# Feedback numbers of de Bruijn digraphs ${ }^{\text {* }}$ 

Xirong Xu ${ }^{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}}$, Yongchang Cao ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$, Jun-Ming Xu ${ }^{\mathrm{b}, *}$, Yezhou $\mathrm{Wu}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$<br>${ }^{a}$ Department of Computer Science, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, China<br>${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Department of Mathematics, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei, Anhui, 230026, China

## A R T I C L E I N F O

## Article history:

Received 20 March 2008
Received in revised form 21 May 2009
Accepted 20 August 2009

## Keywords:

Feedback vertex set
Feedback number
de Bruijn digraphs
Cycles
Acyclic subgraph


#### Abstract

A subset of vertices of a graph $G$ is called a feedback vertex set of $G$ if its removal results in an acyclic subgraph. Let $f(d, n)$ denote the minimum cardinality over all feedback vertex sets of the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$. This paper proves that for any integers $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$ $$
f(d, n)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right) & \text { for } 2 \leq n \leq 4 ; \\ \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) & \text { for } n \geq 5,\end{cases}
$$


where $i \mid n$ means $i$ divides $n$, and $\varphi(i)$ is the Euler totient function.
© 2009 Published by Elsevier Ltd

## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph or digraph without multiple edges, with vertex set $V(G)$ and edge set $E(G)$. It is well known that the cycle rank of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of edges that must be removed in order to eliminate all of the cycles in the graph. That is, if $G$ has $v$ vertices, $\varepsilon$ edges, and $\omega$ connected components, then the minimum number of edges whose deletion from $G$ leaves an acyclic graph equals the cycle rank (or Betti number) $\rho(G)=\varepsilon-v+\omega$.

A corresponding problem is the removal of vertices. A subset $F \subset V(G)$ is called a feedback vertex set if the subgraph $G-F$ is acyclic, that is, if $G-F$ is a forest. The minimum cardinality of a feedback vertex set is called the feedback number (or decycling number proposed first by Beineke and Vandell [1]) of G. A feedback vertex set of this cardinality is called a minimum feedback vertex set.

Determining the feedback number of a graph $G$ is equivalent to finding the greatest order of an induced forest of $G$ proposed first by Erdős, Saks and Sós [2], since the sum of the two numbers equals the order of G. A review of recent results and open problems on the decycling number is provided by Bau and Beineke [3].

Apart from its graph-theoretical interest, the minimum feedback vertex set problem has important applications to several fields; for example, in operating systems to resource allocation mechanisms that prevent deadlocks [4], in artificial intelligence to the constraint satisfaction problem and Bayesian inference, in synchronous distributed systems to the study of monopolies and in optical networks to converters' placement problem (see [5,6]).

The minimum feedback set problem is known to be NP-hard for general graphs [7] and the best known approximation algorithm is one with an approximation ratio two [8]. Determining the feedback number is quite difficult even for some elementary graphs. However, the problem has been studied for some special graphs and digraphs, such as hypercubes, meshes, toroids, butterflies, cube-connected cycles, directed split-stars (see [5,6,8-16]).
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Fig. 1. de Bruijn digraphs $B(2,1), B(2,2)$ and $B(2,3)$.
The de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ has the words of length $n \geq 1$ over an alphabet of size $d \geq 1$ as vertices and there is a directed edge from $x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ to $y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{n}$ if and only if $y_{i}=x_{i+1}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. The Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$, $d \geq 2$, is defined as the subdigraph of $B(d+1, n)$ induced by the words with different consecutive symbols.

As a topological architecture of interconnection networks, the de Bruijn digraph and the Kautz digraph have many attractive features superior to the hypercube, such as it is regular, Eulerian, Hamiltonian, and has small diameter, optimal connectivity, simple recursive structure, simple routing algorithm, contains some other useful topologies as its subgraphs (see, for example, Section 3.3 in [17]) and, thus, been thought of as a good candidate for the next generation of parallel system architectures, after the hypercube network [18].

Královič and Rǔzička [19] proved that the feedback number of the de Bruijn undirected graph $U B(2, n)$, obtained from $B(2, n)$ by deleting the orientation of all edges and omitting multiple edges and loops, is $\left\lceil\frac{2^{n}-2}{3}\right\rceil$. Recently, Xu et al. [20] have determined the exact values of the feedback number of the Kautz digraph $K(d, n)$ for $1 \leq n \leq 7$ and the asymptotical values for $n \geq 8$.

