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#### Abstract

We describe a new technique for obtaining new codes from old ones using geometric methods. Several applications are described. © 2001 Academic Press


## 1. INTRODUCTION

We want to provide some background from coding theory and geometry. Let $C$ be a binary linear code of length $N$, dimension $k$, and minimum distance at least 4. Let $G$ be a generator matrix for $C$ of size $k \times N$. Then $C^{\perp}$ has length $N$ and dimension $N-k$. Put $N-k=n+1$. A basis for $C^{\perp}$ gives a matrix $M$ of size $(n+1) \times N$. Since $C$ has minimum distance at least 4 it follows that the columns of $M$ form a set $S$ of $N$ points in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} G(n, 2)$ with no 3 collinear. Such a set $S$ with no three of its points collinear is called a cap.

Let us say that $C$ is extendable if $C$ can be embedded as a subspace of codimension 1 in a binary linear code $D$ of dimension $k+1$, length $N+1$ and minimum distance at least 4 . Otherwise $C$ is said to be inextendable or

[^0]non-lengthening. One can show that $C$ is non-lengthening (inextendable) if and only if the covering radius of $C$ is 2 .

The geometric result is that $C$ is non-lengthening if and only if $S$ is not properly contained in a larger cap in the same space $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$, i.e., if and only if the cap $S$ is complete.

Again, start with $C$. As above we get a set $S$ in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ from $C^{\perp}$. Using the ideas above, if $C$ is extendable then $S$ is properly contained in a cap $S_{1}$ of $\Sigma$ with $\left|S_{1}\right|=|S|+1$. Since the size of the largest cap in $\Sigma$ is $2^{n}=2^{N-k-1}$ we see that after a finite number of steps, the process of lengthening must stop. In this way every binary linear code $C$ of minimum distance at least 4 is embedded in a non-lengthening binary linear code $D$ of minimum distance at least 4 . This brings out the crucial role of such non-lengthening codes or equivalently of complete caps in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$.

A much-studied construction, the Plotkin doubling construction preserves completeness. This process has the effect of doubling the length of $C$ and increasing its dimension (by a factor greater than 2 ). In this note we provide a new construction (black/white lifting) for getting new codes from old. Like the Plotkin construction black/white lifting increases the dimension by a factor greater than 2 but the length increases by a factor less than 2 . Several new results are shown using this black/white construction.

## 2. A NEW CONSTRUCTION

We begin with some basic definitions.
A cap is a set of points in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ having no three of its points collinear. We say that a cap is complete or maximal if it is not a proper subset of any other cap in $\Sigma$.

Given a subset $A$ of $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$, a vertex for $A$ is a point $v$ such that $v+A=A$. A subset $A$ of $\Sigma$ is said to be periodic if it has at least one vertex.

Given a complete cap $S$ in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ one may easily construct from $S$ a complete cap $\phi(S)$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n+1,2)$ by the Plotkin or doubling construction as follows. We choose a point $v \in \tilde{\Sigma} \backslash \Sigma$ and define

$$
\phi(S)=S \sqcup\{v+s \mid s \in S\} .
$$

Clearly $|\phi(S)|=2|S|$ and $\phi(S)$ is periodic with $v$ as a vertex.
In [DT], Davydov and Tombak showed that if $S$ is a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$ with $|S| \geqslant 2^{n-1}+2$ then $S=\phi\left(S_{1}\right)$ where $S_{1}=S \cap \Sigma_{1}$ is a complete cap in some hyperplane $\Sigma_{1} \cong \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n-1,2)$ of $\Sigma$. Thus if $S$ is a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ with $|S|=2^{t} r \geqslant 2^{n-1}+2$ where $r$ is odd then $S=\phi^{t}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ where $S^{\prime}$ is a complete cap in some subspace $\Sigma^{\prime} \cong \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n-t, 2)$
of $\Sigma$. Furthermore $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=t=2^{n-t-1}+1$ and $|S|=2^{n-1}+2^{t}$. We call a cap $S$ of $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$ large if $|S| \geqslant 2^{n-1}+1$, and small if $|S| \leqslant 2^{n-1}$.

