


Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology

journal homepage: www.tjog-online.com

Short Communication

Detection of no isochromosome 20q by interphase fluorescent *in situ* hybridization on uncultured amniocytes in a pregnancy with mosaic isochromosome 20q in cultured amniocytes at amniocentesis



Chih-Ping Chen^{a, b, c, d, e, f, *}, Jun-Wei Su^g, Schu-Rern Chern^b, Yu-Ling Kuo^h,
Peih-Shan Wuⁱ, Meng-Shan Lee^a, Chien-Wen Yang^b, Wayseen Wang^{b, j}

^a Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^b Department of Medical Research, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^c Department of Biotechnology, Asia University, Taichung, Taiwan

^d School of Chinese Medicine, College of Chinese Medicine, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

^e Institute of Clinical and Community Health Nursing, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

^f Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

^g Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, China Medical University Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

^h Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Kaohsiung Medical University Hospital, Kaohsiung Medical University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan

ⁱ Gene Biodesign Co. Ltd, Taipei, Taiwan

^j Department of Bioengineering, Tatung University, Taipei, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 11 December 2014

Keywords:

amniocentesis
amniocytes
isochromosome 20q
mosaicism
prenatal diagnosis

ABSTRACT

Objective: To present prenatal diagnosis and molecular cytogenetic characterization of mosaic isochromosome 20q at amniocentesis.

Materials and methods: A 36-year-old woman underwent amniocentesis at 17 weeks of gestation because of advanced maternal age, and conventional cytogenetic analysis revealed a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10)[12]/46,XY[7]. Repeated amniocentesis was performed at 20 weeks of gestation. During repeated amniocentesis, array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH), interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH), and quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (QF-PCR) were performed on uncultured amniocytes, and conventional cytogenetic analysis and interphase FISH were performed on cultured amniocytes.

Results: Conventional cytogenetic analysis of cultured amniocytes revealed a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10)[4]/46,XY[16]. Interphase FISH analysis on 217 uncultured amniocytes did not detect isochromosome 20q, aCGH on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes showed no genomic imbalance, and QF-PCR analysis on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes excluded uniparental disomy 20 (UPD 20). Interphase FISH analysis on 115 cultured untouched amniocytes revealed 13% (15/115 cells) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q.

Conclusion: Mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis can be a cell culture artifact. Detailed ultrasound examination, performing interphase FISH and/or aCGH on uncultured amniocytes for confirmation of true mosaicism, and performing QF-PCR to exclude UPD 20 may be useful under such a circumstance.

Copyright © 2014, Taiwan Association of Obstetrics & Gynecology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Mosaic isochromosome 20q identified at amniocentesis has been shown to be a benign condition in most reported cases [1–6]. Robinson et al [3] suggested that the isochromosome 20q arises due to a postzygotic error, and its growth persists *in vitro* in a few specific cell types of amniocytes. We previously reported on the

* Corresponding author. Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Mackay Memorial Hospital, Number 92, Section 2, Chung-Shan North Road, Taipei, Taiwan.
E-mail address: cpc_mmh@yahoo.com (C.-P. Chen).

cytogenetic discrepancy between uncultured amniocytes and cultured amniocytes, and suggested that the mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis is a cell culture artifact [4–6]. Here, we present an additional case in which no isochromosome 20q was detected by interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) on uncultured amniocytes in a pregnancy with mosaic isochromosome 20q in cultured amniocytes at amniocentesis.

Materials and methods

Clinical description

A 36-year-old, primigravid woman underwent amniocentesis at 17 weeks of gestation because of advanced maternal age. Conventional cytogenetic analysis using cultured amniocytes revealed a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10)[12]/46,XY[7]. Among 19 colonies of cultured amniocytes, 12 colonies had a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10), whereas the other seven colonies had a karyotype of 46,XY. Prenatal ultrasound findings were unremarkable. The woman requested repeated amniocentesis at 20 weeks of gestation. During repeated amniocentesis, array comparative genomic hybridization (aCGH) was performed on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes, interphase FISH was applied on uncultured amniocytes and cultured amniocytes, and quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction (QF-PCR) analysis using informative polymorphic DNA markers was performed on the DNAs extracted from uncultured amniocytes and parental blood samples. Conventional cytogenetic analysis was performed on cultured amniocytes and parental blood samples.

Conventional cytogenetic analysis

Routine cytogenetic analysis by G-banding techniques at the 550 bands of resolution was performed. Samples of amniotic fluid and parental blood were collected, and they were subjected to cell culture according to the standard cytogenetic protocol.

Array comparative genomic hybridization

Whole-genome aCGH on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes derived from 10 mL of amniotic fluid was performed using NimbleGen ISCA Plus Cytogenetic Array (Roche NimbleGen, Madison, WI, USA). The NimbleGen ISCA Plus Cytogenetic Array has 630,000 probes and a median resolution of 15–20 kb across the entire genome (according to the manufacturer). The DNA from uncultured amniocytes was extracted first. The extraction was carried out using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Inc., Valencia, CA, USA) by following the manufacturer's protocol. Then, 0.5 µg of the extracted DNA was labeled in Cy5 dye and compared with an equivalent amount of normal female genomic DNA (G1521, Promega, Madison, WI, USA) labeled in Cy3 dye to perform the aCGH experiment. The experiment was performed according to the procedures recommended in the Roche NimbleGen ISCA plus Cytogenetic Array's user manual. The data were finally represented using Nexus 6.1 (BioDiscovery, Hawthorne, CA, USA).

