

Multiparametric magnetic resonance for the non-invasive diagnosis of liver disease

Rajarshi Banerjee^{1,†}, Michael Pavlides^{1,2,†}, Elizabeth M. Tunnicliffe¹, Stefan K. Piechnik¹, Nikita Sarania³, Rachel Philips⁴, Jane D. Collier², Jonathan C. Booth⁵, Jurgen E. Schneider¹, Lai Mun Wang⁶, David W. Delaney⁶, Ken A. Fleming^{3,6}, Matthew D. Robson¹, Eleanor Barnes^{2,7,‡}, Stefan Neubauer^{1,*,‡}

 ¹Oxford Centre for Clinical Magnetic Resonance Research, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, West Wing, Level 6, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK; ²Translational Gastroenterology Unit, University of Oxford, Level 5, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK; ³Medical Sciences Division, University of Oxford, Medical Sciences Office, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, UK;
⁴Department of Radiology, Churchill Hospital, Old Road, Oxford, UK; ⁵Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Berkshire Hospital, London Road, Reading, UK; ⁶Department of Histopathology, John Radcliffe Hospital, Headley Way, Oxford, UK; ⁷Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Medicine, and Peter Medawar Building, University of Oxford, South Parks Rd, Oxford, UK

Background & Aims: With the increasing prevalence of liver disease worldwide, there is an urgent clinical need for reliable methods to diagnose and stage liver pathology. Liver biopsy, the current gold standard, is invasive and limited by sampling and observer dependent variability. In this study, we aimed to assess the diagnostic accuracy of a novel magnetic resonance protocol for liver tissue characterisation.

Methods: We conducted a prospective study comparing our magnetic resonance technique against liver biopsy. The individual components of the scanning protocol were T1 mapping, proton spectroscopy and T2* mapping, which quantified liver fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis, respectively. Unselected adult patients referred for liver biopsy as part of their routine care were recruited. Scans performed prior to liver biopsy were analysed by physicians blinded to the histology results. The associations between magnetic resonance and histology variables were assessed. Receiver-operating characteristic analyses were also carried out.

Abbreviations: MR, Magnetic Resonance; BMI, Body Mass Index; NAFLD, Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease; CPA, Collagen Proportionate Area; CoV, Coefficient of Variance; ¹H MRS, Proton Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy; shNOLLI, shortened Modified Look Locker Inversion; HLC, Hepatic Lipid Content; ROI, Region of interest; ANOVA, Analysis of Variance; AUROC, Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve.



Journal of Hepatology **2014** vol. 60 | 69–77

Results: Paired magnetic resonance and biopsy data were obtained in 79 patients. Magnetic resonance measures correlated strongly with histology ($r_s = 0.68 \ p < 0.0001$ for fibrosis; $r_s = 0.89 \ p < 0.0001$ for steatosis; $r_s = -0.69 \ p < 0.0001$ for haemosiderosis). The area under the receiver operating characteristic curve was 0.94, 0.93, and 0.94 for the diagnosis of any degree of fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis respectively.

Conclusion: The novel scanning method described here provides high diagnostic accuracy for the assessment of liver fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis and could potentially replace liver biopsy for many indications. This is the first demonstration of a non-invasive test to differentiate early stages of fibrosis from normal liver.

© 2013 European Association for the Study of the Liver. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

Introduction

Over 10% of adults in Western populations have some degree of liver disease [1,2]. The increasing prevalence of obesity, alcohol-related liver disease, and viral hepatitis has led to an epidemic of progressive liver disease and cirrhosis.

There is a pressing need for a reliable diagnostic tool to identify early stages of liver disease and to target therapies to those patients who may benefit from these (e.g., antiviral therapy in progressive hepatitis C). Liver biopsy, the current 'gold-standard', carries a significant risk of serious bleeding complications and is costly. Furthermore, a biopsy allows examination of only 0.002% of the liver, and there is great intra- and inter-observer variability in histological interpretation, such that many argue liver biopsy is not a true gold-standard [3]. Consequently, there is a real clinical need for non-invasive tools to evaluate and monitor liver disease.

Transient elastography, which in Europe is increasingly used in clinical practice, can only accurately diagnose cirrhosis and

Keywords: Magnetic resonance T1 mapping; Proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy; Magnetic resonance T2* mapping; Iron corrected T1; Liver fibrosis; Liver steatosis; Liver haemosiderosis.

Received 14 June 2013; received in revised form 23 August 2013; accepted 2 September 2013; available online 12 September 2013

^{*} Corresponding author. Address: Oxford Centre for Clinical Magnetic Resonance Research, Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK. Tel.: +44 1865 851085.

E-mail address: stefan.neubauer@cardiov.ox.ac.uk (S. Neubauer).

[†] These authors contributed equally to this work.

