Electro-coagulation coupled electro-flotation process: Feasible choice in doxycycline removal from pharmaceutical effluents
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Abstract Electrochemical treatment involving a coupled coagulation and flotation was performed in the removal of doxycycline hyclate (DCH) from aqueous solutions. All the experiments were carried out in an electrochemical reactor of 1.5 L which contained aluminum electrodes as cathode and anode. The removal of doxycycline hyclate (DCH) species by EC/EF was determined as a function of electrolysis time, pH, current intensity, flow rate and DCH concentration. From the observed results, it was corroborated that the DCH removal through the EC/EF process was excellent. The effective contribution from initial pH (7.03) and current intensity (5.39 mA cm⁻²) was very much remarkable and well apparent from flocs of good buoyancy. The removal of DCH was inversely proportional to spacing between electrodes (SBE) and circulating flow rate in the presence of the supporting NaCl electrolyte of 1 g L⁻¹. It was also highly promoted by the addition of NaCl in comparison with NaNO₃ and KCl to the electrolytic system. The compliance of four kinetic models was verified with DCH removal system. The free energy values from DKR model suggested the nature of bonding by chemical forces. Characterization by FTIR, SEM and XRD interpreted the assignments of various functional groups, surface morphology and crystalline
1. Introduction

In the recent years, the use of pharmaceuticals is of great concern among the researchers (Zhang et al., 2009) due to their environmental risks and treatments across the world. Chang et al. (2009) reported that the use of antibiotics and growth hormones affects the quality of surface and groundwater. Tetracycline has been the second reported antibiotic in its production and usage throughout the world (Wan et al., 2010) which affects the soil (O’Connor and Aga, 2007), surface water and groundwater (Tsai et al., 2010). Reports based on United States Pharmacopeia (USP) Convention (1997) revealed that tetracycline causes a permanent discoloration of teeth, enamel hypoplasia and inhibition of skeletal growth in the fetus, infants and children up to 8 years of age. Doxycycline (semisynthetic form of tetracycline) is an effective antibiotic against a broad range of Gram-positive, Gram-negative bacteria and Rickettsia. Due to its highly soluble nature, doxycycline exhibits high residual toxicity in surface and groundwater. According to the European Directive 1907.2006/EC, Article 31 of safety data sheet, doxycycline is not allowed to enter sewers and surface or groundwater (Safety Data Sheet, 2014). As pharmaceuticals, even at very low concentrations can cause serious environmental damages, it is of great exigency to develop some efficient and cost-effective treatment technologies to remove such compounds. Okuda et al. (2008) achieved about 99% removal of 66 pharmaceuticals through ozone treatment coupled with coagulation and sand filtration. Choi et al. (2008) reported that the utilization of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) from coal and coconut based carbons for the removal of tetracycline compounds could even yield more than 90% removal efficiency. Various techniques such as ozonation (Khan et al., 2010), Photo-Fenton process (Bautitz and Nogueira, 2007), photo electro-catalytic degradation (Liu et al., 2009), ion exchange (Wang et al., 2008) and adsorption (Reyes et al., 2006) have been chosen for the removal of tetracycline from water. Among the treatment processes, electro-coagulation has been reported to successfully treat wastewater containing oil (Chen et al., 2000), suspended particles (Larue et al., 2003), arsenic (Kumar et al., 2004), surfactants (Ge et al., 2004), chromium ions (Gao et al., 2005), dyes (Zaroual et al., 2006), potato chips manufacturing wastewater (Koby et al., 2006), textile effluent (Zaroual et al., 2006), fluoride (Khatibikamal et al., 2010), laundry wastewater (Janpoor et al., 2011), municipal wastewater (Al-Shannah et al., 2013), baker’s yeast wastewater (Al-Shannah et al., 2014), Stronium (Kamaraj et al., 2013) and heavy metal ions (Al-Shannah et al., 2015). Electro-coagulation (Holt et al., 2005) is an electrochemical technology of treating polluted water at the expense of sacrificial anodes at an optimized potential. Consequently, active coagulant precursors are formed. It is a process where multifarious mechanisms operate synergistically to remove the pollutants in wastewaters. It offers the possibility of anodic oxidation and in situ-generated amorphous nature, respectively of electro-generated flocs. The current efficiency and specific electrical energy consumption at optimized conditions of the EC/EF system were calculated. © 2015 The Authors. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of King Saud University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
and current range of 0–32 V and 0–4 A respectively. A digital ammeter and voltmeter were used to regulate the current and voltage. After each run of experiments, the used aluminum electrodes were rubbed with glass paper followed by immersion in NaOH solution of 0.1 M for 10 min. These electrodes were then rinsed with distilled water and dried at 105 °C for 10 min to remove surface impurities before re-use. The percentage removal of doxycycline hyclate as a function of time (t), pH, current density (i), spacing between electrodes (d), electrolyte type, circulating flow rate (Q) and initial concentration of DCH was determined by the following equation:

\[ R(\%) = \left[ \frac{C_0 - C_t}{C_0} \right] \times 100 \]  

