© 2009 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation Published by Elsevier Inc.

### VIEWPOINT

ISSN 0735-1097/09/\$36.00 doi:10.1016/j.jacc.2009.04.072

### Cardiomyopathy

# The Case for Myocardial Ischemia in Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy

Martin S. Maron, MD,\* Iacopo Olivotto, MD,† Barry J. Maron, MD,‡ Sanjay K. Prasad, MD,§ Franco Cecchi, MD,† James E. Udelson, MD,\* Paolo G. Camici, MD||

Boston, Massachusetts; Florence, Italy; Minneapolis, Minnesota; and London, United Kingdom

Since its original description 50 years ago, myocardial ischemia has been a recognized but underappreciated aspect of the pathophysiology of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM). Nevertheless, the assessment of myocardial ischemia is still not part of routine clinical diagnostic or management strategies. Morphologic abnormalities of the intramural coronary arterioles represent the primary morphologic substrate for microvascular dysfunction and its functional consequence-that is, blunted myocardial blood flow (MBF) during stress. Recently, a number of studies using contemporary cardiovascular imaging modalities such as positron emission tomography (PET) and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) have led to an enhanced understanding of the role that myocardial ischemia and its sequelae fibrosis play on clinical outcome. In this regard, studies with PET have shown that HCM patients have impaired MBF after dipyridamole infusion and that this blunted MBF is a powerful independent predictor of cardiovascular mortality and adverse LV remodeling associated with LV systolic dysfunction. Stress CMR with late gadolinium enhancement (LGE) has also shown that MBF is reduced in relation to magnitude of wall thickness and in those LV segments occupied by LGE (i.e., fibrosis). These CMR observations show an association between ischemia, myocardial fibrosis, and LV remodeling, providing support that abnormal MBF caused by microvascular dysfunction is responsible for myocardial ischemia-mediated myocyte death, and ultimately replacement fibrosis. Efforts should now focus on detecting myocardial ischemia before adverse LV remodeling begins, so that interventional treatment strategies can be initiated earlier in the clinical course to mitigate ischemia and beneficially alter the natural history of HCM. (J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;54:866-75) © 2009 by the American College of Cardiology Foundation

Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy (HCM) is the most common genetic heart disease, with a prevalence in the general population of 1:500, and characterized by extreme heterogeneity with regard to phenotypic expression, pathophysiology, and clinical course (1–5). HCM is the most common cause of sudden cardiac death in the young, but also a major cause of heart failure disability at any age (6–9). Myocardial ischemia is an established pathophysiologic feature in HCM and may be associated with important disease-related complications that impact clinical outcome, including adverse left ventricular (LV) remodeling and systolic dysfunction (10–20). Nevertheless, for much of the past 50 years, ischemia has been an underappreciated (or unrecognized) component of the HCM disease process, particularly compared with the better-known pathophysiologic mechanisms of LV outflow obstruction (21–23) and diastolic dysfunction (24–26).

Although perfusion defects on thallium-201 singlephoton emission computed tomography (SPECT) were convincingly shown in HCM patients more than 25 years ago (27,28), assessment of myocardial ischemia is currently not part of routine clinical diagnostic or management strategies in this disease (4). This limitation almost certainly impacts our capability for providing a more comprehensive evaluation of individual HCM patients.

More recently, a number of studies using contemporary cardiovascular imaging modalities such as positron emission tomography (PET) and cardiovascular magnetic resonance (CMR) imaging have led to an enhanced understanding of the role that myocardial ischemia plays in this complex and heterogeneous genetic disease (12,29–34). Therefore, we believe it is particularly timely to focus attention on the current understanding of myocardial ischemia in HCM and its importance as a potential prognostic marker and to revisit the contribution of contemporary imaging strategies for assessment, as well as possible treatment approaches.

From the \*Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Center, Division of Cardiology, Tufts Medical Center, Boston, Massachusetts; †Regional Referral Center for Myocardial Diseases, Azienda Ospedaliera Universitaria Careggi, Florence, Italy; ‡Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy Center, Minneapolis Heart Institute Foundation, Minneapolis, Minnesota; §Center for Advanced MR in Cardiology and Department of Cardiology, Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom; and the ||Medical Research Council Clinical Sciences Centre and National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, United Kingdom.

Manuscript received February 25, 2009; revised manuscript received April 20, 2009, accepted April 21, 2009.

# Pathophysiology, Substrate, and Biomarker Evidence for Myocardial Ischemia

**Pathologic and hemodynamic evidence.** The initial evidence for myocardial ischemia in HCM was derived from post-mortem studies of patients who had died suddenly and in whom extensive areas of myocardial damage were evident. A spectrum of ischemic injury was observed, from an acute phase with coagulative necrosis and neutrophilic infiltrate to a chronic post-necrotic replacement-type fibrosis, always in the absence of atherosclerotic epicardial coronary artery disease (35).

In addition to gross pathologic evidence of myocardial scarring, autopsy studies in HCM patients have shown structural abnormalities of intramural coronary arterioles, characterized by thickening of the intima and/or medial layers of the vessel wall associated with decreased luminal cross-sectional area (Fig. 1) (35–40). Indeed, these morphologic abnormalities of the intramural coronary arterioles (i.e., small vessel disease) most likely represent the primary morphologic substrate for microvascular dysfunction (i.e., impaired vasodilatory capacity) and its functional consequence, that is, blunted myocardial blood flow (MBF) during stress (i.e., hypoperfusion) (41–43).

