

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia

Energy Procedia 77 (2015) 446 - 450

5th International Conference on Silicon Photovoltaics, SiliconPV 2015

n- and *p*-type silicon solar cells with molybdenum oxide hole contacts

James Bullock, Di Yan, Andres Cuevas, Yimao Wan and Christian Samundsett

The Australian National University, Canberra, 0200, Australia

Abstract

This paper provides an experimental proof-of-concept for simple solar cell designs on n- and p-type crystalline silicon (*c*-Si) substrates which utilise sub-stoichiometric MoO_x (x < 3) films to collect holes. The n-type cell design (referred to as 'molypoly') features a planar rear SiO_x / poly-Si(n^+) stack with a planar front SiO_x / MoO_x / ITO stack. We demonstrate an unoptimised conversion efficiency of ~16.7±1% for a 3 x 3cm cell using a simple 10-step fabrication procedure. The p-type cell design (referred to as 'moly-BSR') is comprised of a simple SiN_x passivated, textured, front phosphorus diffusion with a rear MoO_x / Ag hole contact. A conversion efficiency of ~16.4±1% is achieved for 2 x 2cm using an 11-step fabrication procedure. Beyond the proof-of-concept results achieved, a number of future improvements are also outlined.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Peer review by the scientific conference committee of SiliconPV 2015 under responsibility of PSE AG

Keywords: Silicon solar cells; Carrier-selective contacts; Molybdenum oxide

1. Introduction

A central premise of a photovoltaic device is the separation of photo-excited electrons and holes at opposite contacts. The present paper focuses specifically on the anode, or hole collecting contact of crystalline silicon (c-Si) solar cells. Table 1 compares the best cell-level results for a wide variety of c-Si solar cells featuring different types of full-area hole contacts. The top three entry types all utilize p^+ doped silicon layers to collect holes. These structures have received considerably more attention from the silicon photovoltaic community and have demonstrated much higher device efficiencies, assisted, at least in part, by knowledge inherited from the silicon microelectronics industry. However, these contact types are still limited by issues such as parasitic absorption, fundamental recombination losses and high temperature processing. This has prompted continued research into alternative hole contacting structures.

The lower half of the table reflects less explored cell structures which utilize non-silicon based materials to promote hole collection. Amongst these, structures utilizing sub-stoichiometric molybdenum oxide MoO_x , offer the additional benefits of ease of fabrication and favorable optical properties for photovoltaic applications. The very high work function of MoO_x has proven beneficial in the collection of holes on a number of solar cell absorber materials and has only recently been transferred to c-Si [1-3], with measured contact resistivities on the order of 1 m Ω cm² for moderate silicon doping [3]. It has also been recently demonstrated that when thermally evaporated in a controlled manner this film can provide surface passivation to c-Si with corresponding recombination parameters J_0 between 200 and 300 fA/cm² [3]. If these values can be effectively transferred to solar cell designs then MoO_x hole contacts will offer significant gains over conventional approaches in terms of recombination, thermal budget and simplicity. This paper explores the use of full area MoO_x contacts to collect holes on simple n- and p-type c-Si solar cells.

| Full area hole contact type | Hole contact structure | Holes in the absorber | $V_{\rm oc}({ m mV})$ | FF (%) | η (%) | Ref. |
|---|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------|-------|------|
| Aluminum alloyed homojunction | $c-Si(p) / c-Si(Al-p^+)$ | Majority | 648 | 80.6 | 20.1 | [4] |
| Amorphous silicon heterojunction | c-Si (n) /a-Si:H(i) / a-Si:H(p^+) / TCO * | Minority | 750 | 83.2 | 24.7 | [5] |
| Semiconductor-insulator- semiconductor | c-Si (n) / SiO _x / poly-Si (p^+) / Ag | Minority | 693 | 81.5 | 17.9 | [6] |
| | c-Si (n) / SiO _x / a-Si:H $(p^+)^*$ | Minority | 739 | 80.45 | 23.12 | [7] |
| Metal-insulator-semiconductor | c-Si (n) / SiO _x / Au | Minority | 550 | 72 | 9 | [8] |
| Silicon / organic hybrid | c-Si(n) / PEDOT:PSS | Minority | 653 | 67.2 | 17.4 | [9] |
| Silicon / carbon nanotube | c-Si(<i>n</i>) / carbon nanotube | Minority | 530 | 74.1 | 11.2 | [10] |
| Silicon / graphene | c-Si(<i>n</i>) / Graphene | Minority | 552 | 48 | 10.3 | [11] |
| Silicon / metal oxide | $c-Si(n) / MoO_x$ | Minority | 580 | 65 | 14.3 | [1] |
| | $c-Si(n) / a-Si:H(i) / MoO_x$ | Minority | 711 | 67.2 | 18.8 | [2] |
| Silicon / metal oxide | c-Si(n) / MoO _x | Minority | 637 | 75 | 16.7 | T.W. |
| | c-Si(p) / MoO _x | Majority | 616 | 72 | 16.4 | T.W. |

Table 1. Comparison of open circuit voltage (V_{oc}), fill factor (FF) and efficiency (η) for a variety of full-area hole contacts implemented in c-Si solar cells. (T.W. denotes this work.)