(1)

where \( C_0 \) is the initial DCH concentration and \( C_t \) is the remaining DCH concentration that was measured over time.

The residual doxycycline hyclate (DCH) concentration was determined by UV–Visible spectrophotometer (Shimadzu UV-1800, Japan) at a wavelength of 350 nm. Parameters such as pH and electrical conductivity measurements were respectively carried out by Sartorius checker pH meter (Sartorius Professional meter, PP-15, Germany) and HANNA bench conductivity (HANNA instruments, EC 214, Italy). An ISMATEC (ISM 834C) peristaltic pump equipped with four channels is provided with Tygon® tubes of 0.8 mm internal diameter. The recovered solid after treatment was dried at 110 °C for about 5 h and then characterized by FTIR, SEM and XRD instrumental studies using FTIR spectrometer PerkinElmer (Version 10.03.06), X'Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer (PANalytical) and scanning electron microscope (JEOL, JSM-6360), respectively. Models on adsorption kinetics and isotherms are detailed in Table 1.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Effect of current density and time of electrolysis

In all electrochemical reactions, the reaction rate is controlled by current density and time of electrolysis which determine the coagulant production rate and the total production of coagulant respectively. The percentage removal of DCH as a function of time at different current densities (3.59 mA cm\(^{-2}\)-14.39 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) is shown in Fig. 3A. It can be illustrated that the current density of 5.39 mA cm\(^{-2}\) was the optimum current density based on the maximum DCH removal and consumed time for electrolysis. The removal of DCH was almost 90% after 80 min. The residual DCH concentration was in the range 1.60–5.18 mg L\(^{-1}\). Although the DCH removal was remarkable with the residual DCH concentration of 0.53 mg L\(^{-1}\) at 14.39 mA cm\(^{-2}\), the consumption of current was 2.7 times higher with respect to the optimized current (current density = 5.39 mA cm\(^{-2}\)) which leaves the residual DCH concentration of 0.76 mg L\(^{-1}\).

The rate of DCH removal was directly proportional to current density because of the increased production of the coagulant (Al\(^{3+}\) ions) on the anode. As a result of increased flocs generation rate, the DCH removal efficiency was enhanced. In other words, the higher the current density, the greater will be the generated flocs to trap the DCH molecules from the aqueous solution. After the time of electrolysis in the range of 50–60 min, the residual DCH concentration was very low. The rate of its sorption onto the generated flocs at constant rate tends to decrease for a particular current density.
Figure 3  Removal of DCH as a function of current density (A) and pH of the solution (B). Conditions: (A) pH = 7, [DCH] = 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), \(Q = 52 \text{ L min}^{-1}\), \(d = 1 \text{ cm}\), conductivity = 1.78 mS cm\(^{-1}\); (B) [DCH] = 100 mg L\(^{-1}\), CD = 5.39 mA cm\(^{-2}\), \(Q = 52 \text{ mL min}^{-1}\), \(d = 1 \text{ cm}\), conductivity = 1.78 mS cm\(^{-1}\).

3.2. Effect of pH

The pH of any electrolyte drives the fate of electro-coagulation process (Yavuz et al., 2011). The pH of the electrolytic medium increases during the flocculation–sorption phase and gets stabilized in the pH range 7.52–7.91 for various initial DCH concentrations at an initial pH of 7.0 as reported by Yavuz et al. (2011). The associated increase in pH may be corroborated due to the electrolysis of water leading to hydrogen evolution and OH\(^-\) production (Malakootian et al., 2010). The relative stability of pH after the process could be ascertained due to the formation of Al(OH)\(_3\) flocs with less solubility between the pH values of 6 and 8 (Emamjomeh and Sivakumar, 2009).

The effect of pH on DCH removal is shown in Fig. 3B. The removal of DCH at different pH values of 6.03, 7.04, 7.52 and 8.02 was conducted with the initial DCH concentration of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\). The increased precipitation at higher pH leading to the generation of greater flocs enhances the DCH removal efficiency. The removal of DCH was achievable between 98.5% and 99.4% in the pH range 6.03–8.02. Similar observation was experienced in the study of boron removal by Ezechi et al. (2014).

