

# On the Diameter of a Graph Related to $p$ -Regular Conjugacy Classes of Finite Groups

Ziqun Lu

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

and

Jiping Zhang

*Department of Mathematics, Peking University, Beijing 100871,  
People's Republic of China*

*Communicated by Gernot Stroth*

Received September 13, 1999

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a fixed prime. Denote by  $G_{p'}$  the set of  $p$ -regular elements of  $G$  and  $\text{Con}(G_{p'})$  the set of all conjugacy classes of  $G$  in  $G_{p'}$ . Define:  $\rho'_p(G) = \{q : q \text{ prime, } q \mid |g^G|, g \in G_{p'}\}$ . We obtain the following graph  $\Gamma'_p = \Gamma'_p(G)$ : the vertices of  $\Gamma'_p$  are primes in  $\rho'_p(G)$ , two vertices  $r, q$  are connected, if there exists a conjugacy class  $C \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$  such that  $rq \mid |C|$ . If  $p \times |G|$ , then the graph  $\Gamma'_p(G)$  is just the graph  $\Gamma'(G)$  defined in [6].

Our aim is to investigate the arithmetical properties of  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $G$  and the relationship between irreducible  $p$ -modular characters and  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $G$ . In the last 20 years, the analogy between conjugacy classes and irreducible characters has been widely studied. We refer readers to [1–8].

Let  $n(\Gamma'_p) = n(\Gamma'_p(G))$  denote the number of the connected components of the graph  $\Gamma'_p(G)$  and  $d(\Gamma'_p(G))$  denote the diameter of the graph  $\Gamma'_p(G)$ .

Our main results are the following 5 theorems.

**THEOREM 1.** *If  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -solvable group, then  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) \leq 2$ .*

One natural question is whether we can decide the diameter of the graph  $\Gamma'_p(G)$ . If  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable, then we can prove the following theorem, but our methods cannot apply to general finite groups.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite  $p$ -solvable group.*

*If  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 1$ , then  $d(\Gamma'_p(G)) \leq 6$ .*

*If  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 2$ , then each connected component has diameter at most 3.*

The modular character degree graph has similar properties [10, Chap. VI, Theorem 20.3, p. 260]. A modular character degree graph  $\Gamma_p$  is defined as follows. Its vertices are primes in  $\rho_p(G)$  (the set of all primes which divide some irreducible modular character of  $G$ ), and two vertexes  $r, q$  are connected, if  $rq$  divides some irreducible modular character degree. One has the following results:

*If  $n(\Gamma_p(G)) = 2$ , then  $d(\Gamma_p(G)) \leq 5$ .*

*If  $n(\Gamma_p(G)) = 1$ , then  $d(\Gamma_p(G)) \leq 3$ .*

Next we discuss the properties of  $G$  with  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 2$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a solvable group with  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 2$ . Let  $X_1, X_2$  be the connected components and write  $\pi_i = \{q : q \text{ prime, } q \in X_i \setminus \{p\}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $G$  has a metabelian Hall  $\pi_1$ - or  $\pi_2$ -subgroup.*

The following theorem shows that the  $\pi_i$ -length of  $G$  can be bounded in terms of the  $p$ -length of  $G$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite solvable group with  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 2$ . Let  $X_1, X_2$  be the connected components and write  $\pi_i = \{q : q \text{ prime, } q \in X_i \setminus \{p\}\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Then  $l_{\pi_i}(G) \leq l_p(G) + 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .*

The following result shows that in some sense the graph  $\Gamma'_p(G)$  is rich in edges.

**THEOREM 5.** *Let  $G$  be a solvable group and choose  $r, s \in \rho'_p(G) \setminus \{p\}$ . If there exists no conjugacy class  $C \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$  such that  $rs \mid |C|$ , then  $l_r(G) \leq 1$ , or  $l_s(G) \leq 1$ .*

## 2. PROOFS OF THEOREMS

The following lemma plays an important role in the proof of the theorems.

LEMMA 1. Suppose that  $G$  is a  $p$ -separable group and choose  $B = b^G$ ,  $C = c^G \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$ . If  $(|B|, |C|) = 1$ , then:

(1)  $C_G(b)C_G(c) = G$ .

(2)  $BC$  is a conjugacy class in  $\text{Con}(G_{p'})$ .

(3)  $|BC| = |B||C|$ .

