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## 1. Introduction

Given a partial or non-partial hypergroupoid $(H, *)$, that is, $H \neq \varnothing$ and $*: H \times H \rightarrow \wp(H):(x, y) \mapsto x * y$ (where $\left.A * B=\cup_{a \in A, b \in B} a * b, A, B \in \wp(H)\right)$, the hyperoperation relation $R_{*}$ [1] can be defined on $H$ in the following way:
(i) $x, y \in H, z \in x * y \neq \varnothing \quad$ iff $x R_{*} z, z R_{*} y$,
(ii) $H * H=\varnothing \quad$ iff $R_{*}=\varnothing$.

On the other hand, connections between hyperstructures and binary relations have been analyzed by many researchers, such as Rosenberg [2], Corsini [3-6], Chvalina [7,8], Konstantinidou-Serafimidou [9], Leoreanu [10,11], Serafimidis [12], DeSalvo and LoFaro [13,14], Hort [15], Kehagias [16], Spartalis [17,18,1] and so on. More precisely, given a binary relation $R$ defined on a non-empty set $H$ (i.e. $R \subseteq H \times H$ ), several hyperoperations can be obtained in many different ways [2,7,8,11, 13,15,17]. In the present paper we deal with the Corsini's hyperoperation [3] defined in the following way:

$$
*_{R}: H \times H \rightarrow \wp(H):(x, y) \mapsto x *_{R} y=\{z \in H \mid x R z, z R y\}
$$

Since the previous hyperproduct is not always non-empty, the hyperstructure $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ is a partial hypergroupoid, called "partial Corsini's hypergroupoid associated with the binary relation $R$ " (briefly, partial C-hypergroupoid), denoted by $H_{R}$. It is clear that a partial C-hypergroupoid $H_{R}$ is a C-hypergroupoid (i.e. non-partial), if and only if $R \circ R=H \times H$ [3].

Moreover, let $H \neq \varnothing, \mathfrak{R}_{H}=\left\{R_{i} \mid R_{i} \subseteq H \times H\right\}, \tilde{H}$ be the set of all partial or non-partial hypergroupoids defined on $H$ and $\tilde{H}_{\Re}=\left\{H_{R i} \mid R_{i} \in \Re_{H}\right\} \subseteq \tilde{H}$. Notice that, if $\operatorname{card} H=n, n \geq 1$, then, $\operatorname{card} \tilde{H}_{\Re} \leq 2^{n^{2}}$ and $\operatorname{card} \tilde{H}=\left(2^{n}\right)^{n^{2}}=2^{n^{3}}$. The following Propositions are valid:

Proposition 1 ([1]). For each partial or non-partial hypergroupoid, a C-hypergroupoid (partial or not) exists that includes it.

[^0]Proposition 2 ([1]). Let $R_{*}$ be the hyperoperation relation of a partial or non-partial hypergroupoid $H_{*}=(H, *), H \neq \varnothing$, and $\varphi: \mathfrak{R}_{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}: R_{i} \rightarrow \varphi\left(R_{i}\right)=H_{R i}$. Then the following hold:
(i) If the $H_{R_{*}}$ is a partial C-hypergroupoid, then

$$
R_{*}=\operatorname{minimum}\left\{R_{i} \in \Re_{H} \mid R_{i} \in \varphi^{-1}\left(H_{R_{*}}\right)\right\}
$$

(ii) If the $H_{R_{*}}$ is a $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid (non-partial), then

$$
\varphi^{-1}\left(H_{R_{*}}\right)=\left\{R_{*}\right\}
$$

Lemma 1.1. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a C-hypergroupoid (partial or not) defined on $H \neq \varnothing$. Then, for all $A, B, C, D \in \wp(H)-\{\varnothing\}$,

$$
A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D=A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B .
$$

Proof. Let $A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D \neq \varnothing$ and $w \in A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D$. Then, there exist $a \in A, b \in B, c \in C$ and $d \in D$ such that $w \in a *_{R} b \cap c *_{R} d$. According to Proposition 2.1 [1],

$$
w \in a *_{R} b \cap c *_{R} d=a *_{R} d \cap c *_{R} b \subseteq A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B
$$

that is, $A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B \neq \varnothing$ and $A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D \subseteq A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B$. Similarly, if $A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B \neq \varnothing$, then $A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D \neq \varnothing$ and $A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B \subseteq A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D$, and so $A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D=A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B$.

In the case that $A *_{R} B \cap C *_{R} D=\varnothing$ or $A *_{R} D \cap C *_{R} B=\varnothing$ the statement is obvious.
In this paper we deal with the partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids which are associated with special binary relations defined on $H$, such as Reflexive, Symmetric, Cyclic and Transitive. Basic properties are investigated and various characterizations are given. The main tool to study the previous special classes of hypergroupoids is the fundamental relation $\beta^{*}$ (i.e. the smallest equivalence relation such that the quotient of a hypergroupoid (partial or not) is a groupoid (partial or not)).

## 2. Partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids defined by reflexive binary relations

Let $\Re_{H}^{\text {refl }}=\left\{R \in \Re_{H} \mid x R x\right.$, for all $\left.x \in H\right\} \subseteq \Re_{H}$. Then, $\Delta_{H}=\cap_{R \in \Re_{H}^{\text {refl }}} R$ and for all $C$-hypergroupoids $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ (partial or not), $x \in x *_{R} x$, for all $x \in H$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a C-hypergroupoid (partial or not) and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }}$. Then, the following hold:
(i) For all $a, \underline{b} \in H, a R b \Leftrightarrow\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b$.
(ii) The class $\bar{R}=\left\{R_{i} \in \Re_{H} \mid H_{R}=\bar{H}_{R_{i}}\right\}$ is a singleton.
(iii) The map $\bar{\varphi}: \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathrm{H}}: R \rightarrow \bar{\varphi}(R)$ is an injection.