The main reactions that take place during electro-coagulation at the two electrodes are as follows:

At Anode: \(\text{Al} \rightarrow \text{Al}^{3+} + 3e^-\) \hspace{1cm} (2)

At Cathode: \(2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{OH}^-\) \hspace{1cm} (3)

while the chemical reactions taking place during electro-flotation are given as follows:

At Anode: \(2\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 4\text{H}^+ + 4e^-\) \hspace{1cm} (4)

At Cathode: \(2\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2e^- \rightarrow \text{H}_2(\text{g}) + 2\text{OH}^-\) \hspace{1cm} (5)

Under acidic condition (pH < 3.3) due to protonation, the cationic form of DCH \((+0)\) develops the electrostatic repulsion with predominant cationic monomers of Al\(^{3+}\) and Al(OH)\(^{2+}\) and lessens the removal efficiency of DCH (Ouaissa et al., 2014). The possibility of DCH speciation at various pH values (Zhang et al., 2011) as zwitterion \((\pm 0)\) was due to the loss of proton from the phenolic diketone moiety. On the other hand, the pH between 6.03 and 8.02 generates Al\(^{3+}\) and OH\(^-\) ions to form various monomers such as Al(OH)\(_3\), Al(OH)\(_2\), and other polymeric species such as Al\(_4\)(OH)\(_7\), Al\(_5\)(OH)\(_9\), Al\(_6\)(OH)\(_{15}\) which were finally converted into the insoluble amorphous Al(OH)\(_{3n}\) through complex polymerization/precipitation kinetics (Merzouk et al., 2009). The formation of Al(OH)\(_{3n}\) is therefore optimal in the 4–9 pH range. In addition, the cathode (Al) may be chemically attacked by OH\(^-\) ions generated together with H\(_2\) at high pH values as

\[2\text{Al} + 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 2\text{OH}^- \rightarrow 2\text{Al}(	ext{OH})_3^- + 3\text{H}_2.\] \hspace{1cm} (6)

However, the pH affects also the bubble size (Glembotskii et al., 1975). Typical bubble sizes in electro-coagulation always fall in the range of 20–70 \(\mu\)m (Adhoum et al., 2004). They are far smaller than those observed in conventional air-assisted flotation, which provides both sufficient surface area for gas–liquid–solid interfaces and mixing efficiency to favor the aggregation of tiny destabilized particles. Hydrogen bubbles, which usually obey to a lognormal size distribution, are also known to be the smallest at about neutral pH (Fukui and Yuu, 1985). The best removal of DCH could be accomplished by charge neutralization and sorption in the presence of the constituent monomers and polymers. The acquired surface positive sites with large surface area on the amorphous structure of hydrolyzed Al(OH)\(_3\) make the viability of sorption with the macromolecular organic DCH anions rather existing as a free hydrated metal ion (Zhou et al., 2008). It is also envisaged that the DCH at basic conditions prevails as either a monovalent or a divalent anion due to deprotonation of tricarbonyl system and phenolic diketone moiety. As a consequence, high removal of DCH may be associated, due to the attractive force between the positive aluminum and negative DCH ions, and hence the sorption of the latter onto Al(OH)\(_3\). These active flocs facilitate the rapid sorption of soluble DCH compound being entrapped by the colloidal particles and ultimately get separated from the aqueous medium by sedimentation or hydrogen floatation (Ghernaout et al., 2009). The surface complexes of zwitterionic species were previously suggested by Figueroa et al. (2004).
3.3. Effect of spacing between electrodes (SBE)

The spacing between electrodes and effective surface area depends on the construction of the electrode assembly. The variation in voltage drop ($\eta_{IR}$) is governed by the following equation (Ghosh et al., 2008a):