Definition 2.1. Let $S$ be a cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} G(n, 2)$. Given a point $x$ of $\Sigma$ not lying in $S$ we partition the set $S$ into two subsets as follows. The Black points of $S$ with respect to $x$ are the points

$$
\mathscr{B}(x, S):=\{s \in S \mid x+s \in S\} .
$$

The White points of $S$ with respect to $x$ are the points

$$
\mathscr{W}(x, S):=\{s \in S \mid x+s \notin S\} .
$$

In geometric language $\mathscr{B}(x, S)$ and $\mathscr{W}(x, S)$ are the secant and tangent cones of $x$ respectively.

Next we define our construction of new caps from old ones. Let $S$ be a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} G(n, 2)$ with $w$ any point of $\Sigma \backslash S$. Embed $\Sigma$ in a projective space $\tilde{\Sigma}$ of one dimension more. Fix $v \in \widetilde{\Sigma} \backslash \Sigma$. We will construct a new cap $\psi_{w}(S)$ in $\tilde{\Sigma}=\mathbb{P} G(n+1,2)$. We define

$$
\psi_{w}(S):=S \sqcup\{x+v \mid x \in \mathscr{W}(w, S)\} \sqcup\{v+w\} .
$$

We call $\psi_{w}(S)$ the black/white lift of $S$ and we call $v$ the apex. Note that $\psi_{w}(S) \cap \Sigma=S$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $S$ be a cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$, w a point of $\Sigma \backslash S$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}=\mathbb{P} G(n+1,2)$ the projective space generated by an apex $v$ together with the space $\Sigma$. Then $\psi_{w}(S)$ is a cap in $\tilde{\Sigma}$ with $\left|\psi_{w}(S)\right|=|S|+|\mathscr{W}(w, S)|+1=$ $2|S|-|\mathscr{B}(w, S)|+1$.

Proof. Write $w^{\prime}=w+v$. Since $\psi_{w}(S) \backslash\left\{w^{\prime}\right\}$ is contained in the Plotkin double of $S$ we see that any line in $\psi_{w}(S)$ would have to pass through $w^{\prime}$. Assume, by way of contradiction, that $\psi_{w}(S)$ does contain a line $\left\{w^{\prime}, u^{\prime}, z\right\}$ where without loss of generality $u^{\prime} \notin \Sigma$ and $z \in S$. Since $w \notin S$, this line cannot contain $v$. Thus we may project the line from $v$ into $\Sigma$ to obtain a line $\left\{w, u=u^{\prime}+v, z\right\}$. Since $u^{\prime} \in \psi_{w}(S) \backslash w^{\prime}$, we have $u \in S$. Therefore, $u, z \in$ $\mathscr{B}(w, S)$. But then, by the definition of $\psi_{w}(S)$, this means that $u^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. This contradiction shows that $\psi_{w}(S)$ is a cap. The formulae for $\left|\psi_{w}(S)\right|$ are clear.

For further developments we need some more definitions.
Definition 2.3. Let $S$ be a cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$. A point, $w$, of $\Sigma \backslash S$ is dependable or a dependable point for $S$ if there does not exist any other
point $x \in \Sigma \backslash S$ with $\mathscr{W}(w, S) \subseteq \mathscr{W}(x, S)$, i.e., if every point $x \in \Sigma \backslash S$ different from $w$ satisfies $\mathscr{B}(w, S) \nexists \mathscr{B}(x, S)$.

In particular, if a point $w \in \Sigma \backslash S$ lies on exactly one secant line to $S$, then $w$ is dependable. We emphasize this important special case as follows.