Proof. (i) Let $a R b$. Since $a R a, b R b$, it follows that $\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b$. The converse is obvious.
(ii) Let $H_{R}=H_{R_{i}}, R_{i} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}$. Since $a \in a *_{R} a=a *_{R i} a$, for all $a \in H$, it follows that $R_{i} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }}$. Therefore, for each $R_{j} \in\left\{R, R_{i}\right\}$, from (i) we obtain that

$$
a R_{j} b \Leftrightarrow\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b=a *_{R i} b, \quad \text { and so } R \subseteq R_{i}, R_{i} \subseteq R .
$$

Therefore, $R=R_{i}$, that is, $\bar{R}=\{R\}$.
(iii) Let $R, R_{i} \in \Re_{H}^{\text {refl }}, R \neq R_{i}$. If $\bar{\varphi}(R)=\bar{\varphi}\left(R_{i}\right)$, that is, $H_{R}=H_{R_{i}}$, then, from (ii) it follows that $\bar{R}=\overline{R_{i}}=\{R\}$. Hence, $R_{i}=R$, which is a contradiction. Consequently, $H_{R} \neq H_{R_{i}}$, i.e. $\bar{\varphi}(R) \neq \bar{\varphi}\left(R_{i}\right)$.

Proposition 2.2. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a C-hypergroupoid (partial or not) and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }}$. Then, for all $a, b, x \in H$, the following hold:
(i) $x \in a *_{R} b \Leftrightarrow x \in a *_{R} x \cap x *_{R} b$.
(ii) $b \in a *_{R} a \Leftrightarrow\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a$.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in a *_{R} b$. Then, from Proposition 2.1 [1] we have that

$$
x \in a *_{R} b \cap x *_{R} x=a *_{R} x \cap x *_{R} b .
$$

Conversely, let $x \in a *_{R} x \cap x *_{R} b$. Then, $a R x, x R b$, and so $x \in a *_{R} b$.
(ii) Let $b \in a *_{R} a$. Since $a R b, b R a$, Proposition 2.1(i) of this issue follows that

$$
\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a
$$

Conversely, let $\{a, b\} \subseteq a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a=a *_{R} a \cap b *_{R} b$. Then, $b \in a *_{R} a$.

## 3. Partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids defined by transitive binary relations

Let $\mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H} \mid x R y, y R z \Rightarrow x R z\right.$, for all $\left.x, y, z \in H\right\} \subseteq \Re_{H}$ and $\left(H, *_{R}\right), R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$, be a $C$-hypergroupoid (partial or not). Then, $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing, x, y \in H$, implies that there exists $w \in H$ such that $x R w, w R y$, and so $x R y$. Therefore, the following
hold:
$\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ is a $\boldsymbol{C}$-hypergroupoid iff $R$ is total.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial C-hypergroupoid and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans. }}$. Then, for all $x, y, z \in H$ the following hold:
(i) $x R y \Rightarrow x *_{R} x \cup y *_{R} y \subseteq x *_{R} y$.
(ii) $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} z \supseteq x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$.

Proof. (i) If $x *_{R} x=\varnothing=y *_{R} y$, then the statement is obvious. Let $w \in x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$. Then, $x R w, w R x$ and since $x R y$, it follows that $w R y$. Therefore, $w \in x *_{R} y$. Hence, $x *_{R} x \subseteq x *_{R} y$. Similarly, $y *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$ implies that $y *_{R} y \subseteq x *_{R} y$. Consequently, $x *_{R} x \cup y *_{R} y \subseteq x *_{R} y$.
(ii) In the case that $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=\varnothing=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$, the statement is obvious. Let $w \in\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \neq \varnothing$. Then, there exists $a \in x *_{R} y$ such that $w \in a *_{R} z$, which means that $x R a, a R y, a R w, w R z$. Therefore, $x R w, w R z$ implies that $w \in x *_{R} z$, and so $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} z$. Similarly, can be proved that $x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \subseteq x *_{R} z$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial C-hypergroupoid and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$. Then, for all $x, y, z \in H$ the following hold:
(i) $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z \Rightarrow y \in x *_{R} z \cap\left(\bigcap_{\substack{w \in *_{R} y \\ u \in y *_{R} z}} w *_{R} u\right)$ and $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \cup x *_{R} y \cup y *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} z$.
(ii) $x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing \neq\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \Rightarrow x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \cap\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing$.

Proof. (i) From the hypothesis we obtain that $x R y, y R z$, which means that $y \in x *_{R} z$. Moreover, let $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)=$ $\bigcup_{\substack{w \in \in *_{R} y \\ u \in y * *_{R} z}} w *_{R} u$. Then, for all $w \in x *_{R} y$ and for all $u \in y *_{R} z$ the following hold:
$x R w, w R y$ and $y R u, u R z$.
Since $w R y, y R u$, it follows that $y \in w *_{R} u$, and so $y \in \bigcap_{\substack{w \in x *_{R} y \\ u \in y *_{R} z}} w *_{R} u$. Hence,

$$
y \in x *_{R} z \cap\left(\bigcap_{\substack{w \in x *_{R} y \\ u \in y *_{R} z}} w *_{R} u\right) .
$$

According to the previous, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing$ and let $a \in\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$. Then, $a \in w *_{R} u, w \in x *_{R} y, u \in y *_{R} z$, which means that $w R a, a R u$. Therefore, $x R w, w R a \Rightarrow x R a$ and $a R u, u R z \Rightarrow a R z$, and so $a \in x *_{R} z$, that is, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \subseteq$ $x *_{R} z$.