In this paper, we consider the feedback number of the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$. Use $f(d, n)$ to denote the feedback number of $B(d, n)$. By refining and developing the method in [20], we can show the result as follows. For any integers $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$

$$
f(d, n)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right) & \text { for } 2 \leq n \leq 4 \\ \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) & \text { for } n \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

where $i \mid n$ means $i$ divides $n$, and $\varphi(i)$ is the Euler totient function, that is, $\varphi(1)=1$ and $\varphi(i)=i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{j}}\right)$ for $i \geq 2$, where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ are the distinct prime factors of $i$, not equal to 1 .

The proof of the result is in Section 3. In Section 2, we give the definition of the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$, construct a feedback vertex set of $B(d, n)$ and gives several lemmas.

## 2. Feedback vertex sets

In this section, our main aim is to construct two important sets $\Phi(d, n)$ and $F(d, n)$ in $B(d, n)$, respectively, where the former is a set of some cycles in $B(d, n)$ and the latter is a feedback vertex set of $B(d, n)$ for $n \geq 2$, and then to show that the feedback number $f(d, n)$ of $B(d, n)$ satisfies $f(d, n)=|\Phi(d, n)|$ for $2 \leq n \leq 4$ and $|\Phi(d, n)| \leq f(d, n) \leq|F(d, n)|$ for $n \geq 5$. The main idea and methods is essentially due to Xu et al. [20].

Definition 2.1. The de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)(d \geq 2, n \geq 1)$ is defined as follows. The vertex set of $B(d, n)$ is

$$
V(d, n)=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}: x_{i} \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}, 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} .
$$

There are $d$ arcs from one vertex $X=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}$ to $d$ other vertices $Y=x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n} \alpha$, where $\alpha \in\{1,2, \ldots, d\}$.
The digraphs showed in Fig. 1 are $B(2,1), B(2,2)$ and $B(2,3)$, respectively.
It is clear that the de Bruijn digraph $B(d, n)$ is $d$-regular and has order $d^{n}$. Moreover, $B(d, n)$ has $d$ cycles of length one, i.e. self-loops, each one of them is at the vertex $\underbrace{i i i \ldots i i}_{n}$ for each $i=1,2, \ldots, d$. Let

$$
V^{\prime}(d, n)=\{\underbrace{i i i \ldots i i}_{n} \in V(d, n): i=1,2, \ldots, d\} .
$$

It is clear that $V^{\prime}(d, n)$ is included in any feedback vertex set of $B(d, n)$ since any vertex in $V^{\prime}(d, n)$ is incident with a selfloop. Since $B(d, 1)$ is a flowered complete digraph $K_{d}^{+}$obtained from a complete digraph $K_{d}$ by appending a self-loop at each vertex, there are no non-trivial feedback vertex sets in $K_{d}^{+}$. Thus, we assume $n \geq 2$ below.

Definition 2.2. Define a mapping $\phi_{n}: V(d, n) \rightarrow V(d, n)$ subject to

$$
\phi_{n}(X)=x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n} x_{1}, \quad \text { for } X=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n} \in V(d, n) .
$$

It is clear that $\phi_{n}$ is a bijective mapping. For any $X=x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n} \in V(d, n), \phi_{n}^{n}(X)=X$, there exists a smallest positive integer $t$, denoted by ind $(X)$, such that $\phi_{n}^{t}(X)=X$, which means that $t$ divides $j$ for any positive integer $j$ such that $\phi_{n}^{j}(X)=X$.

Moreover, $[X]_{\phi_{n}}=\left(X, \phi_{n}(X), \ldots, \phi_{n}^{t-1}(X), X\right)$ is a directed cycle in $B(d, n)$ for any $X \in V(d, n)$ and is equivalent to $\left(\phi_{n}^{i}(X), \phi_{n}^{i+1}(X), \ldots, \phi_{n}^{t-1}(X), X, \ldots, \phi_{n}^{i-1}(X), \phi_{n}^{i}(X)\right)$ for any integer $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, where $t=$ ind ( $X$ ). For short, we will replace $[X]_{\phi_{n}}$ by $[X]$ in the following discussion. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(d, n)=\{[X]: X \in V(d, n)\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. Let $F$ be a minimum feedback vertex set in $B(d, n), d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Then

