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#### Abstract

We consider a problem motivated by the design of Asynchronous transfer mode (ATM) networks. Given a physical network and an all-to-all traffic, the problem consists in designing a virtual network with a given diameter, which can be embedded in the physical one with a minimum congestion (the congestion is the maximum load of a physical link). Here we solve the problem when the physical network is a ring. We give an almost optimal solution for diameter 2 and bounds for large diameters.
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## 1. Introduction

The following study was motivated by a question asked by France Telecom R\&D concerning ATM networks. In such networks, the traffic requests (or demands) are routed via virtual paths (VPs) (see the book on ATM [2]). This set of virtual paths has to be chosen to satisfy two goals. On the one hand, one wants to minimize the hop count (number of VPs which are used to route a request). Indeed, the hop count determines the data transfer rate and delay of communications, as time consuming software computation has to be performed when packets are switched from one VP to another VP. On the other hand, the virtual paths have to be routed (embedded) in the physical network. To minimize the bandwidth and the cost of the overall system, one needs to minimize the load or congestion that is, the number of VPs sharing the same physical link. These two minimization objectives are contradictory. In fact, the problem can be formulated for general networks, where the traffic is routed in a virtual (or logical) network that has to be embedded in a physical network. The design of a virtual topology (network, graph) with given hop count and load is a difficult problem which has been considered by many authors and is called the virtual path layout (VPL) design problem. We follow the model introduced in [9,11] and refer the reader to the survey of Zaks [13] for more details.

Telecommunication networks are usually modeled by symmetric digraphs, and requests or demands are directed (from a source to a destination). Here, we suppose that, when there is a request from $s$ to $d$, there exists also a request from $d$ to $s$, and that furthermore the opposite request takes the same route (but backward) as the original one. That is the case for many telecommunication networks used in practice. So we will model the physical network by an undirected graph $G$. Then we will consider the case of an all-to-all traffic, that is there is one request for each pair of nodes. In that case the maximum hop count corresponds to the diameter of the virtual graph. The VPL problem consists in finding, if possible, a virtual graph of given

[^0]diameter which can be embedded with a given maximum load in $G$. One can consider two optimization problems: in the first one the maximum load is given and one wants to minimize the diameter (see for example [5]). Here we consider the dual problem where $D$ is fixed and we want to design a virtual graph with diameter at most $D$ such that the maximum load is minimized. Optimal solutions for this problem when $G$ is a path can be found in [6]. In this paper, we consider the case where the physical graph is a cycle (ring). Results concerning other physical graphs and set of requests can be found in $[4,6,9,11]$. We first give a precise model of the problem. Then we solve the case when the diameter of the virtual graph is 2 , showing that the minimum load is roughly $n / 3$, where $n$ is the number of vertices of the cycle, and give tight bounds for larger diameters of the virtual graph.

## 2. A model of the problem

Let $G=(V, E)$ and $H=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ be two undirected graphs with the same set of vertices $V$. An embedding $P$ of $H$ in $G$ consists in associating to each edge $e$ of $H$ an elementary path $P(e)$ (with the same endpoints as $e$ ) in $G$. So $P$ is a mapping of $E^{\prime}$ into the set of paths in $G$. The couple $(H, P)$ is called a VPL on $G$. $G$ is called the physical graph and $H$ is called the virtual graph. The edges of $G$ are called physical edges and the edges of $H$ are called virtual edges. The load of a physical edge $e$ of the graph $G=(V, E)$ for the VPL $(H, P)$, denoted by $\pi(G, H, P, e)$ is the number of paths of $P\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ which use the physical edge $e$ : $\pi(G, H, P, e)=\mid\left\{e^{\prime} \in E^{\prime}\right.$ s.t. $\left.e \in P\left(e^{\prime}\right)\right\} \mid$. The maximum load is denoted by $\pi(G, H, P)=\max _{e \in E}\{\pi(G, H, P, e)\}$.

Let $H$ be a virtual graph on $G ; H$ can be embedded in several ways; we will denote by $\pi(G, H)$ the minimum of $\pi(G, H, P)$ over all $P$. As we said in the introduction we want the diameter of $H$ to be at most $D$. Our aim is to find a virtual graph $H$ which has the minimum value $\pi(G, H)$ among all the graphs with diameter at most $D$. We will denote this minimum value by $\pi(G, D)$. Finally, we will take as physical graph $G$ the cycle $C_{n}$ with $n$ vertices.