 $^{^{\}ddagger}$ These authors share senior authorship.

has limited utility in obesity [4]. Tests based on serum markers have been mainly studied in preselected populations – when applied to mixed cohorts they were found to lack sensitivity, particularly in differentiating early stages of disease [5].

We present a proof-of-principle study, describing a novel magnetic resonance (MR) protocol that can be performed without intra-venous contrast on existing scanners. MR methods are ideally suited for tissue characterisation as they can sample the entire liver quickly, and are safe, reproducible, and widely available. Using multiparametric MR, we were able to objectively quantify hepatic fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis, an important step towards a safer alternative to liver biopsy.

Patients and methods

Study design and population

This was a prospective, comparative, non-randomised, study of a new diagnostic MR method to evaluate liver disease. The designated reference standard was histological assessment of liver fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis. From March 2011 to May 2013, we invited all patients referred for liver biopsy at two UK study centres (Oxford and Reading), to take part, except for those with contraindications to MR scanning. 90 patients consented to participate. Two were unable to undergo MR investigation due to claustrophobia, and nine did not have liver biopsy within six months of consent, leaving 79 patients for the final analysis (baseline characteristics in Table 1). The study protocol is shown in Fig. 1. Reference MR data were also collected from seven healthy volunteers with no known liver disease and BMI <25 kg/m² (Supplementary Table 1).

MR operators were blinded to the indication for liver biopsy and to the patients' clinical details. MR data were analysed prior to histological reporting. The histopathologists were blinded to the MR data. Histological measures of steatosis, fibrosis and haemosiderosis were then compared to the non-invasive MR measures of the same parameters.

The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki, and was approved by the institutional research departments and the National Research Ethics Service (11/H0504/2). The study was registered with clinicaltrials.gov (NCT01543646). All patients and volunteers gave written informed consent.

Histological interpretation of liver biopsy samples

The median biopsy length after processing was 20 mm (IQR 16-30) and each biopsy contained a median of 10 (IQR 8-15) portal tracts. All biopsies were included in the final analysis. As there is considerable inter-observer variation in reporting of liver biopsy [6,7], samples from 65 patients were assessed by three independent expert liver histopathologists (LMW, DWD, & KAF).

All samples were assessed for fibrosis by Ishak stage (F0–F6) [8]. For this study mild fibrosis was defined as Ishak F1–F2, moderate fibrosis as Ishak F3–F4, and severe fibrosis as Ishak \geq F5. In cases where the biopsy showed steatohepatitis (n = 36), subgroup analysis was performed comparing MR data against the NAFLD Fibrosis Stage (F0–F4) [9]. In 54 biopsy samples available for this, collagen proportionate area (CPA) was also assessed by analysis of digital images using Image] (see Supplementary Methods for details).

Hepatic lipid content was measured by determining the percentage of hepatocytes with visible lipid vesicles. This was graded as 0 (<5%), 1 (5- <33%), 2 (33- <66%) and 3 (>66%), as described by Brunt [10].

Stainable iron was estimated using a Perls' histochemical stain and semiquantified using a five tier grading system (0: no haemosiderosis to 4: severe haemosiderosis) [11].

Inter-observer variability between the three histology measurements for steatosis, fibrosis and haemosiderosis was determined using weighted kappa statistics. The final histology scores for all 79 patients were determined by consensus agreement.

Magnetic resonance protocol

All MR scans were performed in Oxford with the patient lying supine in a 3 Tesla system (Tim Trio, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). Patients attended for their

scans having fasted for at least 4 h. The average scan time for this protocol was 23 min. For the assessment of repeatability, T1 and T2* maps were acquired in ten volunteers on two occasions within a week. The mean coefficients of variance (CoV) for T1, T2*, and cT1 (see below) were calculated. ¹H MRS using this protocol has previously been determined to have a CoV of 4.8% [12]. A more detailed description of the MRI methods and MR acquisition parameters is included in the Supplementary Methods.

Fibrosis (extracellular water) imaging

A T1 relaxation time map was acquired using the shortened Modified Look Locker Inversion (shMOLLI) recovery sequence in a transverse plane of the liver [13]. This sequence samples the T1 recovery curve using single-shot balanced steady state free precession acquisitions. There is a quality assurance component – each acquisition generates an R² map for the fit of signal intensity to the exponential recovery curve [14]. For this study, an image was only considered for interpretation if the R² was \geq 99% which was the case in all patients.

Hepatic steatosis measurement with ¹H MRS

Hepatic lipid content (HLC) can be quantified using localised cardiac-triggered proton spectroscopy [15]. HLC as a percentage of the liver water content using ¹H MRS was measured with water suppression in an 8 cm³ voxel, avoiding vascular and biliary structures.

Iron content imaging

A multi-gradient-echo acquisition was used to calculate a T2^{*} map of the liver in a single plane with a slice thickness of 3 mm. Two patients had iron overload too severe to be accurately quantified using this protocol, with T2^{*} <2 ms in each case.

