



# Eigenvalue spectrum of the spheroidal harmonics: A uniform asymptotic analysis



Shahar Hod <sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> The Ruppin Academic Center, Emeq Hefer 40250, Israel

<sup>b</sup> The Hadassah Institute, Jerusalem 91010, Israel

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 26 March 2015

Received in revised form 13 May 2015

Accepted 15 May 2015

Available online 19 May 2015

Editor: M. Cvetič

## ABSTRACT

The spheroidal harmonics  $S_{lm}(\theta; c)$  have attracted the attention of both physicists and mathematicians over the years. These special functions play a central role in the mathematical description of diverse physical phenomena, including black-hole perturbation theory and wave scattering by nonspherical objects. The asymptotic eigenvalues  $\{A_{lm}(c)\}$  of these functions have been determined by many authors. However, it should be emphasized that all the previous asymptotic analyzes were restricted either to the regime  $m \rightarrow \infty$  with a fixed value of  $c$ , or to the complementary regime  $|c| \rightarrow \infty$  with a fixed value of  $m$ . A fuller understanding of the asymptotic behavior of the eigenvalue spectrum requires an analysis which is asymptotically uniform in both  $m$  and  $c$ . In this paper we analyze the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum of these important functions in the double limit  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $|c| \rightarrow \infty$  with a fixed  $m/c$  ratio.

© 2015 The Author. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP<sup>3</sup>.

## 1. Introduction

The spheroidal harmonic functions  $S(\theta; c)$  appear in many branches of physics. These special functions are solutions of the angular differential equation [1–3]

$$\frac{1}{\sin \theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left( \sin \theta \frac{\partial S}{\partial \theta} \right) + \left[ c^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 \theta} + A \right] S = 0, \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and the integer parameter  $m$  is the azimuthal quantum number of the wave field [1–3].

These angular functions play a key role in the mathematical description of many physical phenomena, such as: perturbation theory of rotating Kerr black holes [2,4–6], electromagnetic wave scattering [7], quantum-mechanical description of molecules [8,9], communication theory [10], and nuclear physics [11].

The characteristic angular equation (1) for the spheroidal harmonic functions is supplemented by a regularity requirement for the corresponding eigenfunctions  $S(\theta; c)$  at the two boundaries  $\theta = 0$  and  $\theta = \pi$ . These boundary conditions single out a discrete set of eigenvalues  $\{A_{lm}\}$  which are labeled by the discrete spheroidal harmonic index  $l$  (where  $l - |m| = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ). For the special case  $c = 0$  the spheroidal harmonic functions  $S(\theta; c)$  reduce

to the spherical harmonic functions  $Y(\theta)$ , which are characterized by the familiar eigenvalue spectrum  $A_{lm} = l(l + 1)$ .

The various asymptotic spectra of the spheroidal harmonics with  $c^2 \in \mathbb{R}$  (when  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  the corresponding eigenfunctions are called oblate, while for  $ic \in \mathbb{R}$  the eigenfunctions are called prolate) were explored by many authors, see [1,12–17] and the references therein. In particular, in the asymptotic regime  $m^2 \gg |c|^2$  the eigenvalue spectrum is given by [12,13]

$$A_{lm} = l(l + 1) - \frac{c^2}{2} \left[ 1 - \frac{m^2}{l(l + 1)} \right] + O(1), \quad (2)$$

while in the opposite limit,  $|c|^2 \gg m^2$  with  $ic \in \mathbb{R}$ , the asymptotic spectrum is given by [1,13–15,17]

$$A_{lm} = [2(l - m) + 1]|c| + O(1). \quad (3)$$

The asymptotic regime  $c^2 \gg m^2$  (with  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ ) was studied in [1, 13–18], where it was found that the eigenvalues are given by:

$$A_{lm} = -c^2 + 2[l + 1 - \text{mod}(l - m, 2)]c + O(1). \quad (4)$$

Note that the spectrum (4) is doubly degenerate.