If $D=1$ there is only one possible virtual graph, namely the complete graph and $\pi(G, 1)$ is related to what is called the edge-forwarding index [12]. The authors of [8] or [12] consider undirected graphs with all-to-all traffic but with one request per couple (not pair), so their bound is roughly the double of $\pi(G, 1)$. Anyway, it is not difficult to show that $\pi\left(C_{2 p}, 1\right)=\left\lceil\frac{p^{2}+1}{2}\right\rceil$ and $\pi\left(C_{2 p+1}, 1\right)=\left\lceil\frac{p(p+1)}{2}\right\rceil$ (see for example [9]). This result can also be deduced from [1]. Indeed in the case of cycles, it is shown in [3] that $\pi(G, 1)$ is also equal to $w(G)$, the minimum number of wavelengths needed to route the all-to-all traffic in a wavelength division multiplexing (WDM) network.
3. Case $D=2$

We have the following theorem.

## Theorem 1.

$$
\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant \pi\left(C_{n}, 2\right) \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor .
$$

Observe that when $n$ equals 1 modulo 3 , the bounds are equal, and so $\pi\left(C_{3 p+1}, 2\right)=p$. We conjecture that the exact value of $\pi\left(C_{n}, 2\right)$ is in fact the upper bound (that is, the proposed virtual graph $H$ is optimal), as soon as $n \geqslant 6$. Indeed for $n=5, H=C_{5}$ has diameter 2 so $\pi\left(C_{5}, 2\right)=1$.

Conjecture 2. For $n \geqslant 6$,

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, 2\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor
$$

### 3.1. Proposed virtual topology

The virtual graph $H$ is constructed as follows: we split the set of vertices into 3 consecutive intervals of same size (or almost the same size) and join each vertex to the end vertices of the interval to which it belongs. More precisely, the virtual edges are:

- for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1,[i, 0]$ and $\left[i,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$,
- for $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1,\left[i,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$ and $\left[i, n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$,
- for $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor+1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1,\left[i, n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$ and $[i, 0]$,
- plus the two edges $\left[0,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$ and $\left[0, n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor\right]$.


Fig. 1. Virtual graph of diameter 2 and embedding load 8 on $C_{24}$.


Fig. 2. Sets $P_{0}, P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$.

The path in the physical graph associated to each virtual edge is defined as the shortest path between the corresponding vertices (there is no virtual edge between two antipodal nodes, so the shortest path is unique). The load of an edge [i,i+1] with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$ or $n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ is $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$. The load of another edge $[i, i+1]$ with $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant i \leqslant n-\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$ is $n-2\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor-1$. So in all cases there is an edge with load $\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor$. Fig. 1 describes this construction for $n=24$.

