



**NORTH-HOLLAND**

## **Permanental Mates and Hwang's Conjecture**

**C. S. Karuppanchetty**

*Department of Mathematics  
Regional Engineering College  
Tiruchirappalli-620 015, India*

and

**S. Maria Arulraj**

*Department of Mathematics  
St. Joseph's College  
Tiruchirappalli-620 002, India*

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

---

### **ABSTRACT**

Let  $\Omega_n$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices. Two unequal matrices  $A, B \in \Omega_n$  are said to form a permanental pair if  $\text{per}[tA + (1 - t)B]$  is constant for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , in which case  $A$  and  $B$  are called permanental mates of each other. We characterize the sets of all matrices in  $\Omega_3$  and  $\Omega_4$  having their transpose as permanental mates. Using a generalization of the results, we disprove Hwang's conjecture which states that for  $n \geq 4$ , any matrix in the interior of  $\Omega_n$  has no mate.

---

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Let  $\Omega_n$  denote the set of all  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrices. Let  $J_n$  denote the  $n \times n$  matrix all whose entries equal  $1/n$ , and let  $I_n$  be the  $n \times n$  identity matrix. According to Wang [5], two matrices  $A, B \in \Omega_n$  where  $A \neq B$  are said to form a permanental pair if  $\text{per}[tA + (1 - t)B]$  is constant for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ , in which case  $A$  and  $B$  are called permanental mates or simply mates of each other. In the above definition, if  $A = B$ , Hwang [3] called  $A$  and  $B$  trivial mates, and otherwise nontrivial mates. Throughout this paper, we follow the definition of Wang [5] for mates, and by a mate we mean a nontrivial mate according to Hwang [3]. From the definition of mates it follows that matrices in  $\Omega_2$  have no mates. Wang [5]

*LINEAR ALGEBRA AND ITS APPLICATIONS* 216:225–237 (1995)

© Elsevier Science Inc., 1995  
655 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10010

0024-3795/95/\$9.50  
SSDI 0024-3795(93)00139-Q

showed: (1) for every  $n \geq 3$ , there exist infinitely many permenental pairs, and (2) permutation matrices have no mates. Later, Brenner and Wang [1] proved that if  $A \in \Omega_n$  has the form  $P(J_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus J_{n_k})Q$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes the direct sum and  $P$  and  $Q$  are permutation matrices,  $k \geq 1$ ,  $1 \leq n_i \leq n$ ,  $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$ , then  $A$  has no mate, and they also raised the question whether there are other doubly stochastic matrices which have no mate. Answering this question, Gibson [2] showed that

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} x & 1-x \\ 1-x & x \end{bmatrix} \oplus 1, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1,$$

has no mate.

Recently, Hwang [3] investigated some properties of the set of all mates of  $A \in \Omega_n$  and proposed the conjecture that, for  $n \geq 4$ , no matrix in the interior of  $\Omega_n$  has a mate. We disprove this conjecture for all  $n \geq 4$ , by providing a class of counter examples. To develop this class, we first, characterize the set of all matrices in  $\Omega_3$  and  $\Omega_4$  having their transpose as mates and then generalize these results.

In this paper, for brevity, let us use the notation  $M(a, b; c, d)$  to denote the  $3 \times 3$  doubly stochastic matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b & 1-a-b \\ c & d & 1-c-d \\ 1-a-c & 1-b-d & a+b+c+d-1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $A^T$  denote the transpose of  $A$ , and let the trace of  $A$  be denoted by  $\text{tr } A$ . Let  $s(A)$  denote the sum of the entries of  $A$ . An  $n \times n$  doubly stochastic matrix  $A = (a_{ij})$  is called a  $k$ -matching matrix if there exists precisely one  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) such that  $a_{kj} = a_{jk}$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $M_{4,k}$  denote the set of all  $k$ -matching matrices in  $\Omega_4$ , and let  $T$  denote a real valued function on  $M_{4,k}$  defined by

$$T(A) = 4a_{kk}^2 - 2a_{kk} \text{tr } A + 1 - 2 \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^4 a_{ki}^2$$

for  $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_{4,k}$ . Following essentially the same notation used by Minc [4], for integers  $r, n$  ( $1 \leq r \leq n$ ), let  $Q_{r,n}$  denote the set of all sequences  $(i_1, \dots, i_r)$  such that  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq n$ . For fixed  $\alpha, \beta \in Q_{r,n}$ , let  $A(\alpha | \beta)$  be the submatrix of  $A$  obtained by deleting the rows  $\alpha$  and columns  $\beta$  of  $A$ , and let  $A[\alpha | \beta]$  denote the submatrix of  $A$  formed by the rows  $\alpha$  and columns  $\beta$  of  $A$ .