Periods of abnormal MBF occur in patients with HCM because of the increased myocardial oxygen demand of the hypertrophied ventricular myocardium, and in patients with LV outflow tract obstruction metabolic demand is further increased because of the greater workload induced by substantially elevated intraventricular pressures. Although not all episodes of abnormal MBF lead to ischemia, such circumstances arise frequently enough during the clinical course of an HCM patient that absolute MBF can be regarded as a surrogate for myocardial ischemia. Other structural abnormalities, including myocyte disarray, increased interstitial (matrix) fibrosis, and reduced capillary density, may also contribute to impairment of MBF in HCM (44-48). Biomarker evidence. During the mid-1980s, elegant invasive cardiac catheterization studies provided the most compelling and direct evidence for the presence of myocardial ischemia in HCM (11,49-51). In the absence of epicardial coronary artery disease, patients showed decreased lactate consumption (sampled from the great cardiac vein via the coronary sinus) during rapid atrial pacing in association with decreased venous flow and elevated LV end-diastolic pressures (52).

#### Abbreviations and Acronyms

<b>CMR</b> = cardiovascular magnetic resonance
HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy
ICD = implantable cardioverter-defibrillator
LGE = late gadolinium enhancement
<b>LV</b> = left ventricular
<b>MBF</b> = myocardial blood flow
<b>PET</b> = positron emission tomography
SPECT = single-photon emission computed tomography

Because the great cardiac vein is responsible for venous drainage from the vascular territory supplying the anterior septum (the most common location for LV hypertrophy in HCM), these findings were regarded as evidence of myocardial ischemia (11). Furthermore, elevations in serum biomarkers of cardiac injury (i.e., troponin) have also been documented in this disease (53). Because increases in troponin show high specificity for myocyte cell death, this observation provides further evidence for a process of subclinical myocardial ischemia.

Therefore, it was more than 25 years after the contemporary description of HCM in 1958 that evidence from post-mortem and invasive hemodynamic studies convinc-



(A) Native heart of a patient with end-stage HCM who underwent transplantation. Large areas of gross macroscopic scarring are evident throughout the LV myocardium (white arrows). (B) Intramural coronary artery in cross-section showing thickened intimal and medial layers of the vessel wall associated with small luminal area. (C) Area of myocardium with numerous abnormal intramural coronary arteries within a region of scarring, adjacent to an area of normal myocardium. Original magnification  $\times$ 55. Reprinted, with permission, from Maron et al. (38). HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; LV = left ventricular.

ingly showed that myocardial ischemia occurs in this disease. Indeed, the consequences of repetitive bouts of ischemia include myocyte death and associated myocardial replacement fibrosis leading to adverse LV remodeling in the form of regional replacement fibrosis and loss of myocyte function. This will result in altered diastolic function, and in some patients, progression to systolic dysfunction (i.e., the end-stage phase of HCM) (Fig. 2).

# Noninvasive Assessment of Microvascular Function and Myocardial Ischemia

Currently, no technique allows the direct visualization of the coronary microcirculation in vivo in humans. Therefore, the assessment of myocardial ischemia relies on the measurement of parameters such as MBF that reflect microvascular dysfunction. Over the past 3 decades, several noninvasive tests have assessed coronary microvascular function and myocardial ischemia in patients with HCM (Table 1). PET. The most reliable noninvasive method for assessing myocardial ischemia in HCM by quantitative measurement of its surrogate MBF (29,54) is PET with either <sup>13</sup>Nlabeled ammonia or <sup>15</sup>O-labeled water. The measurement of MBF under basal conditions and in conditions of near-maximal vasodilatation (after intravenous adenosine or dipyridamole) permits calculation of coronary flow reserve, that is, the ratio of maximum to basal MBF. In the absence of obstructive epicardial coronary disease, flow resistance is primarily determined by the microvasculature (>90% of coronary resistance resides in arterioles  $<300 \ \mu m$  in diameter),

and therefore a reduced coronary flow reserve (and MBF) are markers of coronary microvascular dysfunction (17).

In patients with HCM, PET studies have shown that although resting MBF is similar to that of normal control subjects, the increase of blood flow after dipyridamole infusion is significantly blunted, reflecting an inability to increase myocardial perfusion to match increased demand (10,30,55,56). For example, maximum MBF after dipyridamole stress in HCM patients is often <2 ml/min/g, compared with an average of 4 ml/min/g in healthy control subjects. Furthermore, flow abnormalities are most profound in the subendocardium, including reduced flow in areas of myocardium contiguous to segments in which perfusion is preserved (10). Therefore, although MBF is often markedly impaired in the hypertrophied ventricular septum, it may also be reduced in the nonhypertrophied portions of the LV wall (10). These observations suggest a primary and diffuse impairment of coronary microvascular function in HCM, a finding that is supported by postmortem studies showing evidence of abnormal intramural coronary arterioles distributed throughout the LV myocardium (35,38,40). In addition, abnormalities of MBF during dipyridamole stress are more pronounced in the subendocardial layer compared with the subepicardium (Figs. 3A and 3B), consistent with the SPECT finding of transient ischemic dilatation (10,17,30).

