence* $\pi(A)$ *is faithfully flat over k.*

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that $\Gamma = \pi(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}_R^*$. Let $x = a \otimes p$ be an element in $\pi(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}_R^*$. We verify that $(b \# 1)x = (1 \# \overline{b})x$ for any $b \in A$. Indeed, we have

$$
(1 \# \overline{b})(a \otimes p) = \sum (S(b_{(2)}) \rhd_2 a) b_{(0)} \otimes (S(b_{(1)}) \rhd p)
$$

=
$$
\sum S(b_{(2)}) \rhd_2 (a \lhd - S(b_{(1)})) b_{(0)} \otimes p
$$

=
$$
\sum (S(b_{(3)}) \rhd_2 (S^2(b_{(2)}) \rhd_2 (S(b_{(1)}) \cdot a))) b_{(0)} \otimes p
$$

=
$$
\sum (S(b_{(1)}) \cdot a) b_{(0)} \otimes p
$$

=
$$
ba \otimes p = (b \# 1)(a \otimes p),
$$

whenever *a*, *b* ∈ *A* and *p* ∈ \mathcal{H}_R^* . Thus we have proved that $\pi(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}_R^*$ is contained in *Γ*.

Conversely, let A_0 # 1 be the subalgebra of $A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$. It is easy to see that $\pi(A) \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$ is the centralizer of $A_0 \# 1$ in $A \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$. Thus $\Gamma \subseteq \pi(A) \#_R \mathcal{H}_R^*$. Let $x = a \otimes p$ be an element in *Γ*. For any element $h \in H^{op}$, there exists a unique element $\sum X_i(h) \otimes Y_i(h) \in A \otimes_{A_0} A$ such that $\sum X_i(h)Y_i(h)_{(0)} \otimes Y_i(h)_{(1)} = 1 \otimes h$, or equivalently

$$
\sum X_i(h)_{(0)} Y_i(h) \otimes X_i(h)_{(1)} = 1 \otimes S^{-1}(h).
$$

Thus we have

$$
(a \triangleleft - h) \otimes p = \sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (h_{(2)} \cdot a) \otimes p
$$

= $\sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (X_i(h_{(2)}) a Y_i(h_{(2)})) \otimes p$
= $\sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (S(X_i(h_{(2)})_{(2)}) \triangleright_2 a) X_i(h_{(2)})_{(0)} Y_i(h_{(2)})$
 $\otimes (S(X_i(h_{(2)})_{(1)}) \rightarrow p)$
= $\sum S(h_{(1)}) \triangleright_2 (S(S^{-1}(h_{(2)})) \triangleright_2 a) \otimes (S(S^{-1}(h_{(3)})) \rightarrow p)$
= $a \otimes (h \rightarrow p),$

which proves that *Γ* is contained in $\pi(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}_R^*$. \Box

Recall from [30, Lemma 1.3] that when a Galois H^{op} -comodule algebra *A* is an Azumaya algebra, the centralizer $\pi(A)$ is a right H^* -Galois extension of *k* with respect to the MUVO action (22). This is no longer the case when *A* is an *R*-Azumaya algebra.

However, we will see that $\pi(A)$ would be an \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object, instead of an H^* -Galois object.

Proposition 4.6. *Let A be a Galois R-Azumaya algebra, and let* $\pi(A)$ *be as above. Then* $\pi(A)$ *is an object in* Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)*.*

Proof. Let $F: A \# \overline{A} \to \text{End}(A)$ be the canonical *H*-linear algebra isomorphism. It is easy to see that *F* induces an algebra isomorphism $\pi(A)$ # $\overline{\pi(A)} \rightarrow$ End_{*A*0}−*A*₀(*A*), where the latter is the subalgebra of all A_0 -biendomorphisms of *A*. Since A/A_0 is H^{op} -Galois, we have the Doi–Takeuchi *k*-module isomorphism:

$$
\delta: \text{Hom}(H, \pi(A)) \to \text{End}_{A_0 - A_0}(A), \quad \delta(f)(a) = \sum a_{(0)} f(a_{(1)})
$$

[11, 3.2]. Define a *k*-module map α as follows:

$$
\alpha : \pi(A) \otimes \pi(A) \to \pi(A) \otimes H^*, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto \sum a_{[0]} b_{(0)} \otimes a_{[1]} \Theta_r(b_{(1)}).
$$

One may take a while to check that α and δ fit in the following commutative diagram:

$$
\pi(A) \otimes \pi(A) \xrightarrow{F} \text{End}_{A_0 - A_0}(A)
$$
\n
$$
\alpha \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \delta
$$
\n
$$
\pi(A) \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(H, \pi(A)).
$$

Note that here we view *F* as a *k*-module isomorphism from $\pi(A) \otimes \pi(A)$ to End_{*A*0}−*A*₀(*A*). It follows from the above commutative diagram that α is a k -module isomorphism. It is evident that the canonical Galois k -module map β^r is now the composite isomorphism:

$$
\pi(A) \otimes \pi(A) \stackrel{\alpha}{\to} \pi(A) \otimes H^* \stackrel{\eta}{\to} \pi(A) \otimes H^*
$$

where *η* is given by $\eta(a \otimes p) = \sum a_{(0)} \otimes pS^{-1}(\Theta_r(a_{(1)}))$ whenever $a \in \pi(A)$ and $p \in H^*$. So we obtain that $\pi(A)$ is a right \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object.

Similarly, let $G: \overline{A} \# A \to \text{End}(A)^{op}$ be the canonical *H*-linear algebra isomorphism. Then one has the commutative diagram

$$
\pi(A) \otimes \pi(A) \xrightarrow{G} \text{End}_{A_0 - A_0}(A)^{\text{op}}
$$
\n
$$
\alpha' \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \delta
$$
\n
$$
\pi(A) \otimes H^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(H, \pi(A))
$$

where *α'* is given by $\alpha'(a \otimes b) = \sum a_{(0)[0]}b \otimes a_{(0)[1]} \Theta_l(a_{(1)})$ for $a, b \in \pi(A)$. Let ζ and η' be the *k*-linear automorphisms of $\pi(A) \otimes H^*$ given by

$$
\zeta(a\otimes p) = \sum a_{[0]}\otimes S(a_{[1]})p, \qquad \eta'(a\otimes p) = \sum a_{(0)}\otimes pS(\Theta_l(a_{(1)}))
$$

for any $a \in A$ and $p \in H^*$. We have

$$
\eta'\zeta\alpha'(a\otimes b) = \sum ab_{0} \otimes S(\Theta_l(b_{[0](1)})b_{[1]})
$$

for any $a, b \in \pi(A)$. It follows that the Galois *k*-module map β^l is the composite isomorphism $(S^{-1} \otimes \iota) \tau \eta' \zeta \alpha'$, where τ is the flip map. So $\pi(A)$ is a left \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object. This completes the proof. \square

Now we are ready to show that π induces a group homomorphism from the Brauer group $BC(k, H, R)$ to the group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$.

Proposition 4.7. *Let A and B be two Galois R-Azumaya algebras. Then we have* $\pi(A \# B) = \pi(A) \wedge \pi(B)$ *.*

Proof. It is obvious that $\pi(A \# B) \subseteq \pi(A) \# \pi(B)$ because $A_0 \otimes B_0 = A_0 \# B_0 \subseteq (A \# B)_0$. For an element $h \in H^{op}$, we let

$$
\beta_A^{-1}(1 \otimes h) = \sum X_j(h) \otimes Y_j(h) \in A \otimes_{A_0} A,
$$

$$
\beta_B^{-1}(1 \otimes h) = \sum U_i(h) \otimes V_i(h) \in B \otimes_{B_0} B.
$$

Then we have

$$
\sum (X_j(h) \# 1) \otimes (Y_j(h) \# 1) = \beta_{A \# B}^{-1} (1 \otimes h) = \sum (1 \# U_i(h)) \otimes (1 \# V_i(h)).
$$

This implies that the MUVO action of *H* on $\pi(A \# B)$ can be written in two ways:

$$
h \rightarrow (a \# b) = \sum (X_j(h) \# 1)(a \# b) (Y_j(h) \# 1)
$$

=
$$
\sum (1 \# U_i(h))(a \# b) (1 \# V_i(h))
$$

where $a \# b$ should be read as a sum of elements in $A \# B$. Precisely, we have for $a \# b \in \pi(A \# B),$

$$
h \rightarrow (a \# b) = \sum (X_j(h) \# 1)(a \# b) (Y_j(h) \# 1)
$$

=
$$
\sum X_j(h)aY_j(h)_{(0)} \# Y_j(h)_{(1)} \rhd_1 b
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \rightarrow a \# h_{(2)} \rhd_1 b.
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
h \rightarrow (a \# b) = \sum (1 \# U_i(h))(a \# b) (1 \# V_i(h))
$$

=
$$
\sum a_{(0)} \# (a_{(1)} \triangleright_1 U_i(h)) b V_i(h)
$$

=
$$
\sum a_{(0)} \# U_i(h)_{(0)} b V_i(h) R(a_{(1)} \otimes U_i(h)_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum a_{(0)} R(a_{(1)} \otimes S^{-1}(h_{(1)})) \# h_{(2)} \rightarrow b
$$

=
$$
\sum h_{(1)} \triangleright_2 a \# h_{(2)} \rightarrow b.
$$

This means that $a \# b$ is in $\pi(A) \wedge \pi(B)$ by Lemma 3.3. It follows that $\pi(A \# B) \subseteq$ $\pi(A) \wedge \pi(B)$.