$$\eta_{IR} = I \cdot d / S \cdot k$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $I$ = current (A), $d$ = spacing between two electrodes (cm), $S$ = active anode surface (cm$^2$), and $k$ = specific conductivity ($10^3$ mS cm$^{-1}$) with constant anodic surface area and conductivity. The increase in the electrode spacing increases the resistance between them with a decrease in the electric current. The increased SBE leads to lessen the interaction of ions with hydroxide polymers. The DCH removal as a function of SBE was studied for 1 cm, 2 cm and 2.5 cm with an initial DCH concentration of 100 mg L$^{-1}$ as shown in Fig. 4B. The removal efficiency was around 96% at the end of 30 min, 45 min and 60 min respectively. The results substantiate that the DCH removal was inversely proportional to the magnitude of SBE. This observation is probably based on the voltage and hence the required power consumption is low for the movement of ions (Vasudevan et al., 2013). It may also be suggested that the increase in the local concentration of DCH ions with monomeric and polymeric hydroxo-cationic species generated within a smaller space which lead to an increase in the electrostatic interaction and hence the removal of dissolved DCH ions becomes facilitated (Mansour et al., 2012). It is also accounted that the shorter travel path reduces the resistance during the ionic motion and thus the remaining experiments were conducted with an SBE distance of 1 cm.

3.4. Effect of initial DCH concentration

The initial concentration provides an important driving force to overcome all mass transfer resistance of solutes between the aqueous and the solid phase (Ji et al., 2009). The removal efficiency of DCH as a function of initial DCH concentration at 5.39 mA cm$^{-2}$ is shown in Fig. 4A. The percentage of DCH removal was decreased with respect to the increase in the initial concentration and could easily be distinguishable up to 50 min. But after 50 min, the residual DCH concentration falls between 2.3 mg L$^{-1}$ and 2.6 mg L$^{-1}$. As per Faraday’s law, at a constant current density, the generated aluminum flocs for a particular time remain constant for various DCH concentrations (60–180 mg L$^{-1}$). But the requirement of aluminum flocs will be greater for the higher DCH concentration and hence becomes insufficient to capture the extra organic DCH species. Evidently single, smooth and continuous graphical curves leading to saturation which suggests the monolayer coverage of DCH on the surface of Al(OH)$_3$ were reported (Lakshmi et al., 2013).

3.5. Effect of supporting electrolytes

In order to increase the conductivity of wastewater due to resistance between the electrodes, the addition of sodium chloride is suggested during electro-coagulation process.

Researchers used sodium chloride to increase the conductivity of the solution; nevertheless, sodium nitrate and sodium sulfate were also used as electrolytes (Aber et al., 2009). On increasing the salt concentration, the power consumption of electrolytic cells is reduced due to the reduction in cell voltage at constant current density (Kashefi et al., 2006). Although the type of electrolyte has no effect in favor of the removal efficiency, it provides the pronounced effect on cell voltage and current consumption during the EC process. It is also reported that at high anode potential, direct oxidation of organic compounds or H$_2$O may also take place (Ge et al., 2004). The influence of sodium chloride was remarkable in facilitating the removal of DCH, whereas the influence of sodium nitrate and potassium chlorides was alike and their effect on the removal of DCH was almost closer to each other. The interwined curves shown in Fig. 5B illustrate that no appreciable influence was observed due to the addition of sodium nitrate and potassium chlorides. The conductivity of the solution remains practically constant during the treatment as shown in Fig. 5C. The average electrical conductivity of DCH

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4**  Removal of DCH as a function of initial DCH concentration (A) and spacing between electrodes (B). Conditions: (A) pH = 7, CD = 5.39 mA cm$^{-2}$, $Q = 52$ mL min$^{-1}$, $d = 1$ cm, conductivity = 1.78 mS cm$^{-1}$; (B) pH = 7, [DCH] = 100 mg L$^{-1}$, CD = 5.39 mA cm$^{-2}$, $Q = 52$ mL min$^{-1}$, conductivity = 1.78 mS cm$^{-1}$.
electrolyte in the presence of sodium chloride was recorded to be 1.78 mS cm\(^{-1}\). The electrical conductivity of the DCH electrolyte in the presence of KCl and NaNO\(_3\) was recorded as 1.45 mS cm\(^{-1}\) and 1.22 mS cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. Hence it is apparent that high conductivity of the EC system due to NaCl enables the DCH removal of about 90% at the end of 30 min but for the other salts (KCl and NaNO\(_3\)) the same percentage of removal could be achieved after 60 min. From the above observations, it is quite understood that half of the power is expendable to achieve 90% removal of DCH in the presence of sodium chloride as compared to the other potassium chloride and sodium nitrate as supporting electrolytes.

3.6. Effect of circulation flow rate

The effect of the circulating flow rate of 52 mL min\(^{-1}\), 82 mL min\(^{-1}\) and 100 mL min\(^{-1}\) on the removal of DCH at a concentration of 100 mg L\(^{-1}\) is shown in Fig. 5A. In general, the performance of the EC column toward removal of desired solute is inversely proportional to the flow rate.

