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#### Abstract

The dynamic behaviors of an impulsive periodic predator-prey model with $n$-preys and $m$ predators are studied in this paper. By constructing a suitable Lyapunov function and using the Comparison theorem of impulsive differential equation, sufficient conditions which ensure the permanence and global attractivity of the system are obtained. At the same time, a set of criteria which guarantee that some species in the system are permanent and globally attractive while the remaining species are driven to extinction is obtained. Our results show that, for the multi-species predator-prey community, impulsivity is one of the important reasons that can change the long time behaviors of species.
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## 1. Introduction

A traditional periodic predator-prey system with periodic coefficients has been studied extensively (see [1-5]). In [6], Yang and Xu considered the following periodic Lotka-Volterra system of differential equations

$$
\begin{cases}x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=x_{i}(t)\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k}(t) x_{k}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{i k}(t) y_{k}(t)\right], & i=1,2, \ldots, n,  \tag{1.1}\\ y_{j}^{\prime}(t)=y_{j}(t)\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{k=1}^{n} d_{j k}(t) x_{k}(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{m} e_{j k}(t) y_{k}(t)\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m,\end{cases}
$$

where $x_{i}(t)$ denotes the density of the prey species $x_{i}$ at time $t, y_{j}(t)$ denotes the density of the predator species $y_{j}$ at time $t ; b_{i}(t), r_{j}(t), a_{i k}(t), c_{i l}(t), d_{j k}(t)$ and $e_{j l}(t)(i, k=1, \ldots, n ; j, l=1, \ldots, m)$ are continuous periodic functions defined on $[0,+\infty)$ with a common period $T>0 ; r_{j}(t), a_{i k}(t), c_{i l}(t), d_{j k}(t)$ and $e_{j l}(t)$ are nonnegative; $a_{i i}(t), e_{j j}(t)$ are strictly positive. Under the assumption that $b_{i}(t)$ are positive periodic functions, they studied the existence, uniqueness and stability of the periodic solution of system (1.1). Recently, under the assumption that $b_{i}(t)$ may be negative but $\int_{0}^{T} b_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t>0$, that is, the intrinsic growth rate of the prey species may be negative while the total intrinsic growth rate in a period is positive, Zhao and Chen [7] gave sufficient conditions for the existence and global asymptotic stability of system (1.1). Xia et al. [8] further generalized the above system to the almost periodic case; by constructing a suitable Lyapunov function, sufficient conditions for the existence and global asymptotic stability of an almost periodic solution to system (1.1) is obtained. Recently, Zhao and Jiang [9] and Zhao, Jiang and Lazer [10] further considered the general nonautonomous case of system (1.1). Average conditions are obtained for the permanence and global attractivity of the system. The results of Zhao and Jiang [9] generalized the main results of Yang and Xu [6] and Zhao and Chen [7]. For more works in this direction, one could refer to [11-19] and the references cited therein.

[^0]However, the ecological system is often deeply perturbed by activities of human exploitation such as planting and harvesting etc., which are not suitable to be considered continually. To accurately describe the system, one needs to use the impulsive differential equations. The theory of impulsive differential equations is now being recognized as having much richer content than the corresponding theory of differential equations without impulses, also it represents a more natural framework for mathematical modeling of many real world phenomena [20]. In recent years, impulsive differential equations have been intensively researched, see $[20,21]$ and the references cited therein. Some impulsive equations have been recently introduced in population dynamics in relation to: population ecology [22-31] and chemotherapeutic treatment of disease [19,32]. Tang and Chen [29] investigated the persistence and existence of at least one strictly positive periodic solution of periodic Lotka-Volterra predator-prey system with impulses. Ahmad and Stamova [22] studied the N dimensional Lotka-Volterra system with fixed moments of impulsive perturbations. However, to the best of the author's knowledge, to this day, still no scholar has investigated the dynamic behaviors of the periodic multi-species predator-prey system with impulses.

The main purpose of this paper is to study the following $T$-periodic multi-species predator-prey system with impulses:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=x_{i}(t)\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{i l}(t) x_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) y_{l}(t)\right]  \tag{1.2}\\
y_{j}^{\prime}(t)=y_{j}(t)\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) x_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) y_{l}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m ; x_{i}(t)$ denotes the density of the prey species $X_{i}$ at time $t ; y_{j}(t)$ denotes the density of the predator species $Y_{j}$ at time $t ; b_{i}(t), r_{j}(t), a_{i k}(t), c_{i l}(t), d_{j k}(t)$, and $e_{j l}(t)(i, k=1, \ldots, n ; j, l=1, \ldots, m)$ are continuous periodic functions defined on $[0,+\infty)$ with a common period $T>0 ; r_{j}(t), a_{i k}(t), c_{i l}(t), d_{j k}(t)$ and $e_{j l}(t)$ are nonnegative; $a_{i i}(t), e_{j j}(t)$ are strictly positive; $\tau_{k} \rightarrow+\infty(t \rightarrow+\infty)$ and $0=\tau_{0}<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\tau_{3}<\cdots<\tau_{k}<\tau_{k+1}<\cdots$. Assume that $h_{i k}, g_{j k}, i=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, m, k=1,2, \ldots$, are constants and there exists an integer $q>0$ such that

$$
h_{i(k+q)}=h_{i k}, \quad g_{j(k+q)}=g_{j k}, \quad \tau_{k+q}=\tau_{k}+T
$$

In this paper, the growth rate $b_{i}(t)$ of species is not necessarily positive, and this is realistic since the environment fluctuates randomly (e.g. seasonal effect of weather condition, temperature, mating habits and food supplies) and in some bad conditions $b_{i}(t)$ may be negative. So we assume that:

$$
m\left[b_{i}\right]=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} b_{i}(t) \mathrm{d} t>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and natural biological meaning:

$$
1+h_{i k}>0, \quad 1+g_{j k}>0 \quad(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m ; k=1,2, \ldots)
$$

Definition 1.1. System (1.2) is said to be permanent, if for any positive solution $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=$ $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ of $(1.2)$, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{i}^{1}, \lambda_{j}^{2}, \theta_{i}^{1}$ and $\theta_{j}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}^{1} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \lambda_{j}^{2} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.2. System (1.2) is said to be globally attractive if any two positive solutions $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=$ $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ and $W(t)=(U(t), V(t))^{T}=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t), v_{1}(t), v_{2}(t), \ldots\right.$, $\left.v_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ of system (1.2) satisfy