Conversely, if $a \neq b$ is an element of $\pi(A) \wedge \pi(B)$, we show that $a \neq b \in \pi(A \neq B)$. Indeed, given $x \# y \in (A \# B)_0$, we have

$$
\sum x_{(0)} \# y_0 \otimes y_{(1)} x_{(1)} = x \otimes y \otimes 1,
$$

or

$$
\sum x_{(0)} \# y \otimes x_{(1)} = \sum x \# y_{(0)} \otimes S(y_{(1)}).
$$

These two formulae lead to the equations:

$$
(a \# b)(u \# v) = \sum au_{(0)} \# (x_{(1)} \rhd_1 b)v
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \rhd a) \# (x_{(2)} \rhd_1 b)v
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \rhd_2 a) \# (x_{(2)} \rhd b)v
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \rhd_2 a) \# y_{(0)}(y_{(1)}x_{(2)} \rhd b)
$$

=
$$
\sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \rhd_2 a) \# y_{(0)}b
$$

=
$$
\sum x a_{(0)} \# (a_{(1)} \rhd_1 y)b
$$

=
$$
(x \# y)(a \# b),
$$

where we used the quantum commutativity (18). This implies that $a \neq b \in \pi(A \neq B)$. So $\pi(A) \wedge \pi(B) \subseteq \pi(A \# B)$, and hence they are equal. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let M be a finite right* H^{op} -*comodule, and* $A = End(M)$ *be the elementary R*^{-Azumaya algebra. If *A is a Galois R*^{-Azumaya algebra, then $\pi(A) \cong I$.}}

Proof. Since *M* is a right H^{op} -comodule, we may view *M* as a left H^* -module. The representation map

$$
\lambda: H^* \to A, \quad \lambda(p)(m) = p \cdot m = \sum m_{(0)} \langle p, m_{(1)} \rangle
$$

sends H^* into the subalgebra $\pi(A)$ because $A_0 = \text{End}_{H^*}(A)$. Thus λ is an algebra map from *I* to $\pi(A)$. If we can prove that λ is a YD *H*-module map, then λ becomes an \mathcal{H}_R bimodule map, and hence an isomorphism between the two Galois objects in $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$. By definition (11), λ is right *H*^{op}-colinear. We show that λ is left *H*-linear as well.

To show that $\lambda(h \cdot p) = h \rightarrow \lambda(p)$ for $h \in H$ and $p \in I$, it is sufficient (or equivalent) to show that

$$
\lambda(p)f = \sum f_{(0)}\lambda(f_{(1)} \cdot p)
$$

for any $f \in A$. Given $m \in M$ and $f \in A$, we have

$$
\sum f_{(0)}\lambda(f_{(1)} \cdot p)(m) = \sum f_{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \langle p, m_{(1)} f_{(1)} \rangle.
$$

Since

$$
\sum f_{(0)}(m) \otimes f_{(1)} = \sum f(m_{(0)})(0) \otimes S(m_{(1)}) f(m_{(0)})(1),
$$

we have

$$
\sum f_{(0)}\lambda(f_{(1)} \cdot p)(m) = \sum f_{(0)}(m_{(0)}) \langle p, m_{(1)} f_{(1)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum f(m)_{(0)} \langle p, f(m)_{(1)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
p \cdot f(m)
$$

=
$$
\lambda(p) f(m).
$$

This proves that *λ* is a YD *H*-module algebra map, and hence an isomorphism because *I* and $\pi(A)$ are \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects. \Box

Lemma 4.9. *π induces a group homomorphism* $\tilde{\pi}$ *from* BC(k , H , R) *to* Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)*, where* $\tilde{\pi}([A]) = [\pi(A)]$ *and A is a Galois R-Azumaya algebra representing the class* [*A*] *in* BC*(k, H, R).*

Proof. First we show that $\tilde{\pi}$ is well-defined. Suppose that *A* and *B* are two Galois *R*-Azumaya algebras representing the same class in $BC(k, H, R)$. Then there are two finite right H^{op} -modules M, N such that

$$
A \# \mathrm{End}(M) \cong B \# \mathrm{End}(N).
$$

Let H^{op} be the regular right H^{op} -comodule. By Lemma 4.1, End (H^{op}) is a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra. Since End (M) # End $(H^{op}) \cong$ End $(M \otimes H^{op})$ is still a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra, by Lemma 4.8 we have

$$
\pi\left(\mathrm{End}(M) \# \mathrm{End}\left(H^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\right) \cong \pi\left(\mathrm{End}\left(M \otimes H^{\mathrm{op}}\right)\right) \cong I.
$$

This implies that

$$
\pi(A) \cong \pi(A) \wedge I
$$

\n
$$
\cong \pi(A \# \text{End}(M) \# \text{End}(H^{\text{op}}))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \pi(B \# \text{End}(N) \# \text{End}(H^{\text{op}}))
$$

\n
$$
\cong \pi(B) \wedge I
$$

\n
$$
\cong \pi(B).
$$

So we obtain that $\tilde{\pi}([A]) = \tilde{\pi}([B])$, and $\tilde{\pi}$ is well-defined. \square

In order to figure out the kernel of $\tilde{\pi}$, we need two more preparations. Recall from [3] that an action of a Hopf algebra *H* on an algebra *A* is called an *inner action* if there is an invertible element u in the convolution algebra $Hom(H, A)$ such that

$$
h \cdot a = \sum u(h_{(1)}) a u^{-1}(h_{(2)})
$$

for any $a \in A$ and $h \in H$. If in addition, *u* is an algebra map, then the action of *H* is called a *strongly inner action*.

Lemma 4.10. *Let A be a Galois R-Azumaya algebra such that* $\pi(A) \cong I$ *. Then the action of* H^{*cop} (*or the coaction of* H^{op} *) on A is strongly inner.*

Proof. By assumption, there is a YD *H*-module algebra isomorphism $\psi : I \to \pi(A)$. Thus the action and the coaction of *H* on $\pi(A)$ are determined by the corresponding action and the coaction of *H* on *I* through ψ . Namely, we have:

$$
h \to \psi(p) = \sum \psi(p_{(1)}) \langle p_{(2)}, h \rangle,
$$

$$
h^* \cdot \psi(p) = \sum \psi(h_{(2)}^*) \psi(p) \psi(S^{-1}(h_{(1)}^*))
$$

where $p \in I, h^* \in H^{*op}$ and $h \in H$. In particular, the H^{op} -coaction on $\pi(A)$ is strongly inner. We show that this inner action extends to the inner action on *A*. Indeed, given $a \in A$ and $h^* \in H^{*op}$, we have

362 *Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378*

$$
h^* \cdot a = \sum a_{(0)} \langle h^*, a_{(1)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(h^*_{(3)} S^{-1}(h^*_{(2)})) a_{(0)} \langle h^*_{(1)}, a_{(1)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(h^*_{(3)}) a_{(0)} (a_{(1)} \rightarrow \psi(S^{-1}(h^*_{(2)}))) \langle h^*_{(1)}, a_{(2)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(h^*_{(4)}) a_{(0)} \psi(S^{-1}(h^*_{(3)})) \langle S^{-1}(h^*_{(2)}), a_{(1)} \rangle \langle h^*_{(1)}, a_{(2)} \rangle
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(h^*_{(2)}) a \psi(S^{-1}(h^*_{(1)})).
$$

This means that the algebra map $\psi : H^{*op} \to \pi(A) \hookrightarrow A$ induces a strongly inner action of H^{*op} on A . \square