### 3.2. Proof of optimality

Consider a virtual graph $H$ of diameter 2 for which the maximum load of the embedding in the cycle is minimized and equal to $\pi$. We partition the vertex set $V$ of $C_{n}$ into 3 sets $P_{i}(i=0,1,2)$, the indices being taken modulo 3 , with almost the same size $\left(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant\left|P_{i}\right| \leqslant\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil\right)$. For example, if $n=3 p+h, h=0,1,2,\left|P_{0}\right|=p,\left|P_{1}\right|=p+\left\lfloor\frac{h}{2}\right\rfloor,\left|P_{2}\right|=p+\left\lceil\frac{h}{2}\right\rceil$. We denote by $e_{i}$ the edge between the sets $P_{i}$ and $P_{i+1}$. Fig. 2, describes the sets $P_{i}$ and the edges $e_{i}$. Let the residual graph, denoted $R(H)$, be the graph with the same vertex set as $C_{n}$ and containing only the edges of $H$ joining two vertices in two distinct $P_{i}$. We denote by $e\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)$ the number of edges of $R(H)$ which join a vertex of $P_{i}$ to a vertex of $P_{j}$. The path in $C_{n}$ associated to a virtual edge can be either clockwise or counterclockwise. So we do not have necessarily $\pi \geqslant e\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)$. However the path associated to an edge of $R(H)$ incident to a vertex of $P_{i}$ uses one of the physical edge $e_{i}$ or $e_{i-1}$. So we have, for all distinct $i, j, k$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)+e\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right) \leqslant 2 \pi \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(P_{0}, P_{1}\right)+e\left(P_{1}, P_{2}\right)+e\left(P_{2}, P_{0}\right) \leqslant 3 \pi \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish three cases:
Case 1: There is at least one vertex of degree 0 in $R(H)$. Let $P_{i}$ be the set containing this vertex. In $R(H)$, all vertices of $P_{j}$ and $P_{k}$ must have a neighbor in $P_{i}$ to achieve the maximum distance of 2 to this vertex. Thus we have $e\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)+e\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right) \geqslant\left|P_{j}\right|+$ $\left|P_{k}\right|$. According to (1), if $n=3 p$ or $3 p+1$, we have $\pi \geqslant p$ and if $n=3 p+2$, we have $\pi \geqslant p+1$; in each case, we have $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Case 2: There is a set $P_{i}$ such that all its vertices have degree at least 2 in $R(H)$. Then we have $e\left(P_{i}, P_{j}\right)+e\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right) \geqslant 2\left|P_{i}\right|$ and, according to (1), $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Case 3: Otherwise, each set $P_{i}$ contains at least one vertex of degree 1 in $R(H)$ and no vertex of degree 0 . Recall that a connected component of $k$ vertices has at least $k-1$ edges. We will show that all the connected components of $R(H)$ except perhaps three of them have in fact as many edges as vertices, so the number of edges of $R(H)$ will be at least $n-3$ and by (2), $3 \pi \geqslant n-3$, therefore $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Notation. We denote by $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ the subset of $P_{i}$ containing the vertices which are only adjacent to $P_{j}$ in $R(H)$.
Remark 3. Note that each vertex of $P_{i}$ of degree 1 (in $\left.R(H)\right)$ belongs to $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ or $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$.
Suppose that there exist $x \in\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $y \in\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$. By definition, $x$ does not have any neighbor in $P_{k}$ and $y$ does not have any neighbor in $P_{j}$; so to achieve the maximum distance of 2 in $H$ between $x$ and $y$, these vertices must have a common neighbor in $P_{i}$ in $H$ and then also in $R(H)$. Thus $x$ and $y$ are in the same connected component in $R(H)$ and we have the following property:

If $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ are both non-empty then the vertices of $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ are in the same
connected component of $R(H)$.

We distinguish two sub-cases:
Case 3.1. The six sets $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ are non-empty.
Property (3) implies that all the vertices of degree 1 are in at most three connected components of $R(H)$. These three components have a number of edges at least equal to their number of vertices minus one. All the other connected components have only vertices of degree at least 2 , so the total number of edges in $R(H)$ is at least $n-3$. We have $3 \pi \geqslant n-3$ and thus $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Case 3.2. There is an empty set $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$.
Then each vertex of $P_{i}$ is connected (in $R(H)$ ) to a vertex of $P_{k}$ so $e\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right) \geqslant\left|P_{i}\right|$. Furthermore, by Remark 3, the set $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$ is nonempty.

If $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$ is empty, then each vertex of $P_{j}$ has at least one neighbor in $P_{i}$; so $e\left(P_{j}, P_{i}\right) \geqslant\left|P_{j}\right|$ and $e\left(P_{i}, P_{k}\right)+$ $e\left(P_{j}, P_{i}\right) \geqslant\left|P_{i}\right|+\left|P_{j}\right|$. Then (1) implies that $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor$. With the same argument, we have the following fact: if $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ or $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ is empty, then $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor$.

Otherwise $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\},\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow \stackrel{P}{P}_{k}\right\},\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ are all non-empty and Property (3) gives us that $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$, $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\},\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$ are in at most two connected components of $R(H)$.

Now it remains to consider the vertices of $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ if any. Let $x$ in $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ and $y$ one of its neighbor in $P_{j}$. If $y$ has no neighbor in $P_{i}$ then $y$ is in the set $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$ and $x$ belongs to the same connected component (in $R(H)$ ) that $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$. Otherwise, if $y$ has a neighbor $z$ in $P_{i}$, as $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{j}\right\}$ is empty, $z$ has a neighbor $t$ in $P_{k}$. If $t$ does not have a neighbor in $P_{j}$, then $t$ belongs to $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and then $t, x$ and $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ are in the same connected component, otherwise $t$ has a neighbor $u$ in $P_{j}$, and so on. So two cases can happen: $x$ belongs to one of the two connected components formed by the sets $\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$, $\left\{P_{k} \rightarrow P_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{P_{i} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\},\left\{P_{j} \rightarrow P_{k}\right\}$, or $x$ is in a connected component with a cycle and then the connected component that contains $x$ has at least the same number of edges as the number of vertices. Finally, like in Case 3.1, all the other connected components of $R(H)$ have only vertices of degree 2 . So altogether the total number of edges of $R(H)$ is at least $n-2$, and thus $3 \pi \geqslant n-2$, i.e., $\pi \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n}{3}\right\rfloor$ Table 1 .