Moreover, $w R y, y R z \Rightarrow w R z$ and $x R y, y R u \Rightarrow x R u$. Therefore, $x R w, w R z \Rightarrow w \in x *_{R} z$ and $x R u, u R z \Rightarrow u \in x *_{R} z$. Consequently,

$$
\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \cup x *_{R} y \cup y *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} z
$$

(ii) From Lemma 1.1 of this issue and for $A=\{x\}, B=y *_{R} z, C=x *_{R} y, D=\{z\}$ it follows that

$$
x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \cap\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R} z \cap\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) .
$$

Moreover, from the hypothesis it is obtained that $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z$. Then, according to (i),

$$
x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \cap\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing
$$

Corollary 3.3. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial C-hypergroupoid, $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$ and $x, y, z \in H$ such that $x \in x *_{R} y, z \in y *_{R} z$. Then,

$$
\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing .
$$

Proof. From the hypothesis it is obtained that $x *_{R} z \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \cap x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$. According to Proposition 3.1(ii) of this issue, we have the following

$$
x *_{R} z \subseteq\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} z \quad \text { and } \quad x *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \subseteq x *_{R} z
$$

Therefore, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R} z=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$. Moreover, since $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z$, Proposition 3.2(ii) of this issue follows that $y \in x *_{R} z$, and so

$$
\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing .
$$

Proposition 3.4. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial C-hypergroupoid, $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$ and $x \in H$ such that $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$. Then, the following hold:
(i) For all $w \in x *_{R} x$,
$\{x, w\} \subseteq x *_{R} x=x *_{R} w=w *_{R} x=w *_{R} w=\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)$.
(ii) For all $w, u \in x *_{R} x, a, b \in H, w *_{R} a=u *_{R} a$ and $b *_{R} w=b *_{R} u$.
(iii) For all $a \in H, x *_{R} x \cap a *_{R} a=\varnothing \Rightarrow x *_{R} a=\varnothing$ or $\alpha *_{R} x=\varnothing$.

Proof. (i) Let $w \in x *_{R} x$. Then, $x R w, w R x$ and according to Proposition 5.2(i) of this issue,
$x *_{R} x \cup w *_{R} w \subseteq x *_{R} w \cap w *_{R} x$.
On the other hand, Lemma 1.1 of this issue implies that
$x *_{R} w \cap w *_{R} x=x *_{R} x \cap w *_{R} w$.
Therefore, $x *_{R} x=w *_{R} w=x *_{R} w \cap w *_{R} x$. Moreover, let $a \in x *_{R} w, b \in w *_{R} x$, that is, $x R a$, $a R w, w R b, b R x$. Hence, $a R w, w R x \Rightarrow a R x$ and $x R w, w R b \Rightarrow x R b$, and so $\{a, b\} \subseteq x *_{R} x$. Therefore, $x *_{R} w \subseteq x *_{R} x \supseteq w *_{R} x$, which means that

$$
x *_{R} x=x *_{R} w=w *_{R} x=w *_{R} w .
$$

Furthermore, since $x R x$ and $w R w$, we obtain that $x \in x *_{R} x, w \in w *_{R} w$, and so

$$
\{x, w\} \subseteq x *_{R} x=x *_{R} w=w *_{R} x=w *_{R} w
$$

In addition, since $x \in x *_{R} x$, Corollary 3.3 of this issue implies that $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)$. Moreover, $x *_{R} x \subseteq$ $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x$. Let $a \in\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x$. Then, there exists $w \in x *_{R} x$ such that $a \in w *_{R} x$ and since $w *_{R} x=x *_{R} x$, it follows that $a \in x *_{R} x$. Therefore, $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x \subseteq x *_{R} x$, and so $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R} x$.
(ii) According to (i), $w, u \in x *_{R} x$ implies that $w R u$, $u R w$. Let $e \in w *_{R} a$. Then, $w R e, e R a$ and since $u R w$, we obtain that $u$ Re. Therefore, $e \in u *_{R} a$, that is, $w *_{R} a \subseteq u *_{R} a$. Conversely, let $e \in u *_{R} a$. Then, $u R e, e R a$ and since $w R u$, we obtain that $w R e$. Therefore, $e \in w *_{R} a$, that is, $u *_{R} a \subseteq w *_{R} a$, and so $w *_{R} a=u *_{R} a$. Similarly, $b *_{R} w=b *_{R} u$.
(iii) Let $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} x$. Then, Proposition 5.2(i) of this issue implies that $a \in x *_{R} x$, and according to (i), $x *_{R} x=a *_{R} a$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $x *_{R} a=\varnothing$ or $a *_{R} x=\varnothing$.