In this paper, we frequently use the following result (Theorem 1.4 in Minc [4]).

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $A = (a_{ij})$  and  $B = (b_{ij})$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. Then*

$$\text{per}(A + B) = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in Q_{r,n}} S_r(A, B),$$

where

$$S_r(A, B) = \text{per } A[\alpha \mid \beta] \text{per } B(\alpha \mid \beta)$$

and

$$\text{per } A[\alpha \mid \beta] = 1 \text{ when } r = 0 \text{ and } \text{per } B(\alpha \mid \beta) = 1 \text{ when } r = n.$$

## 2. MATRICES HAVING THE TRANSPOSE AS MATES

In this section, we investigate the possibility for  $A \in \Omega_n$  to have  $A^T$  as its mate. We are able to complete the analysis for the cases  $n = 3$  and 4. First we find a necessary and sufficient condition for  $A^T$  to be a mate of  $A \in \Omega_3$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $A \in \Omega_3$  and let  $A$  be asymmetric. Then  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$  if and only if  $\text{tr } A = \frac{3}{2}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $A = M(a, b; c, d)$  where  $b \neq c$ . Let  $\text{per } A = f(a, b, c, d)$ . Then straightforward calculations show that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a, b, c, d) &= a + b + c + d - 2(a + d)(b + c) \\ &\quad + 2ad \left( a + d + 2b + 2c - \frac{5}{2} \right) + 2bc \left( 2a + 2d + b + c - \frac{5}{2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi(t) = \text{per} [tA + (1 - t)A^T]$ . Clearly,  $\phi(t) = f(a, c + \delta, b - \delta, d)$ , where  $\delta = t(b - c)$ .  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$  iff  $\phi(t)$  is constant for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Hence

$$\phi(t) = \phi(1) = \text{per } A,$$

i.e.,

$$f(a, c + \delta, b - \delta, d) = f(a, b, c, d).$$

On simplification, this condition reduces to

$$[(c + \delta)(b - \delta) - bc](2a + 2d + b + c - \frac{5}{2}) = 0,$$

i.e.,

$$t(1 - t)(b - c)^2(\text{tr } A - \frac{3}{2}) = 0.$$

Since  $b \neq c$ , this is true for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  iff  $\text{tr } A = \frac{3}{2}$ . ■

The fact that permanental mates of the form  $(A, A^T)$  exist for all  $n \geq 4$  is easily seen by taking  $A$  as the direct sum of any collection of the following three types of matrices including at least one matrix of type (a):

- (a) Asymmetric matrices in  $\Omega_3$  with trace  $\frac{3}{2}$ .
- (b) Matrices in  $\Omega_2$ .
- (c) The identity matrix of order 1.

Are these the only matrices in  $\Omega_n$  ( $n \geq 4$ ), up to permutations of rows and columns, forming permanental pairs with their transpose? We answer this question negatively by considering the following example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{4} \\ \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{2} & b & c \\ \frac{1}{4} & c & \frac{1}{2} & b \\ \frac{1}{4} & b & c & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $b + c = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $b \neq c$ . By straightforward calculations, we find that

$$\text{per}[tA + (1-t)A^T] = \frac{15}{128}.$$

Next, we shall derive necessary and sufficient conditions for  $A \in \Omega_n$  ( $A \neq A^T$ ) to have  $A^T$  as its mate. For this, we need the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $B$  is a nonzero skew symmetric matrix of order 4 such that each row has sum 0 and  $\text{per } B = 0$ , then precisely one row (and therefore one corresponding column) of  $B$  is zero.*