The percentage of DCH removal increased with respect to the decrease in the flow rate. High removal of DCH can be attributed to the increased residence time of DCH molecules inside the EC column due to the restricted flow rate and hence long reaction time between DCH species and the aluminum flocs (Lin and Peng, 1996). Contrarily, low residence time due to the short stay of DCH species inside the EC column facilitates insufficient interaction between DCH species and the aluminum flocs (Bratby, 2006). It is reported that (Al-Shannag et al., 2012) the increasing circulating flow rate will generate high mixing levels within the EC unit that could facilitate the transport process of colloidal pollutants to be adsorbed onto the active sites of coagulants. Conversely, at very high circulating flow rate, the coagulants would dissociate and lead to the hindrance of the sorption process with decreased removal efficiency. From the observed results, an increased difference of 12.75% was observed from the flow rate of 52–100 mL min\(^{-1}\) after the time of 30 min. But the margin of increased difference was halved (6.82%) after 60 min. On proceeding to 90 min and 120 min, the differential margin was about 2.21% and 1.82% respectively. A longer electrolysis time of about 120 min was needed to achieve the maximum DCH removal for the high flow rate of 100 mL min\(^{-1}\).

3.7. Kinetic models

The study on adsorption kinetics is significant because it provides valuable insight into the reaction pathway and the corresponding sorption mechanism. The sorption mechanism depends on the physical and chemical characteristics of the adsorbent and also on the mass-transfer process. The dynamics of DCH sorption onto Al(OH)\(_3\) at an optimized pH (7.02) with respect to different spacing between electrodes, initial DCH concentrations, supporting electrolytes and circulating flow rate was validated using kinetic models such as pseudo-first-order, pseudo-second-order, intra-particle diffusion and
Table 1  Kinetic and isotherm model equations and relevant details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>Plotting parameters as</th>
<th>Notations and units</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Equation number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pseudo-first-order</td>
<td>ln(qe - qt) = ln(qe) - k1t</td>
<td>time (t)</td>
<td>k1 → Pseudo-first-order rate constant in min⁻¹</td>
<td>Lagergren (1898)</td>
<td>Eq. (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td>dq/dt = k2 (qe - qt)²</td>
<td>time (t)</td>
<td>k2 → Pseudo-second-order rate constant in mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹; h</td>
<td>Ho (2006)</td>
<td>Eq. (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>t/qt = 1/k2qe - (1/qe)t</td>
<td></td>
<td>(= kqₑ²) → initial sorption rate in mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eq. (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Intra-particle diffusion</td>
<td>qₜ = kᵢ t^{1/2} + C</td>
<td>time^{1/2} or (t)²</td>
<td>kᵢ → intraparticle rate constant in mg g⁻¹ min⁻⁰.5; C → thickness of the boundary layer in mg g⁻¹</td>
<td>Weber and Morris (1963)</td>
<td>Eq. (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Elovich</td>
<td>qₜ = (1/B) ln(AB + (1/B) ln t)</td>
<td>ln t</td>
<td>A → initial adsorption rate in mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹; B → constant of desorption in g mg⁻¹</td>
<td>Aharoni and Tompkins (1970)</td>
<td>Eq. (12)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dubinin and RadushKevich (1947)</td>
<td>Eq. (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Eq. (14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. DKR</td>
<td>ln qₜ = ln qₑ₀ - B e⁻òt</td>
<td>e⁻òt</td>
<td>qₑ₀ → amount of DCH adsorbed per unit weight of adsorbent (mg g⁻¹); qₑ₀ → monolayer adsorption capacity (mmol g⁻¹)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Eq. (15)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the above models, qt and qₑ are the amount of DCH adsorbed at time t and equilibrium respectively in mg g⁻¹.

Table 2  Validity of kinetic models with the EC based removal of doxycycline hyclate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spacing between electrodes (cm)</th>
<th>DCH concentration (mg L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Electrolyte (g L⁻¹)</th>
<th>Circulating flow rate (mL min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-first-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qₑ</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>111.11</td>
<td>131.60</td>
<td>225.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.941</td>
<td>0.982</td>
<td>0.958</td>
<td>0.961</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudo-second-order</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>qₑ</td>
<td>109.83</td>
<td>125.02</td>
<td>111.11</td>
<td>52.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>43.48</td>
<td>7.63</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>17.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k₂ x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>0.352</td>
<td>4.881</td>
<td>8.261</td>
<td>0.622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.987</td>
<td>0.998</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-particle diffusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>69.12</td>
<td>22.56</td>
<td>34.96</td>
<td>36.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.863</td>
<td>0.783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elovich</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>182.47</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>519.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R²</td>
<td>0.790</td>
<td>0.907</td>
<td>0.970</td>
<td>0.898</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elovich models. The figured parameters of the kinetic models are represented in Table 2.