Proposition 3.5. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \Re_{H}^{\text {trans }}$ and $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be the associated $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid. The following hold:
(i) If $H$ is a non-partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid, then it is total.
(ii) If $H$ is a partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid, then the fundamental equivalence relation $\beta^{*}$ is the transitive closure of the relation $\bar{R} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}$ defined for all $x, y \in H$ as follows

$$
x \bar{R} y \Leftrightarrow x R y \quad \text { or } \quad y R x
$$

Moreover, $\left(H / \beta^{*}, \cdot\right)$ is a partial groupoid where

$$
\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(y)= \begin{cases}\beta^{*}(x), & \text { if } \beta^{*}(x)=\beta^{*}(y), x, y \in H \\ \varnothing, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a $C$-hypergroupoid (non-partial), i.e. $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$ for all $x, y \in H$. Then, since $R$ is a transitive relation, (3.1) of this issue implies that $R$ is total, and so $H$ is total.
(ii) Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid and $\bar{R}^{*}$ be the transitive closure of the relation $\bar{R}$. Then, for all $x, y \in H$,

$$
x \beta^{*} y \text { implies that } \exists z_{1}, z_{2}, \ldots, z_{n+1} \in H, z_{1}=x, z_{n+1}=y \quad \text { and } \quad \exists u_{i j} \in H \text { and } \exists I_{i}, i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

finite sets of indices such that

$$
\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq *_{R} \prod_{j \in I_{i}} u_{i j}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

Since the expression $*_{R} \prod_{j \in I_{i}} u_{i j}$ is a finite "product" with respect to the hyperoperation " $*_{R}$ ", Proposition 3.1(ii) of this issue implies for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ the following:

$$
*_{R} \prod_{j \in I_{i}} u_{i j}=u_{i 1} *_{R} u_{i 2} *_{R} \cdots *_{R} u_{i j_{i}} \subseteq u_{1 i} *_{R} u_{i j i}
$$

In addition, we set for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
u_{i 1}=a_{2 i-1} \quad \text { and } \quad u_{i j_{i}}=a_{2 i} .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\left\{z_{i}, z_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq a_{2 i-1} *_{R} a_{2 i}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

Hence,

$$
z_{i} R a_{2 i}, \quad z_{i+1} R a_{2 i}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\},
$$

and so

$$
z_{i} \bar{R} a_{2 i}, \quad a_{2 i} \bar{R} z_{i+1}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Consequently, $x=z_{1} \bar{R}^{*} z_{n+1}=y$, which means that $\beta^{*} \subseteq \bar{R}^{*}$.

Conversely, let $x, y \in H, x \bar{R}^{*} y$. Then, there exist $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n+1} \in H, b_{1}=x, b_{n+1}=y$ such that

$$
b_{i} \bar{R} b_{i+1}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\} \Leftrightarrow b_{i} R b_{i+1} \quad \text { or } \quad b_{i+1} R b_{i}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\} .
$$

Propositions 2.1(i) and 3.1(i) of this issue follow that

$$
\left\{b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq b_{i} *_{R} b_{i+1} \quad \text { or } \quad\left\{b_{i}, b_{i+1}\right\} \subseteq b_{i+1} *_{R} b_{i}, \quad i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}
$$

Therefore, $x=b_{1} \beta^{*} b_{n+1}=y$, and so $\bar{R}^{*} \subseteq \beta^{*}$. Consequently, $\beta^{*}=\bar{R}^{*}$.
Let now, in the quotient set $H / \beta^{*}, \beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(y)=\left\{\beta^{*}(z) \mid z \in \beta^{*}(x) *_{R} \beta^{*}(y)\right\}$ be the usual multiplication of the classes.
Let $x, y$ be two arbitrary elements of $H$ such that $\beta^{*}(x) \neq \beta^{*}(y)$. Then, obviously $\beta^{*}(x) \cap \beta^{*}(y)=\varnothing$. So, if there exists $z \in H$ such that $\beta^{*}(z) \in \beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(y)$, then $z \in \beta^{*}(x) *_{R} \beta^{*}(y)$. Thus, there exist $a \in \beta^{*}(x), b \in \beta^{*}(y)$ such that $z \in a *_{R} b$. So $a R z, z R b$, and so $z \in \beta^{*}(x) \cap \beta^{*}(y)=\varnothing$, which is a contradiction. Hence, $\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(y)=\varnothing$.

On the other hand, $\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(x)=\left\{\beta^{*}(z) \mid z \in \beta^{*}(x) *_{R} \beta^{*}(x)\right\}$. But for all $z \in H$ such that $\beta^{*}(z) \in \beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(x)$ holds $z \in \beta^{*}(x) *_{R} \beta^{*}(x)$. Then, $z \in \beta^{*}(x)$. That is, $\beta^{*}(z)=\beta^{*}(x)$, and so $\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(x) \subseteq\left\{\beta^{*}(x)\right\}$. Obviously, $\beta^{*}(x) \subseteq \beta^{*}(x) *_{R} \beta^{*}(x)$. So $\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(x)=\left\{\beta^{*}(x)\right\}$. Hence, we can denote $\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(x)=\beta^{*}(x)$.

Example 3.6. Let $H=\{1,2,3,4,5,6,7\}$ and $R=\{(x, x) \mid x \in H\} \cup\{(1,6),(2,6),(4,5),(5,4),(7,4),(7,5)\}$.
Then, $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ is the partial C-hypergroupoid

| $*_{R}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\{1\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{1,6\}$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 2 | $\varnothing$ | $\{2\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{2,6\}$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 3 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{3\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 4 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{4,5\}$ | $\{4,5\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 5 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{4,5\}$ | $\{4,5\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 6 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{6\}$ | $\varnothing$ |
| 7 | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{4,5,7\}$ | $\{4,5,7\}$ | $\varnothing$ | $\{7\}$ |

and $H / \beta^{*}=\left\{\beta^{*}(1), \beta^{*}(3), \beta^{*}(4)\right\}$, where $\beta^{*}(1)=\{1,2,6\}, \beta^{*}(3)=\{3\}, \beta^{*}(4)=\{4,5,7\}$ such that

| $\cdot$ | $\beta^{*}(1)$ | $\beta^{*}(3)$ | $\beta^{*}(4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta^{*}(1)$ | $\beta^{*}(1)$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $\beta^{*}(3)$ | $\varnothing$ | $\beta^{*}(3)$ | $\varnothing$ |
| $\beta^{*}(4)$ | $\varnothing$ | $\varnothing$ | $\beta^{*}(4)$ |