It should be emphasized that all the previous asymptotic analyzes of the eigenvalue spectrum were restricted either to the regime  $m \rightarrow \infty$  with a fixed value of  $c$  [12,13], or to the complementary regime  $|c| \rightarrow \infty$  with a fixed value of  $m$  [1,13–16]. A complete understanding of the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum requires an analysis which is uniform in both  $m$  and  $c$  [that is,

\* Correspondence to: The Ruppin Academic Center, Emeq Hefer 40250, Israel.

E-mail address: [shaharhod@gmail.com](mailto:shaharhod@gmail.com).

a uniform asymptotic analysis which is valid for a fixed (non-negligible)  $m/c$  ratio as *both*  $m$  and  $|c|$  tend to infinity.

The main goal of the present paper is to present a uniform asymptotic analysis for the spheroidal harmonic eigenvalues in the *double asymptotic limit*

$$m \rightarrow \infty \text{ and } |c| \rightarrow \infty \quad (5)$$

with a fixed  $m/c$  ratio.

## 2. A transformation into the Schrödinger-type wave equation

For the analysis of the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum, it is convenient to use the coordinate  $x$  defined by [12,17]

$$x \equiv \ln \left( \tan \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right) \right), \quad (6)$$

in terms of which the angular equation (1) for the spheroidal harmonic eigenfunctions takes the form of a one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation [19]

$$\frac{d^2 S}{dx^2} - US = 0, \quad (7)$$

where the effective radial potential is given by

$$U(x(\theta)) = m^2 - \sin^2 \theta (c^2 \cos^2 \theta + A). \quad (8)$$

Note that the transformation (6) maps the interval  $\theta \in [0, \pi]$  into  $x \in [-\infty, \infty]$ .

The effective potential  $U(\theta)$  is invariant under the transformation  $\theta \rightarrow \pi - \theta$ . It is characterized by two qualitatively different spatial behaviors depending on the relative magnitudes of  $A$  and  $c^2$ . We shall now study the asymptotic behaviors of the spheroidal eigenvalues in the two distinct cases:  $A/c^2 > 1$  and  $A/c^2 < 1$  [20].

## 3. The asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum

### 3.1. The asymptotic regime $\{|c|, m\} \rightarrow \infty$ with $c^2 < m^2$

If  $A > c^2$  then the effective radial potential  $U(x(\theta))$  is in the form of a symmetric potential well whose local minimum is located at

$$\theta_{\min} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ with } U(\theta_{\min}) = -A + m^2. \quad (9)$$

[Note that  $\theta_{\min} = \frac{\pi}{2}$  corresponds to  $x_{\min} = 0$ .]

Spatial regions in which  $U(x) < 0$  (the ‘classically allowed regions’) are characterized by an oscillatory behavior of the corresponding wave function  $S$ , whereas spatial regions in which  $U(x) > 0$  are characterized by an exponentially decaying wave function (these are the ‘classically forbidden regions’). The effective radial potential  $U(x)$  is characterized by two ‘classical turning points’  $\{x^-, x^+\}$  (or equivalently,  $\{\theta^-, \theta^+\}$ ) for which  $U(x) = 0$  [21].

The one-dimensional Schrödinger-like wave equation (7) is in a form that is amenable to a standard WKB analysis. In particular, a standard textbook second-order WKB approximation yields the well-known quantization condition [22–26]

$$\int_{x^-}^{x^+} dx \sqrt{-U(x)} = \left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\pi \quad ; \quad N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (10)$$

for the bound-state ‘energies’ (eigenvalues) of the Schrödinger-like wave equation (7), where  $N$  is a non-negative integer. The characteristic WKB quantization condition (10) determines the eigenvalues  $\{A\}$  of the spheroidal harmonic functions in the double

limit  $\{|c|, m\} \rightarrow \infty$ . The relation so obtained between the angular eigenvalues and the parameters  $m, c$ , and  $N$  is rather complex and involves elliptic integrals. However, if we restrict ourselves to the fundamental (low-lying) modes which have support in a small interval around the potential minimum  $x_{\min}$  [27], then we can use the expansion  $U(x) \simeq U_{\min} + \frac{1}{2}U''_{\min}(x - x_{\min})^2 + O[(x - x_{\min})^4]$  in (10) to obtain the WKB quantization condition [25]

$$\frac{|U_{\min}|}{\sqrt{2U''_{\min}}} = N + \frac{1}{2} \quad ; \quad N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}, \quad (11)$$