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| & =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right| & =0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Definition 1.3. For any $(t, F(t)) \in\left[\tau_{k-1}, \tau_{k}\right) \times \mathfrak{R}_{+}^{n+m}$, the right-hand derivative $\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t, F(t))$ along the solution $F\left(t, F_{0}\right)$ of system (1.2) is defined by

$$
\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t, F(t))=\liminf _{h \rightarrow 0^{+}} \frac{1}{h}[V(t+h, F(t+h))-V(t, F(t))]
$$

By the basic theories of impulsive differential equations in [20,21], system (1.2) has a unique solution $F(t)=F\left(t, F_{0}\right) \in$ $P C\left([0,+\infty), R^{n+m}\right)$ and $P C\left([0,+\infty), R^{n+m}\right)=\left\{\phi:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow R^{n+m}, \phi\right.$ is continuous for $t \neq \tau_{k}, \phi\left(\tau_{k}^{-}\right)$and $\phi\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)$exist and $\left.\phi\left(\tau_{k}^{-}\right)=\phi\left(\tau_{k}\right), k=1,2, \ldots\right\}$ for each initial value $F(0)=F_{0} \in R^{n+m}$.

The organization of this paper is as follows: In Section 2, necessary preliminaries are presented. The dynamic behaviors such as the permanence, extinction and the global attractivity of the system are investigated in Section 3; Three examples together with their numerical simulations are presented in Section 4 to illustrate the feasibility of main results and the effect of impulses on the dynamic behaviors of the system.

## 2. Preliminaries

Now let us state several lemmas which will be useful in proving the main results.
Firstly, we introduce an important Comparison theorem on impulsive differential equation [21].
Lemma 2.1. Assume that $m \in P C\left[R_{+}, R\right]$ with points of discontinuity at $t=t_{k}$ and is left continuous at $t=t_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
D \_m(t) \leq g(t, m(t)), \quad t \neq t_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots  \tag{2.1}\\
m\left(t_{k}^{+}\right) \leq \phi_{k}\left(m\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad t=t_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $g \in C\left[R_{+} \times R_{+}, R\right], \phi_{k} \in C[R, R]$ and $\phi_{k}(u)$ is nondecreasing in $u$ for each $k=1,2, \ldots$ Let $r(t)$ be the maximal solution of the scalar impulsive differential equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{u}=g(t, u), \quad t \neq t_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots,  \tag{2.2}\\
u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)=\phi_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \geq 0, \quad t=t_{k}, t_{k}>t_{0}, k=1,2, \ldots, \\
u\left(t_{0}^{+}\right)=u_{0}
\end{array}\right.
$$

existing on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$, then $m\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \leq u_{0}$ implies $m(t) \leq r(t), t \geq t_{0}$.
Remark 2.1. In Lemma 2.1, assume that inequality (2.1) reversed. Let $p(t)$ be the minimal solution of (2.2) existing on $\left(t_{0},+\infty\right)$. Then, $m\left(t_{0}^{+}\right) \geq u_{0}$ implies $m(t) \geq p(t), t \geq t_{0}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any solution of system (1.2) such that $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$ and $y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right)>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then $x_{i}(t)>0$ and $y_{j}(t)>0$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. From the $i$ th equation of (1.2), one has

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=P_{i}(t) x_{i}(t), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, i=1,2, \ldots, n,
$$

where

$$
P_{i}(t)=b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{i l}(t) x_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) y_{l}(t), \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Thus we have

$$
x_{i}(t)=\prod_{0<\tau_{k}<t}\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} P_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)>0
$$

because of $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.
From the $(n+j)$ th equation of (1.2), one has

$$
y_{j}^{\prime}(t)=Q_{j}(t) y_{j}(t), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

where

$$
Q_{j}(t)=-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) x_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) y_{l}(t), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Thus we have

$$
y_{j}(t)=\prod_{0<\tau_{k}<t}\left(1+g_{j k}\right) y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right) \exp \left(\int_{0}^{t} Q_{i}(s) \mathrm{d} s\right)>0
$$

because of $y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=x(t)(b(t)-a(t)(x(t))), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{2.3}\\
x\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{k}\right) x\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $a(t), b(t)$ are continuous $T$-periodic functions with $a(t)>0, m[b]>0$ and $h_{k+q}=h_{k}, \tau_{k+q}=\tau_{k}+T$.
Lemma 2.3 ([27]). (1): If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}[b]>0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

then system (2.3) has a unique T-periodic solution $x^{*}(t)$, and $x^{*}(t)$ is globally asymptotically stable in the sense that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x(t)-x^{*}(t)\right|=0
$$

where $x(t)$ is any solution of system (2.3) with initial value $x\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.
(2): If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}[b]<0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t)=0
$$

where $x(t)$ is any solution of system (2.3) with initial value $x\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.
From Theorem 3.1 in [29], we can get the following lemma.
Lemma 2.4. If $x^{*}(t)$ is the unique $T$-periodic positive solution of system (2.3), and $\tilde{x^{*}}(t)$ is the unique $T$-periodic positive solution of the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x^{\prime}(t)=x(t)(\tilde{b}(t)-a(t)(x(t))), \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
x\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{k}\right) x\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\tilde{b}$ is the continuous $T$-periodic function with $m[\tilde{b}]>0$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \tilde{b}(t)=b(t)$. Then

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|\tilde{x^{*}}(t)-x^{*}(t)\right|=0
$$

(I) Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{2.6}\\
x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
If $\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}\right]>0$ holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (2.6) has a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{i}^{*}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable in the sense that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-X_{i}^{*}(t)\right|=0
$$

where $x_{i}(t)$ is any solution of system (2.6) with initial value $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.
(II) Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{j}^{\prime}(t)=y_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{2.7}\\
y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
If

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0
$$

holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (2.7) has a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{j}^{*}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable in the sense that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-Y_{j}^{*}(t)\right|=0
$$

where $y_{j}(t)$ is any solution of system (2.7) with initial value $y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.
(III) Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{*}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{2.8}\\
x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n$.
If

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{*}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0
$$

holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (2.8) has a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{* i}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable in the sense that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-X_{* i}(t)\right|=0
$$

where $x_{i}(t)$ is any solution of system (2.8) with initial value $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$.