**PROOF.** Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & x & y & -(x+y) \\ -x & 0 & z & x-z \\ -y & -z & 0 & y+z \\ x+y & z-x & -(y+z) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Expanding  $\text{per } B$  along the last row, we have

$$\text{per } B = 2 [(x+y)^2 z^2 + (z-x)^2 y^2 + (y+z)^2 x^2].$$

Since  $\text{per } B = 0$ , it follows that

$$(x+y)z = (z-x)y = (y+z)x = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Since  $B \neq 0$ , it is easy to see from (2.2) that precisely one pair among  $x, y, z$  is zero or  $x + y = z - x = 0$ . This is equivalent to saying that precisely one row of  $B$  is zero. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c & d \\ b & b_2 & b_3 & b_4 \\ c & c_2 & c_3 & c_4 \\ d & d_2 & d_3 & d_4 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{4,1} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.4}$$

Then we have

$$\text{per } B = 0, \tag{2.5}$$

$$S_1(A^T, B) = 0, \tag{2.6}$$

$$S_2(A^T, B) = T(A). \tag{2.7}$$

PROOF. Obviously,  $\text{per } B = 0$  and  $S_1(A^T, B) = 0$ . By direct computation,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(A^T, B) &= -(ab_2 + b^2) + (ac_2 + bc) + (ad_2 + bd) \\ &\quad + (ab_3 + bc) - (ac_3 + c^2) + (ad_3 + cd) \\ &\quad + (ab_4 + bd) + (ac_4 + cd) - (ad_4 + d^2). \end{aligned}$$

In view of the fact that each row sum of  $A$  is 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(A^T, B) &= a(3a + 2 - 2 \text{tr } A) + (2bc + 2cd + 2db - b^2 - c^2 - d^2) \\ &= a(3a + 2 - 2 \text{tr } A) + (1 - a)^2 - 2(b^2 + c^2 + d^2) \\ &= 4a^2 - 2a \text{tr } A + 1 - 2(b^2 + c^2 + d^2) \\ &= T(A). \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Now we state and prove our main result of this section.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let  $A = (a_{ij}) \in \Omega_4$  and  $A \neq A^T$ . Then  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$  iff  $A \in M_{4,k}$  and  $T(A) = 0$ .*

PROOF. A necessary condition for  $A$  and  $A^T$  to be mates is  $\text{per}(A - A^T) = 0$  (see Hwang [3]). Since  $A - A^T$  is nonzero skew symmetric, it follows from Lemma 2.1 that precisely one row, say the  $k$ th row of  $A - A^T$ , is 0, which is equivalent to saying that  $A \in M_{4,k}$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume  $k = 1$ , since  $PAP^T$  and  $(PAP^T)^T$  are mates iff  $A$  and  $A^T$  are mates for some permutation matrix  $P$ . Therefore, we can take  $A$  as defined in (2.3). Let

$$f(t) = \text{per}[tA + (1 - t)A^T]. \tag{2.8}$$

Since  $A$  is doubly stochastic,  $A - A^T$  can be expressed as  $(b_3 - c_2)B$ , where  $B$  is as given in (2.4). By applying Theorem 1.1 and making use of (2.5) and (2.6), we find

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= \text{per}[A^T + t(b_3 - c_2)B] \\ &= \text{per}A^T + t(b_3 - c_2)S_3(A^T, B) \\ &\quad + t^2(b_3 - c_2)^2S_2(A^T, B). \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

Since  $b_3 \neq c_2$ , a necessary condition for  $f(t)$  to be constant is  $S_2(A^T, B) = 0$ , which implies  $T(A) = 0$  in view of (2.7).

We claim that vanishing of  $S_2(A^T, B)$  is sufficient for  $f(t)$  to be a constant. If we put  $S_2(A^T, B) = 0$  in (2.9), we have

$$f(1) = \text{per}A^T + (b_3 - c_2)S_3(A^T, B), \tag{2.10}$$

whereas from (2.8)

$$f(1) = \text{per}A = \text{per}A^T. \tag{2.11}$$

From (2.10) and (2.11), it follows that  $S_3(A^T, B) = 0$ . Therefore,  $f(t)$  is constant. This completes the proof. ■

From the above theorem, we can say that the necessary and sufficient condition for  $A \in M_{4,1}$  given by (2.3) to form a permanental pair with  $A^T$  is

$$T(A) = 4a^2 - 2a \text{tr}A + 1 - 2(b^2 + c^2 + d^2) = 0. \tag{2.12}$$

Next, we shall use the above condition in order to get another simple necessary condition for  $A \in \Omega_4$  to have  $A^T$  as its mate.