(4) Suppose that there is no conjugacy class  $D \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$  such that  $(|D|, |B|) \geq 1$  and  $(|D|, |C|) \geq 1$ . If  $|B| < |C|$ , then  $|BC| = |C|$  and  $B^{-1}BC = C$ .

*Proof.* (1) Since  $|G : C_G(b) \cap C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(b)| |C_G(b) : C_G(b) \cap C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(b)| |C_G(b)C_G(c) : C_G(c)| \leq |G : C_G(b)| |G : C_G(c)|$ , by assumption  $(|G : C_G(b)|, |G : C_G(c)|) = 1$ , then  $|G : C_G(b)| |G : C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(b) \cap C_G(c)|$ . Consequently  $|G : C_G(b)| |G : C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(b) \cap C_G(c)|$ , then  $|C_G(b)C_G(c) : C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(c)|$ . Hence  $C_G(b)C_G(c) = G$ .

(2) We first prove that  $BC$  is a  $G$ -conjugacy class. It is obvious that we need only to prove that for any  $g, h \in G$ ,  $b^g c^h$  is conjugate to  $bc$ . By (1),  $gh^{-1} \in G = C_G(b)C_G(c)$ ; then there exist  $x \in C_G(b)$ ,  $y \in C_G(c)$  such that  $gh^{-1} = x^{-1}y$ . Then  $xg = yh$ , and moreover  $b^g c^h = b^{xg} c^{yh} = (bc)^{xg}$ . In order to prove that  $BC$  is a conjugacy class in  $G_{p'}$ , we need only to find a element in  $BC$  belonging to  $G_{p'}$ . Let  $H$  be a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ ; then there exist elements  $g, h \in G$  such that  $b^g, c^h \in H$ . Then  $b^g c^h \in BC$  and  $b^g c^h$  is a  $p'$ -element.

(3) By (2),  $BC = (bc)^G$ . Since  $C_G(b) \cap C_G(c) \subseteq C_G(bc)$ , then  $|BC| = |G : C_G(bc)| |G : C_G(b) \cap C_G(c)| = |G : C_G(b)| |G : C_G(c)| = |B||C|$ , as desired.

(4) By (2),  $BC$  is a conjugacy class, if  $|BC| > |C|$ , then by (3),  $(|BC|, |B|) > 1$  and  $(|BC|, |C|) > 1$ , a contradiction. Thus  $|BC| = |C|$ . Again by (3),  $B^{-1}BC$  is a conjugacy class containing  $C$ ; thus  $B^{-1}BC = C$ .

Now we prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Suppose that  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) \geq 3$ . Then there exists conjugacy classes  $A, B, C$  in  $\text{Con}(G_{p'})$  such that the prime divisors of  $|A|$ ,  $|B|$ , and  $|C|$  belong respectively to different connected components of  $\Gamma'_p(G)$ . Then  $|A|, |B|, |C|$  are coprime to each other and any two satisfy the condition of Lemma 1(4). Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $|A| > |B| > |C|$ . Then  $ACC^{-1} = A$ ,  $BCC^{-1} = B$ . Thus  $A = A\langle CC^{-1} \rangle$ ,  $B = B\langle CC^{-1} \rangle$ . Thus  $A$  (resp.  $B$ ) is a union of some cosets of normal subgroup  $\langle CC^{-1} \rangle$ . Then  $|\langle CC^{-1} \rangle| \mid |A|$  and  $|\langle CC^{-1} \rangle| \mid |B|$ , a contradiction, as required.

Next we prove our main Theorem 2.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose that  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 1$ , but  $d(\Gamma'_p(G)) \geq 7$ . Let  $a, b \in \Gamma'_p(G)$  such that  $d(a, b) = 7$ . Thus we can choose the shortest path from  $a$  to  $b$  as  $a - r - s - l - m - u - v - b$ . Thus there exists conjugacy classes  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4, C_5, C_6, C_7 \in \Gamma'_p(G)$  such that  $ar \mid |C_1|, rs \mid |C_2|, sl \mid |C_3|, lm \mid |C_4|, mu \mid |C_5|, uv \mid |C_6|, vb \mid |C_7|$ . Then  $|C_1|, |C_4|, |C_7|$  are coprime to each other and any two satisfy the condition of Lemma 1(4). Without loss of generality, we assume that  $|C_1| < |C_4| < |C_7|$ . Then  $C_4 C_1 C_1^{-1} = C_4, C_7 C_1 C_1^{-1} = C_7$ . Then  $\langle C_1 C_1^{-1} \rangle \mid |C_4|, \langle C_1 C_1^{-1} \rangle \mid |C_7|$ , a contradiction.