Definition 2.4. Let $S$ be a cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$. A point, $x$, of $\Sigma$ is a faithful point or a faithful point for $S$ if $x$ lies on a unique secant to $S$, i.e., if $|\mathscr{B}(x, S)|=2$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $S$ be a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ obtained by a sequence of Plotkin doublings beginning with a cap $S^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P G}(n-t, 2)$, i.e., $S=\phi^{t}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. Let $x$ be a point of $\Sigma$ which is not in $S$ and is not a vertex of $S$. Then the number of secants to $S$ through $x$ is divisible by $2^{t}$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on $t$. The result is trivial for $t=0$. Suppose we have proved the result for $t-1$ and let $S$ be a cap with $S=\phi^{t}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ where $S_{1}:=\phi^{t-1}\left(S^{\prime}\right) \subset \Sigma_{1} \cong \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n-1,2)$ and $v$ is a vertex of $S$ which is not contained in $\Sigma_{1}$. This means that we may consider $S$ as having been obtained from $S_{1}$ by Plotkin doubling using the vertex $v$. Note that we may also view $S$ as having been obtained by doubling from $v$ the cap $v+S_{1}$ contained in the hyperplane $v+\Sigma_{1}$. Let $x$ be any point of $\Sigma \backslash S$ with $x$ not a vertex of $S$. Replacing $\Sigma_{1}$ by $v+\Sigma_{1}$ if necessary we may assume that $x \in \Sigma_{1}$. If $x$ is a vertex of $S_{1}$, then $x+S_{1}=S_{1}$ and therefore $x+S=x+\left(S_{1} \sqcup\left(v+S_{1}\right)\right)=\left(x+S_{1}\right) \cup\left(v+x+S_{1}\right)=S_{1} \cup\left(v+S_{1}\right)=S$, contradicting our assumption that $x$ is not a vertex of $S$.

Therefore $x$ cannot be a vertex of $S_{1}$ and thus by the induction hypothesis, the number of secants to $S_{1}$ through $x$ is $r\left(2^{t}\right)$ for some integer $r$.

Consider one of these secants to $S_{1},\{x, y, z\}$ where $y, z \in S_{1} \subset S$. The points $y^{\prime}:=y+v$ and $z^{\prime}=z+v$ lie in $S$. Then $x$ lies on the two secants to $S,\{x, y, z\}$ and $\left\{x, y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}\right\}$. Thus each secant of $S_{1}$ through $x$ gives rise to two secants to $S$ through $x$.

Conversely if $u^{\prime}, w^{\prime}, x$ is some secant line to $S$ not entirely contained in $\Sigma_{1}$ then we see that $u^{\prime}+v, w^{\prime}+v, x$ is a secant line to $S_{1}$ which is contained in $\Sigma_{1}$. Thus every secant line to $S$ through $x$ arises in the above manner from a secant line to $S_{1}$ through $x$.

Corollary 2.6. If $S=\phi\left(S_{1}\right)$ is a complete periodic cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$ with $n \geqslant 2$ then there are no faithful points for $S$.

Proof. The corollary follows easily from the preceding theorem and the fact that for $n \geqslant 2$ every complete cap has at least 4 points.

For emphasis we mention a special case of the above corollary. Let $S$ be a large complete cap in $\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$. Then by the result of [DT] described above, $S=\phi^{t}\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ for some $t \geqslant 0$ and some cap $S^{\prime}$ in $\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n-t, 2)$ with $\left|S^{\prime}\right|=2^{n-t-1}+1$. Therefore if $S \subset \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ is a large complete cap having a faithful point then $|S|=2^{n-1}+1$.

The following partial converse to the preceeding is proved in [BW, Theorem 13.8].

Proposition 2.7. If $S$ is a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$ with $|S|=2^{n-1}+1$ then there exists a faithful point $w$ for $S$.

We next consider how the black/white lift behaves when applied to complete caps.

Theorem 2.8. Let $S$ be a complete cap in $\Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ where $n \geqslant 2$ with $w$ a dependable point for $S$. Then the set $\psi_{w}(S)$ is a complete cap in $\widetilde{\Sigma}=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n+1,2)$.

Proof. We show that $\psi_{w}(S)$ is complete. Let $x^{\prime}$ be a point of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ not contained in $\psi_{w}(S)$. If $x^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ then $x^{\prime}$ lies on a secant to $S$ so we may suppose that $x^{\prime} \notin \Sigma$. The point $v$ lies on the secant line $\{v, y, y+v\}$ for every $y \in \mathscr{W}(w, S)$. Since $w$ is dependable we must have $\mathscr{W}(w, S) \neq \varnothing$ and thus $x^{\prime} \neq v$.