MR image analysis

MRI – Data were analysed by physicians blinded to the clinical information, using software tools available on the scanner console. For each patient, a single Region of Interest (ROI) was selected on the transverse T1 and T2* maps, corresponding to segment 8 of the liver, from where most percutaneous biopsies are taken. The tissue volume assessed in each ROI is between 25–30 ml (compared to 0.05–0.08 ml in a liver biopsy). Each ROI contained between 100–200 pixels each, returning a T1 and T2* value for the liver area corresponding to that pixel. Mean T1 and T2* values were recorded for each ROI and used in the final analysis (see also Supplementary Fig. 1).

MRS data were analysed offline, using AMARES in the jMRUI package and customised software running within MATLAB 2010b, as previously described. 15 HLC was expressed as % of water signal.

Correction for iron

The T1 measurements in this work aim to detect the presence of elevated extracellular water, reflected in an increased T1 value. However, the presence of excess iron competes with this effect, reducing T1. Further, the shMOLLI method is affected by T2 and T2*, which are reduced with elevated iron levels. In an interim analysis of the data, we found that a substantial proportion of patients had elevated liver iron levels, and we therefore developed a method to correct for this confounder. Elevated iron concentrations can be determined accurately from the T2* maps. The T1 measurements provide an estimate of the extracellular water content, and thus it is necessary to remove the bias introduced by the elevated iron. To do this, the shMOLLI sequence was modelled using a Bloch simulation for varying extracellular fluid and iron concentrations, and a correction algorithm was generated [16]. This was then used to remove the effects of elevated iron from the T1 measurements, vielding an 'iron-corrected T1' (cT1; the T1 that would be measured using the shMOLLI sequence at a normal iron level; 1.3 mg/g) for the chosen ROI. Iron-corrected T1 could not be estimated in two patients with severe iron overload (T2* <2ms), leaving 77 patients with paired MR and histology data for fibrosis assessment.

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the 79 patients included in the final analysis.

	Median/mean	IQR/SD	Min value	Max value
Male : Female	58 : 21			
Age (yr) - mean ± SD	50	13	16	78
Anthropometric data				
Weight (kg)	79	19	45	128
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.9	6.4	17.1	45.4
Liver enzymes				
Bilirubin (IU/L)	10	9	4	88
ALT (IU/L)	61	71	14	3930
AST (IU/L)	50	42	16	3546
GGT (IU/L)	85	178	12	900
Alk phosphatase (IU/L)	207	146	112	1497
Serum metabolic profile				
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.4	1.6	2.2	8.4
Triglycerides (mmol/L)	1.1	0.8	0.4	7.9
HDL-cholesterol (mmol/L)	1.2	0.5	0.5	2.6
Glucose (mmol/L)	5.0	1.2	3.7	19
Albumin (g/L)	45	3	28	54
C-reactive protein (mg/L)	2.6	7.0	<0.5	>156
Haematological indices				
Haemoglobin (g/dl) - mean ± SD	15.0	1.9	9.5	18.6
White cell count (x 10 ⁹ /L) - mean ± SD	6.8	2.0	3.0	11.4
Platelets (x 10 ⁹ /L)	184	100	23	574
Prothrombin time (s)	14.0	1.9	11.5	18.0
Ferritin	256	441	3.9	4511
	Ν	%		
Ishak fibrosis stage				
0	7	8.9		
1	16	20.3		
2	25	31.6		
3	10	12.7		
4	3	3.8		
5	4	5.1		
6	14	17.7		
Final diagnosis after biopsy				
Viral hepatitis	31	39.2	5 had coexistent SH	
Steatohepatitis (alcoholic and non-alcoholic)	31	39.2	2 patients had coexistent disease (1 A1AT, 1 PBC)	
Iron overload	2	2.5		
PSC/PBC	6	7.6	3 PSC/3 PBC	
Normal	2	2.5		
Other	7	8.9		

Values shown as median with IQR unless stated otherwise. Shapiro-Wilk test for normality used.

SH, steatohepatitis; A1AT, Alpha-1-Antitrypsin Deficiency; PSC, primary sclerosing cholangitis; PBC, primary biliary cirrhosis.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to summarise subject characteristics. Summary data were calculated for different stages of fibrosis, steatosis and the presence or absence of haemosiderosis. ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction for multiple comparisons was used to determine significant differences between mean values for categories among normally-distributed continuous variables. Normality was determined by use of the Shapiro-Wilk test. Spearman (r_s), and Pearson's correlations (r) were calculated to test associations between categorical and continuous variables, respectively. To determine the diagnostic utility of MR for assessing liver fibrosis, receiver-operating characteristic analyses were carried out using GraphPad Prism 5 software.