**CMR.** CMR provides 3-dimensional tomographic imaging of the heart with high spatial and temporal resolution, in any plane and without ionizing radiation (33,57,58). After



Clinical Relevance and Limitations of Different Techniques for the Assessment of Microvascular Dysfunction and Ischemia in HCM				
Method	Finding	Clinical Relevance	Limitations	
Clinical history	Nonanginal chest pain	Ongoing microvascular ischemia	Poor sensitivity and specificity. Should prompt investigation for alternative causes if severe (CAD, bridging).	
Troponin I	Increased serum levels	Acute myocyte injury	Limited utility in HCM due to frequent lack of symptoms during ischemia. If markedly elevated in association with symptoms, should also prompt exclusion of CAD.	
Holter ECG	ST-T changes	Ongoing ischemia	Poor sensitivity; baseline ECG abnormalities often limit reliability.	
Exercise testing	ST-T changes/symptoms	Inducible ischemia	Poor sensitivity; baseline ECG abnormalities often limit reliability.	
Stress echocardiography	Regional wall motion abnormalities	Inducible ischemia	Limited experience in HCM; likely poorly sensitive.	
SPECT	Regional perfusion abnormalities	Regional microvascular dysfunction, may predict end-stage progression	Lack of MBF quantitation; suboptimal sensitivity.	
Coronary angiography	Myocardial bridging/tunneling of LAD, associated CAD	Exclusion of associated causes of ischemia	Not practical for assessment of microvascular function.	
Coronary lactate (serum) levels	Lactate production	Anaerobic metabolism/ischemia	Invasive, not applicable in routine clinical practice.	
PET	Reduced coronary reserve/blunted maximal MBF	Extent and distribution of microvascular dysfunction, predicts outcome and LV remodeling	Limited availability and expensive. Radiation exposure.	
CMR-stress perfusion	Reduced coronary reserve/blunted maximal MBF	Extent and distribution of microvascular dysfunction	Still not widely available; time consuming/ expensive. Validation less robust than PET.	
CMR-late gadolinium enhancement	Delayed contrast enhancement	Replacement scarring after recurrent ischemia	Prognostic significance still under investigation.	

CAD = coronary artery disease; CMR = cardiovascular magnetic resonance; ECG = electrocardiogram; HCM = hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; LAD = left anterior descending coronary artery; LV = left ventricular; MBF = myocardial blood flow; PET = positron emission tomography; SPECT = single-photon emission computed tomography.

the first pass of intravenous gadolinium administration, CMR perfusion sequences permit both qualitative and quantitative assessment of MBF at rest and during pharmacologic stress (typically adenosine) with superior spatial resolution compared with PET or SPECT (33,34,58). Furthermore, after a delay of approximately 10 to 15 min after the acquisition of the first-pass perfusion images, late gadolinium enhancement (LGE-CMR) sequences can identify the presence (and quantitatively measure the extent) of myocardial fibrosis in HCM patients (32,59–61). Evidence that areas of LGE represent myocardial fibrosis in HCM can be found in a small number of case reports of





(A and B) A 23-year-old patient with HCM and massive asymmetric ventricular septal hypertrophy (wall thickness 39 mm). At baseline (A), an area of reduced <sup>13</sup>N-ammonia uptake by PET can be seen at the base of the anterior papillary muscle (11 o'clock position; **arrow**). (B) PET after dipyridamole stress, in which myocardial blood flow increases more substantially in the subepicardial than in the subendocardial ventricular septum (VS) as well as the contiguous anterior LV wall (Ant. FW). (C and D) Relationship of abnormal myocardial blood flow by PET and areas of myocardial fibrosis by contrast-enhanced CMR. (C) A PET short-axis slice at the basal LV level in a patient with HCM, with color scale showing highest myocardial blood flow in **red** and lowest in **green**. (D) In the same patient, after intravenous infusion of gadolinium, a corresponding short-axis contrast-enhanced CMR image showing areas of late gadolinium enhancement (bright) that match closely to the identical areas of abnormal myocardial blood flow by PET. Reprinted, with permission, from Sotgia et al. (65). CMR = cardiovascular magnetic resonance; PET = positron emission tomography; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

end-stage patients in which concordance has been shown between in vivo LGE-CMR images and gross and histopathological findings of fibrosis in native hearts after transplantation (62,63). Therefore, CMR affords an opportunity to provide insights into the pathophysiology of myocardial ischemia in HCM by matching areas of abnormal perfusion with other important morphologic findings such as segmental LV hypertrophy and fibrosis.

Similar to PET, a recent stress CMR study in HCM showed blunted MBF in response to stress. In addition, MBF was reduced to a greater degree in the subendocardial compared with the subepicardial layer, and also the degree of abnormal perfusion related to magnitude of wall thickness (34). Importantly, areas of myocardium in which fibrosis was present (as determined by LGE) were most often associated with reduced MBF (34). Furthermore, hyperemic flow was substantially reduced in LV segments occupied by LGE as well as severely blunted in areas situated adjacent to myocardial fibrosis (Figs. 3C and 3D) (64,65). Taken together, these CMR observations show an association between ischemia, myocardial fibrosis, and LV remodeling, providing further support for the principle that abnormal MBF caused by microvascular dysfunction is responsible for myocardial ischemia-mediated myocyte death, and ultimately repair in the form of replacement fibrosis.

Finally, abnormalities of MBF assessed with PET and detection of myocardial fibrosis with contrast-enhanced CMR have also been shown previously in patients with LV hypertrophy caused by longstanding pressure overload from systemic hypertension or aortic stenosis, as well as other cardiomyopathies (e.g., Anderson-Fabry disease) (29). Based on these studies, we conclude that diffuse impairment in the coronary microvasculature leading to ischemia and ultimately myocardial scarring is not a process unique to HCM and occurs in a similar fashion in other forms of primary and secondary LV hypertrophy.