Table 1
Summary of upper and lower bounds according to the value of $n$ in all cases

| $n$ | Upper bound | Lower bound |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | Case 1 | Case 2 | Case 3.1 |
| $n=3 p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p-1$ |
| $n=3 p+1$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ | $p$ |
| $n=3 p+2$ | $p+1$ | $p+1$ | $p$ | $p$ |

## 4. Case $D \geqslant 3$

Here we give upper and lower bounds on $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)$ when $D \geqslant 3$.
Theorem 4 (Lower bound).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } D \text { is even, then }\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2 / D} n^{2 / D}\right\rfloor \leqslant \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \\
& \text { If } D \text { is odd, then }\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 / D} n^{2 / D}\right\rfloor \leqslant \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof can be obtained by induction: the inequality is valid for $D=1$ as $\pi\left(C_{n}, 1\right) \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{8}\right\rfloor$ (Section 2) and is valid for $D=2$ as $\pi\left(C_{n}, 2\right) \geqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rfloor$ (Theorem 1). We split the cycle $C_{n}$ into $k$ consecutive paths $P_{i}$ of balanced size ( $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil$ ). If there exists some $i$ such that all the vertices of $P_{i}$ have a neighbor in the virtual graph outside $P_{i}$, then the load is at least $\left\lceil\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor / 2\right\rceil$ because each virtual edge going out of $P_{i}$ uses one of the two possible physical edges. If no such $i$ exists, then for all $i$, there is at least one vertex of $P_{i}$ which has no neighbor in the virtual graph outside $P_{i}$. Since the diameter of the virtual graph is at most $D$, we deduce that the virtual graph obtained by merging each $P_{i}$ into one vertex $c_{i}$ has a diameter smaller than or equal to $D-2$. In this case, the load $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)$ is greater than $\pi\left(C_{k}, D-2\right)$.

- If $D$ is even, then $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \geqslant \max _{k}\left\{\min \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2 k}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2 /(D-2)} k^{2 /(D-2)}\right\rfloor\right\}\right\}$. We choose $k_{\max }$ such that $\frac{n}{2 k_{\max }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2 /(D-2)}$ $k_{\max }^{2 /(D-2)}$. So we have $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{2 / D} n^{2 / D}\right\rfloor \leqslant \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)$.
- If $D$ is odd, then $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \geqslant \max _{k}\left\{\min \left\{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2 k}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 /(D-2)} k^{2 /(D-2)}\right\rfloor\right\}\right\}$. We choose $k_{\max }$ such that $\frac{n}{2 k_{\max }}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 /(D-2)}$ $k_{\max }^{2 /(D-2)}$. So we have $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2 / D} n^{2 / D}\right\rfloor \leqslant \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)$.

Theorem 5 (Upper bound). If $D$ is even, $D=2 p$, then

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{p}{2(p-1)}\left(\frac{2}{3}(p-1)!(p-1)\right)^{1 / p} n^{2 / 2 p}+o\left(n^{2 / 2 p}\right)
$$

If $D$ is odd, $D=2 p+1$, then

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{2 p+1}{4 p}(p!)^{2 /(2 p+1)}\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1 /(2 p+1)} n^{2 /(2 p+1)}+o\left(n^{2 /(2 p+1)}\right)
$$

Proof. In [6] it is shown that, for any integer $D$ and a path $P_{n}$ of $n$ vertices, $\pi\left(P_{n}, D\right)$ is of order $n^{2 / D}$. Thus, we know that $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)$ is of order at most $n^{2 / D}$. To prove our bound, we construct a virtual graph of diameter $D$ as follows:

Let $k$ and $D_{0}$ be two integers, $k<n$ and $D_{0}<D / 2$. We split the cycle $C_{n}$ into $k$ consecutive paths of balanced size ( $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor$ or $\left.\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil\right)$, see Fig. 3. For each of these paths, we use an optimal virtual graph in which one of the centers of this path is of eccentricity $D_{0}$, we denote by $\pi_{O A}\left(P_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{k}\right\rfloor}, D_{0}\right)$ and $\pi_{O A}\left(P_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil}, D_{0}\right)$ the corresponding optimal loads ( $O A$ means One-to-All or broadcast traffic). Then we construct on the physical cycle formed by the $k$ paths (contracted in one of their centers) an optimal virtual graph $H^{\prime}$ with diameter at most $D-2 D_{0}$. Finally, the virtual graph $H$ is the union of $H^{\prime}$ and the virtual graphs on the $k$ paths. As the virtual graphs on two paths will not be embedded using the same physical edges, the maximum load will be


Fig. 3. Construction of a virtual graph of diameter $D$ on the cycle.
at most $\pi\left(C_{k}, D-2 D_{0}\right)+\pi\left(P_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil}, D_{0}\right)$, so

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \pi\left(C_{k}, D-2 D_{0}\right)+\pi_{O A}\left(P_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil}, D_{0}\right)
$$

In [10] the authors have shown that the maximum number of vertices of a path $P$ such that there exists a virtual graph $H$ on $P$ with $\pi(P, H)=c$, and which makes the eccentricity of its centers at most $D_{0}$, is $\sum_{l=0}^{\min \left\{c, D_{0}\right\}}{ }_{2}{ }_{l}^{l}\left({ }_{l}^{c}\right)\left({ }_{l}^{D_{0}}\right)$, so we can deduce that

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(D_{0}!\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil\right)^{1 / D_{0}}-\frac{D_{0}}{2} \leqslant \pi_{O A}\left(P_{\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil}, D_{0}\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(D_{0}!\left\lceil\frac{n}{k}\right\rceil\right)^{1 / D_{0}}+1
$$

Now we need to determine the best choices for $k$ and $D_{0}$. As we only want to have asymptotic bounds, we do not care about the ceiling function. For $D=3$ the only possible choice is $D_{0}=1$ and $D-2 D_{0}=1$. As $\pi_{O A}\left(P_{\frac{n}{k}}, 1\right)=\frac{n}{2 k}$ and $\pi\left(C_{k}, 1\right)=\frac{k^{2}}{8}+o\left(k^{2}\right)$, an easy computation shows that the optimal $k$ is $(2 n)^{1 / 3}$ which gives $\pi\left(C_{n}, 3\right) \leqslant \frac{3}{2^{7 / 3}} n^{2 / 3}+o\left(n^{2 / 3}\right)$. For $D=4$, then necessarily $D_{0}=1$ and $D-2 D_{0}=2$, and we obtain the optimal $k=\sqrt{\frac{3 n}{2}}$, and $\pi\left(C_{n}, 4\right) \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{2 n}{3}}$. Table 2 gives the best possible values of the load for $D \leqslant 7$.

Computation (and intuition) indicates that for any $D$, the best choice is $D_{0}=\left\lfloor\frac{D-1}{2}\right\rfloor$, using this value we obtain the following upper bounds:

- If $D=2 p$ even, $D_{0}=p-1, \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \min _{k}\left\{\pi_{O A}\left(P_{n / k}, p-1\right)+\pi\left(C_{k}, 2\right)\right\}$ so

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{(p-1)!n}{k}\right)^{1 /(p-1)}+\frac{k}{3}
$$

Computation shows that the best choice of $k$ is

$$
\left(\frac{3}{2(p-1)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}((p-1)!n)^{1 / p}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{p}{2(p-1)}\left(\frac{2}{3}(p-1)!(p-1)\right)^{1 / p} n^{2 / 2 p}+o\left(n^{2 / 2 p}\right)
$$