COROLLARY 2.1. *If  $A \in \Omega_4$  forms a permanental pair with  $A^T$ , then  $\text{tr}A \leq \frac{5}{2}$ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 2.2,  $A$  should be in  $M_{4,k}$ . Thus by taking  $A$  as in (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr } A &= a + b_2 + c_3 + d_4 \\ &\leq a + (1 - b) + (1 - c) + (1 - d) \\ &= 2 + 2a. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$a \geq \frac{\text{tr } A}{2} - 1. \tag{2.13}$$

On the contrary, if we assume  $\text{tr } A > \frac{5}{2}$ , then from (2.13),  $a > \frac{1}{4}$ . Also, using the condition (2.12),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq b^2 + c^2 + d^2 &= 2a^2 - a \text{tr } A + \frac{1}{2} \\ &< 2a^2 - \frac{5}{2}a + \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 2(a - 1)(a - \frac{1}{4}), \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction, since  $\frac{1}{4} < a \leq 1$ . The proof is complete. ■

It may be noted that  $\text{tr } A \leq \frac{5}{2}$  is a necessary condition and not sufficient for  $A, A^T$  to be mates when  $A \in \Omega_4$ . For example, consider

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0.8 & 0 & 0.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.4 & 0 & 0.6 \\ 0.2 & 0.3 & 0.3 & 0.2 \\ 0 & 0.3 & 0.5 & 0.2 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{4,1}.$$

Simple calculations show that  $T(A) = 0.96 \neq 0$ . Hence,  $(A, A^T)$  is not a permanental pair.

Next, we shall deduce Theorem 2.1 from Theorem 2.2.

Let  $A = 1 \oplus B$ , where  $B \in \Omega_3$  and  $B \neq B^T$ . It may be noted that  $A \in M_{4,1}$ . If  $\text{tr } B = \frac{3}{2}$ , then  $\text{tr } A = \frac{5}{2}$  and we see that the condition (2.12) is satisfied. Hence  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$  which implies that  $B^T$  is a mate of  $B$ . Conversely, if  $B^T$  is a mate of  $B$ , then  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$ . Hence, applying the condition  $T(A) = 0$ , we get  $\text{tr } A = \frac{5}{2}$ , which implies that  $\text{tr } B = \frac{3}{2}$ . From this argument, Theorem 2.1 follows.

Next, we investigate the existence of  $A \in \Omega_4$  forming a permanental pair with its transpose for any given  $k \leq \frac{5}{2}$  such that  $\text{tr } A = k$ . Let us consider three cases.

Case (i). Let  $0 \leq k \leq 1$ . Choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{k}{2} & \frac{5}{6} - \frac{k}{2} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{2} & \frac{k}{2} & \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $A \in M_{4,1}$ , satisfying  $T(A) = 0$ . Hence  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$ .

Case (ii). Let  $1 \leq k < 2$ . Choose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{6} & \frac{k}{3} + \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{k}{3} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{6} & 0 & \frac{k}{3} + \frac{1}{6} & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{k}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3} & \frac{2}{3} - \frac{k}{3} & 0 & \frac{k}{3} - \frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since  $A \in M_{4,1}$  satisfying  $T(A) = 0$ ,  $A$  forms a permanental pair with  $A^T$ .

Case (iii). Let  $2 \leq k \leq \frac{5}{2}$ . Let us try to find  $A$  of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & b & b \\ b & \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ b & 0 & \alpha & \beta \\ b & \beta & 0 & \alpha \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$b = \frac{1-a}{3}, \quad \alpha = \frac{k-a}{3}, \quad \beta = \frac{2+2a-k}{3}.$$

Let us choose  $a$  such that  $T(A) = 0$ . Hence

$$4a^2 - 2ak + 1 = 6b^2.$$

Substituting for  $b$  in terms of  $a$  and solving for  $a$ , we get

$$a = \frac{(3k-2) \pm (9k^2 - 12k - 6)^{1/2}}{10}.$$

Choosing  $a = [(3k-2) + (9k^2 - 12k - 6)^{1/2}]/10$ , it can be verified that  $a, b, \alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$  (if  $\beta = 0$ , then  $A = A^T$ ).