Radionuclide myocardial perfusion imaging. Using the potassium analogue thallium-201 or the 99mTc labeled compounds as perfusion tracers (11,16,27,28,66,67), SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging has shown both fixed and reversible myocardial perfusion defects in HCM patients. Fixed defects are more often associated with reduced systolic function, lower peak oxygen consumption, and increased LV cavity dimensions, and consequently have been regarded as areas of myocardial scarring (16). Reversible defects induced by exercise have been considered markers of myocardial ischemia based on high concordance with metabolic evidence of ischemia induced by pacing or infusion of sympathomimetic drugs (11). That reversible defects reflect a dynamic abnormality of coronary microvascular function is also suggested by the reduction of such defects reported with verapamil therapy (66). In HCM, SPECT imaging has also shown stress-induced transient cavity dilatation, suggestive of diffuse subendocardial ischemia. Although SPECT imaging is widely available, this technique is limited by allowing only the assessment of relative changes in regional perfusion and by an inability to quantify absolute MBF.

**Electrocardiographic monitoring.** Traditional, noninvasive methods for detecting myocardial ischemia clinically, including ST-segment changes on 12-lead and ambulatory Holter electrocardiographic monitoring or exercise testing, have proved to be insufficiently sensitive or specific for detecting ischemia in HCM (17). ST-segment depression during Holter monitoring occurs commonly in HCM patients both during exertion and while sedentary, but has not been consistently linked with either chest pain or perfusion abnormalities on SPECT imaging (68).

# **Clinical Evidence for Ischemia**

Chest pain symptoms are a frequent complaint among 25% to 50% of HCM patients (3,4,68). Episodes of chest pain in patients with HCM can be prolonged and atypical in character, occurring frequently under resting conditions (69), but also may be consistent with classic angina pectoris provoked with exertion and after meals (68). However, the relationship among the various types of chest pain encountered in HCM and active myocardial ischemia is at present unresolved. In those HCM patients with typical angina in whom suspicion of underlying epicardial coronary artery disease is increased based on more advanced age, assessment of traditional coronary risk factors and pertinent noninvasive testing, coronary angiography (or alternatively cardiac computed tomographic angiography) should be considered to exclude obstructive atherosclerotic coronary artery disease (70) as well as other causes of chest pain (e.g., myocardial bridging or congenital coronary artery anomalies).

Electrocardiographic changes consistent with ischemia such as ST-segment depression may occur frequently during exercise testing and Holter monitoring, but are most often not associated with chest pain (27,68). Consequently, a clear association between chest pain and microvascular ischemia has not been established in HCM, suggesting that ischemia often occurs silently (11). In one study of young asymptomatic HCM patients, reversible thallium perfusion defects were present in about 50% of patients, supporting the concept of clinically silent ischemia (66). In addition, no consistent relationship has been established between chest pain and LV wall thickness, outflow obstruction, or other HCM disease features (3).

Verapamil and beta-blockers may improve symptoms of chest pain and exertional dyspnea in HCM. This probably occurs via reduction in heart rate and oxygen consumption (beta-blockers), and possibly because of direct effects on the microvasculature and diastolic filling (verapamil), leading to redistribution of transmural MBF and improved subendocardial perfusion (56). In this regard, verapamil has been shown to decrease or eliminate reversible myocardial perfusion abnormalities on SPECT imaging, and therefore is generally the preferred initial therapy for treating predominant chest pain symptoms in HCM patients (4). Also, in recognition of the known clinical benefit of septal reduction therapies in reducing subendocardial ischemia, consideration should be given to these procedures to relieve outflow obstruction in HCM patients with advanced symptoms of severe debilitating chest pain refractory to drug therapy (49). In this regard, there is now evidence showing an improvement in myocardial perfusion after septal reduction therapy (71).

### **Clinical Significance of Myocardial Ischemia**

**Observations with PET.** Although a number of observations had been made defining the presence of myocardial ischemia in HCM over the last 25 years (16,19,20,27,49,52,67), it was the study by Cecchi et al. in 2003 (12) that provided the initial evidence that ischemia does in fact have important prognostic disease consequences. In a cohort of 51 HCM

patients followed prospectively for more than 8 years after identification of ischemia by PET, a dipyridamole MBF in the lowest tertile proved to be a powerful independent predictor of cardiovascular mortality (Fig. 4) (12). Specifically, increased risk was associated with MBF <1.1 ml/g/min with a relative risk of 9.6 for cardiovascular death and 20.0 for unfavorable outcome. Although at the time of the initial PET studies none of the patients had severe limiting symptoms and few were regarded as high-risk for disease progression, impaired MBF after dipyridamole nevertheless predicted subsequent major cardiovascular events.

Furthermore, patients with the greatest impairment of MBF were more likely to experience long-term LV remodeling with end-diastolic LV chamber enlargement and wall thinning, and decline in systolic function (i.e., to the end-stage) (Fig. 4) (72). Specifically, all patients in this cohort who subsequently progressed to the end stage, and in whom severe heart failure symptoms developed were in the



Figure 4 Blunted Myocardial Blood Flow With Stress Is Associated With Adverse LV Remodeling and Predicts Outcome

(A) Comparison of LV end-diastolic dimension and ejection fraction at the time of PET study and at final evaluation expressed as tertiles of myocardial blood flow after dipyridamole infusion. Those patients with a dipyridamole myocardial blood flow value in the lowest tertile showed the greatest change in cavity size and ejection fraction. Vertical bars indicate mean  $\pm$  SD for each group. \*p < 0.05 versus same group at the time of PET scan; †p < 0.05 versus patients in the highest tertile; †p < 0.05 versus patients in other tertiles. Reprinted, with permission, from Olivotto et al. (72). (B) Evidence that myocardial blood flow value in the lowest tertile of myocardial blood flow value in the lowest tertile of myocardial blood flow value in the lowest tertile of myocardial blood flow. Reprinted, with permission, from Cecchi et al. (12). PET = positron emission tomography; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

lowest tertile of dipyridamole MBF flow at study entry. Therefore, severe abnormalities in MBF caused by microvascular dysfunction seemed to be a powerful determinant of impaired systolic function, whereas preserved MBF identified the low-risk subgroup (72). Finally, the proportion of patients who received potential anti-ischemic pharmacologic agents (such as beta-blockers or calcium-channel blockers) was statistically similar within each tertile of MBF both at the time of PET and during follow-up.