Fig. 1. Study protocol with examples of normal and abnormal MR measurements. The images show MR T1 maps from patients with no liver fibrosis on biopsy (A) and cirrhosis (B) and MR T2* maps from patients with negative Perls' stain for iron (C) and grade 1 haemosiderosis (D). T1 and T2* measured in milliseconds are translated into colours according to the shown pre-specified colour scales. Water-unsuppressed ¹H MR spectra from a patient with steatosis grade 0 (E), and steatosis grade 3 (F) also shown. MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; cT1, iron-corrected T1; HIC, hepatic iron content; HLC, hepatic lipid content; ¹H MRS, ¹H magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Liver.

T2* (ms)

Examples of MR T2* maps

40

50

10

20

30

0

2

2

Frequency shift (ppm)

Examples of ¹H-MRS

0

2

T1 (s)

Examples of MR T1 maps

3

4

Results

Hepatic fibrosis and T1 mapping

The mean corrected T1 for the 7 healthy volunteers was 717 ± 48 ms which was similar to the mean cT1 in patients with no fibrosis on liver biopsy (F0; n = 7; cT1 750 ± 42 ms; p = 0.20). For all subjects cT1 strongly correlated with increasing liver fibrosis [cT1 vs. Ishak (n = 84): $r_s = 0.68$, p < 0.0001; Fig. 2 and cT1 vs. CPA (n = 54): r = 0.54, p < 0.0001]. Furthermore, there were significant differences in cT1 among all stages, except between mild and moderate fibrosis (Fig. 2 and Table 2).

In discriminating healthy volunteers and patients with no fibrosis on liver biopsy from those with any degree of fibrosis (Ishak \ge F1), cT1 had an Area Under the Receiver Operating Characteristic (AUROC) of 0.94 (p < 0.0001, 95% CI 0.90-0.99), with a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 93% at a threshold of 800 ms (Supplementary Fig. 4).

When the two main aetiologies were assessed separately, i.e., viral hepatitis (n = 31; $r_s = 0.76$, p < 0.0001) and steatohepatitis (n = 36; $r_s = 0.62$, p < 0.0001), cT1 correlated closely with their respective disease-specific fibrosis scoring systems (Supplementary Fig. 5). There was also strong correlation with CPA [for viral hepatitis (n = 18): r = 0.86, p < 0.0001 and for steatohepatitis (n = 22): r = 0.57, p = 0.0061]. Moreover, cT1 clearly identified patients with fibrosis (Viral hepatitis AUROC 0.92, p = 0.019, 95% CI 0.79-1.05; steatohepatitis AUROC 0.90, p = 0.0096, 95% CI 0.79-1.01). Fig. 3 shows transverse MR T1 maps of four patients with chronic viral hepatitis, and corresponding liver biopsies. Increasing fibrosis is reflected in a higher T1 graphically on the colour scale, and can also be estimated quantitatively in milliseconds as cT1.

Hepatic steatosis and ¹H MRS

Rapid spectroscopy measurement of HLC correlated strongly with semi-quantitative steatosis scores (Fig. 4; $r_s = 0.89$, p < 0.0001). In distinguishing patients with a Brunt steatosis score of 0 from those with scores ≥ 1 , MRS had an AUROC of 0.93 (95% CI 0.87– 0.99, *p* < 0.0001). MRS thresholds of 1.5% and 7.5% of the water signal identified histologically visible steatosis (Brunt ≥ 1 ; sensitivity 85%, specificity 100%) and severe steatosis (Brunt >2; sensitivity 100%, specificity 97%), respectively. There was strong correlation with quantitative histology scores of steatosis and very little variance of HLC in the left and right lobes of the liver (Supplementary Fig. 6).

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY



Fig. 2. cT1 values in 77 patients and 7 healthy volunteers (presumed with no fibrosis) plotted against their biopsy proven fibrosis stage. Iron-corrected T1 (cT1) correlates with the degree of fibrosis in all subjects ($r_c = 0.68$, p < 0.0001, 95% CI 0.54–0.78). Mean ± SD cT1 for each group was as follows: healthy volunteers 717 ± 48 ms, no fibrosis 750 ± 42 ms (those two are grouped as "normal liver"), mild fibrosis 870 ± 104 ms, moderate 873 ± 63 ms, and severe 1025 ± 102 ms. ANOVA with Bonferroni's correction showed significant differences (***) between all groups apart from mild vs. moderate fibrosis (n.s., not significant; Table 2). Liver biopsy patients are shown as red dots, and healthy volunteers as blue squares.

Hepatic iron content and T2*

Hepatic iron content showed a strong negative correlation with T2^{*} ($r_s = -0.69$, *p* < 0.0001; Fig. 4). In distinguishing patients with stainable iron from those without, T2* had an AUROC of 0.94 (95% CI 0.87–1.00, p < 0.0001). For the diagnosis of any degree of haemosiderosis, a T2* threshold of 12.5 ms had a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 93%.