$$
|F| \geq|\Phi(d, n)| \geq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n}-d}{n}\right\rceil+d
$$

the equality $|F|=|\Phi(d, n)|$ holds if and only if $|F \cap[X]|=1$. Moreover, $|\Phi(d, n)| \geq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n}-d^{2}}{n}\right\rceil+d+\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$ if $n$ is even.
Proof. Let $F$ be a minimum feedback vertex set in $B(d, n)$. Then $F$ must contain at least one vertex of every cycle in $\Phi(d, n)$ and, hence, $|F| \geq|\Phi(d, n)|$. It is clear that $|F|=|\Phi(d, n)| \Leftrightarrow|F \cap[X]|=1$.

It is clear that $[X]=[Y]$ or $[X] \cap[Y]=\emptyset$ for any two cycles $[X]$ and $[Y]$ in $\Phi(d, n)$. Since $B(d, n)$ is $(d-1)$ strongly connected, each vertex of $B(d, n)$ must lie on a cycle. These two facts mean that the vertex sets of cycles in $\Phi(d, n)$ is a partition of $V(d, n)$. Since $d$ cycles in $\Phi(d, n)$ have length one, which contain $d$ vertices, and otherwise have length at most $n,|\Phi(d, n)| \geq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n}-d}{n}\right\rceil+d$.

Note that if $n$ is even, let $X=i j i j \cdots i j i j$ with $i \neq j$, then $[X] \in \Phi(d, n)$ and $\phi_{n}^{2}(X)=X$, that is, the length of $[X]$ is two. There are $\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$ such cycle $[X]$ 's, which contain $d(d-1)$ vertices for $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$. Thus, $|\Phi(d, n)| \geq\left\lceil\frac{d^{n}-d^{2}}{n}\right\rceil+d+\frac{1}{2} d(d-1)$. The theorem follows.

The lower bound of $f(d, n)$ given in Theorem 2.1 is best possible in view of $B(2,3)$. For example, see Fig. $1, f(2,3) \geq 4$ and $F=\{000,111,100,101\}$ is a feedback vertex set in $B(2,3)$.

For any integers $d$ and $n$ with $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 1$, let

$$
\Omega_{d, n}=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m} \in V(d, m): 1 \leq m \leq n+d^{n}\right\},
$$

where $d^{n}=|V(d, n)|$. For any $X=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m} \in \Omega_{d, n}$, let $X(i)=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, where $m$ is called the length of $X$, denoted by $\ell(X)$, i.e., $\ell(X)=m$ and $\ell(X(i))=i$. We also write $X(i) \subseteq X$ if $i \leq m$ and $X(i) \subset X$ if $i<m$. For $X=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m}$, $Y=y_{1} y_{2} \cdots y_{\ell} \in \Omega_{d, n}$, we write

$$
X>Y \Leftrightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i}(d+1)^{m-i}>\sum_{i=1}^{\ell} y_{i}(d+1)^{\ell-i}
$$

Clearly, $Y<X$ if $Y \subset X$.
Theorem 2.2. For any fixed integer $d \geq 2$, let $F_{d}$ be a subset of $\Omega_{d, n}$ satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$ for any $X \in \Omega_{d, n}$;
(b) $X(i) \in F_{d}$ for any $X \in F_{d}$ and any $X(i) \subset X$.

Then $F_{d} \cap V(d, n)$ is a feedback set of $B(d, n)$ for any $n \geq 2$.
Proof. Let $F=F_{d} \cap V(d, n)$ for convenience and suppose to the contrary that $B(d, n)-F$ still contains a directed cycle $C$ of length $j\left(1 \leq j \leq d^{n}\right)$ :

$$
C=\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}, x_{2} x_{3} \cdots x_{n+1}, \ldots, x_{n+j-1} x_{1} \cdots x_{n-1}, x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n}\right) .
$$

Then $F \cap C=\emptyset$ and there is a sequence $X=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n} x_{n+1} \cdots x_{n+j-1} \in \Omega_{d, n}$ with length $\ell=n+j-1$ and also we can express

$$
C=\left(X(n), \phi_{\ell}(X)(n), \ldots, \phi_{\ell}^{j-1}(X)(n), X(n)\right)
$$

By the condition (a) there exists an integer $k$ such that $Y=\phi_{\ell}^{k}(X) \in F_{d} \cap[X]$ and $Y(n) \in C$. By the condition (b), $Y(n) \in F_{d}$, of course, $Y(n) \in V(d, n)$, and so $Y(n) \in F$. Thus $F \cap C \neq \emptyset$, a contradiction, and so $F_{d} \cap V(d, n)$ is a feedback set of $B(d, n)$.