Lemma 4.11. *Let A be a Galois R-Azumaya algebra such that* $\pi(A) \cong I$ *, and B any R-Azumaya algebra. Then as algebras*

 $(A \otimes B \cong A \# B)$

(b) $\overline{A} \cong A^{op}$,

(c) *A is an Azumaya algebra.*

Proof. (a) Let $\psi: I \to \pi(A)$ be an isomorphism of the two Galois objects in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. We define a *k*-module map *ξ* as follows:

$$
\xi: A \otimes B \to A \# B, \quad \xi(a \otimes b) = \sum a \psi (\Theta_r(S(b_{(1)}))) \# b_{(0)}.
$$

It is easy to see that ξ is an isomorphism. We verify that ξ is an algebra map as well. Indeed, for $a, c \in A$ and $b, d \in B$, then

$$
\xi\big((a\otimes b)(c\otimes d)\big) = \sum ac\psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(d_{(1)}b_{(1)})\big)\big) \#b_{(0)}d_{(0)}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum a\psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(b_{(3)})\big)\big)\psi\big(\Theta_r(b_{(2)})\big)c\psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(d_{(1)})\big)\big)
$$

\n
$$
\times \psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(b_{(1)})\big)\big) \#b_{(0)}d_{(0)}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum a\psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(b_{(2)})\big)\big)\big[\Theta_r(b_{(1)})\cdot\big(c\psi\big(\Theta_r(d_{(1)})\big)\big)\big] \#b_{(0)}d_{(0)}
$$

\n
$$
= \sum (a\psi\big(\Theta_r\big(S(b_{(1)})\big) \#b_{(0)}\big)\big(c\psi\big(\Theta_r(d_{(1)})\big)\big) \#d_{(0)}\big)
$$

\n
$$
= \xi(a\otimes b)\xi(c\otimes d).
$$

For (b) and (c), the proof of part (a) shows that there is a *k*-module map $v : \overline{A} \to A^{op}$ given by

$$
\nu(\overline{a}) = \sum \psi(\Theta_r(S(a_{(1)})))a_{(0)}.
$$

We show that ν is the desired algebra isomorphism.

First *ν* is an algebra map. Given \overline{a} , $\overline{b} \in \overline{A}$, we have

$$
v(\overline{a}\overline{b}) = \sum v(\overline{b_{(0)}a_{(0)}})R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(\Theta_r(S(a_{(1)}b_{(1)})))b_{(0)}a_{(0)}R(b_{(2)} \otimes a_{(2)})
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(\Theta_r(S(b_{(2)}a_{(2)})))b_{(0)}a_{(0)}R(b_{(1)} \otimes a_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(\Theta_r(S(b_{(1)}a_{(2)})))(\Theta_r(a_{(1)}) \cdot b)a_{(0)}
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(\Theta_r(S(b_{(1)}a_{(3)})))\psi(\Theta_r(a_{(2)}))b\psi(\Theta_r(S(a_{(1)})))a_{(0)}
$$

=
$$
\sum \psi(\overline{\Theta_r(S(b_{(1)})))}b_{(0)}\psi(\Theta_r(S(a_{(1)})))a_{(0)}
$$

=
$$
v(\overline{a}) \circ v(\overline{b}).
$$

Now one may easily check that the following diagram commutes:

ν

Since *F* ◦ *ξ* is an isomorphism, we obtain that *ι* ⊗ *ν* is injective and *can* is surjective. Since all the algebras involved are finite, *ν* and *can* are isomorphisms. So *A* is an Azumaya algebra and $\overline{A} \cong A^{op}$ as algebras. $□$

Theorem 4.12. *We have an exact sequence of group homomorphisms*:

$$
1 \to \text{Br}(k) \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \text{BC}(k, H, R) \stackrel{\widetilde{\pi}}{\to} \text{Gal}(\mathcal{H}_R). \tag{23}
$$

Proof. Suppose that *A, B* are two Galois *R*-Azumaya algebras such that $[A], [B] \in$ Ker $(\tilde{\pi})$. By Lemma 4.11, *A* and *B* are Azumaya algebras, and *A* # *B* \cong *A* ⊗ *B*. This implies that there is a group homomorphism

$$
\zeta : \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\pi}) \to \text{Br}(k), \quad \zeta([A]) = [A]
$$

by forgetting the *H*-structures on *A*, where $[A] \in \text{Ker}(\tilde{\pi})$ is represented by a Galois *R*-Azumaya algebra *A*. It is evident that $\zeta \circ \iota = id$, the identity map on Br(k). If we can show that *ζ* is also injective, then Ker $(\tilde{\pi}) \cong Br(k)$. Indeed, if $\zeta([A]) = 1 \in Br(k)$, then there is a finite *k*-module *M* such that $A \cong \text{End}(M)$ as an algebra. By Lemma 4.10, the coaction of *H*∗op on *A* is strongly inner. So there is an *H*[∗]op-coaction on *M* such that *M* is a right H^{*op} -comodule and $\widetilde{A} \cong \text{End}(M)$ as H^{*op} -comodule algebra. This implies that $[A] = [End(M)] = 1$ in BC(k, H, R). It follows that the sequence (23) is exact. \Box

Note that the exact sequence (23) indicates that the factor group BC*(k, H, R)/*Br*(k)* is completely determined by the \mathcal{H}_R^* -bigalois objects. In particular, when *k* is an algebraic closed field, $BC(k, H, R)$ is a subgroup of $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$.

Now let us look at some special cases. First let *H* be a commutative Hopf algebra. Then *H* has a trivial coquasitriangular structure $R = \varepsilon \otimes \varepsilon$. In this case, \mathcal{H}_R is equal to *H* as an algebra and $D[H] = H \otimes H$ is the tensor product algebra. An *R*-Azumaya algebra is an Azumaya algebra which is a right *H*-comodule algebra with the trivial left *H*-action. On the other hand, the H_R -bimodule structures (3) and (6) of a YD *H*-module *M* coincide and are exactly the left *H*-module structure of *M*. So in this case an object in the category $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is nothing but an *H*^{*}-Galois object which is automatically an *H*^{*}-bigalois object since H^* is cocommutative. So the group $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is the group $E(H^*)$ of H^* -Galois objects with the cotensor product over H^* . So we obtain the following exact sequence due to Beattie.

Corollary 4.13 [2]. *Let H be a finite commutative Hopf algebra. Then the following group sequence is exact and split*:

$$
1 \to \text{Br}(k) \stackrel{\iota}{\to} \text{BC}(k, H) \stackrel{\widetilde{\pi}}{\to} E(H^*) \to 1
$$

where the group map ^π is surjective and split because any ^H∗*-Galois object ^B is equal to π(B* # *H) and the smash product B* # *H is a right H-comodule Azumaya algebra which represents an element in* $BC(k, H)$ *.*

Secondly we let *R* be a non-trivial coquasitriangular structure of *H*, but let *H* be a commutative and cocommutative finite Hopf algebra over *k*. In this case, \mathcal{H}_R is isomorphic to *H* as an algebra and becomes a Hopf algebra. An object in Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) is an *H*^{*}-bigalois object. It is not difficult to check that YD *H*-module *(*or *H*-bimodule*)* structures commute with both *H*[∗]-Galois structures.