Inter-observer variance in histopathological assessment

Liver biopsies from 65 patients were independently reported by three pathologists, blinded to the clinical data and previous pathological reports. There was good agreement for the assessment of

Table 2. One Way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni's correction for comparison between disease severity groups, for all subjects with cT1 (n = 8-
showing significant differences between all groups except mild fibrosis (F1-2) vs. moderate fibrosis (F3-4).

Bonferroni's multiple comparison test	Mean difference	t	Significant <i>p</i> value <0.05?	Summary	95% CI of difference
Normal liver (n = 14) vs. F1-2 (n = 40)	-136.3	4.804	Yes	***	-213.1 to -59.54
Normal liver (n = 14) vs. F3-4 (n = 13)	-139.4	3.960	Yes	***	-234.6 to -44.14
Normal liver (n = 14) vs. F5-6 (n = 17)	-291.8	8.849	Yes	***	-381.1 to -202.6
F1-2 (n = 40) <i>vs.</i> F3-4 (n = 13)	-3.050	0.105	No	n.s.	-81.98 to 75.88
F1-2 (n = 40) <i>vs.</i> F5-6 (n = 17)	-155.5	5.878	Yes	***	-227.1 to -83.94
F3-4 (n = 13) <i>vs.</i> F5-6 (n = 17)	-152.5	4.529	Yes	***	-243.6 to -61.38

n.s., not significant.



Fig. 3. Viral hepatitis fibrosis staging with MR T1 mapping and iron-corrected T1 measurements in the regions of interest. Examples of transverse liver MR T1 maps from 4 patients with viral hepatitis (left column). The white circles indicate typical areas of interest corresponding to tissue volume of 25-30 ml, where the T1 and T2* would be measured in order to estimate cT1, which is included for each patient. The corresponding liver biopsy slides stained with Sirius Red for fibrosis (lshak F0–F6; magnification $4\times$) for each patient are shown for comparison (right column). The appearance of the MR T1 map and the quantitative measure of fibrosis (cT1), clearly correlate with the degree of fibrosis as assessed by the lshak score.

steatosis (kappa = 0.72), but only modest agreement in the assessment of fibrosis (kappa = 0.58) and haemosiderosis (kappa = 0.58), most notably in the classification of mild and moderate disease (Supplementary Table 2). The agreement achieved here is better than in previously reported studies [6,7].

Repeatability of MR measures

The mean coefficient of variance (CoV) over 10 normal volunteers was 1.3% for T1 maps, 8.4% for T2* maps and 1.8% for the combined cT1 metric, showing good repeatability. ¹H MRS CoV was previously shown to be 4.8% [12].

Discussion

With the global epidemic of steatohepatitis (both alcoholic and non-alcoholic), and the reported 450 million worldwide with viral hepatitis, there is a pressing need for an accurate, quick and simple diagnostic test to determine the type and degree of liver disease. The new method of liver assessment by multiparametric MR imaging and spectroscopy described here provides detailed non-invasive tissue characterisation of a large sample of liver parenchyma, and can identify and quantify fibrosis, steatosis and iron content, quickly and safely, with a high degree of sensitivity and specificity.

Our MR protocol objectively quantifies biomarkers for fibrosis, steatosis and haemosiderosis, all of which are essential components of the characterisation of liver tissue on biopsy. The assessment of hepatic fibrosis with this technique is especially strong because it can differentiate those with any degree of fibrosis from those with normal livers and those with severe fibrosis from those with mild or moderate disease in an unselected patient population, something that has not been shown previously. Current alternative techniques for non-invasive fibrosis measurement have only been tested on pre-selected populations [5,17-20]. Elastography (based on either ultrasound or MRI) is limited in obese patients and subjects with ascites. Serum markers of hepatic fibrosis are sensitive, but not specific, and have not been tested in general populations with coexisting fibrosis in other organs. Our T1 mapping method is unaffected by the degree of adiposity or the presence of ascites, unlike acoustic-based techniques such as elastography, and also has the potential to demonstrate which parts of the liver are affected or spared.

The earliest work suggesting the concept that T1 relates to the degree of liver fibrosis was reported in 1981 [21] but subsequent studies failed to show any clear relationship [22,23]. Recently, with the development of robust single breath-hold T1 mapping techniques, interest in the field has increased again [24,25]. However, these previous studies have limited clinical applicability, as they did not assess iron and fibrosis in an unselected population. Crucially, the importance of iron-compensation in determining the true relationship between T1 and fibrosis has not been shown before. Our study, for the first time, suggests that MR T1 mapping may be a practical method for fibrosis assessment in nearly all-comers (77/79 in our study) for liver biopsy.