*The exact structures of these cells are not known

2. Device structure and fabrication

Figure 1 shows the structure and fabrication procedure for the n- and p-type cells utilizing MoO_x hole contacts. The n-type cells (referred to henceforth as the 'moly-poly' cells) were fabricated using planar, ~1 Ω cm, FZ, 250 µm, n-type wafers. A thick SiO_x layer was grown on the surfaces, via wet oxidation, to act as a protective mask in subsequent steps. This oxide was removed from the rear-side of the wafer using HF fuming and an SiO_x / poly-Si (n⁺) stack was deposited to form the rear electron contact, as described in ref. [4]. Following this step the front oxide was removed using dilute HF. The cells were then cleaned using a standard RCA procedure; the thin oxide formed during this process was intentionally left on. A MoO_x (~15 nm) / indium-tin-oxide (ITO, ~50 nm) stack was then deposited on top of the thin chemical oxide layer. The MoO_x was deposited by thermal evaporation (Angstrom Engineering ÅMOD) from a high purity powder source at a rate of ~1 Å/sec using a base pressure of < 7x10⁻⁷ mTorr. The ITO was deposited via RF sputtering (AJA International, ATC Orion) and had a sheet resistance of ~ 120 Ω/\Box . A silver fingered grid (~10% contact area) and a silver full area contact were then deposited via thermal evaporation on the front and the rear of the cell, respectively. Finally, the cell area was defined by laser cutting; no attempt was made to reduce the effects of laser induced edge recombination in these proof-of-concept cells.

The p-type cells (referred to henceforth as 'moly-BSR') were fabricated on planar, ~2 Ω cm, FZ, 250 μ m, p-type wafers. Following wet oxidation, the front oxide was removed via HF fuming and the exposed surface was textured

using an alkaline based etch solution. After an RCA cleaning step, a heavy phosphorus diffusion (~20 Ω/\Box) was performed. An Al metal grid (~10% metal fraction) was then evaporated on the front-side and the diffusion was etched back to ~100 Ω/\Box in the non-metalized regions, as described in ref [5]. A ~75 nm SiN_x passivation and antireflection coating was then deposited via plasma enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD, Roth and Rau AK 400). The rear protective oxide was removed by HF fuming and a MoO_x (15 nm) / Ag (2 µm) stack was deposited by thermal evaporation (for both the MoO_x and Ag layers). Both the moly-poly and moly-BSR cells utilize a coarse front metal grid formed by thermal evaporation through a shadow mask; hence no photolithography steps were used in the fabrication procedure.

Illuminated IV cell characteristics were measured under standard 1-sun conditions (~1000 W/m², ~25 °C, AM 1.5 G spectrum) using a solar simulator (Photo Emission Tech, model SS150) which was calibrated using a certified reference cell from Fraunhofer ISE CalLab. We estimate and absolute efficiency uncertainty of \pm 1%.



moly - poly

10.) Rear Ag evaporation



moly BSR

- 1.) Thick wet oxidation
- 2.) Front oxide removal (HF)
- 3.) Front side texturing
- 4.) Phosphorus diffusion
- 5.) HF / RCA Cleaning
- 6.) Front shadow AI evaporation
- 7.) Alkaline etch-back
- 8.) Front SiNx (PECVD)
- 9.) Rear oxide removal (HF)
- 10.) MoOx evaporation
- 11.) Rear Ag evaporation

Fig 1 – Representative schematic of the proof-of-concept moly-poly and moly-BSR cell architectures. The major fabrication steps are listed below the schematics

3. Device characterization

Figure 2 a and b provide representative light IV characteristics (open circuit voltage V_{oc} , short circuit current J_{sc} , fill factor *FF* and efficiency η) for the moly-poly and moly-BSR cells, respectively. For the moly-poly cell a V_{oc} of 637 mV is slightly higher than typical values for industrial screen printed Al-p⁺ solar cells. The main losses stem from the low current density (due to the planar front surface and coarse front metal grid) and fill factor (also partly attributable to the thin metal of the front grid). It is envisioned that a significant increase in current density (up to ~4 mA/cm²) could be achieved by using a finer front metal grid and surface texturing. In addition, the insertion of an

interlayer which provides better interface passivation has already been demonstrated to vastly improve the device voltage in n-type c-Si devices [2].

The V_{oc} of the moly-BSR cells is significantly lower, at ~616 mV, whereas J_{sc} is higher, courtesy of the front surface texturing. This device did not have the thin chemical SiOx layer present in the moly-poly cell, but even without it a recombination current density of ~200 fA/cm² is expected as inferred from previous studies on simplified test structures [3]. Unfortunately, as can be seen from the obtained device V_{oc} , the previously measured low recombination level has not been achieved at the cell level in these initial attempts.