This study was not designed to determine the primary benefit of these anti-ischemic agents on clinical outcome. On the other hand, in another study, verapamil reversed or eliminated stress-induced perfusion abnormalities (presumably from small vessel disease) on SPECT imaging (66). Although this study did not address whether the benefit in reducing perfusion defects with verapamil improved outcome. Nevertheless, it would be reasonable to consider such therapy in HCM patients with evidence of myocardial ischemia. Given the paucity of data presently available with regard to the benefit of medical intervention on improving microvascular function, it would seem premature to offer a strong recommendation for repeat imaging to assess potential changes in the presence or magnitude of ischemia after treatment.

Consequently, the assessment of myocardial ischemia in select patients with HCM (with preserved LV function) may represent a prognostic tool for identifying those patients at risk for profound disease progression. This has important clinical implications because HCM patients in the end stage experience a high rate of unfavorable disease consequences, including progressive heart failure (often requiring heart transplantation) and sudden unexpected death (prompting consideration for prophylactic defibrillator implantation). Therefore, it would seem prudent for HCM patients with severely blunted MBF to undergo regular clinical surveillance (with echocardiography) for prospective detection of changes in heart failure symptoms and LV remodeling (Fig. 5). However, currently, we cannot strongly advocate for all HCM patients to undergo routine imaging for ischemia given that relevant data are confined to a single-center study (12). Therefore, until additional prospective studies assessing ischemia in HCM are undertaken, the decision concerning which patients should undergo routine imaging remains unresolved. Nevertheless, at the present time, consideration could be given to assessing myocardial ischemia in select HCM patients, in whom concern persists regarding prognosis after conventional risk assessment.

**Observations with CMR.** Several recent studies in HCM provide potential insights into the clinical implications of LGE and ischemic myocardial damage (15,59–61,73). The presence of LGE has been associated with the development of heart failure symptoms and an inverse relationship is evident between the extent of LGE and ejection fraction (59–61); patients who showed the most marked reduction in ejection fraction  $\leq 50\%$  (i.e., end-stage phase) had the



disease, coronary angiography (or alternatively computed tomographic angiography) may be indicated to exclude obstructive coronary artery disease or other anatomic causes of chest pain (e.g., myocardial bridging or congenital coronary artery anomalies). †Although there are presently insufficient clinical data to support a firm recommendation for angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors or aldosterone inhibitors in patients with HCM, these drugs may be considered based on studies in HCM transgenic mouse models of HCM. †The assessment of active ischemia using stress CMR is an evolving area, and at present there are no data with this technique relating ischemia to clinical outcome. ACE = angiotensin-converting enzyme; CMR = cardiovascular magnetic resonance; ICD = implantable cardioverter-defibrillator; LGE = late gadolinium enhancement; LV = left ventricle; MBF = myocardial blood flow; PET = positron emission tomography; SCD = sudden cardiac death; SPECT = single-photon emission computed tomography; other abbreviations as in Figure 1.

most extensive LGE compared with HCM patients with preserved systolic function (61). Furthermore, the presence of LGE (but not extent) has been associated with ventricular tachyarrhythmias (including rapid ventricular tachycardia) present on ambulatory 24-h Holter electrocardiogram, suggesting that contrast-enhanced CMR may define the unstable arrhythmogenic substrate in HCM, and that LGE could ultimately serve as a risk marker for sudden death (73).

However, at present, sufficient follow-up data are not available to clarify whether LGE is an independent risk factor for sudden death in HCM. Therefore, it is probably premature to make any firm assumptions regarding the role of LGE in risk stratification for sudden death. We would not advocate implantable cardioverter-defibrillator (ICD) therapy solely on the presence of LGE (i.e., in the absence of any conventional high-risk features). Nevertheless, although definitive data are not yet available, it is likely that some weight can be given to extensive areas of LGE as an arbitrator in reaching recommendations for prophylactic ICDs in selected patients, particularly when ambiguity remains concerning individual patient sudden death risk after an assessment of the conventional risk markers (73,74). Therefore, the use of contrast-enhanced CMR to detect LGE may be relevant to clinical decision making and considerations for prophylactic ICD therapy in individual patients (Fig. 5).

### **Future Research Considerations**

Identification (by imaging) and potential therapeutic strategies for microvascular ischemia in HCM are at an early stage and requires additional investigative effort, given that most of our understanding regarding the clinical significance of myocardial ischemia in HCM is derived from a limited number of single-center studies. Consequently, there is a need for future research considerations to be directed toward forming larger prospective and welldesigned multicenter studies to determine: 1) when active myocardial ischemia begins with respect to the development of the HCM phenotype, that is, does ischemia precede the development of LV hypertrophy and, therefore, could be considered an early therapeutic target; 2) the pathophysiologic relationship between ischemia and the development of myocardial fibrosis (i.e., LGE); 3) the relationship of clinical symptoms, such as chest pain, to ischemia and whether biomarkers can identify patients with active, ongoing myocardial ischemia; and 4) more precisely the contribution of both ischemia and fibrosis to stratification of risk in HCM. Such well-designed studies will also help provide further insights into which HCM patients will derive the greatest benefit from implantation of primary prevention defibrillators, and limit excessive and unnecessary testing.