Measurement of hepatic lipid content by MR methods has been described before, based on ¹H-MRS or Dixon-type MRI, the current consensus being that MRS is the most accurate method [26,27]. We thus opted for an MRS approach in our multiparametric protocol, and have further refined existing MRS methods by using rapid breath-hold spectroscopy. We have also demonstrated that fat was fairly equally distributed in the liver (Supplementary Fig. 2), as previously described [28].

The assessment of iron content with T2*-sensitive MR has been used in clinical practice for the management of inherited



Fig. 4. The assessment of hepatic steatosis with ¹H MRS and hepatic haemosiderosis with T2* mapping. (A) There was a very strong correlation between steatosis grade hepatic lipid content measured by ¹H MRS ($r_s = 0.89$, p < 0.0001). MRS thresholds of 1.5% and 7.5% steatosis respectively identified a steatosis grade of ≥ 1 (sensitivity 80%, specificity 100%) and a steatosis grade of ≥ 2 (sensitivity 100%, specificity 97%). (B) There was a strong negative correlation between histological grading of iron deposition and T2* ($r_s = -0.69$, p < 0.0001, 95% CI -0.79 to -0.55). A T2* cut off at 12.5 ms identified any degree of haemosiderosis with a sensitivity of 86% and a specificity of 93%.

and acquired iron storage disorders [29], but has not been utilised in a general population before. Our data confirm previous findings of T2^{*} correlation with stainable iron levels on biopsy [30].

The real innovation our study brings is that all the above information is generated from one 23 min MRI scan that can be applied to a general patient population referred for liver biopsy, and that the T1 fibrosis measurement is made generally applicable by an iron correction algorithm. In 51/77 (66%) of patients, a correction of ≥ 20 ms in the measured T1 had to be made. Our patient group was heterogeneous, and no category was excluded from participation. It is clear from our analysis that this heterogeneity has impacted on the overall results as the correlations with CPA are higher when different aetiologies are considered separately (r = 0.54 for all patients, r = 0.57 for steatohepatitis and r = 0.86 for viral hepatitis). Despite this, our results are generalisable to an adult population with suspected liver disease, and our technique may prove of particular use in patients with coexisting pathology, such as viral hepatitis and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. Currently, there are separate histological scoring systems for these pathologies. Consequently, interpretation and comparison are difficult, even before sampling and inter-observer variations are considered. In contrast, the method presented here correctly identified patients with both steatosis and fibrosis, regardless of aetiology, and is highly repeatable.

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

Future work

As a truly quantitative non-invasive technique for the characterisation of liver tissue, this methodology has the potential for the safe longitudinal assessment and prediction of disease progression and regression and/or response to therapy, without the need for repeat liver biopsy. This has not been feasible in the past, and opens up many possibilities for research and clinical use into new therapeutic strategies for hepatic and metabolic conditions. For example, the degree of liver damage caused by alcohol and dietary excess may be quantified over time providing a much safer means to monitor alcohol related liver disease and non-alcoholic steatohepatitis. The method will also enable studies to assess regional variations throughout the liver, which is of particular interest in conditions with patchy fibrosis, such as primary sclerosing cholangitis and primary biliary cirrhosis. Future studies will have to assess the value of this method in a multicentre format and also determine whether MRI assessment of liver fibrosis by cT1 measurements predicts patient outcome. The results of these studies will determine whether it is possible for multiparametric MR assessment of the liver to eventually replace liver biopsy for the staging of fibrosis and grading of steatosis and haemosiderosis.

Study limitations

This was a study of unselected patients attending for liver biopsy, which showed clear separation between all groups except between mild *vs.* moderate fibrosis. Given its small size, it only demonstrates initial proof-of-principle. Limitations include factors related to the MR technique and to the use of biopsy as a reference standard.

MR methodological limitations include the fact that two of our patients had massive haemosiderosis, with T2* <2 ms, which did not allow for the estimation of cT1 to determine the degree of fibrosis. Our method worked correctly in 77/79 patients and, in practical terms, T2* values of <2 ms immediately indicate the presence of marked haemosiderosis, but still requiring histological assessment of fibrosis. In addition cT1 is a marker of extracellular water content, which can rise with oedema in the acute setting or fibrosis in chronic illness, or both if there is acute insult to a fibrotic liver. However, interpretation based on the clinical picture, history, and routine serum biochemistry from the patient can guide the observer towards the correct choice between acute and/or chronic liver disease. Our MR maps for T1 and T2* covered one large transverse slice, but may have missed patchy disease occurring in other planes. Further refinement to obtain wholeliver analysis may address this, but will have to be balanced against the cost-effectiveness of longer acquisition times. Finally applicability at other field strengths, in particular 1.5T, need to be demonstrated.