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to  $x$ . The subscript ‘min’ means that the quantity is evaluated at the minimum  $x_{\min}$  of  $U(x(\theta))$ . Substituting (8) with  $x_{\min} = 0$  into the WKB quantization condition (11), one finds the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum

$$A(c, m, N) = m^2 + (2N + 1)\sqrt{m^2 - c^2} + O(1) \quad ; \quad N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (12)$$

in the  $N \ll \sqrt{m^2 - c^2}$  regime [27]. The resonance parameter  $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  corresponds to  $l - |m| = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ , where  $l$  is known as the spheroidal harmonic index.

It is worth noting that the eigenvalue spectrum (12), which was derived in the *double asymptotic limit*  $\{|c|, m\} \rightarrow \infty$ , reduces to (2) in the special case  $m \gg |c|$  and reduces to (3) in the opposite special case  $|c| \gg m$  with  $ic \in \mathbb{R}$ . The fact that our uniform eigenvalue spectrum (12) reduces to (2) and (3) in the appropriate special limits provides a consistency check for our analysis [28].

### 3.2. The asymptotic regime $\{c, m\} \rightarrow \infty$ with $c^2 > m^2$

If  $A < c^2$  then the effective radial potential  $U(x(\theta))$  is in the form of a symmetric double-well potential: it has a local maximum at

$$\theta_{\max} = \frac{\pi}{2} \text{ with } U(\theta_{\max}) = -A + m^2, \quad (13)$$

and two local minima at [29]

$$\theta_{\min}^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \arccos(-A/c^2) \quad (14)$$

with

$$U(\theta_{\min}^{\pm}) = -\frac{1}{4}c^2[1 - (A/c^2)^2] - \frac{1}{2}A[1 + (A/c^2)] + m^2. \quad (15)$$

Thus, the two potential wells are separated by a large potential-barrier of height

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U &\equiv U(\theta_{\max}) - U(\theta_{\min}^{\pm}) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}c^2[1 - (A/c^2)^2] - \frac{1}{2}A[1 - (A/c^2)] \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } c \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

The fact that the two potential wells are separated by an infinite potential-barrier in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit (with  $c^2 > m^2$ ) [30] implies that the coupling between the wells (the ‘quantum tunneling’ through the potential barrier) is negligible in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit. The two potential wells can therefore be treated as independent of each other in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit [22,31]. Thus, the two spectra of eigenvalues (which correspond to the two identical potential wells) are degenerate in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit [32].

Substituting (8) with  $\theta_{\min} = \frac{1}{2} \arccos(-A/c^2)$  into the WKB quantization condition (11), one finds the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum

$$A(c, m, N) = -c^2 + 2[m + (2N + 1)\sqrt{1 - m/c}]c + O(1) ;$$

$$N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} \quad (17)$$

in the  $N \ll m\sqrt{1 - m/c}$  regime [33]. We recall that the spectrum (17) is doubly degenerate in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  regime [34]; each value of  $N$  corresponds to two adjacent values of the spheroidal harmonic index  $l$ :  $N = \frac{1}{2}[l - m - \text{mod}(l - m, 2)]$  [35].

It is worth noting that the eigenvalue spectrum (17), which was derived in the *double* asymptotic limit  $\{|c|, m\} \rightarrow \infty$ , reduces to (4) in the special case  $c^2 \gg m^2$ . The fact that our uniform eigenvalue spectrum (17) reduces to (4) in the appropriate special limit provides a consistency check for our analysis [36].

## Acknowledgements

This research is supported by the Carmel Science Foundation. I thank Yael Oren, Arbel M. Ongo, Ayelet B. Lata, and Alona B. Tea for stimulating discussions.