There is also a great need to identify pharmacologic therapies aimed at mitigating ischemia. In this regard, there is currently interest in drugs such as spironolactone, which inhibit the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system and could improve coronary microvascular function. Although no formal clinical studies have been completed using such drugs in HCM, evidence derived from patients with hypertensive heart disease (in which there are structural abnormalities of the intramural arterioles and resultant replacement fibrosis similar to HCM) (75), and from transgenic mouse models of HCM, has shown that drugs which inhibit the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system can reduce both coronary microvascular remodeling and fibrosis and improve diastolic function (76,77). As a result, future clinical investigations directed at assessing the efficacy of such drugs and their role in decreasing myocardial ischemia and fibrosis (using PET and CMR) may well prove informative and clinically relevant.

## Clinical Implications for Management and Conclusions

It is now evident that myocardial ischemia caused by microvascular dysfunction occurs in the HCM population (reported largely from tertiary centers) and is an important pathophysiologic component of this complex disease process, promoting LV remodeling and impacting on clinical course and outcome (12,15,20,29,35,59-61,72). Recently there has been substantial progress in identifying the presence and quantifying the magnitude of myocardial ischemia and its sequelae (i.e., myocyte death and replacement fibrosis) with contemporary imaging modalities having broad applications in cardiovascular medicine. The pathophysiologic cascade of events, beginning with the morphologic and functional abnormalities of the intramural coronary arterioles promoting blunted MBF during stress, and leading to myocardial ischemia and eventually replacement fibrosis, is a likely therapeutic target for favorably altering the disease phenotype. Future investigative efforts will focus on creating diagnostic methods for detecting myocardial ischemia before adverse LV remodeling begins so that targeted treatment strategies can be developed to mitigate ischemia and alter the natural history of HCM.

**Reprint requests and correspondence:** Dr. Martin S. Maron, Tufts Medical Center, No. 70, 800 Washington Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02111. E-mail: mmaron@tuftsmedicalcenter.org.

#### REFERENCES

- Alcalai R, Seidman JG, Seidman CE. Genetic basis of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: from bench to the clinics. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2008;19:104–10.
- Cecchi F, Olivotto I, Montereggi A, Santoro G, Dolara A, Maron BJ. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in Tuscany: clinical course and outcome in an unselected regional population. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;26: 1529–36.
- Maron BJ. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a systematic review. JAMA 2002;287:1308–20.
- 4. Maron BJ, McKenna WJ, Danielson GK, et al. ACC/ESC clinical expert consensus document on hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents and the European Society of Cardiology Committee for Practice Guidelines (Committee to Develop an Expert Consensus Document on Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy). J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;42:1687–713.
- Wigle ED, Rakowski H, Kimball BP, Williams WG. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Clinical spectrum and treatment. Circulation 1995; 92:1680–92.
- Elliott PM, Poloniecki J, Dickie S, et al. Sudden death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: identification of high risk patients. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;36:2212–8.
- Maron BJ, Olivotto I, Spirito P, et al. Epidemiology of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy-related death: revisited in a large non-referral-based patient population. Circulation 2000;102:858–64.
- Maron BJ, Roberts WC, Epstein SE. Sudden death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a profile of 78 patients. Circulation 1982;65: 1388-94.
- Spirito P, Bellone P, Harris KM, Bernabo P, Bruzzi P, Maron BJ. Magnitude of left ventricular hypertrophy and risk of sudden death in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 2000;342:1778–85.
- Camici P, Chiriatti G, Lorenzoni R, et al. Coronary vasodilation is impaired in both hypertrophied and nonhypertrophied myocardium of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: a study with nitrogen-13

ammonia and positron emission tomography. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:879–86.