For any integers $d$ and $n$ with $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, let

$$
\Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m} \in \Omega_{d, n}: m \geq 2, x_{1}>x_{2}, x_{1} \geq x_{i}, 3 \leq i \leq m\right\}
$$

Let $X=x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{m} \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}, p=x_{1}$ and let $p^{t}$ denote the sequence $\underbrace{p p \ldots p}_{t}$. Then we can write $X$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} \quad \text { or } \quad X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} p^{t_{r+1}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i}$ is a non-empty sub-sequence of $X$ between $p^{t_{i}}$ and $p^{t_{i+1}}$ and each digit in $X_{i}$ is less than $p, 1 \leq t_{i} \leq n-2$ and $2 \leq i \leq r+1$.

For example, let $X=72177721772 \in \Omega_{7,11}^{\prime}$, then $p=7$ and $X$ can be expressed as $7 X_{1} 7^{3} X_{2} 7^{2} X_{3}$, where $X_{1}=X_{2}=21$ and $X_{3}=2 .[X] \cap \Omega_{7,11}^{\prime}=\left\{X, \phi^{5}(X), \phi^{9}(X)\right\}$.

Definition 2.3. For any integers $d$ and $n$ with $d \geq 2$ and $n \geq 2$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(d, n)=F_{d} \cap V(d, n) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{d} \subset \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime} \cup V^{\prime}(d, n)$ such that each $X \in F_{d}$ satisfies one of the following forms:
(1) $X=p^{n}$;
(2) $X=\underbrace{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1}}$, or $X=\underbrace{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1}} p^{t_{r+1}}, r \geq 1$;
(3) $X=\underbrace{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}-1} X_{1}}_{r-1} p^{t_{r}} X_{r}, r \geq 3$ and $X_{r} \subset X_{1}$;
(4) $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}$, or $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} p^{t_{r+1}}, r \geq 2, X_{1}>X_{2}, X_{1} \geq X_{i}, i=3, \ldots, r$.

For example, $\{71217121,71271271,71271712\} \subset F_{7}$, in which the vertices satisfy the forms (2), (3) and (4), respectively. But $71271272 \notin F_{7}$ because $71271272=7 X_{1} 7 X_{1} 7 X_{2}$ and $X_{2} \neq X_{1}(1)$, which does not satisfy any form in Definition 2.3.

Theorem 2.3. The set $F(d, n)$ defined in (2.3) is a feedback set of $B(d, n)$ for $n \geq 2$.
Proof. We only need to prove that $F_{d}$ defined in Definition 2.3 satisfies both the conditions (a) and (b) in Theorem 2.2.
(a) We need to check that $F_{d} \cap[Y] \neq \emptyset$ for any $Y \in \Omega_{d, n}$. Let $Y=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{m}$ be any element in $\Omega_{d, n}$. If $x_{1}=$ $x_{2}=\cdots=x_{m}=p$, then $Y$ satisfies the form (1) in Definition 2.3, and so $Y \in F_{d}$ clearly. Otherwise, there exists an integer $k$ such that $x_{k}=\max \left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq m\right\}$ with $x_{k+1}<x_{k}$, where the subscript $k+1$ is taken modulo $m$. Let $X=\phi_{m}^{k-1}(Y)=x_{k} x_{k+1} \ldots x_{m} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k-1}$, then $[X]=[Y]$ and $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}$. We only need to prove $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$ for any $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}$.

Let $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}$. Then $X$ can be expressed as one of two forms in (2.2). Without loss of generality, we only consider the former since the latter does not satisfy the form (3) in Definition 2.3 and the proof is similar and simpler. Let $X=$ $p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}, r \geq 1$.

If $r=1$, then $X=p X_{1}$ and satisfies the form (2). Thus, $X \in F_{d}$, and so $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$.
If $r=2$, then $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2}$. If $X_{1}=X_{2}$, then $X$ satisfies the form (2); if $X_{1}>X_{2}$, then $X$ satisfies the form (4). In the two cases, $X \in F_{d}$. If $X_{1}<X_{2}$, then $X^{\prime}=\phi_{m}^{\ell\left(X_{1}\right)+t_{2}}(X)=p X_{2} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}-1}$ satisfies the form (4). Thus, $X^{\prime} \in F_{d}$ and $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$.