## 3. Main results

In this section, we present out our main results for system (1.2). Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{i}=\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}\right], \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \beta_{j}(n)=\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right], \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

For integers $n_{1}$ and $m_{1}$ such that $1 \leq n_{1} \leq n$ and $1 \leq m_{1} \leq m$, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}>0, \quad \beta_{j}(n)>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha_{i}>0, \quad \alpha_{p}<0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} ; p=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n  \tag{2}\\
\beta_{j}\left(n_{1}\right)>0, \quad \beta_{q}\left(n_{1}\right)<0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} ; q=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m
\end{array}\right.
$$

Under condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, we study the permanence and global attractivity of system (1.2).
Theorem 3.1. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ holds and $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ is any solution of system (1.2) with $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$ and $y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right)>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then there exist constants $\theta_{i}^{1}>0, \theta_{j}^{2}>0,(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. From system (1.2), we obtain

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t) \leq x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{3.1}\\
x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{i}^{\prime}(t)=w_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-a_{i i}(t) w_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{3.2}\\
w_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) w_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have $x_{i}(t) \leq w_{i}(t)$, where $w_{i}(t)$ is the solution of (3.2) with $w_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)=x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right), i=1,2 \ldots, n$. By $\alpha_{i}>0$, from Lemma 2.3 , system (3.2) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{i}^{*}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable.

For any positive constant $\varepsilon>0$, there exists a $T_{i 1}>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ such that for $t>T_{i 1}$

$$
\left|w_{i}(t)-X_{i}^{*}(t)\right|<\varepsilon .
$$

Let $\theta_{i}^{1}=\sup \left\{X_{i}^{*}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, then it follows that

$$
x_{i}(t) \leq w_{i}(t)<X_{i}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon \leq \theta_{i}^{1}+\varepsilon, \quad \text { for } t>T_{i 1}, i=1,2, \ldots, n .
$$

Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

By condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)\right]>0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $T_{1}=\max \left\{T_{i 1} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. From system (1.2), for $t>T_{1}$ and $t \neq \tau_{k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$, we obtain

$$
y_{j}^{\prime}(t) \leq y_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right), \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{j}^{\prime}(t)=w_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-e_{j j}(t) w_{j}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{3.4}\\
w_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) w_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>T_{1}$, we have $y_{j}(t) \leq w_{j}(t)$, where $w_{j}(t)$ is the solution of (3.4) with $w_{j}\left(T_{1}^{+}\right)=y_{j}\left(T_{1}^{+}\right), j=$ $1,2 \ldots, m$. By (3.3) and Lemma 2.3, system (3.4) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{j \varepsilon}^{*}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
Y_{j \varepsilon}^{*}(t) \rightarrow Y_{j}^{*}(t)
$$

Let $\theta_{j}^{2}=\sup \left\{Y_{j}^{*}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$, and for any positive constant $\varepsilon_{0}>0$, there exists a $T_{j 2}>T_{1}(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
y_{j}(t) \leq w_{j}(t)<Y_{j}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{0} \leq \theta_{j}^{2}+\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \text { for } t>T_{j 2}, j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_{0} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

The proof is completed.
Theorem 3.2. Assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{*}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{1}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) X_{* l}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} e_{j l}(t) Y_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{i}^{*}(t), Y_{j}^{*}(t)$ and $X_{* i}(t)$ are the unique T-positive solutions of systems (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), respectively, then the species $x_{i}, y_{j}(i=1,2, \ldots, n, j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are permanent, that is, for any positive solution $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=$ $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ of $(1.2)$, there exist positive constants $\lambda_{i}^{1}, \lambda_{j}^{2}, \theta_{i}^{1}$ and $\theta_{j}^{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}^{1} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \lambda_{j}^{2} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. It is not difficult to show that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ imply $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 3.1 that there exist constants $\theta_{i}^{1}>0, \theta_{j}^{2}>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

By the boundedness of $a_{i k}(t)$ and $c_{i l}(t)(i, k=1, \ldots, n ; l=1, \ldots, m)$ and the conditions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$, for $\varepsilon>0$ small enough, there exists a $t_{1}>\max \left\{T_{j 2} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$, such that for $t>t_{1}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}(t)<X_{i}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon, \quad y_{j}(t)<Y_{j}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon ;  \tag{3.5}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)\right]>0 ;  \tag{3.6}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) X_{* l}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)\right]>0, \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m$ and $T_{j 2}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are defined in Theorem 3.1.
From system (1.2) and inequality (3.5), for $t>t_{1}$ and $t \neq \tau_{k}$, we obtain

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}(t) \geq x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right) .
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{i}^{\prime}(t)=w_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)\right.  \tag{3.8}\\
\left.\quad-a_{i i}(t) w_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n, \\
w_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) w_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>t_{1}$, we have $x_{i}(t) \geq w_{i}(t)$, where $w_{i}(t)$ is the solution of (3.8) with $w_{i}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right)=x_{i}\left(t_{1}^{+}\right), i=$ $1,2 \ldots, n$. From Lemma 2.3 and inequality (3.6), system (3.8) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{* i \varepsilon}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$
X_{* i \varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow X_{* i}(t)
$$

Let $\lambda_{i}^{1}=\inf \left\{X_{* i}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and for a positive constant $\varepsilon_{1}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\lambda_{i}^{1} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$ small enough, by the boundedness of $d_{j k}(t)$ and $e_{j l}(t)(k=1, \ldots, n ; j, l=1, \ldots, m)$ and inequality (3.7), there exists a $t_{i 2}>t_{1}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ such that

$$
x_{i}(t) \geq w_{i}(t)>X_{* i}(t)-\varepsilon_{1} \geq \lambda_{i}^{1}-\varepsilon_{1}, \quad \text { for } t>t_{i 2}, i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}(t)-\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)\right]>0 \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
Setting $\varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \geq \lambda_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