Remark 3.7. In the case that $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$, the equivalence class $\beta^{*}(x)$ of an arbitrary element $x \in H$ can also be constructed in the following way: If $A_{1}(x)=x *_{R} x$ and $A_{i+1}(x)=\cup_{\left(a *_{R} b\right) \cap A_{i} \neq \varnothing} a *_{R} b(i=1,2, \ldots)$, then obviously $A_{1} \subseteq A_{2} \subseteq A_{3} \subseteq \cdots$ and there exists a positive integer $k=k(x)$ such that $A_{k}=A_{k+1}=\cdots$. Then, $A_{k}=\beta^{*}(x)$.

## 4. Partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids defined by cyclic binary relations

Let $\mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H} \mid x R y, y R z \Rightarrow z R x\right.$, for all $\left.x, y, z \in H\right\} \subseteq \mathfrak{R}_{H}$ and $\left(H, *_{R}\right), R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$, be a $C$-hypergroupoid (partial or not). Then, $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing, x, y \in H$ implies that there exists $w \in H$ such that $x R w, w R y$, and so $y R x$. Therefore, the following holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H, *_{R}\right) \text { is a C-hypergroupoid iff } R \text { is total } \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 4.1. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$. Then, for all $x, y, z \in H$ the following hold:
(i) $x \in y *_{R} z \Rightarrow y \in z *_{R} x$ and $z \in x *_{R} y$
(ii) $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z \Rightarrow y \in\left(\bigcap_{\substack{w \in x *_{R} y \\ u \in y *_{R} z}} w *_{R} u\right) \cap z *_{R} x$

Proof. (i) Let $x \in y *_{R} z$. Then, $y R x, x R z$ implies that $z R y$. Moreover, $z R y, y R x \Rightarrow y \in z *_{R} x$ and $x R z, z R y \Rightarrow z \in x *_{R} y$.
(ii) Since $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z$, then, for all $w \in x *_{R} y$ and for all $u \in y *_{R} z$ (i) implies that $y \in w *_{R} x \cap z *_{R} u$. Moreover, according to Lemma 1.1 of this issue, $y \in w *_{R} u \cap z *_{R} x$ and therefore, $y \in\left(\bigcap_{\substack{w \in x *_{R} y \\ u \in y * *_{R}}} w *_{R} u\right) \cap z *_{R} x$.

Proposition 4.2. Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial C-hypergroupoid and $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$. Then, for all $x, y, z \in H$ the following hold:
(i) $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow$ for all $w, u \in x *_{R} x$,

$$
\{x, w, u\} \subseteq x *_{R} x=w *_{R} u
$$

(ii) $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)=x *_{R} x$
(iii) $x R y$ and $\left(x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing\right.$ or $\left.y *_{R} y \neq \varnothing\right) \Rightarrow y \in x *_{R} x$
(iv) $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \neq \varnothing \neq x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \Rightarrow\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$.

Proof. (i) Let $w \in x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$. According to Proposition 4.1(i) of this issue, we obtain that
$x \in x *_{R} w \cap w *_{R} x=x *_{R} \chi \cap w *_{R} w$.
In the same way, since $x \in w *_{R} w$, we obtain that

```
w\inw**R}x\capx\mp@subsup{*}{R}{}w=w\mp@subsup{*}{R}{}w\capx\mp@subsup{*}{R}{}x
```

And so $\{x, w\} \subseteq x *_{R} x$ and $x *_{R} x \subseteq x *_{R} w, x *_{R} x \subseteq w *_{R} w$ (I).
Conversely, let $v \in x *_{R} w$. Then, $x \in w *_{R} v$, but also $x \in x *_{R} x$. So $x \in w *_{R} v \cap x *_{R} x=w *_{R} x \cap x *_{R} v$. Thus, $x \in x *_{R} v$ implies that $v \in x *_{R} x$, that is, $x *_{R} w \subseteq x *_{R} x$. Hence, $x *_{R} x=x *_{R} w$ (II).

Since $x \in w *_{R} w$, we similarly obtain that $w *_{R} w=w *_{R} x$ and $w *_{R} w \subseteq x *_{R} x$. Considering also (I) and (II), we finally obtain that

$$
\text { for all } w \in x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing \quad \text { holds }\{x, w\} \subseteq x *_{R} w=x *_{R} x=w *_{R} w=w *_{R} x \text { (III). }
$$