Consider the point $x=v+x^{\prime} \in \Sigma$. If $x \in S$ then $x \in \mathscr{B}(w, S)$ since $x^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. But then $x^{\prime}$ lies on the secant line to $\psi_{w}(S)$ given by $\left\{w^{\prime}, w+x, x^{\prime}\right\}$. Thus we may suppose that $x \notin S$. Now since $w$ is dependable for $S$ there exists $y \in \mathscr{W}(w, S) \backslash \mathscr{W}(x, S)$. Since $y \in \mathscr{W}(w, S)$, we have $y^{\prime}=y+v \in \psi_{w}(S)$. Since $y \notin \mathscr{W}(x, S)$, we have $y, x+y \in S$. Therefore $x^{\prime}$ lies on the secant line $\left\{(y+x), y^{\prime}, x^{\prime}\right\}$ to $\psi_{w}(S)$.

Now we are able to give an interesting application of our new construction. It is clear that if $A$ and $B$ are two distinct complete caps then $|A \cap B|$ $\leqslant|A|-1$. Here we show that this bound is actually attained, even when $A$ and $B$ contain a large number of points. To see this take any maximal cap $S$ having a faithful point $w$ and consider the two complete caps $\phi(S)$ and $\psi_{w}(S)$. We have that $\left|\phi(S) \cap \psi_{w}(S)\right|=\left|\psi_{w}(S)\right|-1=|\phi(S)|-2$.

## 3. PROPERTIES OF THE BLACK/WHITE LIFT

In Proposition 2.7 we pointed out the existence of faithful points for certain important caps (the so-called critical caps-see [BW, DT]). Our construction provides many examples of complete caps having many faithful points.

Proposition 3.1. Let $S \subset \Sigma=\mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ be a complete cap with $w$ a dependable point for $S$. Let $x \in \mathscr{B}(w, S)$ and write $x^{\prime}=v+x$ and $w^{\prime}=v+w$. Then $\mathscr{B}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi_{w}(S)\right)=\left\{w^{\prime}, w+x\right\}$. In other words, each point of $v+\mathscr{B}(w, S)$ is a faithful point of $\psi_{w}(S)$.

Proof. Since $x \in \mathscr{B}(w, S), x^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. Since $\psi_{w}(S)$ is a complete cap there exist two points $y, x^{\prime}+y \in \mathscr{B}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi_{w}(S)\right)$ with $y \in \Sigma$. Now $\{x, x+y, y\}$ is a line in $\Sigma$ with $x, y \in S$. Thus, $x+y \notin S$ even though $(x+y)+v=x^{\prime}+y \in \psi_{w}(S)$. Therefore, $x+y=w$ and $x^{\prime}+y=w^{\prime}$ and thus $y=w^{\prime}+x^{\prime}=w+x$. In other words, every secant to $\psi_{w}(S)$ through $x^{\prime}$ contains $w+x$, showing that there is only one secant, i.e., that $x^{\prime}$ is a faithful point for $\psi_{w}(S)$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $S \subset \Sigma=\mathbb{P} G(n, 2)$ be a cap and take $x \in \Sigma \backslash S$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathscr{W}\left(x, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \cap \Sigma=\mathscr{W}(x, S),  \tag{1}\\
& \mathscr{B}\left(x, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \cap \Sigma=\mathscr{B}(x, S) \text { and } \\
& \mathscr{B}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right)=\mathscr{B}(w, S) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (1) and (2) are left to the reader. For (3), assume by way of contradiction that we have $y^{\prime}, z^{\prime}=y^{\prime}+w \in \mathscr{B}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \backslash \Sigma$. Then both $y=y^{\prime}+v$ and $z=z^{\prime}+v$ must lie in $\mathscr{W}(w, S)$. But this cannot be because $y+z=w$.

Theorem 3.3. Let $S \subset \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ be a cap with a dependable point $w$. Form the black/white lift of $S, \psi_{w}(S) \subset \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n+1,2)$ using the apex $v$. Then $w$ is a dependable point for $\psi_{w}(S)$.

Proof. We proceed by contradiction. Thus we assume that there exists a point $x^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$ such that $\mathscr{W}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \subseteq \mathscr{W}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi_{w}(S)\right)$. If $x^{\prime} \in \Sigma$ then applying Proposition $3.2(1)$ we have $\mathscr{W}(w, S) \subseteq \mathscr{W}\left(x^{\prime}, S\right)$ violating the dependability of $w$ for $S$. Thus we must have $x^{\prime} \notin \Sigma$.