Let θ be the Hopf algebra map corresponding to the coquasitriangular structure R , that is,

$$
\theta: H \to H^*, \quad \theta(h)(l) = R(l \otimes h)
$$

for $h, l \in H$. Let \rightarrow be the induced *H*-action on a right *H*-comodule *M*:

$$
h \to m = \sum m_{(0)} \theta(h)(m_{(1)}) = \sum m_{(0)} R(m_{(1)} \otimes h)
$$

for $h \in H$ and $m \in M$. In [31], Ulbrich constructed a group $D(\theta, H^*)$ consisting of isomorphism classes of *H*∗-bigalois objects which are also *H*-bimodule algebras such that all H and H^* structures commute, and satisfy the following additional conditions interpreted by means of R , cf. [31, (14), (16)]:

$$
h \to a = \sum a_{(0)} \triangleleft - h_{(1)} R(a_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})) R(S(h_{(3)}) \otimes a_{(2)}),
$$

$$
\sum x_{(0)} (a \triangleleft - x_{(1)}) = \sum (x_{(1)} \to a) x_{(0)}.
$$
 (24)

Let us check that any object A in the category $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ satisfies the conditions (24) so that *A* represents an element of $D(\theta, H^*)$. Indeed, since *H* is commutative and cocommutative, we have

Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378 365

$$
h \rightarrow a = \sum (h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)}) R(S^{-1}(h_{(4)}) \otimes h_{(3)} a_{(1)} S^{-1}(h_{(1)}))
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(1)} \cdot a_{(0)}) R(S(h_{(2)}) \otimes a_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (h_{(2)} \cdot a_{(0)}) R(a_{(1)} \otimes h_{(1)}) R(a_{(2)} \otimes S(h_{(3)})) R(S(h_{(4)}) \otimes a_{(3)})
$$

=
$$
\sum (a_{(0)} \triangleleft - h_{(1)}) R(a_{(1)} \otimes S(h_{(2)})) R(S(h_{(3)}) \otimes a_{(2)}),
$$

and

$$
\sum x_{(0)}(a \triangleleft - x_{(1)}) = \sum x_{(0)}(x_{(1)} \cdot a_{(0)}) R(a_{(1)} \otimes x_{(1)})
$$

=
$$
\sum a_{(0)} x_{(0)} R(a_{(1)} \otimes x_{(1)}) \text{ (by q.c.)}
$$

=
$$
\sum (x_{(1)} \rightarrow a) x_{(0)}
$$

for any $a, x \in A$ and $h \in H$. It follows that the group Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) is contained in $D(\theta, H^*)$. As a consequence, we obtain Ulbrich's exact sequence [31, 1.10]:

$$
1 \to Br(k) \to BD(\theta, H^*) \stackrel{\pi_{\theta}}{\to} D(\theta, H^*)
$$

for a commutative and cocommutative finite Hopf algebra with a Hopf algebra map *θ* from *H* to H^* . In particular, when $H = kG$, a group Hopf algebra of an abelian group, we get the exact sequence [9, 1.2]:

$$
1 \to Br(k) \to B_{\phi}(k, G) \stackrel{\pi}{\to} Galz(k, G),
$$

where ϕ : $G \times G \rightarrow U(k)$ is a bicharacter map.

5. An example

In this section, we let *k* be a field with ch(*k*) \neq 2. Let *H*₄ be the Sweedler 4-dimensional Hopf algebra over k . That is, H_4 is generated by two elements g and h satisfying

$$
g^2 = 1
$$
, $h^2 = 0$, $gh + hg = 0$.

The comultiplication, the counit and the antipode are given as follows:

$$
\Delta(g) = g \otimes g, \quad \Delta(h) = 1 \otimes h + h \otimes g, \n\varepsilon(g) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(h) = 0, \nS(g) = g, \qquad S(h) = gh.
$$

There is a family of CQT structures R_t on H_4 parameterized by $t \in k$ as follows:

It is not hard to check that the Hopf algebra maps Θ_l and Θ_r induced by R_t are as follows:

$$
\Theta_l: H_4^{\text{cop}} \to H_4^*, \qquad \Theta_l(g) = \overline{1} - \overline{g} = x, \qquad \Theta_l(h) = t(\overline{h} - \overline{gh}) = txy,
$$

$$
\Theta_r: H_4^{\text{op}} \to H_4^*, \qquad \Theta_r(g) = \overline{1} - \overline{g} = x, \qquad \Theta_r(h) = t(\overline{h} + \overline{gh}) = ty
$$

where ${\{\overline{1}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}, \overline{gh}\}}$ is the dual basis of H_4^* . When *t* is non-zero, Θ_l and Θ_r are isomorphisms, so that *H*⁴ is a self-dual Hopf algebra.

The deformation algebra \mathcal{H}_{R_t} is a four-dimensional commutative algebra generated by two elements *x* and *y* satisfying the relations:

$$
x^2 = 1
$$
, $xy - yx = 0$, $y^2 = t(1 - x)$.

The double algebra $D[H_4]$ with respect to R_t is generated by four elements, g_1, g_2, h_1 and *h*² subject to the following relations:

$$
g_i^2 = 1
$$
, $h_i^2 = 0$, $g_i h_j + h_j g_i = 0$,
\n $g_1 g_2 = g_2 g_1$, $h_1 h_2 + h_2 h_1 = t(1 - g_1 g_2)$.

The comultiplication of $D[H_4]$ is easy because the Hopf subalgebras generated by g_i , h_i , $i = 1, 2$, are isomorphic to H_4 . Thus the algebra embedding ϕ reads as follows:

$$
\Phi: \mathcal{H}_{R_t} \to D[H_4], \quad \phi(x) = g_1 g_2, \quad \phi(y) = g_1(h_2 - h_1).
$$

Let us consider the triangular case where $R = R_0$ and write H_R for H_{R_0} . The dual coalgebra $C = \mathcal{H}_R^*$ has a linear basis $\{e, a, b, c\}$ with comultiplication and counit given by

$$
\Delta(e) = e \otimes e, \quad \Delta(a) = a \otimes a, \quad \Delta(b) = b \otimes e + e \otimes b, \quad \Delta(c) = c \otimes a + a \otimes c, \varepsilon(e) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(a) = 1, \qquad \varepsilon(b) = 0, \qquad \varepsilon(c) = 0.
$$

It is easy to see that $C = C_e \oplus C_a$, where $C_e = ke + kb$ and $C_a = ka + kc$.

Lemma 5.1. *If A is an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *, then there is a linear basis* $\{1, u, v, w\}$ *of A such that*

$$
\rho(1) = 1 \otimes e, \qquad \rho(u) = u \otimes a,
$$

$$
\rho(v) = v \otimes e + 1 \otimes b, \qquad \rho(w) = w \otimes a + u \otimes c.
$$
 (25)

Proof. Since *A* is a *C*-Galois object, it is a four-dimensional algebra. The right *C*-comodule of *A* decomposes into

$$
A = A \Box_C (C_e \oplus C_a) = (A \Box_C C_e) \oplus (A \Box_C C_a) = A_e \oplus A_a.
$$

The spaces A_e and A_a are two-dimensional spaces and A_e contains the unit. Let A_e = $k + kv'$ and $A_a = ku' + kw'$. Then $\rho(v') = v' \otimes e + \mu \otimes b$ for some $\mu \in k$ because $(\iota \otimes \varepsilon)\rho(v') = v'$ and $(\iota \otimes \Delta)\rho(v') = (\rho \otimes \iota)\rho(v')$. Since *A* is *C*-Galois, μ is non-zero. Set $v = \mu^{-1}v'$. We have $\rho(v) = v \otimes e + 1 \otimes b$.

Similarly, one may find an element $u \in A_a$ such that $\rho(u) = u \otimes a$ because *a* is a grouplike element, and an element $w \in A_a$ such that $\rho(w) = w \otimes a + u \otimes c$. The set $\{1, v, u, w\}$ forms a basis of A . \Box

Corollary 5.2. Let A be a Galois object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Then there exist a basis $\{1, u, v, w\}$ of *A* such that the action of \mathcal{H}_R on the basis is as follows:

$$
x \cdot 1 = 1, \quad x \cdot u = -u, \quad x \cdot v = v, \quad x \cdot w = -w,
$$

\n
$$
y \cdot 1 = 0, \quad y \cdot u = 0, \quad y \cdot v = 1, \quad y \cdot w = u.
$$
\n(26)

Let *A* be an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. We choose a basis $\{1, v, u, w\}$ satisfying the properties of Lemma 5.1 and Corollary 5.2. We consider the possible YD *H*4-module structures on *A* such that the induced \mathcal{H}_R^* -comodule structure and \mathcal{H}_R -module structure on the basis $\{1, u, v, w\}$ are (25) and (26) respectively.

Let *X* and *Y* be the matrix representations in $M_{4\times4}$ of *x*, $y \in H_R$. Then *X* and *Y* have the forms with respect to the basis $\{1, u, v, w\}$:

$$
X = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{array}\right), \qquad Y = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} & 1 & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ & & & \end{array}\right),
$$

where the blank entries are zeros. Since $R_0(h, l) = R_0(l, h) = 0$ for any element $l \in$ *H*₄, we have $h \geq m = 0$ for $m \in M$, where *M* is a right *H*₄-comodule. Thus the matrix representation of $h_1 \in D[H_4]$ in $M_{4 \times 4}$ is the zero matrix. Let G_i and H_i be the representation matrices of g_i and h_i in $M_{4\times4}$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $x = g_1g_2$ and $y =$ *g*₁($h_2 - h_1$), we have $X = G_1 G_2$ and $Y = G_1 H_2$ because $H_1 = 0$.