Let also $u \in x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$. Then according to (III), we have $\{x, u\} \subseteq x *_{R} x=w *_{R} w$. But, since $u \in w *_{R} w$, (III) implies again that $w *_{R} u=w *_{R} w=u *_{R} u=u *_{R} w$. So, for all $w, u \in x *_{R} x$ holds $\{x, w, u\} \subseteq x *_{R} x=w *_{R} u$.
(ii) Since $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$, we have $x \in x *_{R} x$, and so $x *_{R} x \subseteq\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} \mathrm{X}$ and $x *_{R} x \subseteq x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)$. Conversely, let $\alpha \in\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x$ (resp. $\alpha \in x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)$ ), then there exists $w \in x *_{R} x$ such that $\alpha \in w *_{R} x$ (resp. $\alpha \in x *_{R} w$ ). Since $w *_{R} x=x *_{R} w=x *_{R} x$, we have that $\alpha \in x *_{R} x$, and so $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x \subseteq x *_{R} x$ (resp. $\left.x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right) \subseteq x *_{R} x\right)$. Hence, $\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)$.
(iii) Let now $x R y$ and $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$. From (i) we have $x \in x *_{R} x$, so $x R x$. But then, $x \in x *_{R} y$ and according to Proposition 4.1(i) of this issue, also $y \in x *_{R} x$. Then, (III) implies that

$$
\{x, y\} \subseteq x *_{R} y=x *_{R} x=y *_{R} y=y *_{R} x
$$

In the case $x R y$ and $y *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$, the proof is similar.
(iv) $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \neq \varnothing \neq x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$ implies that there exist $w, k, v \in H$ such that $w \in x *_{R} y, k \in w *_{R} z, v \in y *_{R} z$. Then, $w \in x *_{R} y \cap z *_{R} k=x *_{R} k \cap z *_{R} y$, and so $y \in w *_{R} z$.

Furthermore, $z \in k *_{R} w \cap v *_{R} y=k *_{R} y \cap v *_{R} w$, and so $y \in z *_{R} k$. Thus, $y \in w *_{R} z \cap z *_{R} k=w *_{R} k \cap z *_{R} z$, but since $y \in z *_{R} z$, we obtain through (III) that

$$
z *_{R} z=z *_{R} y=y *_{R} z=y *_{R} y
$$

Moreover, since $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing \neq y *_{R} z$, we have that $y \in z *_{R} x$, and so $x \in y *_{R} z=z *_{R} z=y *_{R} y$. Hence, $z *_{R} z=z *_{R} x=x *_{R} z=x *_{R} x=y *_{R} x=x *_{R} y$. Then, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} x=x *_{R} x$ (according to (ii)) and also $x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)=x *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)=x *_{R} x$. Consequently, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$.

Proposition 4.3. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$ and $H_{R}$ be the associated partial C-hypergroupoid. Then, for all $x, y \in H$ the following hold:
(i) $x *_{R} x \cap y *_{R} y=\varnothing$ or $x *_{R} x=y *_{R} y$
(ii) $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow$ for all $y \in H, x *_{R} y=\varnothing$ or $x *_{R} y=x *_{R} x=y *_{R} y=y *_{R} x$

Proof. (i) Let $x *_{R} x \cap y *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$. Then, there exists $w \in H$ such that $w \in x *_{R} x \cap y *_{R} y$. So, according to Proposition 4.2(i) of this issue, $x *_{R} x=w *_{R} w=y *_{R} y$.
(ii) Let $x *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$ and $y$ be an arbitrary element of $H$. If $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$, there exists $w \in H$ such that $w \in x *_{R} y$. Then, since $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}, y R x$ and according to Proposition 4.2(iii) of this issue, we obtain that $x \in y *_{R} y$. So, Proposition 4.2(i) of this issue implies that $x *_{R} y=x *_{R} x=y *_{R} y=y *_{R} x$.

Proposition 4.4. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}$ and $H_{R}$ be the associated partial C-hypergroupoid. Let $R_{*_{R}}$ be the hyperoperation relation of $H_{R}$. Then, the following hold:
(i) $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c} \Leftrightarrow R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$
(ii) If $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$, then $R=R_{*_{R}} \Leftrightarrow y *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$, for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Proof. (i) Let $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$. For all $(x, y),(y, z) \in R_{*_{R}}$ holds that $(x, y),(y, z) \in R$, since $R_{*_{R}} \subseteq R$. Then, $(z, x) \in R$. But $(y, z),(z, x) \in R$ implies that $z \in y *_{R} x$. So $y R_{*_{R}} z, z R_{*_{R}} x$. So $(z, x) \in R_{*_{R}}$. That is, $R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$. Conversely, let $R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$. For all $(x, y),(y, z) \in R$ holds that $y \in x *_{R} z$. So $(x, y),(y, z) \in R_{*_{R}}$. Since $R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c},(z, x) \in R_{*_{R}} \subseteq R$. So ( $\left.z, x\right) \in R$. This means that $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$.
(ii) $R=R_{*_{R}} \Leftrightarrow$ for all $(x, y) \in R$ there exists $z_{1} \in H$ such that $\left(y, z_{1}\right) \in R$, or there exists $z_{2} \in H$ such that $\left(z_{2}, x\right) \in R \Leftrightarrow$ for all $(x, y) \in R$ there exists $z_{1} \in H$ such that $y \in x *_{R} z_{1}$, or there exists $z_{2} \in H$ such that $x \in z_{2} *_{R} y$. Since $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$, according to Proposition 4.1(i) of this issue, we have $R=R_{*_{R}} \Leftrightarrow$ for all ( $x, y$ ) $\in R$ there exists $z_{1} \in H$ such that $z_{1} \in y *_{R} x$, or there exists $z_{2} \in H$ such that $z_{2} \in y *_{R} x \Leftrightarrow y *_{R} x \neq \varnothing$ for all $(x, y) \in R$.