Let $t_{2}=\max \left\{t_{i 2} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. From system (1.2), for $t>t_{2}$ and $t \neq \tau_{k}$, we obtain

$$
y_{j}^{\prime}(t) \geq y_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}(t)-\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right) .
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{j}^{\prime}(t)=w_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}(t)-\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon\right)-e_{j j}(t) w_{j}(t)\right),  \tag{3.10}\\
\quad t \neq \tau_{k}, j=1,2, \ldots, m, \\
w_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) w_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>t_{2}$, we have $y_{j}(t) \geq w_{j}(t)$, where $w_{j}(t)$ is the solution of (3.10) with $w_{j}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right)=y_{j}\left(t_{2}^{+}\right), j=$ $1,2 \ldots, m$. Again from Lemma 2.3 and inequality (3.9), then system (3.10) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{* j\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}\right)}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4 , we have

$$
Y_{* j\left(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{1}\right)}(t) \rightarrow Y_{* j}(t) .
$$

Let $\lambda_{j}^{2}=\inf \left\{Y_{* j}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m$ and for any positive constant $\varepsilon_{2}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\lambda_{j}^{2} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$, there exists a $t_{j 3}>t_{2}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
y_{j}(t) \geq w_{j}(t)>Y_{* j}(t)-\varepsilon_{2} \geq \lambda_{j}^{2}-\varepsilon_{2}, \quad \text { for } t>t_{j 3}, j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \geq \lambda_{j}^{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
$$

The proof is completed.

Now let us consider the global attractivity of $x_{i}(t), y_{j}(t)(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ of system (1.2), and we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ hold. Assume further that there exist positive constants $\rho_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $\mu_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i} a_{i i}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} \rho_{l} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} \mu_{l} d_{l i}(t)  \tag{3}\\
& \mu_{j} e_{j j}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} \mu_{l} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_{l} c_{l j}(t), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

then the species $x_{i}, y_{j}(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ are globally attractive, that is, for any positive solutions $F(t)=$ $(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ and $W(t)=(U(t), V(t))^{T}=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t)\right.$, $\left.v_{1}(t), v_{2}(t), \ldots, v_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ of (1.2), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| & =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right| & =0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))^{T}=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ and $W(t)=(U(t), V(t))^{T}=$ $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n}(t), v_{1}(t), v_{2}(t), \ldots, v_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any positive solutions of system (1.2). For any positive $\varepsilon<$ $\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\lambda_{i}^{1}, \lambda_{j}^{2} \mid i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, m\right\}$, from Theorem 3.2, it immediately follows that there exists a large enough $T_{0}>0$ such that for all $t>T_{0}$, one has

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{i}^{1}-\varepsilon \leq x_{i}(t), & u_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}+\varepsilon, \\
\lambda_{j}^{2}-\varepsilon \leq y_{j}(t), & v_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{2}+\varepsilon,  \tag{3.11}\\
j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{array}
$$

Set

$$
V(t)=V_{1}(t)+V_{2}(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left|\ln u_{i}(t)-\ln x_{i}(t)\right| \\
& V_{2}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left|\ln v_{j}(t)-\ln y_{j}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq 0$, and $t \neq \tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, calculating the upper right derivatives of $V_{1}(t)$ and $V_{2}(t)$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{1}(t) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left(\frac{u_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{u_{i}(t)}-\frac{x_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{x_{i}(t)}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right) \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{n} a_{i l}(t)\left(x_{l}(t)-u_{l}(t)\right)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t)\left(y_{l}(t)-v_{l}(t)\right)\right] \operatorname{sgn}\left(u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\left[-\rho_{i} a_{i i}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} \rho_{l} a_{l i}(t)\right]\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \rho_{i} c_{i j}(t)\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right|\right\} \\
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{2}(t) & =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left(\frac{v_{j}^{\prime}(t)}{v_{j}(t)}-\frac{y_{j}^{\prime}(t)}{y_{j}(t)}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right) \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left[\sum_{l=1}^{n} d_{j l}(t)\left(u_{l}(t)-x_{l}(t)\right)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} e_{j l}(t)\left(v_{l}(t)-y_{l}(t)\right)\right] \operatorname{sgn}\left(v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m}\left\{\left[-\mu_{j} e_{j j}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} \mu_{l} e_{l j}(t)\right]\left|v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{j} d_{j i}(t)\left|u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the Mean Value Theorem and (3.11), for any closed interval contained in $t \in\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right], k=p, p+1, \ldots$ and $\tau_{p}>T_{0}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{\theta_{i}^{1}+\varepsilon}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| \leq\left|\ln x_{i}(t)-\ln u_{i}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{i}^{1}-\varepsilon}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
& \frac{1}{\theta_{j}^{2}+\varepsilon}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right| \leq\left|\ln y_{j}(t)-\ln v_{j}(t)\right| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_{j}^{2}-\varepsilon}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right|, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from conditions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ that there exists a positive constant $\delta$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{i} a_{i i}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} \rho_{l} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} \mu_{l} d_{l i}(t)+\delta ; \\
& \mu_{j} e_{j j}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} \mu_{l} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_{l} c_{l j}(t)+\delta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for $t \in\left(\tau_{k}, \tau_{k+1}\right], k=p, p+1, \ldots$ and $\tau_{p}>T_{0}$, from (3.12), one has

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t) & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[-\rho_{i} a_{i i}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} \rho_{l} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m} \mu_{l} d_{l i}(t)\right]+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left[-\mu_{j} e_{j j}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m} \mu_{l} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n} \rho_{l} c_{l j}(t)\right] \\
& \leq-\delta\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m}\left|v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right|\right] \\
& \leq-\delta\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{1}-\varepsilon}{\rho_{i}} \rho_{i}\left|\ln x_{i}(t)-\ln u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}-\varepsilon}{\mu_{j}} \mu_{j}\left|\ln v_{j}(t)-\ln y_{j}(t)\right|\right] \\
& \leq-\phi_{\varepsilon} V(t) \tag{3.13}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}=\delta \min \left\{\frac{\lambda_{j}^{2}-\varepsilon}{\mu_{j}}, \left.\frac{\lambda_{i}^{1}-\varepsilon}{\rho_{i}} \right\rvert\, i=1, \ldots, n ; j=1, \ldots, m\right\} .
$$

For $t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left|\ln u_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)-\ln x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left|\ln v_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)-\ln y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left|\ln \left[\left(1+h_{i k}\right) u_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right]-\ln \left[\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right]\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left|\ln \left[\left(1+g_{j k}\right) v_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right]-\ln \left[\left(1+g_{j k}\right) y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right)\right]\right|=V\left(\tau_{k}\right)
$$