Now we show that $x^{\prime} \neq v$ as follows. Since $w$ is dependable, there exists $y \in \mathscr{W}(w, S)$. Then $y$ and $y^{\prime}=y+v$ both lie in $\psi_{w}(S)$ and thus $y \notin \mathscr{W}\left(v, \psi_{w}(S)\right)$. Therefore $x^{\prime}$ cannot be $v$ since $y \in \mathscr{W}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \backslash \mathscr{W}\left(v, \psi_{w}(S)\right.$.

Suppose that $x:=x^{\prime}+v \in S$. Since $w^{\prime}:=w+v \in \mathscr{W}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \subseteq$ $\mathscr{W}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi_{w}(S)\right), w^{\prime}+x^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. Thus $w+x \notin S$ which means that $x \in \mathscr{W}(w, S)$. Therefore $x^{\prime} \in \psi_{w}(S)$ by the definition of $\psi_{w}(S)$. This contradiction shows that $x \notin S$.

Finally we consider the case $x \notin S$. Since $w$ is dependable for $S$, there exists a point $y \in \mathscr{W}(w, S) \backslash \mathscr{W}(x, S)$. Thus $y \in S$ and $y+x \in S$ but $y+w \notin S$. Therefore $y^{\prime}=y+v \in \psi_{w}(S)$ and $y^{\prime}+w=(y+v)+w \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. This means that $y^{\prime} \in \mathscr{W}\left(w, \psi_{w}(S)\right) \subseteq \mathscr{W}\left(x^{\prime}, \psi_{w}(S)\right)$. Therefore $y+x=y^{\prime}+x^{\prime} \notin \psi_{w}(S)$. But we have already shown that $y+x \in S$. This contradiction completes the proof.

## 4. SMALL COMPLETE CAPS

The structure of all large complete caps is now known (see [BW, DT]). However, this is not so for small complete caps. Indeed not even the cardinalities which occur are known. Here we sketch an example which illustrates how black/white lifting can be exploited to construct small complete caps. In [FHW, p. 294] a cap $C_{3} \subset \mathbb{P G}(5,2)$ of cardinality 12 is exhibited. As is easily verified, $C_{3}$ can be extended to only one complete cap, $\mathscr{H} \subset \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(5,2)$ and this cap has cardinality 13 . The cap, $\mathscr{H}$, contains many faithful points. Let $w$ denote one of these. We define new small complete caps via $\mathscr{H}_{5}:=\mathscr{H}$ and $\mathscr{H}_{i+1}:=\psi_{w}\left(\mathscr{H}_{i}\right)=\psi_{w}^{i-4}(\mathscr{H})$ for $i \geqslant 5$. Thus $\mathscr{H}_{n} \subset \mathbb{P G}(n, 2)$ with $\left|\mathscr{H}_{n}\right|=$ $3\left(2^{n-3}\right)+1$ and $|\mathbb{P G}(n, 2)|=2^{n+1}-1$ for $n \geqslant 5$. By Theorem 2.8 these new caps $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ are all complete.

Note that in the above construction we could have instead chosen a different faithful or dependable point for each lift.

Furthermore there exist dependable points $w_{0}$ for $\mathscr{H}$ with $|\mathscr{B}(w, \mathscr{H})|=6$. In light of Theorem 3.3, using such a point $w_{0}$ in the role of $w$ in the above construction we obtain complete caps $\psi_{w_{0}}^{n}(\mathscr{H}) \subset \mathbb{P} \mathbb{G}(n, 2)$ with $\left|\psi_{w_{0}}^{n}(\mathscr{H})\right|$ $=2^{n-2}+5$.

## REFERENCES

[BW] A. A. Bruen and D. L. Wehlau, Long binary linear codes and large caps in projective space, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 17 (1999), 37-60.
[DT] A. A. Davydov and L. M. Tombak, Quasiperfect linear binary codes with distance 4 and complete caps in projective geometry, Problems Inform. Transmission 25, No. 4 (1990), 265-275.
[FHW] J. Fugère, L. Haddad, and D. Wehlau, 5-Chromatic Steiner triple systems, J. Combin. Des. 2, No. 5 (1994), 287-299.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Research partially supported by NSERC and ARP.