- Cannon RO 3rd, Dilsizian V, O'Gara PT, et al. Myocardial metabolic, hemodynamic, and electrocardiographic significance of reversible thallium-201 abnormalities in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 1991;83:1660–7.
- Cecchi F, Olivotto I, Gistri R, Lorenzoni R, Chiriatti G, Camici PG. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and prognosis in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 2003;349:1027–35.
- 13. Grover-McKay M, Schwaiger M, Krivokapich J, Perloff JK, Phelps ME, Schelbert HR. Regional myocardial blood flow and metabolism at rest in mildly symptomatic patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1989;13:317–24.
- Haley JH, Miller TD. Myocardial ischemia on thallium scintigraphy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: predictor of sudden cardiac death. Circulation 2001;104:E71.
- 15. Harris KM, Spirito P, Maron MS, et al. Prevalence, clinical profile, and significance of left ventricular remodeling in the end-stage phase of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2006;114:216–25.
- O'Gara PT, Bonow RO, Maron BJ, et al. Myocardial perfusion abnormalities in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: assessment with thallium-201 emission computed tomography. Circulation 1987;76:1214–23.
- Olivotto I, Cecchi F, Camici PG. Coronary microvascular dysfunction and ischemia in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Mechanisms and clinical consequences. Ital Heart J 2004;5:572–80.
- von Dohlen TW, Prisant LM, Frank MJ. Significance of positive or negative thallium-201 scintigraphy in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 1989;64:498-503.
- Yamada M, Elliott PM, Kaski JC, et al. Dipyridamole stress thallium-201 perfusion abnormalities in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Relationship to clinical presentation and outcome. Eur Heart J 1998;19:500–7.
- Lazzeroni E, Picano E, Morozzi L, et al., for the Echo Persantine Italian Cooperative (EPIC) Study Group, Subproject Hypertrophic Cardiomyopathy. Dipyridamole-induced ischemia as a prognostic marker of future adverse cardiac events in adult patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 1997;96:4268–72.
- Maron MS, Olivotto I, Betocchi S, et al. Effect of left ventricular outflow tract obstruction on clinical outcome in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 2003;348:295–303.
- Ommen SR, Maron BJ, Olivotto I, et al. Long-term effects of surgical septal myectomy on survival in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;46:470–6.
- 23. Sherrid MV, Chaudhry FA, Swistel DG. Obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: echocardiography, pathophysiology, and the continuing evolution of surgery for obstruction. Ann Thorac Surg 2003;75: 620–32.
- Betocchi S, Piscione F, Villari B, et al. Effects of induced asynchrony on left ventricular diastolic function in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 1993;21:1124–31.
- 25. Geske JB, Sorajja P, Nishimura RA, Ommen SR. Evaluation of left ventricular filling pressures by Doppler echocardiography in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: correlation with direct left atrial pressure measurement at cardiac catheterization. Circulation 2007; 116:2702–8.
- Spirito P, Maron BJ. Relation between extent of left ventricular hypertrophy and diastolic filling abnormalities in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1990;15:808–13.
- Pitcher D, Wainwright R, Maisey M, Curry P, Sowton E. Assessment of chest pain in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy using exercise thallium-201 myocardial scintigraphy. Br Heart J 1980;44:650–6.
- Rubin KA, Morrison J, Padnick MB, et al. Idiopathic hypertrophic subaortic stenosis: evaluation of anginal symptoms with thallium-201 myocardial imaging. Am J Cardiol 1979;44:1040–5.
- Camici PG, Crea F. Coronary microvascular dysfunction. N Engl J Med 2007;356:830-40.
- Choudhury L, Elliott P, Rimoldi O, et al. Transmural myocardial blood flow distribution in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and effect of treatment. Basic Res Cardiol 1999;94:49–59.
- Choudhury L, Rosen SD, Patel D, Nihoyannopoulos P, Camici PG. Coronary vasodilator reserve in primary and secondary left ventricular hypertrophy. A study with positron emission tomography. Eur Heart J 1997;18:108–16.

- Kim RJ, Judd RM. Gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: in vivo imaging of the pathologic substrate for premature cardiac death? J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41: 1568–72.
- Pennell DJ. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance: twenty-first century solutions in cardiology. Clin Med 2003;3:273–8.
- Petersen SE, Jerosch-Herold M, Hudsmith LE, et al. Evidence for microvascular dysfunction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: new insights from multiparametric magnetic resonance imaging. Circulation 2007;115:2418–25.
- Basso C, Thiene G, Corrado D, Buja G, Melacini P, Nava A. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and sudden death in the young: pathologic evidence of myocardial ischemia. Hum Pathol 2000;31:988–98.
- Gori F, Basso C, Thiene G. Myocardial infarction in a patient with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. N Engl J Med 2000;342:593–4.
- Maron BJ, Epstein SE, Roberts WC. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and transmural myocardial infarction without significant atherosclerosis of the extramural coronary arteries. Am J Cardiol 1979;43: 1086–102.
- Maron BJ, Wolfson JK, Epstein SE, Roberts WC. Intramural ("small vessel") coronary artery disease in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1986;8:545–57.
- O'Gorman DJ, Sheridan DJ. Abnormalities of the coronary circulation associated with left ventricular hypertrophy. Clin Sci (Lond) 1991;81: 703–13.
- 40. Roberts WC, Ferrans VJ. Pathologic anatomy of the cardiomyopathies. Idiopathic dilated and hypertrophic types, infiltrative types, and endomyocardial disease with and without eosinophilia. Hum Pathol 1975;6:287–342.
- Kaul S, Ito H. Microvasculature in acute myocardial ischemia: part I: evolving concepts in pathophysiology, diagnosis, and treatment. Circulation 2004;109:146–9.
- Krams R, Kofflard MJ, Duncker DJ, et al. Decreased coronary flow reserve in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is related to remodeling of the coronary microcirculation. Circulation 1998;97:230–3.
- 43. Schwartzkopff B, Mundhenke M, Strauer BE. Alterations of the architecture of subendocardial arterioles in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and impaired coronary vasodilator reserve: a possible cause for myocardial ischemia. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31:1089–96.
- Factor SM, Butany J, Sole MJ, Wigle ED, Williams WC, Rojkind M. Pathologic fibrosis and matrix connective tissue in the subaortic myocardium of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1991;17:1343–51.
- Lombardi R, Betocchi S, Losi MA, et al. Myocardial collagen turnover in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2003;108:1455–60.
- 46. Shirani J, Pick R, Roberts WC, Maron BJ. Morphology and significance of the left ventricular collagen network in young patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and sudden cardiac death. J Am Coll Cardiol 2000;35:36–44.
- Tanaka M, Fujiwara H, Onodera T, Wu DJ, Hamashima Y, Kawai C. Quantitative analysis of myocardial fibrosis in normals, hypertensive hearts, and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Br Heart J 1986;55:575–81.
- Varnava AM, Elliott PM, Sharma S, McKenna WJ, Davies MJ. Hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: the interrelation of disarray, fibrosis, and small vessel disease. Heart 2000;84:476–82.
- Cannon RO 3rd, McIntosh CL, Schenke WH, Maron BJ, Bonow RO, Epstein SE. Effect of surgical reduction of left ventricular outflow obstruction on hemodynamics, coronary flow, and myocardial metabolism in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 1989;79:766–75.
- 50. Cannon RÔ 3rd, Schenke WH, Maron BJ, et al. Differences in coronary flow and myocardial metabolism at rest and during pacing between patients with obstructive and patients with nonobstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 1987;10:53–62.
- Elliott PM, Rosano GM, Gill JS, Poole-Wilson PA, Kaski JC, McKenna WJ. Changes in coronary sinus pH during dipyridamole stress in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Heart 1996;75: 179–83.
- Cannon RO 3rd, Rosing DR, Maron BJ, et al. Myocardial ischemia in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: contribution of inadequate vasodilator reserve and elevated left ventricular filling pressures. Circulation 1985;71:234–43.
- Sato Y, Taniguchi R, Nagai K, et al. Measurements of cardiac troponin T in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Heart 2003;89:659-60.