Assume $r \geq 3$ below. If $X_{1}=X_{2}=\cdots=X_{r}$, then $X$ satisfies the form (2) and $[X] \in F_{d}$. Otherwise there exists an integer $j$ such that $X_{j}>X_{j+1}$ and $X_{j} \geq X_{i}, 1 \leq i \neq j+1 \leq r$, where the subscript $j+1$ is taken modulo $r$, then

$$
X^{\prime}=\phi_{m}^{k}(X)=p X_{j} p^{t_{j+1}} X_{j+1} p^{t_{j+2}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} \cdots p^{t_{j-1}} X_{j-1} p^{t_{j}-1}
$$

satisfies the form (4), where $k=\ell\left(p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} \cdots p^{t_{j-1}} X_{j-1} p^{t_{j}-1}\right)$, and $X^{\prime} \in F_{d}$, that is, $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$.
(b) We now check that $F_{d}$ satisfies the condition (b) in Theorem 2.2, that is, $X(i) \in F_{d}$ for any $X \in F_{d}$ and any $X(i) \subseteq X$. Let $X$ be any element in $F_{d}$. If $X \in V^{\prime}(d, m)$, then $X(i) \in F_{d}$ for any $X(i) \subseteq X$, clearly. Assume $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}$ below. Then $X$ can be expressed as one of the forms in (2.2), say, $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}, r \geq 1$. Thus, $X(i) \subset X$ is of one of the following forms:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X(i)=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{s}} X_{s} \quad \text { or } \\
& X(i)=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{s}} X_{s} p^{s+1} \quad \text { or } \\
& X(i)=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{s}} X_{s}^{\prime},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $s \leq r, X_{s}^{\prime} \subset X_{s}$. We only need to check that $X(i)=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{s}} X_{s}^{\prime}$ (the other cases are similar and simpler) satisfies the condition (b) in Theorem 2.2.

For $s=1$ or $2, X(i)$ satisfies the form (2) or (4) in Definition 2.3, respectively, and so $X(i) \in F_{d}$ obviously. Assume $s \geq 3$ below.

If $X_{1}=X_{2}$ and $X$ only is of either the form (2) or the form (3) in Definition 2.3, we have $X_{1}=X_{2}=\cdots=X_{s-1}=X_{s}$ and $X_{s}^{\prime} \subset X_{s}=X_{1}$. Then $X(i)$ is of the form (3). Otherwise $X_{1} \neq X_{2}$ and $X$ only is of the form (4). We have $X_{1}>X_{2}, X_{1} \geq X_{j}$, $3 \leq j \leq s-1$, and $X_{1} \geq X_{s}>X_{s}^{\prime}$. Then $X(i)$ is of the form (4), which also implies $X(i) \in F_{d}$.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

Theorem 2.4. For $2 \leq n \leq 4$, the set $F(d, n)$ defined in (2.3) is a minimum feedback vertex set of $B(d, n)$ and $|F(d, n)|=$ | $\Phi(d, n) \mid$.
Proof. We only need to prove that $|F(d, n) \cap[X]|=1$ for any vertex $X \in V(d, n)$ by Theorems 2.1 and 2.3.
Let $Y=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{n}$ be any element in $V(d, n)$. If $x_{1}=x_{2}=\cdots=x_{n}=p$, then $Y$ satisfies the form (1) in Definition 2.3, and so $Y \in F_{d}$ and $|F(d, n) \cap[X]|=1$ clearly. Otherwise, there exists an integer $k$ such that $x_{k}=\max \left\{x_{i}: 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$ with $x_{k+1}<x_{k}$, where the subscript $k+1$ is taken modulo $n$. Let $X=\phi_{n}^{k-1}(Y)=x_{k} x_{k+1} \ldots x_{n} x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k-1}$, then $[X]=[Y]$ and $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime}$. We only need to prove $F_{d} \cap[X] \neq \emptyset$ for any $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime} \cap V(d, n)$.