For  $k \in [2, \frac{5}{2}]$ , we have  $9k^2 - 12k - 6 > 0$  and  $3k - 2 > 0$ . Therefore,  $a \geq 0$ . By routine calculations, we have  $b \geq 0$  iff  $a \leq 1$ , i.e., iff  $(9k^2 - 12k - 6)^{1/2}$



$\leq 12 - 3k$ , i.e., iff  $k \leq \frac{5}{2}$ , which is true. Similarly, we find that  $k \geq a$  and  $2 + 2a > k$ , and conclude that  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $\beta > 0$ .

This completes our investigation of the possibility of finding  $A \in \Omega_4$  ( $A \neq A^T$ ) having  $A^T$  as its mate for any given  $k \leq \frac{5}{2}$  such that  $\text{tr } A = k$ .

It may be observed that if  $A \in M_{4,1}$  is of the form (2.3) with  $\text{tr } A = \frac{5}{2}$ , then the condition  $T(A) = 0$  implies

$$2(b^2 + c^2 + d^2) = (4a - 1)(a - 1), \tag{2.14}$$

and from the condition (2.13), that  $a \geq \frac{1}{4}$ . If we choose  $a \in (\frac{1}{4}, 1)$ , the condition (2.14) is not satisfied. Hence,  $a = \frac{1}{4}$  or 1. If  $a = \frac{1}{4}$  then  $b^2 + c^2 + d^2 = 0$ , which implies  $b = c = d = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $a = 1$ . Thus, if  $\text{tr } A = \frac{5}{2}$ , then  $A$  can form a permenental pair with  $A^T$  iff  $A = 1 \oplus B$ , where  $B \in \Omega_3, B \neq B^T$  with  $\text{tr } B = \frac{3}{2}$ , up to permutations of rows and columns.

Thus we conclude that a matrix  $A \in \Omega_3$  having  $A^T$  as its mate can be found only when  $\text{tr } A = \frac{3}{2}$ , whereas a matrix  $A \in \Omega_4$  having  $A^T$  as its mate can be found whenever  $0 \leq \text{tr } A \leq \frac{5}{2}$ .

From the result we derived in Theorem 2.2, we have the following question. For  $A \in \Omega_n, n \geq 5$  ( $A \neq A^T$ ), is it necessary that  $n-3$  rows (or at least one row) of  $A$  be identical with the corresponding columns, in order for  $A^T$  to be a mate of  $A$ ? For  $n \geq 6$ , which is a multiple of 3, it is not necessary, because if  $A$  is a matrix which is a direct sum of asymmetric matrices in  $\Omega_3$ , each with trace  $\frac{3}{2}$ , then  $A$  and  $A^T$  are mates without having even a single row of  $A$  identical with the corresponding column. If  $n$  is not a multiple of 3, it may be necessary that at most two rows of  $A$  be identical with the corresponding columns. We do not go into details about this problem.

However, we state and prove a generalized version of Theorem 2.2.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X & U \\ U^T & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega_n,$$

where  $X$  is symmetric and of order  $(n - 3) \times (n - 3)$ ,  $U$  is  $(n - 3) \times 3$ , and  $Y$  is  $3 \times 3$  ( $Y \neq Y^T$ ). A necessary and sufficient condition for  $A$  and  $A^T$  to be mates is

$$(\text{per } X)[s(Y) - 2 \text{tr } Y] + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-3} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \text{per } X(i | j) = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $s(Y)$  denotes the sum of all elements in  $Y$ , and where

$$\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = u_i(3J_3 - 2I_3)u_j^T$$

for the  $i$ th row  $u_i$  of  $U$ .

PROOF. Let  $f(t) = \text{per}[tA + (1 - t)A^T]$ . For the given form of  $A$ , row sums and column sums of  $Y = (y_{ij})$  are equal. Therefore, row sums and column sums of the skew symmetric matrix  $Y - Y^T$  are zero. Hence  $Y - Y^T$  can be expressed as  $\delta B$ , where  $\delta = (y_{12} - y_{21}) \neq 0$  and

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now  $f(t) = \text{per}(t\delta D + A^T)$ , where  $D$  is the direct sum of the  $(n - 3) \times (n - 3)$  null matrix and  $B$ . Therefore, by applying Theorem 1.1, we get

$$f(t) = \text{per } A^T + t\delta S_1(D, A^T) + t^2\delta^2 S_2(D, A^T),$$

since  $S_3(D, A^T) = 0$  because  $\text{per } B = 0$ , and  $S_k(D, A^T) = 0$  for  $k = 4, 5, \dots, n$  because  $D$  has only three nonzero rows.