Suppose that  $n(\Gamma'_p(G)) = 2$ , but  $d(\Gamma'_p(G)) \geq 4$ . Choose  $a, b$  to belong to the same connected components  $d(a, b) = 4$ , let  $a - r - s - t - b$  be the shortest path from  $a$  to  $b$ , and then choose conjugacy classes  $C_1, C_2, C_3, C_4 \in \Gamma'_p(G)$  such that  $ar \mid |C_1|, rs \mid |C_2|, st \mid |C_3|, tb \mid |C_4|$ . But on the other hand, one can choose  $C \in \Gamma'_p(G)$  such that the prime divisors of  $|C|$  and  $|C_1|$  belong respectively to different components. Then  $|C|, |C_1|, |C_4|$  are coprime to each other and any two satisfy the condition of Lemma 1(4). As discussed above, we can also get a contradiction. We are done.

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$ .*

- (1) *For any  $x \in N$ ,  $|x^N| \mid |x^G|$ .*
- (2) *For any  $(xN)^{G/N} \in \text{Con}((G/N)_{p'})$ , there exists a conjugacy class  $y^G \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$  such that  $|(xN)^{G/N}| \mid |y^G|$ .*

*Proof.* (1) It is obvious.

(2) Let  $x = x_{p'} x_p$ ,  $x_p$  and  $x_{p'}$  denote respectively the  $p$ -part and  $p'$ -part of  $x$ . Since the order of  $xN$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then  $xN = x_{p'} N$  and  $(xN)^{G/N} = (x_{p'} N)^{G/N}$ . It is clear that  $|(x_{p'} N)^{G/N}| \mid |(x_{p'})^G|$ ; then  $|(xN)^{G/N}| \mid |(x_{p'})^G|$ . Set  $y^G = (x_{p'})^G$ . We are done.

LEMMA 3 [6, Lemma 2]. *Suppose  $G$  is a finite solvable group. If the length of each conjugacy class is not divisible by  $r$ , then  $G$  has a central Sylow  $r$ -subgroup.*

Recall that  $\rho'_p(G) = \{q \text{ prime: } q \mid |g^G|, \text{ for some } g \in G_p\}$ .

PROPOSITION 1. *Suppose that  $G$  is a finite group. Let  $\pi$  be a set of some primes.*

- (1)  *$p \notin \rho'_p(G)$ ; then  $G = P \times K$ , with  $P$  a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ .*
- (2) *If  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $\pi \cap (\rho'_p(G) \cup \{p\}) = \emptyset$ , then  $G$  has an abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ . Then by the assumption we have  $G = \bigcup_{x \in G} (PC_G(P))^x$ . So  $G = PC_G(P)$ , and thus (1) follows.

(2) By induction on  $|G|$  we may assume that  $O_p(G) = 1$ . Thus  $O_{p'}(G) \neq 1$ , as  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable. If there exists no prime  $q \in \pi$  dividing the order of  $O_{p'}(G)$ , then by induction we are done. Thus there exists at least a prime  $q \in \pi$  such that  $O_{p'}(G)$  has a nontrivial Sylow  $q$ -subgroup  $Q$ . By Lemma 3,  $O_{p'}(G) = K \times H$ , where  $1 \neq K$  is an abelian Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $O_{p'}(G)$ . By induction we can assume that  $O^p(G) = G$  and  $H = 1$ . Thus  $K \leq Z(G)$ , as  $K$  centralizes all  $p'$ -elements of  $G$ . Thus  $G = K$ , and we are done.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* It is obvious that we can assume that  $|\pi_i| \geq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Given a conjugacy class  $C \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$ , we say  $C$  belongs to  $X_i$  if the prime divisors of  $|C|$  belong to  $X_i$ . Then for any conjugacy class  $C \in \text{Con}(G_{p'})$ ,  $C$  belongs to either  $X_1$  or  $X_2$ . Let  $D_1$  be a conjugacy class in  $\text{Con}(G_{p'})$  with the biggest class length. Without loss of generality, we assume that  $D_1 \in X_2$ . Set  $M = \langle B \mid B \in X_1 \rangle$  and  $N = \langle BB^{-1} \mid B \in X_1 \rangle$ .