The above analysis shows that, for all $t>\tau_{p}>T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t)<-\phi_{\varepsilon} V(t) \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the differential inequality theorem and the variation of constants formula of solutions of first-order linear differential equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq V\left(\tau_{p}\right) \exp \left(-\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t-\tau_{p}\right)\right) \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is obvious that $V(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, that is

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho_{i}\left|\ln u_{i}(t)-\ln x_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mu_{j}\left|\ln v_{j}(t)-\ln y_{j}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

From (3.12), it is not hard to prove that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\rho_{i}}{\theta_{i}^{1}+\varepsilon}\left|u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{\mu_{j}}{\theta_{j}^{2}+\varepsilon}\left|v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty
$$

It is easy to obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| & =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right| & =0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof is completed.
Remark 3.1. Assume that $h_{i k} \equiv 0(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ and $g_{j k} \equiv 0(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$ in system (1.2), then Theorems (3.1)-(3.3) generalize the main results in Zhao and Chen [7].

From Theorem 3.1 to Theorem 3.3, we consider the permanence and stability of system (1.2) with all $\alpha_{i}>0, \beta_{j}(n)>$ $0(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$. But with the impulsive perturbations in system (1.2), the property of system (1.2) will be changed with some $\alpha_{i}<0$ and $\beta_{i}\left(n_{1}\right)<0\left(n_{1} \leq n\right)$, then we have the following results.
(IV) Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
y_{j}^{\prime}(t)=y_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k},  \tag{3.16}\\
y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) y_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$.
If

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0
$$

holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (3.16) has a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{j}^{1 *}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable.
(VI) Consider the periodic logistic equation with impulses

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t)=x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k},  \tag{3.17}\\
x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) x_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$.
If

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0
$$

holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (3.17) has a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{* i}^{1}(t)$, which is globally asymptotically stable.

Theorem 3.4. Assume $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds. Assume further that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t) X_{l}^{*}(t)\right]>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}  \tag{1}\\
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t) X_{* l}^{1}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} e_{j l}(t) Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)\right]>0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

hold, where $X_{i}^{*}(t), Y_{j}^{1 *}(t)$ and $X_{* i}^{1}(t)$ are the unique $T$-positive solutions of systems (2.6), (3.16) and (3.17), respectively. Let $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any solution of system (1.2) with $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)>0$ and $y_{j}\left(0^{+}\right)>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, m)$, then there exist positive constants $\theta_{i}^{1}, \theta_{j}^{21}, \lambda_{i}^{11}, \lambda_{j}^{21}(i=1,2, \ldots, n ; j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{i}^{11} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} \\
& \lambda_{j}^{21} \leq \liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{21}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t)=0, \quad i=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t)=0, \quad j=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any positive solution of system (1.2). From the $i$ th equation of system (1.2), we have

$$
x_{i}^{\prime}(t) \leq x_{i}(t)\left[b_{i}(t)-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{i}^{\prime}(t)=z_{i}(t)\left[b_{i}(t)-a_{i i}(t) z_{i}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, i=1,2, \ldots, n  \tag{3.18}\\
z_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) z_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we obtain that $x_{i}(t) \leq z_{i}(t)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$, where $z_{i}(t)$ is the solution of (3.18) with initial value $x_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)=z_{i}\left(0^{+}\right)$. Since $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 and the proof of Theorem 3.1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t)=0, \quad i=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\theta_{i}^{1}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$ are defined in Theorem 3.1.
Since $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(B_{1}\right)$ hold, it is easy to get that for any small enough positive constant $\varepsilon_{1}>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}\right]>0 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}\right]<0 \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j=m_{1}+1, m_{1}+2, \ldots, m$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t) Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=n_{1}}^{n} a_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}\right]>0 \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$.
Also there exists a $T_{i 1}^{\prime}>0(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$ such that for $t>T_{i 1}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}(t)<X_{i}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}  \tag{3.22}\\
& x_{i}(t)<\varepsilon_{1}, \quad i=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n
\end{align*}
$$

Let $T_{1}^{\prime}=\max \left\{T_{i 1}^{\prime} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n\right\}$. From the $(n+j)$ th equation of systems (1.2) and (3.22), for $t>T_{1}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
y_{j}^{\prime}(t) \leq y_{j}(t)\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k}
$$

where $j=1,2, \ldots, m$.
Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{j}^{\prime}(t)=z_{j}(t)\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)+\sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} d_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}-e_{j j}(t) z_{j}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k}  \tag{3.23}\\
z_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) z_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots j=1,2, \ldots, m
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>T_{1}^{\prime}$, we have $y_{j}(t) \leq z_{j}(t)(j=1,2, \ldots, m)$, where $z_{j}(t)$ is the solution of (3.23) with initial value $y_{j}\left(T_{1}^{\prime+}\right)=z_{j}\left(T_{1}^{\prime+}\right)$. Since (3.19) holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (3.23) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{j \varepsilon_{1}}^{1 *}(t)\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon_{1} \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
Y_{j \varepsilon_{1}}^{1 *}(t) \rightarrow Y_{j}^{1 *}(t)
$$

Since (3.21) and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ hold, it follows that for a positive constant $\varepsilon_{2}$ small enough,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+h_{i k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}\right. \\
\left.\quad-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=n_{1}}^{n} a_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}\right]>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} \tag{3.24}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t) X_{* l}^{1}(t)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}\right]>0 \\
& j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\theta_{j}^{21}=\sup \left\{Y_{j}^{1 *}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$. From Lemma 2.3, (3.19) and (3.20), there exists a $T_{j 2}^{\prime}>T_{1}^{\prime}(j=$ $1,2, \ldots, m)$ such that for $t>T_{j 2}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& y_{j}(t)<Y_{j}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}<\theta_{j}^{21}+\varepsilon_{2}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}  \tag{3.26}\\
& y_{j}(t)<\varepsilon_{2}, \quad j=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m
\end{align*}
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{21}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} \\
& \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t)=0, \quad j=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $T_{2}^{\prime}=\max \left\{T_{j 2}^{\prime} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, m\right\}$. From the $i$ th equation of systems (1.2), (3.22) and (3.26), for $t>T_{2}^{\prime}$, and $t \neq \tau_{k}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{i}^{\prime}(t) & \geq x_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=n_{1}}^{n} a_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}-a_{i i}(t) x_{i}(t)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{i}^{\prime}(t)=z_{i}(t)\left(b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} c_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}\right.  \tag{3.27}\\
\left.\quad-\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t)\left(X_{l}^{*}(t)+\varepsilon_{1}\right)-\sum_{l=n_{1}}^{n} a_{i l}(t) \varepsilon_{1}-a_{i i}(t) z_{i}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
z_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) z_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>T_{2}^{\prime}$, we obtain that $x_{i}(t) \geq z_{i}(t)\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$, where $z_{i}(t)$ is the solution of (3.27) with $x_{i}\left(T_{2}^{\prime+}\right)=z_{i}\left(T_{2}^{\prime+}\right)$. Since (3.24) holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (3.27) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $X_{* i\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}^{1}(t)\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2} \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
X_{* i\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}\right)}^{1}(t) \rightarrow X_{* i}^{1}(t)
$$