A necessary condition for  $f(t)$  to be a constant is  $S_2(D, A^T) = 0$ . Let  $U^{(s)}$  denote the  $s$ th column of  $U = (u_{ij})$ . By direct calculations, we have

$$S_2(D, A^T) = \sum_{\substack{r,s=1 \\ r \neq s}}^3 \text{per} \begin{pmatrix} X & U^{(s)} \\ (U^{(r)})^T & y_{sr} \end{pmatrix} - \sum_{r=1}^3 \text{per} \begin{pmatrix} X & U^{(r)} \\ (U^{(r)})^T & y_{rr} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Expanding each of the permanents in the summation along the last column and then each subpermanent of order  $n - 3$ , except  $\text{per } X$ , along the last row,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2(D, A^T) &= (\text{per } X) \{ (-y_{11} + y_{21} + y_{31}) + (y_{12} - y_{22} + y_{32}) \\ &\quad + (y_{13} + y_{23} - y_{33}) \} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-3} \{ u_{i1}(-u_{j1} + u_{j2} + u_{j3}) + u_{i2}(u_{j1} - u_{j2} + u_{j3}) \\ &\quad + u_{i3}(u_{j1} + u_{j2} - u_{j3}) \} \text{per } X(i | j) \\ &= (\text{per } X) \{ s(Y) - 2 \text{tr } Y \} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-3} [u_{i1} \ u_{i2} \ u_{i3}] \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_{j1} \\ u_{j2} \\ u_{j3} \end{bmatrix} \text{per } X(i | j) \\ &= (\text{per } X) \{ s(Y) - 2 \text{tr } Y \} + \sum_{i,j=1}^{n-3} \langle u_i, u_j \rangle \text{per } X(i | j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the condition (2.15) follows.

We claim that the vanishing of  $S_2(D, A^T)$  is sufficient for  $f(t)$  to be a constant, by the same argument adopted in the proof of Theorem 2.2. This completes the proof. ■

We conclude this section by noting that the above generalized result can be used to derive the conditions for  $A \in \Omega_n$  ( $n = 3, 4$ ) to form a permanental pair with  $A^T$ .

If we take  $X = I_{n-3}$  and  $U = 0$  in Theorem 2.3, we have  $A = I_{n-3} \oplus Y$ , and now the condition for  $A^T$  to be a mate of  $A$  and hence for  $Y^T$  to be a mate of  $Y$  reduces to  $s(Y) - 2 \operatorname{tr} Y = 0$ , i.e.,  $\operatorname{tr} Y = \frac{3}{2}$ .

For  $n = 4$ , let us take  $X = [a]$ , a  $1 \times 1$  matrix, and  $U = [b \ c \ d]$ . Using the convention that  $\operatorname{per} X(\alpha \mid \beta) = 1$  when  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{Q}_{n,n}$ , we have  $\operatorname{per} X(1 \mid 1) = 1$ . From (2.15), we find, after simple calculations, that the condition reduces to

$$4a^2 - 2a \operatorname{tr} A + 1 = 2(b^2 + c^2 + d^2),$$

which is the one we got earlier in (2.12).

### 3. COUNTEREXAMPLES TO HWANG'S CONJECTURE

In this section we disprove a recent conjecture on permanental mates due to Hwang [3]. The instrument behind the class of counterexamples is our generalized result in the previous section.

Hwang [3] denotes the set of all mates of  $A$  for  $A \in \Omega_n$  by  $\operatorname{Mte}(A)$ , and the interior of  $\Omega_n$  by  $\operatorname{Int} \Omega_n$ . In concluding remarks, Hwang [3] asked whether there exists an  $A \in \operatorname{Int} \Omega_n$  with a nontrivial mate; he answered the question by saying yes for the case  $n = 3$  and gave the following example. Let

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_t = \frac{1}{4} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & t & 2-t \\ 2-t & 2 & t \\ t & 2-t & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 2.$$

Then  $B \in \operatorname{Int} \Omega_3$  and  $C_t \in \operatorname{Mte}(B)$  for all  $t, 0 \leq t \leq 2$ . Of course, for  $t = 1$ ,  $C_t$  is the trivial mate of  $B$ .