Step 1. If  $A$  and  $B$  belong to different connected components, then  $AB = BA$  is also a conjugacy class of the  $p'$ -element and  $|AB|$  equals the biggest in  $|A|$  and  $|B|$ . If  $|A|$  is the biggest, then  $ABB^{-1} = A$ .

*Proof.* It is obvious by Lemma 1(4).

Step 2.  $M$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be the set of all conjugacy classes in  $\text{Con}(G_{p'})$  with the biggest class length. Then  $D$  is contained in  $X_2$ . Choose  $C \in D$  and any  $B \in X_1$ . By Step 1,  $BC$  is a  $p'$ -conjugacy class and  $|BC| = |C|$ ; then  $BC \in D$ . Thus  $BD = D$ , and moreover  $MD = D$ ; then  $|M| \mid |D|$ . Thus  $M$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ .

Step 3. There exists a  $p'$ -conjugacy class  $C$  in  $G \setminus M$ , and  $|N| \mid |C|$ .

*Proof.* If there is no  $p'$ -element in  $G \setminus M$ , then  $G/M$  is a  $p$ -group. By Step 2, Lemma 2, and induction on  $M$  the theorem is true. Thus we can assume that there exists a  $p'$ -conjugacy class  $C$  in  $G \setminus M$ . Let  $B \in X_1$ . By the definition of  $M$ , we know that  $C \in X_2$ . Then by Step 1,  $BC$  is a  $p'$ -conjugacy class in  $X_2$  and  $|BC| = |C|$ . Moreover  $CBB^{-1} = C$ ; thus  $|CN| = |C|$ , whence  $|N| \mid |C|$ .

Step 4.  $N \leq Z(M)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \in X_1$ ,  $b \in B$ . By Step 3,  $(|N|, |G : C_G(b)|) = (|N|, |B|) = 1$ , but  $|N : C_N(b)| \mid (|N|, |G : C_G(b)|)$ ; thus  $N = C_N(b)$ . Since  $M = \langle B \mid B \in X_1 \rangle$ , then  $N$  is in the center of  $M$ .

Step 5.  $M = P \times M_1$  and  $M_1$  is abelian, where  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $M$ .

*Proof.* By the definition of  $M$  and  $N$ , it is easy to see that  $M/N$  is in the center of  $G/N$ , whence, by Step 4,  $M$  is nilpotent. Thus  $M = P \times M_1$ . Write  $M_1 = R \times Z$ , with  $Z$  the largest Hall subgroup of  $M_1$  which is contained in  $Z(G)$ . Let  $q$  be a prime divisor of  $|R|$  and choose  $Q$  to be a Sylow  $q$ -subgroup of  $R$ . Thus  $Q \trianglelefteq G$  and  $N = [M, G] \geq [R, G] \geq [Q, G]$ . As  $[Q, G] \neq 1$ , it follows that  $q \mid |N|$ . Thus if  $q \mid |R|$ , then  $q \mid |N|$ . Let  $B = b^G \in X_1$ ; by Step 3 we have  $(|Q|, |B|) = 1$ . Since  $|Q : C_Q(b)| \mid (|Q|, |B|)$  and noting that  $M = \langle B \mid B \in X_1 \rangle$ , we get  $Q \leq Z(M)$ . Thus  $R \leq Z(M)$  and  $M_1$  is abelian.

Step 6. Let  $r \mid |B|$ , where  $B$  is any conjugacy class in  $X_1$ . Then for any  $p'$ -element  $a \in G \setminus M$ ,  $C_G(a)$  contains a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Since  $a^G$  belongs to  $X_2$ , then  $|a^G|$  and  $r$  are coprime; that is,  $C_G(a)$  contains a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

Step 7.  $G$  has a metabelian Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup.

*Proof.* If  $r \in \pi_1$ , then by Step 6, for each  $p'$ -element  $a \in G \setminus M$ ,  $C_G(a)$  contains a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus  $\forall r \in \pi_1$ ,  $r \notin \rho'_p(G/M)$ , and by Proposition 1,  $G/M$  has an abelian Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup  $H/M$ . Thus by Step 5,  $G$  has a metabelian Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup, as desired.