Let $\lambda_{i}^{11}=\inf \left\{X_{* i}^{1}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$. By (3.25), for a positive constant $\varepsilon_{3}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\lambda_{i}^{11} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$ small enough, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=1}^{q} \ln \left(1+g_{j k}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}^{1}(t)-\varepsilon_{3}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}\right]>0 \\
& j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

There exists a $T_{i 3}^{\prime}>T_{2}^{\prime}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$ such that for $t>T_{i 3}^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}(t)>X_{* i}^{1}(t)-\varepsilon_{3} \geq \lambda_{i}^{11}-\varepsilon_{3}>0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_{3} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{i}(t) \geq \lambda_{i}^{11}, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}
$$

Let $T_{3}^{\prime}=\max \left\{T_{i 3}^{\prime} \mid i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right\}$. From the $(n+j)$ th equation of systems (1.2), (3.26) and (3.29), for $t>T_{3}^{\prime}$ and $t \neq \tau_{k}$, we have

$$
y_{j}^{\prime}(t) \geq y_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}^{1}(t)-\varepsilon_{3}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}}\left(e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right)-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}-e_{j j}(t) y_{j}(t)\right)
$$

Consider the following system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
z_{j}^{\prime}(t)=z_{j}(t)\left(-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t)\left(X_{* l}^{1}(t)-\varepsilon_{3}\right)-\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}}\left(e_{j l}(t)\left(Y_{l}^{1 *}(t)+\varepsilon_{2}\right)\right)\right.  \tag{3.30}\\
\left.\quad-\sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} e_{j l}(t) \varepsilon_{2}-e_{j j}(t) z_{j}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k}, \\
z_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) z_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

By Lemma 2.1, for all $t>T_{3}^{\prime}$, we obtain that $y_{j}(t) \geq z_{j}(t)\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$, where $z_{j}(t)$ is the solution of (3.30) with $y_{j}\left(T_{3}^{\prime+}\right)=z_{j}\left(T_{3}^{\prime+}\right)$. Since (3.28) holds, it follows from Lemma 2.3 that system (3.30) admits a unique $T$-periodic solution $Y_{* j\left(\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)}^{1}(t)\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$, which is globally asymptotically stable. Setting $\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3} \rightarrow 0$, from Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
Y_{* j\left(\varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{3}\right)}^{1}(t) \rightarrow Y_{* j}^{1}(t)
$$

Let $\lambda_{j}^{21}=\inf \left\{Y_{* j}^{1}(t) \mid t \in[0, T]\right\}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$. For any positive constant $\varepsilon_{4}<\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\lambda_{j}^{21} \mid j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right\}$, there exists a $T_{j 4}^{\prime}>T_{3}^{\prime}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ such that for $t>T_{j 4}^{\prime}$

$$
y_{j}(t)>Y_{* j}^{1}(t)-\varepsilon_{4}>\lambda_{j}^{21}-\varepsilon_{4}>0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}
$$

Setting $\varepsilon_{4} \rightarrow 0$, we obtain

$$
\liminf _{t \rightarrow+\infty} y_{j}(t) \geq \lambda_{j}^{21}, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}
$$

The proof is completed.
For each $n_{1} \leq n$ and $m_{1} \leq m$, let $H^{n_{1}+m_{1}}$ denote the $n_{1}+m_{1}$-dimensional coordinate subspace on which $x_{n_{1}+1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, $y_{m_{1}+1}, \ldots, y_{m}$ vanish. We use the variable $u$, $v$ to denote the restriction of system (1.2) to $H^{n_{1}+m_{1}}$,

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u_{i}^{\prime}(t)=u_{i}(t)\left[b_{i}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} a_{i l}(t) u_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} c_{i l}(t) v_{l}(t)\right]  \tag{3.31}\\
v_{j}^{\prime}(t)=v_{j}(t)\left[-r_{j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} d_{j l}(t) u_{l}(t)-\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} e_{j l}(t) v_{l}(t)\right], \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
u_{i}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+h_{i k}\right) u_{i}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
v_{j}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\left(1+g_{j k}\right) v_{j}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} ; j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}$.

Now let us consider the global attractivity of partial species $x_{i}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right), y_{j}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ of system (1.2), and we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.5. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{B}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2}\right)$ hold. Assume further that there exist positive constants $\rho_{i}^{*}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}\right)$ and $\mu_{i}^{*}\left(j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho_{i}^{*} a_{i i}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l}^{*} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} d_{l i}(t) ;  \tag{3}\\
& \mu_{j}^{*} e_{j j}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l}^{*} c_{l j}(t), \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

then the species $x_{i}, y_{j}\left(i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}, j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}\right)$ are globally attractive, that is, for any positive solution $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ of system (1.2) and any positive solution $W(t)=$ $(U(t), V(t))=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n_{1}}(t), v_{1}(t), v_{2}(t), \ldots, v_{m_{1}}(t)\right)^{T}$ of subsystem (3.31), one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right| & =0, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right| & =0, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $F(t)=(X(t), Y(t))=\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), \ldots, x_{n}(t), y_{1}(t), y_{2}(t), \ldots, y_{m}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any positive solution of system (1.2) and $W(t)=(U(t), V(t))=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \ldots, u_{n_{1}}(t), v_{1}(t), v_{2}(t), \ldots, v_{m_{1}}(t)\right)^{T}$ be any positive solution of subsystem (3.31). For any positive constant $\varepsilon<\min \left\{\lambda_{i}^{11}, \lambda_{j}^{21} \mid i=1, \ldots, n_{1} ; j=1, \ldots, m_{1}\right\}$, from Theorem 3.2, it immediately follows that there exists a $T_{0}^{\prime}>0$ large enough such that for all $t>T_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}(t)<\varepsilon, \quad i=n_{1}+1, \ldots, n \\
& y_{j}(t)<\varepsilon, \quad j=m_{1}+1, \ldots, m \\
& \lambda_{i}^{11}-\varepsilon \leq x_{i}(t), u_{i}(t) \leq \theta_{i}^{1}+\varepsilon, \quad i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}  \tag{3.32}\\
& \lambda_{j}^{21}-\varepsilon \leq y_{j}(t), v_{j}(t) \leq \theta_{j}^{21}+\varepsilon, \quad j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1} .
\end{align*}
$$