Proposition 4.5. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$ and $H_{R}$ be the associated partial C-hypergroupoid. Then, for all $x, y, z \in H$ the following hold:

$$
\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \neq \varnothing \quad \text { or } \quad x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z=x *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right) .
$$

Proof. Let $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \neq \varnothing$. Then, there exist $a, b \in H$ such that $a \in x *_{R} y$ and $b \in a *_{R} z$. So $x R a$, $a R y$. Since $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{c y c}$, we have $y R x$ and since $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }}$, we have $x R x$. So $x R y$. This means $y \in x *_{R} x$, and according to Proposition 4.2(i) of this issue, we have $x *_{R} y=x *_{R} x$. But then, $a \in x *_{R} x$, so $x *_{R} x=a *_{R} a$. Similarly, $b \in a *_{R} z$ implies that $b \in a *_{R} z=a *_{R} a=x *_{R} x$. So $b \in x *_{R} x$. That is, $\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z \subseteq x *_{R} x$ (I). Notice that, since $b \in x *_{R} x=a *_{R} z$, we have $x R b$ and $b R z$. So $z R x$. But also $x R x$. So $x R z$.

Now, let $c \in x *_{R} x$. Then, $c R x$ and $x R z$. So $z R c$. Also $z R z$. So $c R z$. But then, $x R c$ and $c R z$. So $c \in\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} z$. That is, $x *_{R} x \subseteq\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R} z=\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z$ (II). (I) and (II) imply that $x *_{R} x=\left(x *_{R} y\right) *_{R} z$.

Similarly it can be proved that $x *_{R} x=x *_{R}\left(y *_{R} z\right)$.
Proposition 4.6. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \Re_{H}^{c y c}$ and $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be the associated $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid. Then, the following hold:
(i) If $H$ is a non-partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid, then it is total.
(ii) If $H$ is a partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid, then the fundamental equivalence relation $\beta^{*}$ is exactly the relation $R$. Moreover,
(a) $\beta^{*}(x)=x *_{R} x$, for all $x \in H$,
(b) $\left(H / \beta^{*}, \cdot\right)$ is a partial groupoid, where

$$
\beta^{*}(x) \cdot \beta^{*}(y)= \begin{cases}\beta^{*}(x), & \text { if } \beta^{*}(x)=\beta^{*}(y), x, y \in H \\ \varnothing, & \text { elsewhere }\end{cases}
$$

(c) $H$ is a complete partial hypergroupoid.

Proof. (i) Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a non-partial C-hypergroupoid, i.e. $x *_{R} y \neq \varnothing$ for all $x, y \in H$. Then, since $R$ is a cyclic relation, (4.1) of this issue implies that $R$ is total, and so $H$ is total.
(ii) Let $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ be a partial $C$-hypergroupoid. Since Proposition 4.5 of this issue implies that for all $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$

$$
*_{R} \prod_{j \in I_{i}} u_{i j}=u_{i 1} *_{R} u_{i 2} *_{R} \cdots *_{R} u_{i j_{i}}=u_{i 1} *_{R} u_{i 1,}
$$

then, according to Proposition 4.3(i) of this issue, for all $x, y \in H, x \beta^{*} y$ leads finally to $\{x, y\} \subseteq u_{11} *_{R} u_{11}=x *_{R} x$. Consequently, $x R y$, that is, $\beta^{*} \subseteq R$. Conversely, for all $x, y \in H, x R y$ implies, according to Proposition 4.2 (iii) of this issue, that $\{x, y\} \subseteq x *_{R} x$. So $x \beta^{*} y$. That is, $R \subseteq \beta^{*}$. So $\beta^{*}=R$.

For the equivalence class of an arbitrary element $x \in H$ holds $R(x)=\{y \in H \mid x R y\}=x *_{R} x$, according to Proposition 4.2(iii) of this issue. Let now, in the quotient set $H / R, R(x) \cdot R(y)=\left\{R(z) \mid z \in R(x) *_{R} R(y)\right\}$ be the usual multiplication of the classes. Let $x, y$ be two arbitrary elements of $H$ such that $x, k y$, that is, $R(x) \neq R(y)$, according to Proposition 4.3(i) of this issue. Then, $R(x) *_{R} R(y)=\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R}\left(y *_{R} y\right)=\varnothing$. So $R(x) \cdot R(y)=\varnothing$. In the case that $x R y$, according to Proposition 4.2 (iii) and 4.2(i) of this issue, we have $R(x)=R(y)$ and according to Proposition 4.2(ii) of this issue, $R(x) *_{R} R(x)=\left(x *_{R} x\right) *_{R}\left(x *_{R} x\right)=x *_{R} x=R(x)$. So $R(x) \cdot R(x)=\{R(x)\}$. Hence, we can denote $R(x) \cdot R(x)=R(x)$.

Furthermore, $\beta^{*}\left(x *_{R} y\right)=R\left(x *_{R} y\right)=\cup_{z \in x *_{R} y} R(z)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}R\left(x *_{R} x\right)=x *_{R} y & \text { if } x R y \\ \varnothing=x *_{R} y & \text { if } x \not{ }^{\prime \prime} y .\end{array}\right.$. Consequently, $\left(H, *_{R}\right)$ is a complete partial hypergroupoid.