Based on pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models, it is possible to elucidate the mechanism of adsorption and potential rate controlling steps (Chutia et al., 2009) of DCH sorption onto Al(OH)₃ flocs.

Pseudo-first-order model is a simple adsorption kinetic model (Eq. (8)), suggested by Lagergren (1898) and further referred by Ho et al. (1996), which is unable to apply throughout the range of tested contact time. The kinetic parameters qₑ, and k₁ were calculated from the intercept and slope respectively using Eq. (8) and are shown in Table 2. The regression values (R²) inferred that the DCH sorption complies fairly well with the pseudo-first-order model.

According to pseudo-second-order kinetics (Eqs. (9) and (10)), the rate of adsorption is directly proportional to the number of active sites on the adsorbent surface. The kinetic parameters calculated from the pseudo-second-order equation (Eq. (10)) were qₑ, k₂ and h from the slope and intercept values (qₑ = 1/slope; k₂ = slope²/intercept; h = 1/intercept). The increase in the qₑ value from 52.63 mg g⁻¹ to 200.04 mg g⁻¹ was recorded with respect to the DCH concentration. The R² values for all the variables (Table 2) were quite plausible and corroborate that the pseudo-second-order seems more applicable for this system.
appreciable with the DCH sorption kinetics. Based on the regression values, it may be ascertained that the pseudo-second-order was more validated than the pseudo-first-order model (Isa et al., 2014; Kamaraj and Vasudevan, 2015).

It is inferred from the Weber–Morris intra-particle diffusion plot (Eq. (11)) that the initial curved portion reflects the film or boundary layer diffusion effect and the subsequent linear portion (plateau) depicts the intra-particle diffusion effect. The graphical plots deviate from passing through the origin and this deviation may be caused due to the difference between the rate of mass transfer in the initial and final stages of DCH sorption and the complex mechanism. The mechanism of sorption thus involves the contribution of both the surface adsorption and intra-particle diffusion to the rate determining step. The thickness of boundary layer, determined from the intercept value, C is inversely proportional to the external mass transfer. The value of C seems to be very sensitive with respect to the change in initial DCH concentration, SBE, supporting electrolyte and circulating flow rate. The regression values indicate the intra-particle diffusion model did not fit satisfactorily with the DCH sorption dynamics (Kamaraj et al., 2013).

Elovich model (Eq. (12)) is one among the useful kinetic models (Aharoni and Tompkins, 1970) and predicts the behavior of sorption over the whole range of variable studied. This fact strongly supports its validity and suggests that the adsorption is rate-determined by a chemisorptions step (Juang and Chen, 1997).

The value of 1/B gives about the number of available sites for sorption in the adsorbent. The magnitude of 1/B increased with respect to the increase in the initial concentration of DCH in several folds was established. On the contrary, the decrease in the available sites (1/B) was observed from 13.89 to 7.81 when the circulating flow rate was increased from 52 mL min−1 to 100 mL min−1 and becomes evident for the descending DCH removal efficiency. The calculated values of initial adsorption rate (A) and constant of desorption (B) with corresponding regression coefficients indicated that the Elovich model fits fairly well with the present system on DCH sorption onto Al(OH)3 flocs (Kamaraj et al., 2013).

3.8. Isotherm model: Dubinin–Kaganer–Radushkevich (DKR) model

Dubinin–Kaganer–Radushkevich (DKR) isotherm is more general than Langmuir isotherm owing to its disagreement for a homogenous surface or a constant adsorption potential. Using DKR equation with reference to the present system, the constant β gives the free energy, E (kJ mol−1) for the transfer of 1 mol of DCH ion from infinity to the surface of Al(OH)3 flocs and can be computed using the relationship (Oyango et al., 2004) as shown in Eqs. (13)–(15). The magnitude of E is helpful in understanding the nature of DCH ion sorption. The recorded values of E with respect to the variation of DCH concentration and spacing between electrodes are 7.905 kJ mol−1 and 15.813 kJ mol−1 respectively. As the values are in the range of 8–16 kJ mol−1, it may be suggested that the nature of bonding is governed by chemical forces (Swain et al., 2009). The present sorption system fits well with the DKR model and was supported by the R2 values (Fig. 6) as reported by Kamaraj et al. (2013).