## References

- [1] C. Flammer, *Spheroidal Wave Functions*, Stanford University Press, Stanford, 1957.
- [2] S.A. Teukolsky, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 29 (1972) 1114; S.A. Teukolsky, *Astrophys. J.* 185 (1973) 635.
- [3] M. Abramowitz, I.A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publications, New York, 1970.
- [4] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, A.O. Starinets, *Class. Quantum Gravity* 26 (2009) 163001.
- [5] S. Hod, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 84 (2000) 10, arXiv:gr-qc/9907096; U. Keshet, S. Hod, *Phys. Rev. D* 76 (2007) 061501 (Rapid communication), arXiv:0705.1179; U. Keshet, arXiv:1207.2460.
- [6] In the context of black-hole perturbation theory, the dimensionless parameter  $c$  stands for  $a\omega$ , where  $a$  is the specific angular momentum (angular momentum per unit mass) of the spinning black hole and  $\omega$  is the conserved frequency of a scalar perturbation mode [2].
- [7] S. Asano, G. Yamamoto, *Appl. Opt.* 14 (1975) 29; N.V. Voshchinnikov, V.G. Farafonov, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* 204 (1993) 19; M.I. Mishchenko, J.W. Hovenier, L.D. Travis (Eds.), *Light Scattering by Nonspherical Particles: Theory, Measurements, and Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 2000.
- [8] H. Eyring, J. Walter, G.E. Kimball, *Quantum Chemistry*, Wiley, New York, 1948; M.M. Madsen, J.M. Peek, *Eigenparameters for the lowest twenty electronic states of the hydrogen molecule ion*, *At. Data* 2 (1971) 171.
- [9] P.E. Falloon, *Theory and Computation of Spheroidal Harmonics with General Arguments* (Thesis presented for the degree of Master of Science at The University of Western Australia, Department of Physics, September 2001), <ftp://www.biophysics.uwa.edu.au/pub/Theses/MSc/Falloon/Masters-Thesis.pdf>.
- [10] C.L. Fancourt, J.C. Principe, *Proc. IEEE ICASSP* 1 (2000) 261; F. Grunbaum, L. Miranian, *Proc. SPIE* 4478 (1) (2001) 151; B. Larsson, T. Levitina, E.J. Brandas, *Int. J. Quant. Chem.* 85 (2001) 392.
- [11] B.D.B. Figueiredo, *J. Phys. A* 35 (2002) 2877.
- [12] H. Yang, D.A. Nichols, F. Zhang, A. Zimmerman, Z. Zhang, Y. Chen, arXiv:1207.4253.
- [13] E. Berti, V. Cardoso, M. Casals, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 024013; E. Berti, V. Cardoso, M. Casals, *Phys. Rev. D* 73 (2006) 109902 (Erratum).
- [14] J. Meixner, F.W. Schäfke, *Mathieu'sche Funktionen und Sphäroidfunktionen mit Anwendungen auf Physikalische und Technische Probleme*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1954.
- [15] R.A. Breuer, *Gravitational Perturbation Theory and Synchrotron Radiation*, *Lect. Notes Phys.*, vol. 44, Springer, Berlin, 1975; R.A. Breuer, M.P. Ryan Jr., S. Waller, *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A* 358 (1977) 71.
- [16] M. Casals, A.C. Ottewill, *Phys. Rev. D* 71 (2005) 064025.
- [17] S. Hod, *Phys. Lett. B* 717 (2012) 462, arXiv:1304.0529; S. Hod, *Phys. Rev. D* 87 (2013) 064017, arXiv:1304.4683.
- [18] We shall assume without loss of generality that  $\Re c \geq 0$ ,  $\Im c \geq 0$ , and  $m \geq 0$ . Note that the angular differential equation (1) is invariant under the transformations  $c \rightarrow -c$  and  $m \rightarrow -m$ . Thus, the eigenvalues are also invariant under these transformations.
- [19] Note that in the quantum-mechanical terminology  $-U$  stands for  $\frac{2m}{\hbar^2}(E - V)$ , where  $E$ ,  $V$ , and  $m$  are the total energy, potential energy, and mass of the particle, respectively.
- [20] Below we shall show that these two cases correspond to  $c^2 < m^2$  and  $c^2 > m^2$ , respectively.