As a way forward, previously reported measurements of the contact resistivity of MoO_x on p-type silicon [3] suggest that smaller area contacts (for example 5% contact fraction) would not significantly increase the total cell series resistance. This would allow the use of a partial rear MoO_x contact on a p-type cell, with the remainder of the surface being passivated with a high quality passivation layer (for example Al_2O_3).



Fig 2 - Representative light IV characteristics and curves for a.) 3 x 3 cm moly-poly and b.) 2 x 2 cm moly BSR cell architectures.

4. Conclusion

The two proof-of-concept devices presented here demonstrate the use of SiO_x / MoO_x (on n-type silicon) and MoO_x (on p-type silicon) contacts to c-Si solar cells. Efficiencies of 16.7% (3 x 3 cm) and 16.4% (2 x 2 cm) are achieved on n and p-type substrates respectively. Although modest in terms of performance, the results show that reasonable passivation and good transport can be simultaneously achieved with MoO_x and MoO_x/SiO_x structures. Indeed they demonstrate that MoO_x could act as a suitable alternative to Al-alloyed and B-diffused p^+ regions.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Australian Government through the Australian Renewable Energy Agency (ARENA). Facilities at the Australian National Fabrication Facility were utilized for this project.

References

- [1] C. Battaglia, X. Yin, M. Zheng, I. D. Sharp, T. Chen, S. McDonnell, A. Azcatl, C. Carraro, B. Ma, R. Maboudian, R. M. Wallace and A. Javey, Hole Selective MoO_x Contact for Silicon Solar Cells, *Nano Lett.*, 2014, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 967-971,.
- [2] C. Battaglia, S. M. de Nicolas, S. De Wolf, X. Yin, M. Zheng, C. Ballif and A. Javey, Silicon heterojunction solar cell with passivated hole selective MoO_x contact, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, vol. 104, no. 11,
- [3] J. Bullock, A. Cuevas, T. Allen and C. Battaglia, Molybdenum Oxide MoO_x: A Versatile Hole Contact For Silicon Solar Cells, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2014, 105, 232109
- [4] T. Fellmeth, S. Mack, J. Bartsch, D. Erath, U. Jäger, R. Preu, F. Clement, and D. Biro, 20.1% Efficient Silicon Solar Cell With Aluminium Back Surface Field, 2011, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, Vol 32, No. 8.
- [5] M. Taguchi, A. Yano, S. Tohoda, K. Matsuyama, Y. Nakamura, T. Nishiwaki, K. Fujita, and E. Maruyama, 24.7% Record Efficiency HIT Solar Cell on Thin Silicon Wafer, *IEEE J. Photovolt.*, 2014, Vol 4, No. 1.
- [6] F. Feldmann, M. Simon, M. Bivour, C. Reichel, M. Hermle, S. W. Glunz, Efficient carrier-selective p- and n-contacts for Si solar cells, Sol. Energy Mater., 2014, Vol. 131.
- [7] J. B. Heng, J. Fu, B. Kong, Y. Chae, W. Wang, Z. Xie, A. Reddy, K. Lam, C. Beitel, C. Liao, C. Erben, Z. Huang, and Z. Xu, >23% High-Efficiency Tunnel Oxide Junction Bifacial Solar Cell With Electroplated Cu Gridlines, *IEEE J. Photovolt.*, Vol 5, 2015, No. 1.
- [8] J. P. Ponpon and P. Siffert, Open-circuit voltage of MIS silicon solar cells, J. Appl. Phys., 1976, 47, 3248.
- [9] D. Zielke, A. Pazidis, F. Werner, J. Schmidt, Organic-silicon heterojunction solar cells on n-type silicon wafers: The BackPEDOT concept, Sol. Energy Mater., 2014, Vol. 131.
- [10]Y. Jung, X. Li, N. K. Rajan, A. D. Taylor, M. A. Reed, Record High Efficiency Single-Walled Carbon Nanotube/Silicon p-n Junction Solar Cells, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 95
- [11]Y. Zhang, F. Zu, S.-T. Lee, L. Liao, N. Zhao, and B. Sun, Heterojunction with Organic Thin Layers on Silicon for Record Efficiency Hybrid Solar Cells, Adv. Energy Mater., 2014, Vol. 4, 2.
- [12]D. Yan, A. Cuevas, Y. Wan, J. Bullock, C. Samundsett, Phosphorus-diffused polysilicon contacts for silicon solar cells, Submitted, 2015
- [13]D. Yan, A. Cuevas, J. Bullock and Y. Wan, Development of a Self-aligned Etch-Back Process for Selectively Doped Silicon Solar cells, 40th IEEE Photovoltaic Specialist Conference (PVSC), 2014, 2545-2549.