- 54. Camici PG. Positron emission tomography and myocardial imaging. Heart 2000;83:475-80.
- 55. Lorenzoni R, Gistri R, Cecchi F, et al. Coronary vasodilator reserve is impaired in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and left ventricular dysfunction. Am Heart J 1998;136:972–81.
- Gistri R, Cecchi F, Choudhury L, et al. Effect of verapamil on absolute myocardial blood flow in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 1994;74:363–8.
- 57. Kim RJ, Fieno DS, Parrish TB, et al. Relationship of MRI delayed contrast enhancement to irreversible injury, infarct age, and contractile function. Circulation 1999;100:1992–2002.
- Lima JA, Desai MY. Cardiovascular magnetic resonance imaging: current and emerging applications. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;44: 1164-71.
- Choudhury L, Mahrholdt H, Wagner A, et al. Myocardial scarring in asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2002;40:2156–64.
- Moon JC, McKenna WJ, McCrohon JA, Elliott PM, Smith GC, Pennell DJ. Toward clinical risk assessment in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy with gadolinium cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1561–7.
- Maron MS, Harrigan C, Buros J, et al. Clinical profile and significance of delayed enhancement in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circ Heart Fail 2008;1:184–91.
- 62. Moon JC, Reed E, Sheppard MN, et al. The histologic basis of late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2004;43:2260–4.
- 63. Papavassiliu T, Schnabel P, Schroder M, Borggrefe M. CMR scarring in a patient with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy correlates well with histological findings of fibrosis. Eur Heart J 2005;26:2395.
- 64. Knaapen P, van Dockum WG, Gotte MJ, et al. Regional heterogeneity of resting perfusion in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy is related to delayed contrast enhancement but not to systolic function: a PET and MRI study. J Nucl Cardiol 2006;13:660–7.
- 65. Sotgia B, Sciagra R, Olivotto I, et al. Spatial relationship between coronary microvascular dysfunction and delayed contrast enhancement in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Nucl Med 2008;49: 1090–6.
- Udelson JE, Bonow RO, O'Gara PT, et al. Verapamil prevents silent myocardial perfusion abnormalities during exercise in asymptomatic patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 1989;79: 1052–60.

- Sorajja P, Chareonthaitawee P, Ommen SR, Miller TD, Hodge DO, Gibbons RJ. Prognostic utility of single-photon emission computed tomography in adult patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am Heart J 2006;151:426–35.
- Elliott PM, Kaski JC, Prasad K, et al. Chest pain during daily life in patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy: an ambulatory electrocardiographic study. Eur Heart J 1996;17:1056–64.
- Pasternac A, Noble J, Streulens Y, Elie R, Henschke C, Bourassa MG. Pathophysiology of chest pain in patients with cardiomyopathies and normal coronary arteries. Circulation 1982;65:778–89.
- Sorajja P, Ommen SR, Nishimura RA, Gersh BJ, Berger PB, Tajik AJ. Adverse prognosis of patients with hypertrophic cardiomyopathy who have epicardial coronary artery disease. Circulation 2003;108: 2342-8.
- Soliman OI, Geleijnse ML, Michels M, et al. Effect of successful alcohol septal ablation on microvascular function in patients with obstructive hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Am J Cardiol 2008;101: 1321–7.
- Olivotto I, Cecchi F, Gistri R, et al. Relevance of coronary microvascular flow impairment to long-term remodeling and systolic dysfunction in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2006;47: 1043–8.
- Adabag AS, Maron BJ, Appelbaum E, et al. Occurrence and frequency of arrhythmias in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in relation to delayed enhancement on cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Am Coll Cardiol 2008;51:1369–74.
- 74. Maron BJ, Maron MS, Lesser JR, et al. Sudden cardiac arrest in hypertrophic cardiomyopathy in the absence of conventional criteria for high risk status. Am J Cardiol 2008;101:544–7.
- Rajappan K, Rimoldi OE, Dutka DP, et al. Mechanisms of coronary microcirculatory dysfunction in patients with aortic stenosis and angiographically normal coronary arteries. Circulation 2002;105: 470-6.
- Lim DS, Lutucuta S, Bachireddy P, et al. Angiotensin II blockade reverses myocardial fibrosis in a transgenic mouse model of human hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2001;103:789–91.
- Tsybouleva N, Zhang L, Chen S, et al. Aldosterone, through novel signaling proteins, is a fundamental molecular bridge between the genetic defect and the cardiac phenotype of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Circulation 2004;109:1284–91.

**Key Words:** hypertrophic cardiomyopathy **=** myocardial ischemia **=** fibrosis **=** MRI **=** PET.