3.9. Characterization studies

The material after the EC/EF process was characterized by FTIR, SEM and XRD studies. The assignments of Fourier Transform IR study which occur at different frequencies are shown in Table 3 (Fig. 7B). The broadband appears between 3500 cm−1 and 3000 cm−1 with an assignment of 3307.56 cm−1. This displacement was due to the displacement of OH band by overlapping of hydrogen bonds and the superimposition of NH band. The absence of (> C—O stretching frequency (> C—O at 1715 cm−1, —COOH between 1700 and 1730 cm−1) may be associated due to the newly formed bond between carbonyl oxygen and aluminum ions (as > C—O—Al).

The X-ray diffraction patterns (Fig. 7A) for DCH and DCH adsorbed Al(OH)3 illustrate the amorphous and crystalline merged amorphous patterns respectively. The narrow diffraction peaks at 18.8°, 20.3°, 27.9°, 40.3° and 53.2° are assigned to bayerite (PDF No. 20-11). The retained amorphous pattern of DCH is perturbed with the overlapping crystalline pattern due to the DCH adsorbed polymorph, Al(OH)3.

In support of the present study, it was reported that the formation of bayerite was predominant at near neutral pH (pH ≥ 7) and higher pH values (Carrier et al., 2007). The amorphous or slightly crystalline nature of electro-generated aluminum hydroxides and oxo-hydroxides was remarkable because of the very slow crystallization process. Hence the reflections of

---

**Table 3** FTIR assignments and associated stretching frequencies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organic function</th>
<th>Type of the bond</th>
<th>Theoretical values, cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Experimental values, cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Halogenated</td>
<td>γ(C–Cl)</td>
<td>750–850</td>
<td>811.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohols</td>
<td>γ(O–H)</td>
<td>3400</td>
<td>3307.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ(O–C–O)</td>
<td>1050</td>
<td>1062.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ(C–O)</td>
<td>1210–1320</td>
<td>1249.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxides</td>
<td>γ(C–O)</td>
<td>1070–1150</td>
<td>1168.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aromatics (deform)</td>
<td>γ(C–C)</td>
<td>1450–1600</td>
<td>1460.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>γ(C=C=)</td>
<td>3020–3050</td>
<td>3307.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alkenes</td>
<td>γ(C=C=H)</td>
<td>3020–3140</td>
<td>3307.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amines</td>
<td>γ(N–H)</td>
<td>1645</td>
<td>1599.37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Bragg showing very broad bumps with low intensity for the phases were analyzed at short distances (Drouiche et al., 2009).

The scanning electron micrograph (Fig. 8) shows that the aluminum floc-bound DCH material was at greater heterogeneity with irregular, sharp edged particles and exposing a rough surface. The precipitated grains constitute the distinguished geometries and make the formed aggregates with no well defined structural pattern.

3.10. Energy, electrodes and chemicals consumptions

Energy consumption and sacrificial electrodes are very important economical parameters. In this study, we used an average potential for EC and EF with a current density of 5.39 mA cm$^{-2}$. The electrical energy consumption of the process (EEC) was calculated using the following equation (Isa et al., 2014):

$$E_{EC} + E_{EF} = \frac{(IU)t}{V}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

where EEC is the sum between the energy consumption of EC and EF (kWh/m$^3$), $I$ is current (A), $U$ is voltage (V), $t$ is time (h) and $V$ is volume (L).

Current efficiency ($\phi$) which depends on the anodic dissolution is calculated as follows (Ghosh et al., 2008b):

$$\phi \, (\%) = \frac{\Delta M_{exp}}{\Delta M_{theo}} \times 100$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

$$\Delta M_{theo} = \frac{M \times I 	imes t}{nF} \, (\text{Faraday's law})$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

$$\Delta M_{exp} = m_{Al} - m_{Alf}$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

where $\Delta M_{exp}$ and $\Delta M_{theo}$ are respectively experimental weight loss of aluminum electrodes (g) and theoretical amount of dissolved aluminum (g); $M$ is molecular weight of aluminum (g mol$^{-1}$), $n$ number of electron moles, $F$ is Faraday constant ($F = 96,487$ C mol$^{-1}$) and $t_{EC}$ is time (s) of EC operation. As $Al(OH)_3$, is supposed to be the formed species, the number of electron moles in dissolution reaction is equal to 3. Finally, $m_{Al}$ and $m_{Alf}$ are respectively weights of the aluminum anode (g) before and after treatment. The experimental values are approximately equal to the theoretical values for the three electrolytes used as shown in Table 4.