Percutaneous liver biopsy is not an ideal reference standard for fibrosis, steatosis or haemosiderosis. We have included all biopsies in the final analysis irrespective of their length or number of portal tracts and this may have affected the accuracy of histological assessment. We assessed inter-observer variance by comparing scores from three blinded pathologists. Although their agreement was in keeping with previous published studies [6], trivariate weighted kappa of 0.58 for fibrosis assessment suggests only moderate concordance. For uniformity, the Ishak score was used to evaluate fibrosis in all patients irrespective of their final

diagnosis, including patients with coexistent disease. This may have underestimated the burden of disease due to pericellular fibrosis, particularly in patients with steatohepatitis. As we designed a study for all-comers for liver biopsy, patients with vascular liver pathology (e.g., hepatic venous outflow obstruction) or acute hepatitis in patients with chronic liver disease, who would be expected to have higher cT1 due to liver congestion or inflammation, were also included. In this situation, cT1 likely overestimates the degree of chronic fibrosis. Furthermore, the liver volume (0.05-0.08 ml) for histological analysis of fibrosis was nearly 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the volume for MR analysis (25-30 ml). Thus, even a perfect non-invasive technique would not necessarily be expected to achieve a higher correlation with histology than that observed here, and the correlation coefficient observed between MRI and biopsy estimates of fibrosis ($r_s = 0.68$) is remarkably high in light of these limitations.

Larger studies will have to assess the value of this new method for distinguishing mild from moderate fibrosis for subtypes of chronic liver disease, and these may require more selected populations.

Conclusions

We describe a new, accurate and safe method to characterise the severity and type of liver disease, thus aiding diagnosis and staging. The non-invasive data generated closely correlate with liver biopsy measurements of steatosis, haemosiderosis and fibrosis, as demonstrated in this prospective, blinded study in an unselected liver biopsy population. MR is increasingly accessible, and this technique should now be validated in clinical multicentre studies to further define its clinical use for assessing chronic liver disease in adults and children.

Financial support

Supported by the British Heart Foundation (BHF Clinical Research Training Fellowship FS/08/074/26233) and by the Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. E.B. is funded by the Medical Research Council UK.

Conflict of interest

R.B., M.D.R., and S.N. are on the board of directors and shareholders of Perspectum Diagnostics, an Oxford University spin-out company. M.P., E.B., E.M.T., and J.E.S. are shareholders of Perspectum Diagnostics. R.B., M.D.R., S.K.P., S.N., E.M.T., E.B. have filed three patent applications related to the use of multiparametric M.R. for the diagnosis of chronic liver disease.

Acknowledgements

Supported by the British Heart Foundation (BHF Clinical Research Training Fellowship FS/08/074/26233) and by the Oxford NIHR Biomedical Research Centre. E.B. is funded by the Medical Research Council UK.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhep.2013. 09.002.