Let $X \in \Omega_{d, n}^{\prime} \cap V(d, n)$. Then $X$ can be expressed as one of two forms in (2.2). Assume, without loss of generality, $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} \in V(d, n)$ (the case $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} p^{t_{r+1}}$ is similar). The proof depends on the form of $F_{d}$, as defined in Definition 2.3, that $X$ satisfied.

If $X$ satisfies the form (2), then it is easy to verify that $F(d, n) \cap[X]=\{X\}$ when $r=1$. Assume $r \geq 2$ below. Then $X=p x_{2} p x_{2}$ since $n \leq 4$. Clearly, $F(d, n) \cap[X]=\{X\}$.

If $X$ satisfies the form (3), then $X_{1}=X_{2}$ and $X_{3}=X_{1}(i)$, where $i=\ell\left(X_{3}\right)<\ell\left(X_{1}\right)$. Thus, $n \geq 3+2(i+1)+i \geq 8$, which contradicts our hypothesis $n \leq 4$.

If $X$ satisfies the form (4), then $n \geq 4$, that is, $X=p x_{1} p x_{4}$. Thus, $F(d, n) \cap[X] \subseteq\left\{p x_{2} p x_{4}, p x_{4} p x_{2}\right\}$. Since $x_{2}>x_{4}, p x_{4} p x_{2}$ does not satisfy the form (4), and so $|F(d, n) \cap[X]|=1$.

The proof of the theorem is complete.

## 3. Bounds of feedback number

In the preceding section, we construct two important sets $\Phi(d, n)$ and $F(d, n)$ defined in (2.1) and (2.3), respectively. By Theorems 2.1-2.4, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(d, n)=|\Phi(d, n)| \quad \text { for } 2 \leq n \leq 4 \\
& \left\lceil\frac{d^{n}-d}{n}\right\rceil+d \leq|\Phi(d, n)| \leq f(d, n) \leq|F(d, n)| \text { for } n \geq 5 \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In this section, we determine the value of $|\Phi(d, n)|$ and establish an upper bound of $|F(d, n)|$ for $n \geq 5$. The value of $|\Phi(d, n)|$ is expressed in terms of the well-known Euler totient function $\varphi(i)$, that is,

$$
\varphi(i)= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } i=1 \\ i \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{r}\left(1-\frac{1}{p_{j}}\right) & \text { if } i \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

where $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ are the distinct prime factors of $i$, not equal to 1 .
Lemma 3.1. Let $d \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Then for any integer $n \geq 2$,

$$
|\Phi(d, n)|=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right), \text { where } i \mid n \text { means } i \text { divides } n .
$$

Proof. If we regard a self-loop in $B(d, n)$ as a cycle of length one, then the set $\{[X]: X \in V(d, n)\}$ corresponds to the set of cyclical multiple permutations of length $n$ on the set of $d$ elements, whose total number is (see, for example, [21] or [22])

$$
\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right)
$$

It follows immediately that

$$
|\Phi(d, n)|=|\{[X]: X \in V(d, n)\}|=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right) .
$$

The lemma follows.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(d, n)=\{X \in F(d, n):|F(d, n) \cap[X]| \geq 2\} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $2 \leq n \leq 4$, it is clear from Theorem 2.4 that $E(d, n)=\emptyset$, and for $n \geq 5$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|F(d, n)| \leq|\Phi(d, n)|+|E(d, n)| \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $F(d, n)-E(d, n)=\{X \in F(d, n):|F(d, n) \cap[X]|=1\} \subseteq \Phi(d, n)$.
For example, in $F(2,5)$ we have $[21212]=[21221]$ and $\{21212,21221\} \subseteq E(2,5)$.
Lemma 3.2. Let $d \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Then for any integer $n \geq 5$,

$$
|E(d, n)| \leq \frac{n^{2}}{n-4} d^{n-4}=O\left(n d^{n-4}\right)
$$

Proof. Suppose $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}$ or $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r} p^{t_{r+1}} \in E(d, n)$, where $2 \leq p \leq d$ and $1 \leq r \leq \frac{n}{2}$.
We first note that $[X] \cap F(d, n)=\{X\}$ if $X$ is of the form (4) in Definition 2.3 with $r=2$. In fact, if $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2}$ or $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}}$ with $X_{1}>X_{2}$, then $[X] \cap F(d, n)=\{X\}$ by the restrictive condition $X_{1}>X_{2}$.