*Proof of Theorem 4.* Suppose  $l_p(G) = n$  and let  $1 \leq N_1 \leq N_2 \leq \dots \leq N_{n+1} = G$  be the  $p$ -chain of  $G$  (with  $N_1 = O_p(G)$ ,  $N_2 = O_{p',p}(G)$ ,  $N_3 = O_{p',p,p'}(G) \dots$ ). If  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is a  $p'$ -group, then by Lemma 2,  $\rho'_p(N_i/N_{i-1}) \subseteq \rho'_p(G)$ .

(1) If  $n(\Gamma(N_i/N_{i-1})) = 1$ , then by Lemma 3,  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  has a central Hall  $\pi_1$ -subgroup, or a central Hall  $\pi_2$ -subgroup. Then  $l_{\pi_i}(N_i/N_{i-1}) \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

(2) If  $n(\Gamma(N_i/N_{i-1})) = 2$ , write  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , respectively, for the vertex sets of  $\Gamma(N_i/N_{i-1})$  in different connected component. We have  $\Delta_i \subseteq \pi_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $p \nmid |N_i/N_{i-1}|$ , by [6, Theorem 4],  $l_{\Delta_i} \leq 1$ . But on the other hand, by Lemma 3,  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  has a central Hall  $\pi_i \setminus \Delta_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ )-subgroup; then  $l_{\pi_j}(N_i/N_{i-1}) \leq 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

From (1) and (2) above, if  $N_i/N_{i-1}$  is a  $p'$ -group, then  $l_{\pi_i} \leq 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ . Thus  $l_{\pi_i}(G) \leq l_p(G) + 1$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ .

*Proof of Theorem 5.* We use induction on  $|G|$ . Let  $\pi = \{r, s\}$ .

We will prove the theorem in five steps.

Step 1. First we can assume that  $\Phi(G) = 1$ ,  $O_\pi(G) = 1$ , and  $O^{\pi'}(G) = G$ .

*Proof.* Clearly we can assume that  $O_\pi(G) = 1$ ,  $O^{\pi'}(G) = G$ . Suppose that  $\Phi(G) > 1$ . If  $r$  or  $s$  does not belong to  $\rho_p(G/\Phi(G))$ , then by

Proposition 1,  $G/\Phi(G)$  has an abelian Sylow  $r$ - or abelian Sylow  $s$ -subgroup. Then  $l_r(G/\Phi(G)) \leq 1$  or  $l_s(G/\Phi(G)) \leq 1$ , and by [9, Chap. VI, Theorem 6.4],  $l_r(G) \leq 1$  or  $l_s(G) \leq 1$ . The theorem is correct. Thus we can assume that  $r, s \in \rho_{p'}(G/\Phi(G))$ , so by induction  $l_r(G/\Phi(G)) \leq 1$  or  $l_s(G/\Phi(G)) \leq 1$ . Thus we have  $l_r(G) \leq 1$  or  $l_s(G) \leq 1$ , as desired. Hence we can assume that  $\Phi(G) = 1$ .

Step 2.  $F(G) = O_r(G)O_s(G)$ , and moreover  $O_r(G) > 1, O_s(G) > 1$ .

*Proof.* By Step 1,  $F(G) = O_r(G)O_s(G)$ . Assume that  $O_r(G) = 1$ . Thus  $C_G(O_s(G)) \leq O_s(G)$ , so for any  $p'$ -element  $a \in G \setminus O_s(G)$ ,  $s \mid |a^G|$ , and  $r \nmid |a^G|$ . Thus  $r \notin \rho_{p'}(G/O_s(G))$ , and by Proposition 1,  $G/O_s(G)$  has an abelian Sylow  $r$ -subgroup. Thus  $l_r(G) \leq 1$ , as desired. So we can assume that  $O_r(G) > 1, O_s(G) > 1$ .

Step 3.  $G$  has only two minimal normal subgroups  $O_r(G)$  and  $O_s(G)$ .