Since G_1 anti-commutes with *Y* and $G_1^2 = I_4$, we obtain that G_1 (and consequently G_2) are of the forms:

$$
G_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & c & b \\ & a & b \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -a \end{array} \right), \qquad G_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} 1 & c & b \\ & -a & b \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & a \end{array} \right),
$$

where $a^2 = 1$ and *b*, $c \in k$. It is easy to see that G_1 and G_2 have two different eigenvalues 1 and −1. If we choose a different basis of *A*, say, $\{1, \underline{u}, \underline{v}, \underline{w}\}$, then G_1 and G_2 can be of the following forms:

$$
G_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{array} \right), \qquad G_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{array} \right).
$$

However, the matrix H_2 depends on the choice of $a = \pm 1$. So it has the following two types of forms:

(i)
$$
H_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & & \end{array}\right)
$$
, (ii) $H_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} & 1 \\ & & 0 \\ & & -1 \end{array}\right)$,

Thus we obtain the following:

Proposition 5.3. *Let A be an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *. There is a basis* $\{1, u, v, w\}$ *of A such that the H*4*-module structure and the* H*R-module structure are either*

Type I:
$$
g \cdot 1 = 1
$$
, $g \cdot u = -u$, $g \cdot v = -v$, $g \cdot w = w$,
\n $h \cdot 1 = 0$, $h \cdot u = 0$, $h \cdot v = 1$, $h \cdot w = u$,
\n $x \cdot 1 = 1$, $x \cdot u = -u$, $x \cdot v = v$, $x \cdot w = -w$,
\n $y \cdot 1 = 0$, $y \cdot u = 0$, $y \cdot v = 1$, $y \cdot w = u$ (27)

or

Type II:
$$
g \cdot 1 = 1
$$
, $g \cdot u = -u$, $g \cdot v = -v$, $g \cdot w = w$,
\n $h \cdot 1 = 0$, $h \cdot u = -w$, $h \cdot v = 1$, $h \cdot w = 0$
\n $x \cdot 1 = 1$, $x \cdot u = -u$, $x \cdot v = v$, $x \cdot w = -w$,
\n $y \cdot 1 = 0$, $y \cdot u = w$, $y \cdot v = 1$, $y \cdot w = 0$. (28)

An object *A* in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is said to be of *type* I if *A* has the structures (27), and it is said to of *type* II if it satisfies (28). Since the *H*4-comodule structure of *A* is partially killed by the coquasitriangular structure R_0 , we can not obtain the comodule structure of A in the same way as we obtained the module structure of *A*. However, we have not analyzed the multiplication of *A* and the quantum commutativity of *A*.

Let $\{1, u, v, w\}$ be the basis we chose in Proposition 5.3 so that the H_4 -action on *A* are of the forms (27) or (28). Let *U,V* and *W* be the matrix representation of the regular multiplication of *u, v* and *w* in *A*.

Proposition 5.4. *Let A be an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *with the* H_4 *-module structure* (27) *on a basis* $\{1, u, v, w\}$ *. Then A is a generalized quaternion algebra* $(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{k})$ *with* $\alpha \neq 0$ *.*

Proof. Since *A* is an H_4 -module algebra, the matrices U , V , W and G_2 , H_2 must satisfy the commutation rules stemming from the smash product $A \# H_4$. Thus we have the following relations:

$$
G_2U = -UG_2,
$$
 $G_2V = -VG_2,$ $G_2W = WG_2,$
\n $H_2U = UH_2,$ $H_2V = VH_2 + G_2,$ $H_2W = WH_2 + UG_2.$

A further computation shows that *U,V* and *W* are of the forms:

$$
U = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \alpha & & \\ \hline & & \\ & & \alpha \\ \hline & & 1 \end{array}\right), \qquad V = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \beta & & \\ \hline 1 & & \\ & -1 & \\ \end{array}\right),
$$

and $W = UV$, for some $\alpha, \beta \in k$. This implies that *A* is a generalized quaternion algebra with generators *u* and *v* satisfying the relations: $u^2 = \alpha$, $v^2 = \beta$ and $uv + vu = 0$.

Next we show that $\alpha \neq 0$. Since A is an \mathcal{H}_R^* -Galois object with the right \mathcal{H}_R^* -coaction given by (25), we have $\beta_r(u \otimes u) = u^2 \otimes a = \alpha \otimes a$. The bijectivity of β_r implies that α is non-zero. □

If an object *A* in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is of type I, then *A* is necessary a generalized quaternion algebra and is a right H_4^* -Galois object. Using a similar argument to the one made above, we obtain the following:

Proposition 5.5. *Let* A *be an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *with the* H_4 *-module structure given by* (28)*. Then A is a commutative algebra* $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \otimes k(\sqrt{\beta})$ *for some* $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \in k$ *, where the two Then A is a commutative algebra* $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \otimes k(\sqrt{\beta})$ *for some* $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \in k$ *, where the two generators are* v *and* w *and* $u = -vw$.

Note that if an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is of type II, then the H_4 -module algebra is not an H_4^* -Galois object. Once we know the H_4 -module algebra structure of an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$, we are able to work out the *H*₄-comodule structure of *A* by utilizing the quantum commutativity. Let us first translate the q.c. formula into its dual version. Suppose that *A* is a q.c. YD *H*-module algebra. Denote by $\sum a_{[0]} \otimes a_{[1]} \in A \otimes H^*$ the dual coaction of *H*[∗] on element *a*. Then the quantum commutativity of *A* can be stated in terms of the dual action and dual coaction of *H*∗:

$$
ab = \sum (a_{[1]} \rightarrow b) a_{[0]}
$$
 (29)

for any elements $a, b \in A$, where $h^* \to a = \sum a_{(0)} \langle h^*, a_{(1)} \rangle$ for $h^* \in H^*$ and $a \in A$.

Proposition 5.6. Let A be an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ with a basis $\{1, u, v, w\}$ satisfying *Proposition* 5.3*.*

(i) *If A is of type* I*, then the H*4*-comodule structure is given by*

370 *Y. Zhang / Journal of Algebra 272 (2004) 321–378*

$$
\rho(u) = u \otimes 1 - 2w \otimes gh, \qquad \rho(v) = v \otimes g + 2\beta \otimes h,
$$

$$
\rho(w) = w \otimes g.
$$
 (30)

(ii) *If A is of type* II*, then the H*4*-comodule structure of A is given by*

$$
\rho(u) = u \otimes 1, \qquad \rho(v) = v \otimes g + 2\beta \otimes h,
$$

$$
\rho(w) = w \otimes g - 2u \otimes h.
$$
 (31)

Proof. In case *A* is of type I the formulae given in (30) are uniquely determined by the *H*4 module structure of *A* and are given by the MUVO action of H_4^* since *A* is an H_4^* -Galois object (see [6,30]). Suppose that *A* is of type II. In this case *A* is not an H_4^* -Galois object. So the *H*4-comodule structure of *A* is not from a MUVO action on *A*. However, we may still recover the H_4 -comodule structure from the quantum commutativity and the H_4 module structure of *A*. It is sufficient (and necessary) to obtain the dual action of H_4^* of the coaction of H_4 . Since H_4^* is isomorphic to H_4 we simply need to work out the action of *g* and *h* on the generators *v*, *w* of $A = k\langle\sqrt{\alpha}\rangle \otimes k\langle\sqrt{\beta}\rangle$. Recall that the Hopf algebra map Φ : $D[H_4] \rightarrow D(H_4)$ induced by R_0 restricts to an isomorphism on sub-Hopf algebra generated by group-like elements *g*1*, g*2. Thus the dual action of *g* is the same as the action of g_1 given by matrix representation G_1 . It remains now to recover the dual action of h . By assumption we have $v^2 = \beta$, $w^2 = \alpha$ and $u = -vw = -wv$. The dual coaction of the *H*4-action is as follows:

$$
\sum u_{[0]} \otimes u_{[1]} = u \otimes g + w \otimes h, \qquad \sum v_{[0]} \otimes v_{[1]} = v \otimes g + w \otimes h,
$$

$$
\sum w^0 \otimes w^1 = w \otimes 1.
$$

Now the quantum commutativity of *A* implies that

$$
uv = \sum (u_{[1]} \to v)u_{[0]} = (g \to v)u + (h \to v)w = -vu + (h \to v)w,
$$

$$
vu = \sum (v_{[1]} \to u)v_{[0]} = (g \to u)v + h \to u = uv + h \to u,
$$

$$
vw = \sum (v_{[1]} \to w)v_{[0]} = (g \to w)v + h \to w = -wv + h \to w.
$$

It follows that $h \rightarrow v = 2uvw^{-1} = 2v^2 = 2\beta$, $h \rightarrow u = 0$ and $h \rightarrow w = -2u$. Thus the corresponding *H*4-comodule structure of *A* is then given by

$$
\rho(u) = u \otimes 1, \qquad \rho(v) = v \otimes g + 2\beta \otimes h, \qquad \rho(w) = w \otimes g - 2u \otimes h. \qquad \Box
$$

Now we are able to classify the YD H_4 -module structures of all the objects in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$.