Table 2
Best known load on the cycle according to the diameter $D$ of the virtual graph

| $D$ | $D_{0}$ | $D-2 D_{0}$ | $\pi_{O A}\left(P_{n / k}, D_{0}\right)$ | Best known load on the cycle for $D-2 D_{0}$ | Best known load on the cycle for $D$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3 | 1 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{k}$ | $\frac{k^{2}}{8}$ | $\frac{3}{2^{7 / 3}} n^{2 / 3}$ |
| 4 | 1 | 2 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{k}$ | $\frac{k}{3}$ | $\frac{6^{1 / 2}}{3} n^{1 / 2}$ |
| 5 | 1 | 3 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{k}$ | $\frac{3}{8} 2^{2 / 3} k^{2 / 3}$ | $\frac{5}{8} 2^{4 / 5} n^{2 / 5}$ |
|  | 2 | 1 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $\frac{k^{2}}{8}$ | $\frac{5}{8} 2^{2 / 5} n^{2 / 5}$ |
| 6 | 1 | 4 | $\frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{k}$ | $\frac{6^{1 / 2}}{3} k^{1 / 2}$ | $\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{2 / 3} n^{1 / 3}$ |
|  | 2 | 2 | $\left.\frac{1}{3} \frac{2 n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $\frac{5}{8} 2^{2 / 5} k^{2 / 5}$ | $\frac{3^{2 / 3}}{2} n^{1 / 3}$ |
| 7 | 1 | 5 | $\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2 n}{k}\right)^{1 / 2}$ | $\frac{3}{2} k^{2 / 3}$ | $\frac{7}{8} 2^{4 / 7} n^{2 / 7}$ |
|  | 2 | 3 | $\cdots$ | $\frac{k^{2}}{8}$ | $\frac{7}{8} 2^{4 / 7} n^{2 / 7}$ |
|  | 3 | 1 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\frac{7}{12} 2^{1 / 7} 3^{3 / 7} n^{2 / 7}$ |
|  | $\ldots$ |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |

- If $D=2 p+1$ odd, $D_{0}=p, \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \min _{k}\left\{\pi_{O A}\left(P_{n / k}, p\right)+\pi\left(C_{k}, 1\right)\right\}$.

$$
\text { So } \pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p!n}{k}\right)^{1 / p}+\frac{k^{2}}{8}
$$

Computation shows that the best choice of $k$ is $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right)^{p /(2 p+1)}(p!n)^{1 /(2 p+1)}$. Therefore, $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right) \leqslant \frac{2 p+1}{4 p} p!^{2 /(2 p+1)}$ $\left(\frac{p}{2}\right)^{1 /(2 p+1)} n^{2 /(2 p+1)}+o\left(n^{2 /(2 p+1)}\right)$.

Remark 6. In the context of optical networks, one may also want to determine $w(G, D)$, the minimum number of optical wavelengths (or colors) such that there exists a $V P L(H, P)$ on $G$ of diameter at most $D$ and $w(G, D)$ colors are needed to color the paths associated to the virtual edges of $H$ in such a way that two paths using the same physical edge have different colors. Clearly $\pi(G, D) \leqslant w(G, D)$. For $G=C_{n}$, it is known (see [3]) that $\pi\left(C_{n}, 1\right)=w\left(C_{n}, 1\right)$. We conjecture that $\pi\left(C_{n}, D\right)=w\left(C_{n}, D\right)$. Note that all the $V P L$ given in this paper can easily be colored with a number of colors equal to the maximum load. So for $D=2,\left\lfloor\frac{n-1}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant w\left(C_{n}, 2\right) \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{n+1}{3}\right\rfloor$. For more details on the determination of $w(G, D)$, see [7] where a family of graphs $G_{k}$ such that $w\left(G_{k}, 3\right)=\pi\left(G_{k}, 3\right)+k^{2}$ (with $k$ as large as we want) is exhibited. However, the equality $w(G, 1)=\pi(G, 1)$ remains an unsolved problem (see [3]).

## 5. Conclusion

We answered a problem arising from the design of ATM networks: the embedding of a virtual graph of diameter $D$ in the cycle, with the lowest possible load. We gave the quasi-optimal topology of diameter 2 and its embedding in the cycle of $n$ vertices. We proved that this topology is optimal for $n=3 p+1$ and differs at most by 1 from the optimal in other cases. It will be interesting to prove Conjecture 2. Diameter 2 allowed us to fix many properties on the virtual graph, and implied a constrained solution. The problem with a greater diameter $D$ seems more difficult to solve with exact precision since the topology of the virtual graph is less constrained. To answer the problem in this latter scenario, we gave lower and upper bounds for the lowest load of the all-to-all communication pattern realized in the cycle within $D$ hops, and provided the corresponding constructive solution.
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