Set

$$
V(t)=V_{1}(t)+V_{2}(t)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{1}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \rho_{i}^{*}\left|\ln u_{i}(t)-\ln x_{i}(t)\right| \\
& V_{2}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{j}^{*}\left|\ln v_{j}(t)-\ln y_{j}(t)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

For $t \geq 0$, and $t \neq \tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, calculating the upper right derivatives of $V_{1}(t)$ and $V_{2}(t)$, respectively, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{1}(t) & =\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \rho_{i}^{*}\left(\frac{u_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{u_{i}(t)}-\frac{x_{i}^{\prime}(t)}{x_{i}(t)}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left[-\rho_{i}^{*} a_{i i}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l}^{*} a_{l i}(t)\right]\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} \rho_{i}^{*} c_{i j}(t)\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right|+g_{1}(t) \\
\mathrm{D}^{+} V_{2}(t) & =\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{j}^{*}\left(\frac{v_{j}^{\prime}(t)}{v_{j}(t)}-\frac{y_{j}^{\prime}(t)}{y_{j}(t)}\right) \operatorname{sgn}\left(v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}}\left[-\mu_{j}^{*} e_{j j}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} e_{l j}(t)\right]\left|v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right|+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} d_{l i}(t)\left|u_{i}(t)-x_{i}(t)\right|+g_{2}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{1}(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} \rho_{i}^{*} a_{i l}(t) x_{l}(t)+\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} \rho_{i}^{*} c_{i l}(t) y_{l}(t) \\
& g_{2}(t)=\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l=n_{1}+1}^{n} \mu_{j}^{*} d_{j l}(t) x_{l}(t)+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} \sum_{l=m_{1}+1}^{m} \mu_{j}^{*} e_{j l}(t) y_{l}(t) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from conditions $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{B}_{4}\right)$ that there exists a constant $\delta_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho_{i}^{*} a_{i i}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l}^{*} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} d_{l i}(t)+\delta_{0} ; \\
& \mu_{j}^{*} e_{j j}(t)>\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l}^{*} c_{l j}(t)+\delta_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly to the analysis of the proof of Theorem 3.3, for $t>T_{0}^{\prime}$ and $t \neq \tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t) \leq & \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left[-\rho_{i}^{*} a_{i i}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq i}^{n_{1}} \rho_{l} a_{l i}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} d_{l i}(t)\right] \\
& +\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}}\left[-\mu_{j}^{*} e_{j j}(t)+\sum_{l=1, l \neq j}^{m_{1}} \mu_{l}^{*} e_{l j}(t)+\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \rho_{l}^{*} c_{l j}(t)\right]+g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t) \\
\leq & -\delta_{0}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}}\left|v_{j}(t)-y_{j}(t)\right|\right]+g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t) \\
\leq & -\delta_{0}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} \frac{\lambda_{i}^{11}-\varepsilon}{\rho_{i}^{*}} \rho_{i}^{*}\left|\ln x_{i}(t)-\ln u_{i}(t)\right|+\sum_{j=1}^{m_{1}} \frac{\lambda_{j}^{21}-\varepsilon}{\mu_{j}^{*}} \mu_{j}^{*}\left|\ln v_{j}(t)-\ln y_{j}(t)\right|\right]+g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t) \\
\leq & -\phi_{\varepsilon} V(t)+g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\phi_{\varepsilon}=\delta_{0} \min \left\{\frac{\lambda_{j}^{21}-\varepsilon}{\mu_{j}^{*}}, \left.\frac{\lambda_{i}^{11}-\varepsilon}{\rho_{i}^{*}} \right\rvert\, i=1, \ldots, n_{1} ; j=1, \ldots, m_{1}\right\} .
$$

It is obvious that for $t=\tau_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, V\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=V\left(\tau_{k}\right)$.
The above analysis shows that, for all $t>T_{0}^{\prime}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}^{+} V(t)<-\phi_{\varepsilon} V(t)+g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t) . \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Applying the differential inequality theorem and the variation of constants formula of solutions of first-order linear differential equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq \exp \left(-\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(t-T_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right)\left(\int_{T_{0}^{\prime}}^{t}\left(g_{1}(s)+g_{2}(s)\right) \exp \left(\phi_{\varepsilon}\left(s-T_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} s+V\left(T_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right) . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g_{1}(t)+g_{2}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$, it is not hard to prove $V(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|=0, & i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1} ; \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{j}(t)-v_{j}(t)\right|=0, & j=1,2, \ldots, m_{1},
\end{aligned}
$$

that is, species $x_{i}\left(i \leq n_{1}\right), y_{j}\left(j \leq m_{1}\right)$ are globally attractive. The proof is completed.

## 4. Examples

The following examples show the feasibility of our results.
Example 1. Consider the following continuous system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=x_{1}(t)\left(3-(2.35+0.15 \cos (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-0.01 x_{2}(t)-(0.3+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right),  \tag{4.1}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=x_{2}(t)\left(5-(0.8+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-(1.1+0.1 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{2}(t)-0.5 y_{1}(t)\right), \\
y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{1}(t)\left(-1.5+(1.35+0.05 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)+0.6 x_{2}(t)-(1.2+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

From the main result in [7], it follows that

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{1}(t)-v_{1}(t)\right|=0, \quad i=1,2,
$$

where $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ and $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), v_{1}(t)\right)$ are any positive solutions of system (4.1). Fig. 1 shows the dynamic behaviors of system (4.1).