Theorem 5.7. *Let A be an object in* $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ *. Then A is either of type* I *or is of type* II*.*

(i) *If A is of type I, then* $A = \left(\frac{\alpha, \beta}{k}\right)$ *is a generalized quaternion algebra for some* $\alpha \neq 0$ *,* $\beta \in k$ *with generators u*, *v satisfying* $u^2 = \alpha$, $v^2 = \beta$. The YD *H*₄-module structures *of A are given by*

$$
g \cdot u = -u, \qquad g \cdot v = -v, \qquad h \cdot u = 0, h \cdot v = 1,
$$

\n
$$
\rho(u) = u \otimes 1 - 2uv \otimes gh, \qquad \rho(v) = v \otimes g + 2\beta \otimes h.
$$
\n(32)

In this case, the induced ^H[∗] *^R-bicomodule structures are as follows*:

$$
\rho_l(1) = e \otimes 1, \qquad \rho_r(1) = 1 \otimes e,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(u) = a \otimes u, \qquad \rho_r(u) = u \otimes a,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(v) = e \otimes v + b \otimes 1, \qquad \rho_r(v) = v \otimes e + 1 \otimes b,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(uv) = a \otimes uv + c \otimes u, \qquad \rho_r(uv) = uv \otimes a + u \otimes c.
$$
\n(33)

(ii) If *A* is of type II, then $A = k\langle\sqrt{\alpha}\rangle \otimes k\langle\sqrt{\beta}\rangle$ for some $\alpha \neq 0, \beta \in k$ with generators *u*, *v satisfying* $u^2 = \alpha$, $v^2 = \beta$ *and* $uv = vu$. The YD H₄-module structures are given by

$$
g \cdot u = u, \qquad g \cdot v = -v, \qquad h \cdot u = 0, \qquad h \cdot v = 1,
$$

\n
$$
\rho(u) = u \otimes g + 2uv \otimes h, \qquad \rho(v) = v \otimes g + 2\beta \otimes h.
$$
\n(34)

In this case, the induced ^H[∗] *^R-bicomodule structures are as follows*:

$$
\rho_l(1) = e \otimes 1, \qquad \rho_r(1) = 1 \otimes e,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(u) = a \otimes u, \qquad \rho_r(u) = u \otimes a,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(v) = e \otimes v + b \otimes 1, \qquad \rho_r(v) = v \otimes e + 1 \otimes b,
$$

\n
$$
\rho_l(uv) = a \otimes uv + c \otimes u, \qquad \rho_r(uv) = uv \otimes a - u \otimes c.
$$
\n(35)

Proof. The only ones left to be shown are the \mathcal{H}_R^* -bicomodule structures of *A* in each case. Since we know the YD H_4 -module structures of *A* in each case, the actions of H_R on *A* follow from the definitions (3) and (6). \Box

Let *A* be an object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. We denote *A* by $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ if *A* is of type I, and by $k \langle \sqrt{\alpha}, \sqrt{\beta} \rangle$ if *A* is of type II. Let

$$
A = \left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B = \left\langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \right\rangle
$$

be two objects in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ of type I. We compute the product $A \wedge B$. Observing the standard \mathcal{H}_{R}^{*} -bicomodule structures of $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ from Theorem 5.7, we may easily find that *A* ∧ *B* is generated by two elements $u = u + u'$ and $v = v + 1 + 1 + v$. A routine computation shows that <u>*u*</u> and <u>*v*</u> generate a generalized quaternion algebra $(\frac{\alpha\alpha', \beta+\beta'}{k})$. This fact suggests that the subset *Γ* of isomorphism classes represented by objects of type I in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ form a subgroup of $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$.

Proposition 5.8. *Γ is a subgroup of* Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) *and is isomorphic to* $k^+ \times k^{\bullet}/k^{\bullet 2}$.

Proof. Suppose that $\left[\frac{\alpha \cdot \beta}{k}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{\alpha' \cdot \beta'}{k}\right]$ are two elements of *Γ*. In the preceding argument, we showed that $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle \wedge \langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \rangle$ as an algebra is isomorphic to $\frac{\alpha \alpha', \beta + \beta'}{k}$. If $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle \wedge \langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \rangle$ has the YD *H*-module structure of type I, i.e.,

$$
\left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle \wedge \left\langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\alpha \alpha', \beta + \beta'}{k} \right\rangle,
$$

then *Γ* is a group. Since $u = u \# u'$ and $v = v \# 1 + 1 \# v$ are the two generators of $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle \wedge \langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \rangle$, it is enough to check that the action and coaction of *H*₄ on <u>*u*</u> and <u>*v*</u> satisfy (32) . Indeed, we have

$$
\rho(\underline{u}) = (u \# 1 \otimes 1 - 2w \# 1 \otimes gh)(1 \# u' \otimes 1 - 1 \# 2w' \otimes gh)
$$

= $u \# u' \otimes 1 - 2(w \# u' + u \# w') \otimes gh$
= $\underline{u} \otimes 1 - 2\underline{u}v \otimes gh$
= $\underline{u} \otimes 1 - 2\underline{w} \otimes gh$, and

$$
\rho(\underline{v}) = v \# 1 \otimes g + 2\beta \# 1 \otimes h + 1 \# v' \otimes g + 1 \# 2\beta' \otimes h
$$

= $(v \# 1 + 1 \# v') \otimes g + 2(\beta + \beta')(1 \# 1') \otimes h$
= $\underline{v} \otimes g + 2(\beta + \beta') \otimes h$,

where $w = uv$ and $w = uv$. Similarly one may check that

$$
g \cdot \underline{u} = -\underline{u}, \qquad g \cdot \underline{v} = -\underline{v}, \qquad h \cdot \underline{u} = 0, \qquad h \cdot \underline{v} = 1.
$$

So we have proved that $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle \wedge \langle \frac{\alpha', \beta'}{k} \rangle = \langle \frac{\alpha \alpha', \beta + \beta'}{k} \rangle$.

Next we show that the subgroup *Γ* fits in the following split and exact sequence of group homomorphisms:

$$
1 \to k^+ \to \Gamma \to k^{\bullet}/k^{\bullet 2} \to 1,
$$

where k^+ is the additive group of k and k^{\bullet} is the multiplicative group of k . Let

$$
A = \left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle.
$$

Assign to $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ the quadratic extension $k \langle \sqrt{\alpha} \rangle$. Then we get a group homomorphism

$$
\lambda\colon\varGamma:\to Q(k)
$$

from *Γ* into the group $Q(k)$ of quadratic extensions. It is obvious that λ is surjective. We show that the kernel of λ is isomorphic to k^+ . Recall that the group $Q(k)$ is isomorphic to the group $k^{\bullet}/k^{\bullet}{}^{2}$ (see [38]). Moreover,

$$
\lambda \left[\left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle \right] = 1 \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \alpha \in k^{\bullet 2}.
$$

It follows that

$$
\text{Ker}(\lambda) = \left\{ \left[\left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle \right] \middle| \alpha \in k^{\bullet 2} \right\},\
$$

which is easily seen to be isomorphic to the additive group k^+ . Finally the exact sequence is split because the map $\iota: Q(k) \to \Gamma$ given by

$$
\iota(\alpha) = \left[\left\langle \frac{\alpha, 0}{k} \right\rangle \right]
$$

is a well-defined group homomorphism and $\iota \cdot \lambda = \text{Id}_{O(k)}$. \Box

Theorem 5.9. *The group* Gal (H_R) *is isomorphic to* $\Gamma \gg \mathbb{Z}_2$ *, where the multiplication rule is given by*

$$
((\alpha,\beta) \rightarrow i)((\alpha',\beta') \rightarrow j) = ((-1)^{ij}\alpha\alpha',\beta+\beta') \rightarrow (i+j).
$$

Proof. Let *D* be the object $k\langle\sqrt{1}, \sqrt{0}\rangle$ of type II in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$. Consider the object $D^2 =$ *D* ∧ *D*. It is easy to see from (35) that the two elements $\underline{u} = u \# u$ and $\underline{v} = 1 \# v + v \# 1$ generate the algebra D^2 and satisfy the relations:

$$
\underline{u}^2 = -1, \qquad \underline{v}^2 = 0, \qquad \underline{u} \underline{v} + \underline{v} \underline{u} = 0.
$$

Thus D^2 is the generalized quaternion algebra $(\frac{-1,0}{k})$. Now it is straightforward to check that u and v satisfy (32), and it follows that

$$
D^2 = \left\langle \frac{-1,0}{k} \right\rangle.
$$

By Proposition 5.8, the object *D* is of order 2 if $-1 \in k^{\bullet 2}$, and is of order 4 if $-1 \notin k^{\bullet 2}$.