Suppose that $X$ is of the form (2). If $r=1$ or $t_{2}=t_{3}=\cdots=t_{r}=1$, then $[X] \cap F(d, n)=\{X\}$, clearly. Otherwise, $r \geq 2$ and $1+t_{2}+t_{3}+\cdots+t_{r}>r$. Then

$$
[X] \cap F(d, n)=\left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1}, p X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}-1}, \ldots, p X_{1} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r-1}} X_{1} p^{t_{r}-1}\right\}
$$

For a given integer $p$ with $2 \leq p \leq d$, let

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{1}(p, n)= & \left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1}, p X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}-1}, \ldots, p X_{1} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r-1}} X_{1} p^{t_{r}-1} \in F(d, n):\right. \\
& \left.t_{2}+t_{3}+\cdots+t_{r} \geq r, r \geq 2\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To estimate $\left|E_{1}(p, n)\right|$, let $j=\ell\left(X_{1}\right), X^{\prime}=p^{t_{4}} X_{1} p^{t_{5}} X_{1} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{1}$ and $\ell\left(X^{\prime}\right)=n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-3 j$. Because $n-r j \geq r+1$, $1 \leq j \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n-r-1}{r}\right\rfloor$. There are $(p-1)^{j}$ ways to choose $X_{1}, p^{n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-3 j}$ ways to choose $X^{\prime}$ since any digit in $X^{\prime}$ comes from $\{1,2, \ldots, p\}$, and there are at $\operatorname{most}\binom{n-3 j-2}{2-1}=n-3 j-1$ ways to choose $\left\{t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$. Thus, it is not difficult to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E_{1}(d, n)\right| & \leq r \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-r-1}{r}\right\rfloor}(p-1)^{j} p^{n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-3 j}(n-3 j-1) \\
& \leq r \sum_{j=1}^{\left.\frac{n-r-1}{r}\right\rfloor} n p^{j} p^{n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-3 j}=r \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-r-1}{r}\right\rfloor} n p^{n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-2 j} \\
& \leq n^{2} p^{n-1-t_{2}-t_{3}-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting $1+t_{2}+t_{3} \geq 3$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{1}(d, n)\right| \leq n^{2} p^{n-5} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider $X$ that is of the form (3) or (4) in Definition 2.3. Then $r \geq 3$.
Assume $r=3$. If $X$ is of the form (3), then $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{2}$, where $X_{2} \subset X_{1}$. It is easy to verify that
$F(d, n) \cap[X]=\left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{2}, p X_{1} p^{t_{3}} X_{2} p X_{1} p^{t_{2}-1}\right\}$,
where the first element is of the form (3) and the second is of the form (4). If $X$ is of the form (4), then $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} X_{3}$ or $X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} X_{3} p^{t_{4}}$, where $X_{1}>X_{2}$ and $X_{1} \geq X_{3}$. It is also easy to verify that if $X_{1} \neq X_{3}$ or $X_{1}=X_{3}$ but $t_{3}=1$, then $F(d, n) \cap[X]=\{X\}$; if $X_{1}=X_{3}$ and $t_{3} \geq 2$, then $F(d, n) \cap[X]=\left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} X_{1}, p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p X_{1} p^{t_{3}-1}\right\}$. For a given integer $p$ with $2 \leq p \leq d$, let

$$
E_{2}(p, n)=\left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} X_{1}, p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p X_{1} p^{t_{3}-1} \in F(d, n)\right\}
$$

Let $j=\ell\left(X_{1}\right)$. Then there are at most $(p-1)^{j}$ ways to choose $X_{1}$ in $E_{2}(p, n)$. Let $h=1+t_{2}+t_{3}$ with $t_{3} \geq 2$. Then $4 \leq h \leq n-2 j-1$ since $\ell\left(X_{2}\right)=n-2 j-h \geq 1$, that is, $1 \leq j \leq \frac{n-5}{2}$. There are at most $\binom{n-2 j-3}{2-1}=n-2 j-3$ ways to choose $\left\{t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$, and at most $(p-1)^{n-2 j-h}$ ways to choose $X_{2}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E_{2}(p, n)\right| & \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-5}{2}\right\rfloor}(p-1)^{j}(p-1)^{n-2 j-h}(n-2 j-3) \\
& \leq 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-5}{2}\right\rfloor} n(p-1)^{n-j-4} \leq n^{2} p^{n-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{2}(p, n)\right| \leq n^{2} p^{n-5} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume $r \geq 4$ below. It is clear that $X_{1} \geq X_{i}$ for $i=3,4, \ldots, r-1$. If $X_{1}>X_{i}$ for each $i=3,4, \ldots, r-1$, then $[X] \cap F(d, n)=\{X\}$. Otherwise, there must exist some integer $i$ with $3 \leq i \leq r-1$ such that $X_{i}=X_{1}$. Then

$$
X=p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{i-1}} X_{i-1} p^{t_{i}} X_{1} p^{t_{i+1}} X_{i+1} p^{t_{i+2}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}
$$