References

- Blachier M, Leleu H, Peck-Radosavljevic M, Valla D-C, Roudot-Thoraval F. The burden of liver disease in Europe: a review of available epidemiological data. J Hepatol 2013;58:593–608.
- [2] Younossi ZM, Stepanova M, Afendy M, Fang Y, Younossi Y, Mir H, et al. Changes in the prevalence of the most common causes of chronic liver diseases in the United States from 1988 to 2008. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2011;9:524–530.
- [3] Poynard T, Lenaour G, Vaillant JC, Capron F, Munteanu M, Eyraud D, et al. Liver biopsy analysis has a low level of performance for diagnosis of intermediate stages of fibrosis. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2012;10:657–663.
- [4] Tsochatzis EA, Gurusamy KS, Ntaoula S, Cholongitas E, Davidson BR, Burroughs AK. Elastography for the diagnosis of severity of fibrosis in chronic liver disease: a meta-analysis of diagnostic accuracy. J Hepatol 2011;54:650–659.
- [5] Friedrich-Rust M, Rosenberg W, Parkes J, Herrmann E, Zeuzem S, Sarrazin C. Comparison of ELF, FibroTest, and FibroScan for the non-invasive assessment of liver fibrosis. BMC Gastroenterol 2010;10:103.
- [6] Grønbaek K, Christensen PB, Hamilton-Dutoit S, Federspiel BH, Hage E, Jensen OJ, et al. Interobserver variation in interpretation of serial liver biopsies from patients with chronic hepatitis C. J Viral Hepat 2002;9:443–449.
- [7] Regev A, Berho M, Jeffers LJ, Milikowski C, Molina EG, Pyrsopoulos NT, et al. Sampling error and intraobserver variation in liver biopsy in patients with chronic HCV infection. Am J Gastroenterol 2002;97:2614–2618.
- [8] Ishak K, Baptista A, Bianchi L, Callea F, De Groote J, Gudat F, et al. Histological grading and staging of chronic hepatitis. J Hepatol 1995;22:696–699.
- [9] Kleiner DE, Brunt EM, Van Natta M, Behling C, Contos MJ, Cummings OW, et al. Design and validation of a histological scoring system for nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology 2005;41:1313–1321.
- [10] Brunt EM, Janney CG, Di Bisceglie AM, Neuschwander-Tetri BA, Bacon BR. Nonalcoholic steatohepatitis: a proposal for grading and staging the histological lesions. Am J Gastroenterol 1999;94:2467–2474.
- [11] Scheuer PJ, Williams R, Muir AR. Hepatic pathology in relatives of patients with haemochromatosis. J Pathol Bacteriol 1962;84:53–64.
- [12] Rial B. Development of proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy in human heart at 3 Tesla. In: University of Oxford Doctoral thesis; 2010.
- [13] Piechnik SK, Ferreira VM, Dall'Armellina E, Cochlin LE, Greiser A, Neubauer S, et al. Shortened modified look-locker inversion recovery (ShMOLLI) for clinical myocardial T1-mapping at 1.5 and 3 T within a 9 heartbeat breathhold. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2010;12:69.
- [14] Ferreira VM, Piechnik SK, Dall'Armellina E, Karamitsos TD, Francis JM, Choudhury RP, et al. Non-contrast T1-mapping detects acute myocardial edema with high diagnostic accuracy: a comparison to T2-weighted cardiovascular magnetic resonance. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson 2012;14:42.
- [15] Rial B, Robson MD, Neubauer S, Schneider JE. Rapid quantification of myocardial lipid content in humans using single breath-hold 1H MRS at 3 Tesla. Magn Reson Med 2011;66:619–624.
- [16] Tunnicliffe E. Evaluating liver fibrosis in the presence of elevated iron using MR relaxometry. In: UK Provisional Patent No. 1304728.7 filed on 15th March; 2013.
- [17] Nobili V, Parkes J, Bottazzo G, Marcellini M, Cross R, Newman D, et al. Performance of ELF serum markers in predicting fibrosis stage in pediatric non-alcoholic fatty liver disease. Gastroenterology 2009;136:160–167.
- [18] Rosenberg WM, Voelker M, Thiel R, Becka M, Burt A, Schuppan D, et al. Serum markers detect the presence of liver fibrosis: a cohort study. Gastroenterology 2004;127:1704–1713.
- [19] Mariappan YK, Glaser KJ, Ehman RL. Magnetic resonance elastography: a review. Clin Anat 2010;23:497–511.
- [20] Sandrin L, Fourquet B, Hasquenoph JM, Yon S, Fournier C, Mal F, et al. Transient elastography: a new noninvasive method for assessment of hepatic fibrosis. Ultrasound Med Biol 2003;29:1705–1713.

JOURNAL OF HEPATOLOGY

- [21] Smith FW, Mallard JR, Reid A, Hutchison JM. Nuclear magnetic resonance tomographic imaging in liver disease. Lancet 1981;1:963–966.
- [22] Aisen AM, Doi K, Swanson SD. Detection of liver fibrosis with magnetic cross-relaxation. Magn Reson Med 1994;31:551–556.
- [23] Alanen A, Komu M, Leino R, Toikkanen S. MR and magnetisation transfer imaging in cirrhotic and fatty livers. Acta Radiol 1998;39:434–439.
- [24] Kim KA, Park MS, Kim IS, Kiefer B, Chung WS, Kim MJ, et al. Quantitative evaluation of liver cirrhosis using T1 relaxation time with 3 Tesla MRI before and after oxygen inhalation. J Magn Reson Imaging 2012;36:405–410.
- [25] Heye T, Yang SR, Bock M, Brost S, Weigand K, Longerich T, et al. MR relaxometry of the liver: significant elevation of T1 relaxation time in patients with liver cirrhosis. Eur Radiol 2012;22:1224–1232.
- [26] McPherson S, Jonsson JR, Cowin GJ, O'Rourke P, Clouston AD, Volp A, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging and spectroscopy accurately estimate the severity of steatosis provided the stage of fibrosis is considered. J Hepatol 2009;51:389–397.
- [27] Meisamy S, Hines CD, Hamilton G, Sirlin CB, McKenzie CA, Yu H, et al. Quantification of hepatic steatosis with T1-independent, T2-corrected MR imaging with spectral modeling of fat: blinded comparison with MR spectroscopy. Radiology 2011;258:767–775.
- [28] Larson SP, Bowers SP, Palekar NA, Ward JA, Pulcini JP, Harrison SA. Histopathologic variability between the right and left lobes of the liver in morbidly obese patients undergoing Roux-en-Y bypass. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2007;5:1329–1332.
- [29] Wood JC. Magnetic resonance imaging measurement of iron overload. Curr Opin Hematol 2007;14:183–190.
- [30] Chandarana H, Lim RP, Jensen JH, Hajdu CH, Losada M, Babb JS, et al. Hepatic iron deposition in patients with liver disease: preliminary experience with breath-hold multiecho T2*-weighted sequence. Am J Roentgenol 2009;193:1261–1267.