Next we show that any object *A* of type II in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is a product of *D* with an object of type I. Suppose that *A* = *k*(√*α*, √*β*) is an object of type II for some *α* ∈ *k*• and *β* ∈ *k*. We and *β* ∈ *k*. We show that the product $\left(\frac{\alpha_k \beta}{k}\right) \wedge D$ is equal to $k\left(\sqrt{\alpha}, \sqrt{\beta}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\left(\frac{\alpha_k \beta}{k}\right) \wedge D$ is generated by two elements $u = u + u'$ and $v = v + 1 + 1 + v'$, where *u*, *v* and u' , *v'* are generators of $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ and *D* respectively. We have $\underline{u}^2 = \alpha$, $\underline{v}^2 = \beta$ and $\underline{u} \underline{v} = \underline{v} \underline{u}$. Thus

$$
\left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle \wedge D = k \langle \sqrt{\alpha} \rangle \otimes k \langle \sqrt{\beta} \rangle
$$

as algebras. Now we check that u and v satisfy (34). Indeed, we have</u></u>

$$
g \cdot \underline{u} = g \cdot u \# (g \cdot u') = -u \# (-u') = \underline{u},
$$

\n
$$
g \cdot \underline{v} = g \cdot v \# 1 + 1 \# (g \cdot v') = -v \# 1 - 1 \# v' = -\underline{v},
$$

\n
$$
h \cdot \underline{u} = u \# (h \cdot u') + h \cdot u \# g \cdot u' = 0,
$$

\n
$$
h \cdot \underline{v} = h \cdot v \# 1 + 1 \# (h \cdot v') = 1 \# 1 + 0 = 1
$$

and

$$
\rho(\underline{u}) = (u \# 1 \otimes 1 - 2uv \# 1 \otimes gh)(1 \# u' \otimes g + 1 \# 2u'v' \otimes h)
$$

= $u \# u' \otimes g + 2(uv \# u' + u \# u'v') \otimes gh$
= $\underline{u} \otimes 1 + 2\underline{u}v \otimes gh$, and

$$
\rho(\underline{v}) = v \# 1 \otimes g + 2\beta \# 1 \otimes h + 1 \# v' \otimes g + 1 \# 2\beta' \otimes h
$$

= $(v \# 1 + 1 \# v') \otimes g + 2(\beta + \beta')(1 \# 1') \otimes h$
= $\underline{v} \otimes g + 2(\beta + \beta') \otimes h$.

Similarly, one can show that

$$
D \wedge \left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle = k \left\langle \sqrt{\alpha}, \sqrt{\beta} \right\rangle
$$

for any $\alpha \in k^{\bullet}$ and $\beta \in k$. Thus we have proved that any object in $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{H}_R)$ is either a generalized quaternion algebra $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ or a product $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle \wedge D$, where $\alpha \in k^{\bullet}$, $\beta \in k$ and $D = k\langle\sqrt{1}, \sqrt{0}\rangle$. This fact implies that the group Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) is an abelian group generated $D = k\langle\sqrt{1}, \sqrt{0}\rangle$. by the subgroup *Γ* and the element [*D*].

Define a map ϑ from Gal(\mathcal{H}_R) into $\Gamma \gg \mathbb{Z}_2$ as follows:

$$
\vartheta\left(\left[\left\langle\frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle\right]\right) = \left[\left\langle\frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle\right] \gg 0, \text{ and } \vartheta\left(\left[k\langle\sqrt{\alpha},\sqrt{\beta}\rangle\right]\right) = \left[\left\langle\frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle\right] \gg 1.
$$

It is clear from the definition that $\vartheta(D) = (1, 0) \gg 1$. Since

$$
k\left\langle \sqrt{\alpha},\sqrt{\beta}\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle \wedge D, \quad \left\langle \frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle \wedge D = D \wedge \left\langle \frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right\rangle \quad \text{and} \quad D \wedge D = \left\langle \frac{-1,0}{k}\right\rangle,
$$

 ϑ is an isomorphism. \Box

Theorem 5.10. *The homomorphism ^π is surjective and we have an exact sequence*:

$$
1 \to \text{Br}(k) \to \text{BC}(k, H_4, R) \stackrel{\tilde{\pi}}{\to} \text{Gal}(\mathcal{H}_R) \to 1. \tag{36}
$$

Proof. If *A* is an object of type I in $Gal(\mathcal{H}_R)$, then *A* is some generalized quaternion algebra $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$, $\alpha \neq 0$ and $\beta \in k$. When $\beta \neq 0$, $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ is an *R*-Azumaya algebra if we forget the left *H*₄-module structure. Since the coinvariant subalgebra of $\left(\frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right)$ is trivial, we have

$$
\pi\left(\left\langle \frac{\alpha,\beta}{k} \right\rangle\right) = \left(\frac{\alpha,\beta}{k}\right) \quad \text{if } \beta \neq 0.
$$

To get the preimage of $\langle \frac{\alpha, 0}{k} \rangle$ for $\alpha \in k^{\bullet}$, we choose the *R*-Azumaya algebra $\langle \frac{\alpha, 1}{k} \rangle \# \langle \frac{1, -1}{k} \rangle$. Since π is monoidal we have that

$$
\pi\left(\left\langle\frac{\alpha,1}{k}\right\rangle\#\left\langle\frac{1,-1}{k}\right\rangle\right)=\pi\left(\left\langle\frac{\alpha,1}{k}\right\rangle\right)\wedge\left\langle\frac{1,-1}{k}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle\frac{\alpha,0}{k}\right\rangle.
$$

For an object *k* [√]*α,*√*^β* of type II in Gal*(*H*R)*, we choose a Galois *^R*-Azumaya algebra *A* such that

$$
\pi(A) = \left\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \right\rangle
$$

(assured by the foregoing arguments). Then it is easy to check that

$$
\pi(A \# k\langle\sqrt{1}\rangle) = k\langle\sqrt{\alpha}, \sqrt{\beta}\rangle
$$

for $\alpha \in k^{\bullet}$ and $\beta \in k$. Thus by Theorem 5.7, $\tilde{\pi}$ is an epimorphism, and hence the sequence (36) is exact. \Box

Recall that the Brauer–Wall group BW(k) is $BC(k, k\mathbb{Z}_2, R')$, where $k\mathbb{Z}_2$ is the sub-Hopf algebra of H_4 generated by the group-like element $g \in H_4$, and R' is the restriction of R to $k\mathbb{Z}_2$. The following well-known exact sequence is a special case of (23):

$$
1 \to Br(k) \to BW(k) \stackrel{\tilde{\pi}}{\to} Q_2(k) \to 1,
$$
 (37)

where $Q_2(k) = Q(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ is nothing but Gal(\mathcal{H}_{R} ^{*i*}) and $\mathcal{H}_{R} \cong k\mathbb{Z}_2$, here $H = k\mathbb{Z}_2$.

The sequence (37) can be also obtained if we restrict the homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$ in (36) to the subgroup BW(k) of BC(k, H₄, R). The group $\tilde{\pi}$ (BW(k)) consist of all objects of form: $(\frac{\alpha,0}{k})$ of type I and $k(\sqrt{\alpha}) \otimes k(\sqrt{0})$ of type II, which is isomorphic to *Q*₂(*k*).

Recall from [35] that the CQT Hopf algebra map $H_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_2$ sending g to g and h to zero induces a group homomorphism γ from BC(H_4 , R) onto BW(k), where $\gamma([A]) = [A]$, and the later [*A*] has only grading.