Thus, $\left\{X, \phi_{n}^{\ell}(X)\right\} \subset[X] \cap F(d, n)$, where $\ell=\ell\left(p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} X_{2} p^{t_{3}} \cdots p^{t_{i-1}} X_{i-1} p^{t_{i}-1}\right)$.

For a given integer $p$ with $2 \leq p \leq d$, let

$$
E_{3}(p, n)=\left\{p X_{1} p^{t_{2}} \cdots p^{t_{i-1}} X_{i-1} p X_{1} p^{t_{i+1}} X_{i+1} p^{t_{i+2}} \cdots p^{t_{r}} X_{r}\left(p^{t_{r}+1}\right) \in F(d, n): 3 \leq i \leq r-1\right\} .
$$

To estimate $\left|E_{3}(p, n)\right|$, let $j=\ell\left(X_{1}\right), X^{\prime}=X_{2} p^{t_{3}} X_{3} p^{t_{4}} \cdots p^{t_{i-1}} X_{i-1} p^{t_{i}-1}$ and $k=\ell\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Then $4 \leq k \leq n-5-2 j$. There are $(p-1)^{j}$ ways to choose $X_{1}, p^{k}$ ways to choose $X^{\prime}$ since any digit in $X^{\prime}$ comes from $\{1,2, \ldots, p\}, p^{n-4-2 j-k}$ ways to choose $X_{r}$. Thus, it is not difficult to get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|E_{3}(d, n)\right| & \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-6}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{k=4}^{n-5-2 j}(p-1)^{j} p^{k} p^{n-4-2 j-k} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\left\lfloor\frac{n-6}{2}\right\rfloor} \sum_{k=4}^{n-5-2 j} p^{n-4-j} \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} p^{n-4-j}(n-2 j-8) \leq n^{2} p^{n-5},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|E_{3}(d, n)\right| \leq n^{2} p^{n-5} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It holds clearly that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(d, n) \subseteq \bigcup_{p=2}^{d}\left(E_{1}(p, n) \cup E_{2}(p, n) \cup E_{3}(p, n)\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by (3.4)-(3.7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|E(d, n)| & \leq \sum_{p=2}^{d}\left(\left|E_{1}(p, n)\right|+\left|E_{2}(p, n)\right|+\left|E_{3}(p, n)\right|\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{p=2}^{d} n^{2} p^{n-5}=n^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(i+1)^{n-5}
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}(i+1)^{n-5} \leq \int_{0}^{d-1}(x+1)^{n-5} d x \leq \frac{d^{n-4}}{n-4}
$$

we have $|E(d, n)| \leq \frac{n^{2} d^{n-4}}{n-4}=O\left(n d^{n-4}\right)$, and so the lemma follows.
Theorem 3.1. Let $d \geq 2$ be a fixed integer. Then for any integer $n \geq 2$,

$$
f(B(d, n))= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right) & \text { for } 2 \leq n \leq 4 \\ \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) & \text { for } n \geq 5\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By (3.1), we only need to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(d, n) \leq \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) \quad \text { for } n \geq 5 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $k$ be the biggest non-trivial factor of $n$. Then $k \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i \mid n} d^{i} \varphi\left(\frac{n}{i}\right)=d^{n} \varphi(1)+O\left(d^{k}\right)=d^{n}+O\left(d^{k}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is from Lemma 3.1 and (3.9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Phi(d, n)| \leq \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.10) and Lemma 3.2 that

$$
f(d, n) \leq|F(d, n)| \leq|\Phi(d, n)|+|E(d, n)| \leq \frac{d^{n}}{n}+O\left(n d^{n-4}\right) \quad \text { for } n \geq 5
$$

The inequality (3.8) holds and the theorem follows.
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