*Proof.* First we prove that  $O_r(G)$  and  $O_s(G)$  are minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Since  $\Phi(G) = 1$ ,  $O_r(G)$  and  $O_s(G)$  are direct sums of minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . Suppose  $M, N \leq O_r(G)$  are two different minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ . If  $r$  or  $s$  does not belong to  $\rho_p(G/M)$ , then by Proposition 1,  $G/M$  has an abelian Sylow  $r$ - or abelian Sylow  $s$ -subgroup. If the Sylow  $s$ -subgroup of  $G/M$  is abelian, then  $l_s(G/M) \leq 1$  and thus  $l_s(G) \leq 1$ , and the theorem is correct. So we can assume that  $G/M$  has an abelian Sylow  $r$ -subgroup. It follows that  $l_r(G/M) \leq 1$ . If  $r, s \in \rho_p(G/M)$ , then by induction  $l_r(G/M) \leq 1$  or  $l_s(G/M) \leq 1$ , and we can also assume that  $l_r(G/M) \leq 1$ . Similarly we can assume that  $l_r(G/N) \leq 1$ . By [9, Chap. VI, Theorem 6.4 (d)],  $l_r(G) \leq 1$ , and the theorem is correct. Thus we may assume that  $O_r(G)$  and  $O_s(G)$  are minimal normal subgroups of  $G$ .

Step 4.  $O_r(G) \leq Z(G)$  or  $O_s(G) \leq Z(G)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $C_G(O_r(G)) < G$  and  $C_G(O_s(G)) < G$ . Set  $H = C_G(O_r(G))C_G(O_s(G))$ . If  $H$  is a proper subgroup of  $G$ , then  $G/H$  is not a  $p$ -group, since  $O^{\pi'}(G) = G$ . Let  $x \in G \setminus H$  be a  $p'$ -element. Then  $rs \mid |x^G|$ , a contradiction. Hence  $H = G$ . We may assume that there exists a  $p'$ -element  $x \in C_G(O_r(G))$  with  $x \notin C_G(O_s(G))$ . Otherwise  $C_G(O_r(G))$  or  $C_G(O_s(G))$  contains a Hall  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ , but since  $O^{\pi'}(G) = G$ , then  $C_G(O_r(G)) = G$  or  $C_G(O_s(G)) = G$ , a contradiction. Similarly we may assume that there exists a  $p'$ -element  $y \in C_G(O_s(G))$  with  $y \notin C_G(O_r(G))$ . We may assume that  $x, y$  belong to a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$  (otherwise replace  $y$  by a suitable conjugate of  $y$ ); thus  $xy$  is a  $p'$ -element of  $G$ , and  $xy \in G \setminus (C_G(O_r(G)) \cup C_G(O_s(G)))$ . Thus  $rs \mid |G : C_G(xy)|$ , a contradiction.

Step 5. Conclusion.

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we assume that  $O_r(G) \leq Z(G)$ . Thus either  $G = F(G)$  or  $s \mid |b^G|$  for any  $p'$ -element  $b$  in  $G \setminus F(G)$ . If  $G = F(G)$ , the theorem is obviously correct. In the second case,  $r \notin \rho'_p(G/F(G))$ , so by Proposition 1(2),  $G/F(G)$  has an abelian Sylow  $r$ -subgroup  $RF(G)/F(G)$ . Thus  $R' = [R, R] \leq O_r(G) \leq Z(G)$ , and by [9, Chap. VI, Theorem 6.10],  $l_r(G) \leq 1$ . This proves the theorem.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express their hearty thanks to the referee for his(her) valuable advice which corrected errors in the original manuscript.

### REFERENCES

1. E. A. Bertram, M. Herzog, and A. Mann, On a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **22** (1990), 569–575.
2. A. Bianchi, D. Chillag, A. Mann, M. Herzog, and C. S. M. Scoppola, Applications of a graph related to conjugacy classes in finite groups, *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992), 126–132.
3. D. Chillag and M. Herzog, On the length of the conjugacy classes of finite group, *J. Algebra* **131** (1990), 110–125.
4. D. Chillag, M. Herzog, and A. Mann, On the diameter of a graph related to conjugacy classes of groups, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* **113**, No. 2 (1993), 255–262.
5. S. Dolfi, Prime factors of conjugacy-classes lengths and irreducible character-degrees in finite soluble groups, *J. Algebra* **174** (1990), 753–771.
6. S. Dolfi, Arithmetical conditions on the length of the conjugacy classes of a finite group, *J. Algebra* **174** (1990), 753–771.
7. P. Ferguson, Prime factors of conjugacy classes of finite solvable groups, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **113**, No. 3 (1991), 319–323.
8. P. X. Gallagher, The conjugacy classes in a finite simple group, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **239 / 240** (1970), 363–365.
9. B. Huppert, “Endliche Gruppen, I,” Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1967.
10. O. Manz and T. R. Wolf, “Representations of Solvable Groups,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1993.