Fig. 1. Numeric simulations of the solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ to system (4.1) with the initial conditions (1.2, 3.5, 1.7) and (0.9, 2.5, 1.3), respectively.

Example 2. Consider the following impulsive system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=x_{1}(t)\left(3-(2.35+0.15 \cos (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-0.01 x_{2}(t)-(0.3+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=x_{2}(t)\left(5-(0.8+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-(1.1+0.1 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{2}(t)-0.5 y_{1}(t)\right), \\
y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{1}(t)\left(-1.5+(1.35+0.05 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)+0.6 x_{2}(t)-(1.2+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
x_{1}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\exp (2) x_{1}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
x_{2}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\exp (1.5) x_{2}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
y_{1}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=\exp (2) y_{1}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}=k T, k=1,2, \ldots,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T=1, h_{1 k} \equiv h_{1}=\exp (2)-1, h_{2 k} \equiv h_{2}=\exp (1.5)-1, g_{1 k} \equiv g_{1}=\exp (2)-1$.
Conditions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2}\right)$ are respectively equivalent to inequalities

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ln \left(1+h_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{1}(t)-c_{11}(t) Y_{1}^{*}(t)-a_{12}(t) X_{2}^{*}(t)\right] \geq 2.7394>0 \\
\ln \left(1+h_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{2}(t)-c_{21}(t) Y_{1}^{*}(t)-a_{21}(t) X_{1}^{*}(t)\right] \geq 2.8734>0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\ln \left(1+g_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{1}(t)+d_{11}(t) X_{* 1}(t)+d_{12}(t) X_{* 2}(t)\right] \geq 1.3734>0
$$

Taking $\rho_{1}=8, \rho_{2}=5, \mu_{1}=8$, one could easily verify

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1} a_{11}(t)-\rho_{2} a_{21}(t)-\mu_{1} d_{11}(t) \geq 1.4>0 \\
\rho_{2} a_{22}(t)-\rho_{1} a_{12}(t)-\mu_{1} d_{12}(t) \geq 0.12>0 \\
\mu_{1} e_{11}(t)-\rho_{1} c_{11}(t)-\rho_{2} c_{21}(t) \geq 1.5>0
\end{array}\right.
$$

The above three inequalities show that conditions $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{A}_{4}\right)$ in Theorem 3.3 hold.
From Theorems 3.1-3.3, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{i}(t)-u_{i}(t)\right|=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{1}(t)-v_{1}(t)\right|=0, \quad i=1,2
$$

where $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ and $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), v_{1}(t)\right)$ are any positive solutions of system (4.2). Fig. 2 shows the dynamic behaviors of system (4.2).

The above two examples show that if all the species are beneficial, we can control those densities to the levels acceptable to the public, that is impulsivity has no influence on the long time dynamic behaviors such as survival and stability of the species of the system.

Example 3. Consider the following impulsive system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x_{1}^{\prime}(t)=x_{1}(t)\left(3-(2.35+0.15 \cos (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-0.01 x_{2}(t)-(0.3+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
x_{2}^{\prime}(t)=x_{2}(t)\left(5-(0.8+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)-(1.1+0.1 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{2}(t)-0.5 y_{1}(t)\right), \\
y_{1}^{\prime}(t)=y_{1}(t)\left(-1.5+(1.35+0.05 \sin (2 \pi t)) x_{1}(t)+0.6 x_{2}(t)-(1.2+0.2 \sin (2 \pi t)) y_{1}(t)\right), \quad t \neq \tau_{k} \\
x_{1}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=(\exp (2)) x_{1}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
x_{2}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=(\exp (-5.5)) x_{2}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \\
y_{1}\left(\tau_{k}^{+}\right)=(\exp (2)) y_{1}\left(\tau_{k}\right), \quad t=\tau_{k}=k T, k=1,2, \ldots,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $T=1, h_{1 k} \equiv h_{1}=\exp (2)-1, h_{2 k} \equiv h_{2}=\exp (-5.5)-1, g_{1 k} \equiv g_{1}=\exp (2)-1$.


Fig. 2. Numeric simulations of the solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ to system (4.2) with the initial conditions ( $0.7,1.2,1.7$ ) and (2, 4, 3.5), respectively.


Fig. 3. Numeric simulations of the solution $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ to system (4.3) with the initial conditions ( $0.7,3,1.2$ ) and (2.2, 2.6, 3), respectively.

Conditions $\left(B_{1}\right)$ and $\left(B_{2}\right)$ are respectively equivalent to inequalities

$$
\ln \left(1+h_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{1}(t)-c_{11}(t) Y_{1}^{*}(t)\right] \geq 4.6343>0
$$

and

$$
\ln \left(1+g_{1}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[-r_{1}(t)+d_{11}(t) X_{* 1}(t)\right] \geq 1.9234>0
$$

Also

$$
\ln \left(1+h_{2}\right)+\operatorname{Tm}\left[b_{2}\right] \leq-0.5<0
$$

The above three inequalities show that condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ holds.
Taking $\rho_{1}^{*}=8, \mu_{1}^{*}=8$, one could easily verify

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho_{1}^{*} a_{11}(t)-\mu_{1}^{*} d_{11}(t) \geq 6.4 \\
\mu_{1}^{*} e_{11}(t)-\rho_{1}^{*} c_{11}(t) \geq 4
\end{array}\right.
$$

The above two inequalities show that conditions $\left(B_{3}\right)$ and $\left(B_{4}\right)$ in Theorem 3.5 hold.
From Theorems 3.4 and 3.5, we have

$$
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|x_{1}(t)-u_{1}(t)\right|=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} x_{2}(t)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} u_{2}(t)=0, \quad \lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}\left|y_{1}(t)-v_{1}(t)\right|=0,
$$

where $\left(x_{1}(t), x_{2}(t), y_{1}(t)\right)$ and $\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), v_{1}(t)\right)$ are any positive solutions of system (4.3). Fig. 3 shows the dynamic behaviors of system (4.3).

Examples 1 and 3 show that if only one prey species $x_{2}$ is the target pest, we can choose the value of $h_{2 k}(k=1,2, \ldots)$ to eradicate the pest population and make the remaining two species permanent. To sum up, just changing the value of $h_{i k}$ and $g_{j k}$ leads to a great change in the dynamic behaviors of the predator-prey system.
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