In order to distinguish the group homomorphism $\tilde{\pi}$, we use π_2 and π_4 (consequently $\tilde{\pi}_2$, $\tilde{\pi}_4$) to denote the canonical monoidal functors for CQT Hopf algebras $(k\mathbb{Z}_2, \tilde{R}')$
(*H*₄, *R*) respectively. Let *A* be $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$, $\alpha, \beta \in k^{\bullet}$ with *H*₄-coaction given by (32). Then $\tilde{\pi}_2$, $\tilde{\pi}_4$) to denote the canonical monoidal functors for CQT Hopf algebras ($k\mathbb{Z}_2$, R') and

$$
\pi_4\bigg(\bigg\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \bigg\rangle\bigg) = \bigg\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \bigg\rangle.
$$

If we forget the coaction of *h*, then $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$ represents an element in BW(*k*). Let *u*, *v* be the canonical generators of $\langle \frac{\alpha, \beta}{k} \rangle$. Then $A_0 = k + ku$. It is easy to see that

$$
\pi_2\left(\left\langle \frac{\alpha,\beta}{k} \right\rangle\right) = C_{A_0}(A) = A_0 = k\left\langle \sqrt{\alpha} \right\rangle.
$$

Now let $A = \text{End}(H^{\text{op}}) \# k \langle \sqrt{1} \rangle$. Then $\pi_4(A) = D$ and

$$
\pi_2(A) = \pi \left(\text{End}\left(H^{\text{op}}\right) \right) \wedge \pi \left(k \langle \sqrt{1} \rangle \right) = k \langle \sqrt{1} \rangle.
$$

since *k* ($\sqrt{1}$) is now a Galois graded Azumaya algebra. Thus we have proved that *γ* fits in the following commutative diagram:

where *K* is the kernel of *γ*, *ι* is the inclusion map and *p* is the projection from $k^+ \times Q_2(k)$ onto $Q_2(k)$. Here $\tilde{\pi}_4(K) = k^+$ because $\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \gamma = p \circ \tilde{\pi}_4$. By definition of γ we have $Br(k) \cap K = 1$. It follows that $K \cong k^+$. Since γ is split, we obtain that the Brauer group $BC(k, H_4, R)$ is isomorphic to the direct product group $k^+ \times BW(k)$, which coincides with Theorem 8 in [35].

In this case, we have an exact and split sequence, cf. [35]:

$$
1 \to k^+ \to \text{BC}(k, H_4, R) \to \text{BW}(k) \to 1 \tag{38}
$$

where k^+ is the additive group that is isomorphic to the group of H_4 -bigalois objects [25].

Recently, G. Carnovale proved in [8] that the Brauer group BC*(k, H*4*, Rt)* is isomorphic to BC(k, H_4 , R_0) for any $t \neq 0$ although (H_4, R_t) is not coquasitriangularly isomorphic to *(H₄, R₀)* when $t \neq 0$ (see [24]).

Acknowledgments

This work started when the author visited Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik in 1999. He thanks MPIM for its hospitality and financial support during his visit from March till August 1999. The author is grateful to Prof. S. Caenepeel, Prof. H. Schneider, Prof. B. Torrecillas and Prof. F. Van Oystaeyen for many helpful discussions and valuable comments. Finally the author thanks MSRI and Prof. S. Montgomery for the support to present the first part of this work in the conference held at MSRI in October 1999.

References

- [1] E. Abe, Hopf Algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1977.
- [2] M. Beattie, A direct sum decomposition for the Brauer group of *H*-module algebras, J. Algebra 43 (1976) 686–693.
- [3] R.J. Blattner, M. Cohen, S. Montgomery, Crossed products and inner actions of Hopf algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 298 (1986) 672–711.
- [4] S. Caenepeel, The Brauer–Long group revisited: the multiplication rules, in: Algebra and Number Theory (Fez), in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 208, Dekker, New York, 2000, pp. 61–86.
- [5] S. Caenepeel, Brauer Groups, Hopf Algebras and Galois Theory, in: *K*-Monogr. Math., Kluwer Academic, New York, 1998.
- [6] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, Quantum Yang–Baxter module algebras, *K*-Theory 8 (1994) 231–255.
- [7] S. Caenepeel, F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, The Brauer group of Yetter–Drinfel'd module algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 349 (1997) 3737–3771.
- [8] G. Carnovale, Some isomorphism for the Brauer groups of a Hopf algebra, Comm. Algebra 29 (2001) 5291– 5305.
- [9] L.N. Childs, The Brauer group of graded Azumaya algebras II: Graded Galois extensions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 204 (1975) 137–160.
- [10] Y. Doi, Equivalent crossed product for a Hopf algebra, Comm. Algebra 17 (1989) 3053-3085.
- [11] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, Hopf–Galois extensions of algebras, the Miyashita–Ulbrich action, and Azumaya algebras, J. Algebra 121 (1989) 488–516.
- [12] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, Multiplication alteration by two cocycles—the quantum version, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994) 5715–5732.
- [13] V.G. Drinfel'd, Quantum groups, in: Proc. of the Int. Congress of Math., Berkeley, CA, 1987, pp. 798–819.
- [14] L.A. Lambe, D.E. Radford, Algebraic aspects of the quantum Yang–Baxter equation, J. Algebra 154 (1992) 228–288.
- [15] F.W. Long, A generalization of the Brauer group graded algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc. 29 (1974) 237– 256.
- [16] F.W. Long, The Brauer group of bimodule algebras, J. Algebra 31 (1974) 559–601.
- [17] S. Majid, Foundations of Quantum Group Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995.
- [18] S. Majid, Doubles of quasitriangular Hopf algebras, Comm. Algebra 19 (1991) 3061–3073.
- [19] S. Majid, Algebras and Hopf algebras in braided categories, in: Advances in Hopf Algebras (Chicago, IL, 1992), in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 158, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 55–105.
- [20] S. Majid, Braided groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 86 (1993) 187–221.
- [21] A. Masuoka, Quotient theory of Hopf algebras, in: Advances in Hopf Algebras (Chicago, IL, 1992), in: Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 158, Dekker, New York, 1994, pp. 107–133.
- [22] Y. Miyashita, An exact sequence associated with a generalized crossed product, Nagoya Math. J. 49 (1973) 21–51.
- [23] S. Montgomery, Hopf Algebras and Their Actions on Rings, CBMS–NSF Reg. Conf. Ser. in Math., Vol. 82, 1992.
- [24] D.E. Radford, Minimal quasitriangular Hopf algebras, J. Algebra 157 (1993) 285–315.
- [25] P. Schauenburg, Hopf bi-Galois extensions, Comm. Algebra 24 (12) (1996) 3797-3825.
- [26] H.-J. Schneider, Principal homogeneous spaces for arbitrary Hopf algebras, Israel J. Math. 72 (1990) 167– 195.
- [27] H.-J. Schneider, Representation theory of Hopf Galois extensions, Israel J. Math. 72 (1990) 196–231.
- [28] M.E. Sweedler, Hopf Algebras, Benjamin, 1969.
- [29] M. Takeuchi, Survey of braided Hopf algebras, Contemp. Math. 267 (2000) 301–322.
- [30] K.-H. Ulbrich, Galoiserweiterungen von nicht-kommutativen Ringen, Comm. Algebra 10 (1982) 655–672.
- [31] K.-H. Ulbrich, An exact sequence for the Brauer group of bimodule Azumaya algebras, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 35 (1993) 63–88.
- [32] F. Van Oystaeyen, Pseudo-places algebras and the symmetric part of the Brauer group, PhD dissertation, March 1972, Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam.
- [33] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, Embedding the automorphism group into the Brauer group, Canad. Math. Bull. 41 (1998) 359–367.
- [34] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, The Brauer group of a braided monoidal category, J. Algebra 202 (1998) 96–128.
- [35] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, The Brauer group of the Sweedler's Hopf algebra *H*4, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001) 371–380.
- [36] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, Computing subgroups of the Brauer group of *H*4, Comm. Algebra 30 (2002) 4699–4709.
- [37] F. Van Oystaeyen, Y.H. Zhang, The Brauer group of a Hopf algebra, in: New Directions in Hopf Algebras, in: MSRI Publications, Vol. 43, 2002, pp. 437–485.
- [38] C.T.C. Wall, Graded Brauer groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. 213 (1964) 187–199.
- [39] D.N. Yetter, Quantum groups and representations of monoidal categories, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 108 (1990) 261–290.
- [40] Y.H. Zhang, The bigger Brauer group of a quasitriangular Hopf algebra, in preparation.

Further reading

[1] Y. Doi, M. Takeuchi, Quaternion algebras and Hopf crossed products, Comm. Algebra 23 (1995) 3291–3325.