- [21] Note that these turning points are characterized by the relation  $\theta^- < \theta_{\min}^- < \theta^+$ .
- [22] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, *Quantum Mechanics*, 3rd ed., Pergamon, New York, 1977, Chap. VII.
- [23] J. Heading, *An Introduction to Phase Integral Methods*, Wiley, New York, 1962.
- [24] C.M. Bender, S.A. Orszag, *Advanced Mathematical Methods for Scientists and Engineers*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1978, Chap. 10.
- [25] B.F. Schutz, C.M. Will, *Astrophys. J.* 291 (1985) L33; S. Iyer, C.M. Will, *Phys. Rev. D* 35 (1987) 3621.
- [26] Higher order corrections to the asymptotic eigenvalues [see formulas (12) and (17) below] can be obtained by using a higher-order WKB analysis [25].
- [27] Substituting our final formula [see Eq. (12) below] into the effective potential (8), one finds that the turning points are located at  $x^\pm - x_{\min} \simeq \pm \sqrt{\frac{A - m^2}{A - c^2}} \simeq \pm \sqrt{\frac{2N + 1}{\sqrt{m^2 - c^2}}}$ . Thus, the assumption  $|x^\pm - x_{\min}| \ll 1$  is valid in the  $N \ll \sqrt{m^2 - c^2}$  regime.
- [28] It is worth noting that our analytical formula (12) agrees with the numerical results of [9] for the case  $l = m = 100$  with  $c = 100i$  with a remarkable accuracy of  $3.68 \times 10^{-3}\%$  (note that  $c \rightarrow ic$  in the notations of [9]).
- [29] Note the symmetry relation  $\theta_{\min}^+ = \pi - \theta_{\min}^-$ .
- [30] Substituting our final formula [see Eq. (17) below] into the effective potential (8), one finds that the potential barrier (16) is given by  $\Delta U = (c - m)^2 + O(c)$ . Thus,  $\Delta U \rightarrow \infty$  in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit with  $c^2 > m^2$ .
- [31] C.S. Park, M.G. Jeong, S.K. Yoo, D.K. Park, *Phys. Rev. A* 58 (1998) 3443; Z. Cao, Q. Liu, Q. Shen, X. Dou, Y. Chen, Y. Ozaki, *Phys. Rev. A* 63 (2001) 054103; F. Zhou, Z. Cao, Q. Shen, *Phys. Rev. A* 67 (2003) 062112.
- [32] More precisely, the coupling between the two potential wells (due to the weak 'quantum tunneling' through the large potential barrier) introduces a small correction term of order  $\exp[-\int_{\theta_2^-}^{\theta_1^+} d\theta \sqrt{U(\theta)}]$  to the r.h.s of the WKB quantization condition (10) [22,31], where  $\theta_2^-$  and  $\theta_1^+$  are the inner turning points of the effective potential barrier. This term is of the order of  $e^{-\sqrt{\Delta U}} \sim e^{-(c-m)} \rightarrow 0$  [see Eqs. (16) and (17)] and is therefore negligible in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit with  $c > m$  [22,31].
- [33] Substituting our final formula (17) into the effective potential (8), one finds that the turning points are located at  $x^\pm - x_{\min} \simeq \pm \sqrt{\frac{N + \frac{1}{2}}{m\sqrt{1 - \frac{m}{c}}}}$ . Thus, the assumption  $|x^\pm - x_{\min}| \ll 1$  is valid in the  $N \ll m\sqrt{1 - \frac{m}{c}}$  regime.
- [34] As discussed above, this double degeneracy of the asymptotic eigenvalue spectrum reflects the fact that the effective potential (8) with  $c^2 > m^2$  is composed of two identical potential wells which, in the  $c \rightarrow \infty$  limit, are separated by an infinite potential-barrier.
- [35] Thus,  $l - |m| = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots\}$  correspond to  $N = \{0, 0, 1, 1, 2, 2, \dots\}$ .
- [36] It is worth noting that our analytical formula (17) agrees with the numerical results of [9] for the case  $l = m = 100$  with  $c = 100$  with a remarkable accuracy of 0.22% (note that  $c \rightarrow ic$  in the notations of [9]).