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization

Interphase FISH analysis was performed on 100 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q13.33-specific bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) probe (RP11-266K16) (62,706,872–62,959,918) [hg 19] [fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC), green spectrum] according to the standard FISH protocol. Interphase FISH analysis was performed on another 117 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q11.21-specific BAC probe (RP11-702M8) (30,180,454–30,348,569) [hg 19] (FITC,

green spectrum) according to the standard FISH protocol. For the RP11-702M8 probe, a control study was performed on 136 interphase amniocytes from a normal database containing untouched cultured amniocytes. Interphase FISH analysis was performed on 115 cultured untouched amniocytes of this case using a 20q13.3-specific BAC probe of RP11-266K16 (FITC, green spectrum) and a 20p12.2-specific BAC probe of RP11-2E8 (10,613,237–10,817,493) [hg 19] (red spectrum) according to the standard FISH protocol.

Quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction

QF-PCR analysis was performed using genomic DNAs extracted from uncultured amniocytes and parental blood samples. Primers specifically flanking polymorphic markers on chromosome 20 such as D20S605 (20p12.1) and D20S1083 (20q13.2) were used to exclude uniparental disomy 20 (UPD 20).

Results

G-banding chromosome analysis of cultured amniocytes at repeated amniocentesis revealed a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10) [4]/46,XY[16]. Among 20 colonies of cultured amniocytes, four colonies had a karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10) (Fig. 1), whereas the other 16 colonies had a karyotype of 46,XY. Whole-genome aCGH analysis on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes showed no genomic imbalance. Interphase FISH analysis on 100 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q13.33-specific probe of RP11-266K16 (green spectrum) revealed two green signals in all 100 cells (Fig. 2). Interphase FISH analysis on another 117 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q11.21-specific probe of RP11-702M8 (green spectrum) revealed two green signals in all 117 cells (Fig. 2). In the 136 interphase-cultured amniocytes of normal control, 130 cells had two green signals, four cells had one green signal, and two cells had three signals. Interphase FISH analysis on 115 cultured untouched amniocytes of this case using a 20q13.3-specific probe of RP11-266K16 (green spectrum) and a 20p12.2-specific probe of RP11-2E8 (red spectrum) showed two green signals and two red signals in 100 cultured untouched amniocytes, and one red signal and three green signals in 15 cultured untouched amniocytes, indicating the presence of mosaic isochromosome 20q in cultured amniocytes (Fig. 3). QF-PCR analysis of uncultured amniocytes excluded UPD 20 (Fig. 4). The patient was advised to continue the pregnancy.

Discussion

Chen [1] previously reported on the prenatal diagnosis of 50% (14/28 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at amniocentesis using cultured amniocytes, and postnatal diagnosis of a karyotype of 46,XX in the blood, placenta, skin, and liver in a fetus with arthrogryposis multiplex congenita (amyoplasia) and a single umbilical artery. Chen [2] additionally reported a case with 26.9% (7/26 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at amniocentesis using cultured amniocytes, and a karyotype of 46,XY in the cord blood, amniotic membrane, placenta, umbilical cord, liver, lung, and skin in a fetus with no phenotypic abnormalities. Chen et al [4] later reported cytogenetic discrepancy between uncultured amniocytes and cultured amniocytes in mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis. In that case, there were 23.5% (4/17 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at the first amniocentesis and 33.3% (8/24 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at repeated amniocentesis using cultured amniocytes. Interphase FISH analysis on 50 uncultured amniocytes did not detect isochromosome 20q, and aCGH on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes showed no genomic imbalance; however,




Fig. 1. A karyotype of 46,XY,i(20)(q10).

interphase FISH analysis on cultured amniocytes detected 28% (7/25 cells) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q and aCGH on the DNA extracted from cultured amniocytes showed genomic imbalance of chromosome 20. The fetus was normal at birth, and the blood karyotype was normal. Chen et al [5] subsequently reported an additional case and suggested that mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis is likely a cell culture artifact. In that case, the study group detected 19.2% (5/26 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at the first amniocentesis and a normal karyotype of 46,XY (23/23 colonies) at repeated amniocentesis using cultured amniocytes. Interphase FISH analysis on 50 uncultured amniocytes did not detect isochromosome 20q. Postnatal

cytogenetic analysis on 50 cord blood lymphocytes and interphase FISH analysis on 100 uncultured urinary cells did not indicate isochromosome 20q. The infant was normal in the neonatal period. Chen et al [6] recently reported the application of interphase FISH analysis on uncultured amniocytes for differential diagnosis of pseudomosaicism from true mosaicism in mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis. The case had 16% (4/25 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at the first amniocentesis and 3.7% (1/27 colonies) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q at repeated amniocentesis using cultured amniocytes. Interphase FISH analysis on 100 uncultured amniocytes did not detect isochromosome 20q, and aCGH on the DNA extracted from uncultured amniocytes