Proposition 4.7. Let $(H, *)$ be a partial hypergroupoid, in which the following hold
(i) $x \in x * x$, for all $x \in H$
(ii) $x * x \cap y * y=\varnothing$ or $x * x=y * y$, for all $x, y \in H$
and (iii) $x * y=\varnothing$ or $x * y=x * x=y * y=y * x$, for all $x, y \in H$.
Then, there exists a binary relation $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$ such that $(H, *)$ identify with the partial C-hypergroupoid $\left(H, *_{R}\right) . R$ is exactly the hyperoperation relation $R_{*}$ of $(H, *)$.
Proof. Let $R_{*}$ be the hyperoperation relation of $(H, *)$. According to condition (i), $R_{*}$ is reflexive. Furthermore, for all $x, y \in H, x R_{*} y \Leftrightarrow$ (there exists $w_{1} \in H$ such that $x \in w_{1} * y$ ) or (there exists $w_{2} \in H$ such that $y \in x * w_{2}$ ). According to condition (iii), it holds $x R_{*} y \Leftrightarrow x \in y * y$ or $y \in x * x$. This means $x * x \cap y * y \neq \varnothing$. So, according to condition (ii), we have that $x R_{*} y \Leftrightarrow x * x=y * y$. Then, $R_{*}$ is obviously cyclic.

Let $\left(H, *_{R_{*}}\right)$ be the partial $C$-hypergroupoid defined by $R_{*}$. It is known that $(H, *) \leq\left(H, *_{R_{*}}\right)$. (See Proposition 3.2 [1]. See also [19]) Conversely, let $x *_{R_{*}} y \neq \varnothing, x, y \in H$ and $z \in x *_{R_{*}} y$. Then, $x R_{*} z, z R_{*} y$. This means $x * x=z * z=y * y$. Then, $z \in z * z=x * x=x * y$. So $\left(H, *_{R_{*}}\right) \leq(H, *)$. Finally, $(H, *)$ identify with $\left(H, *_{R_{*}}\right)$, where $R_{*} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$. So there is a binary relation $R=R_{*} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \Re_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$ such that $(H, *) \equiv\left(H, *_{R}\right)$.

## 5. Partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids defined by symmetric binary relations

Let $\mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}=\left\{R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H} \mid x R y \Rightarrow y R x \forall x, y \in H\right\}$. Since for all $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}, R=R^{-1}$, Corollary 2.6[1] implies that the associated (partial or not) $\boldsymbol{C}$-hypergroupoid $H_{R}$ is strong commutative
Moreover, since $H_{R}$ is strong commutative, for all $a, b \in H, a *_{R} b=a *_{R} b \cap b *_{R} a$ and according to Lemma 1.3 [1], $a *_{R} b=a *_{R} a \cap b *_{R} b$.

Therefore, we set the following proposition:

Proposition 5.1. Let $H \neq \varnothing$ and $R \in \Re_{H}$. Then, the following hold:
(i) If the associated $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid $H_{R}$ is non-partial, then,

$$
H_{R} \text { is strong commutative } \Leftrightarrow R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }} \text {. }
$$

(ii) If the associated C-hypergroupoid $H_{R}$ is partial, then,

$$
H_{R} \text { is strong commutative } \Leftrightarrow R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}
$$

(iii) If $(H, *)$ is a strong commutative hypergroupoid (partial or not), then $R_{*} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}$.

Proof. (i) Let $H_{R}$ be strong commutative and $a, b \in H, a R b$. Moreover, for all $x \in a *_{R} b=b *_{R} a \neq \varnothing$ we have $b R x, x R a$, and so $b \in a *_{R} x=x *_{R} a$. Therefore, $b R a$. This means that $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}$. The converse is implied from (5.1) of this issue.
(ii) Let $H_{R}$ be strong commutative and $a R_{*_{R}} b$. Then, there exist $x, y \in H, a \in x *_{R} b$ or $b \in a *_{R} y$. Therefore, also $a \in b *_{R} x$ or $b \in y *_{R} a$. So $b R_{*_{R}} a$. This means that $R_{*_{R}} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}$. The converse is implied from (4.1) of this issue.
(iii) Let $a R_{*} b$. Then, there exist $x, y \in H, a \in x * b$ or $b \in a * y$. Since $(H, *)$ is strong commutative, also $a \in b * x$ or $b \in y * a$. So $b R_{*} a$, that is, $R_{*} \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $H \neq \varnothing, R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {symm }}$ and $H_{R}$ be the associated partial $\mathbf{C}$-hypergroupoid. Then, the following hold:
(i) $R_{*_{R}}=R$
(ii) The map $\varphi: \mathfrak{\Re}_{H}^{\text {symm }} \rightarrow \tilde{H}: R \rightarrow \varphi(R)=H_{R}$ is an injection.

Proof. (i) Let $a R b$. Then, $b R a$, and so $b \in a *_{R} a$. Therefore, $a R_{*_{R}} b$. This means $R \subseteq R_{*_{R}}$. But then, $R=R_{*_{R}}$ (see Proposition 1.2 [1]).
(ii) It is obvious from (i).

## 6. Concluding comment

In this paper we deal with the partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids which are associated with special binary relations defined on $H$. Especially we deal with Reflexive, Symmetric, Cyclic and Transitive binary relations. Basic properties are investigated and various characterizations are given. Using the fundamental relation $\beta^{*}$ it is proved that in the case $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {trans }}$ (or respectively $R \in \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {refl }} \cap \mathfrak{R}_{H}^{\text {cyc }}$ ) the smallest groupoid that hides in a partial $\boldsymbol{C}$-hypergroupoid is the one of the Proposition 3.5 of this issue (respectively 4.6 of this issue). We investigated Reflexive, Symmetric, Cyclic and Transitive binary relations, since they are the most common binary relations which do not necessarily lead to a total $\mathbf{C}$ hypergroupoid. Partial or non-partial C-hypergroupoids which are associated with other special binary relations defined on $H$ will be the aim of a further investigation.
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