Specific Electrical Energy Consumption (SEEC) is calculated as a function of aluminum electrodes weight consumption during EC in kWh per kg of Al (Nandi and Patel, 2014).

$$SEEC = \frac{(n \times F \times U)}{3600 \times M \times \phi}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Table 5 represents the details of the current efficiency, amount of generated sludge and the consumption of specific electrical energy, electrode and electrolyte during the EC–EF process.

In supporting the obtained results, the consumption of electrical energy ($C_{energy}$) for NaNO$_3$ is 2.53 times higher than for NaCl. The amount of electrode consumed was intermediate for NaCl (61.8 g) when compared with KCl (60.1 g) and NaNO$_3$ (63.7 g). The sludge generation after the electrolysis process was two times higher for NaCl as compared to that of KCl. Hence it may be accounted that the influence of electric charge to generate more sludge was higher for NaCl than the other two supporting electrolytes. The specific electrical energy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte used</th>
<th>UE (V)</th>
<th>UE (V)</th>
<th>$\Delta M_{exp}$ (g)</th>
<th>$\Delta M_{theo}$ (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaNO$_3$</td>
<td>13.15</td>
<td>11.35</td>
<td>0.2547</td>
<td>0.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>5.45</td>
<td>0.2471</td>
<td>0.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>0.2403</td>
<td>0.2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7 X-ray diffraction pattern (A) and FTIR of electro-generated coagulant with DCH (B).

Figure 8 Scanning electron micrographs of electro-generated aluminum coagulant.
consumption (SEEC) for the supporting electrolytes is in the following order: NaNO₃ > KCl > NaCl. SEEC was observed about 3 times higher for NaNO₃ than NaCl and KCl during the process of EC. The difference in SEEC among the electrolytes may be attributed by the developed resistance between the electrodes with a particular electrolytic solution. In this case, the resistance developed was higher for NaNO₃ then KCl and NaCl electrolytic solutions. As a consequence of increased resistance, the voltage across the electrodes was increased. This behavior ultimately leads to increased power consumption and SEEC for achieving the necessary current density to facilitate the EC process. The current efficiency (φ) of 119.4%, 122.8% and 126.5% was evaluated for the supporting electrolytes of NaCl, KCl and NaNO₃, respectively.

4. Concluding remarks

The electro-coagulation based removal of DCH was optimized at the pH of 7.03 which facilitated almost 90% removal after 80 min. The final pH of the electrolytic solutions was stabilized in the range of 7.52–7.91. DCH removal of about 96% was achievable with respect to the direct proportionality between spacing between electrodes and time of electrolysis. The supporting electrolyte contribution from NaCl was highly remarkable in facilitating the removal of DCH because of its highly conducting nature as compared to the other KCl and NaNO₃ electrolytes. The circulating flow-rate and removal efficiency were inversely related to each other based on the fact of residence time of DCH molecules. Among the kinetic models, pseudo-second-order model was with great compliance than pseudo-first-order model. DKR model suggested that the nature of bonding between DCH and aluminum flocs was governed by chemical forces. FTIR study corroborated the formation of new bonds between carbonyl oxygen (of DCH molecules) and aluminum ions (of flocs). X-ray diffraction study confirms that the formed aluminum flocs correspond to the bayerite pattern as shown by the corresponding diffraction peaks. The morphological pattern ascertained that the surface of the electroly-generated solid was highly heterogeneous and irregular with precipitated grains. The present EC coupled EF treatment process may better be opted with the supporting electrolyte of NaCl because it requires less energy consumption. Nevertheless, an appropriate modification of the system needs to be explored to lessen the amount of sludge generation by the present EC–EF process.
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Table 5 Details on the consumptions and sludge generations during EC–EF treatment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Electrolyte used</th>
<th>φ (%)</th>
<th>SEEC (kW h/kg Al)</th>
<th>Cₑ (ECC) (kW h/m²)</th>
<th>Cₑlectrode (kg electrode/m³)</th>
<th>Cₑlectrolyte (kg electrolyte/m³)</th>
<th>Csludge (kg sludge/m³)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaNO₃</td>
<td>126.5</td>
<td>30.97</td>
<td>3.675</td>
<td>0.0637</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3319</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>122.8</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>0.0618</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.2981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>119.4</td>
<td>10.74</td>
<td>1.505</td>
<td>0.0601</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.1455</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Electro-coagulation coupled electro-flotation process