Fig. 2. (A) Interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization (FISH) analysis on 100 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q13.33-specific probe of RP11-266K16 (FITC, green spectrum) shows two green signals in all cells, indicating no isochromosome 20q in uncultured amniocytes. (B) Interphase FISH analysis on another 117 uncultured amniocytes using a 20q11.21-specific probe of RP11-702M8 (FITC, green spectrum) shows two green signals in all cells, indicating no isochromosome 20q in uncultured amniocytes. FITC = fluorescein isothiocyanate.




Fig. 3. Interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization analysis on 115 cultured untouched amniocytes using a 20q13.3-specific probe of RP11-266K16 (FITC, green spectrum) and a 20p12.2-specific probe of RP11-2E8 (red spectrum) shows (A) two red signals and two green signals in 100 cells, and (B) one red signal and three green signals in 15 cells, indicating the presence of mosaic isochromosome 20q in cultured amniocytes. FITC = fluorescein isothiocyanate.




Fig. 4. Representative electrophoretograms of quantitative fluorescent polymerase chain reaction assays of uncultured amniocytes show two peaks of equal fluorescent activity from two different parental alleles in uncultured amniocytes, indicating no uniparental disomy 20 in uncultured amniocytes.

showed no genomic imbalance; however, interphase FISH analysis on 73 cultured amniocytes detected 21.9% (16/73 cells) mosaicism for isochromosome 20q. Postnatal cytogenetic analysis on 40 peripheral blood lymphocytes and interphase FISH analysis on 40 uncultured urinary cells revealed no isochromosome 20q. The fetus was normal after birth.

The present case provides additional evidence that mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis can be a cell culture artifact and shows the value of using uncultured amniocytes for differential diagnosis of pseudomosaicism from true mosaicism in case of detection of mosaic isochromosome 20q at prenatal diagnosis. Our observations can explain the benign status of mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis in most cases.

Although prenatal diagnosis of isochromosome 20q at amniocentesis has been regarded as a benign condition in most cases, genetic counseling still should be done with caution because at least four reports with mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at

amniocentesis have been reported to be associated with structural abnormalities [1,7–9]. Therefore, detailed ultrasound examination, application of interphase FISH and/or aCGH on uncultured amniocytes for confirmation of true mosaicism and application of QF-PCR to exclude UPD 20 may be useful under such a circumstance.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by research grants from the Ministry of Science and Technology and (Grant Nos. NSC-101-2314-B-195-011-MY3 and MOST 103-2314-B-195-010) from Mackay Memorial Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan (Grant No. MMH-E-103-04).

References

- [1] Chen CP. Detection of mosaic isochromosome 20q in amniotic fluid in a pregnancy with fetal arthrogryposis multiplex congenita and normal karyotype in fetal blood and postnatal samples of placenta, skin, and liver. *Prenat Diagn* 2003;23:85–7.
- [2] Chen CP. Second-trimester diagnosis of mosaic *idic*(20)(p11) confined to amniocytes without an abnormal phenotype. *Genet Couns* 2003;14:439–41.
- [3] Robinson WP, McGillivray B, Friedman JM. Pregnancy and postnatal outcome of mosaic isochromosome 20q. *Prenat Diagn* 2007;27:143–5.
- [4] Chen CP, Liou JD, Chiang CH, Su YN, Chern SR, Tsai FJ, et al. Cytogenetic discrepancy between uncultured amniocytes and cultured amniocytes in mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2011;50:245–8.
- [5] Chen CP, Chang SD, Chen YT, Su JW, Town DD, Wang W. Mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis: a likely cell culture artifact. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2012;51:663–5.
- [6] Chen CP, Chern SR, Wu PS, Su JW, Chen YT, Chen LF, et al. Application of interphase fluorescence *in situ* hybridization to uncultured amniocytes for differential diagnosis of pseudomosaicism from true mosaicism in mosaic isochromosome 20q detected at amniocentesis. *Taiwan J Obstet Gynecol* 2013;52:450–3.
- [7] Chernos JE, McLeod DR, Cox DM. Prenatal diagnosis of mosaic isochromosome 20q associated with abnormal phenotype. *Am J Hum Genet* 1992;51:A288.
- [8] Pfeiffer RA, Ulmer R, Rauch A, Trautmann U, Beinder E, Rupperecht T, et al. True fetal mosaicism of an isochromosome of the long arm of a chromosome 20: the dilemma persists. *Prenat Diagn* 1997;17:1171–5.
- [9] Goumy C, Beaufrière AM, Francannet C, Tchirkov A, Laurichesse Delmas H, Geissler F, et al. Prenatal detection of mosaic isochromosome 20q: a fourth report with abnormal phenotype. *Prenat Diagn* 2005;25:653–5.