For large numbers, Hwang thought that an affirmative answer to the question regarding the existence of matrices in  $\operatorname{Int} \Omega_n$  having nontrivial mates was unlikely. Therefore, Hwang proposed the following conjecture: For  $n \geq 4$ , if  $A \in \operatorname{Int} \Omega_4$ , then  $\operatorname{Mte}(A)$  is a trivial set.

It may be noted that  $A \in \Omega_4$  given in (2.1) with  $b, c > 0$  is itself a class of counterexamples to Hwang's conjecture, since  $A \in \operatorname{Int} \Omega_4$  and  $\operatorname{per}[tA + (1-t)A^T] =$

Now, we disprove Hwang's conjecture for  $n \geq 4$ . Let us construct

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{bmatrix} X & U \\ U^T & Y \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega_n$$

as follows. Let  $X = (x_{ij})$  be of order  $(n-3) \times (n-3)$  with

$$x_{ij} = x = \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)(n-3)}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, n-3, \quad (3.1)$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$9 - (3n-18)\varepsilon - (n-3)^2\varepsilon^2 > 0. \quad (3.2)$$

We can always choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  and arbitrarily small satisfying (3.1), and this condition is crucial for our construction of  $A$ . Let  $U = (u_{ij})$  be of order  $(n-3) \times 3$  with

$$u_{ij} = u = \frac{\varepsilon}{3(1+\varepsilon)} \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, (n-3), \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Clearly,  $x > 0, u > 0$ , and  $(n-3)x + 3u = 1$ , so that the row sums and the column sums are 1 for the first  $n-3$  rows and  $n-3$  columns of  $A$ .

Let us construct  $Y$  as a  $3 \times 3$  positive asymmetric matrix with

$$\text{tr } Y = \frac{9 - (3n-18)\varepsilon + (n-3)^2\varepsilon^2}{6(1+\varepsilon)}. \quad (3.3)$$

In view of (3.2),  $\text{tr } Y > 0$ . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} s(Y) - \text{tr } Y &= \left[ 3 - \frac{(n-3)\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon} \right] - \frac{9 - (3n-18)\varepsilon + (n-3)^2\varepsilon^2}{6(1+\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{9 - (3n-18)\varepsilon - (n-3)^2\varepsilon^2}{6(1+\varepsilon)} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $s(Y) > \text{tr } Y > 0$ , it is possible for us to construct  $Y$  as a  $3 \times 3$  positive asymmetric matrix with  $\text{tr } Y$  as given in (3.3) so that the matrix  $A$  is in the interior of  $\Omega_n$ . By suitable choice of  $\varepsilon$  and  $Y$ , we can obtain a class of matrices  $A$  in the interior of  $\Omega_n$  satisfying all the conditions of Theorem 2.3.

For such  $A$ 's, let us verify that the condition (2.15) is satisfied. We give below the details of the calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{per } X &= (n-3)!x^{n-3}, \\ s(Y) - 2\text{tr } Y &= \frac{-(n-3)^2\varepsilon^2}{3(1+\varepsilon)}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3,$

$$\langle u_i, u_j \rangle = 3u^2 = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3(1 + \varepsilon)^2}$$

and

$$\text{per } X \langle i | j \rangle = x^{n-4}(n - 4)!.$$

Substituting these values in the left side of the equation (2.15), we have

$$-x^{n-3}(n - 3)! \frac{(n - 3)^2 \varepsilon^2}{3(1 + \varepsilon)} + (n - 3)^2 \frac{\varepsilon^2}{3(1 + \varepsilon)^2} x^{n-4}(n - 4)!,$$

which reduces to 0. Hence, we conclude by Theorem 2.3 that  $A^T$  is a mate of  $A$ . Thus we have shown that Hwang’s conjecture is false for any  $n \geq 4,$  and we arrive at the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *For every  $n \geq 3,$  there exist infinitely many matrices  $A \in \text{Int } \Omega_n$  such that  $\text{Mte}(A)$  is nontrivial.*

*The authors wish to thank the referee for careful reading of the manuscript and for suggestions towards improving the presentation of the paper.*

REFERENCES

- 1 J. L. Brenner and E. T. H. Wang, Permanental pairs of doubly stochastic matrices. II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 28:39–41 (1979).
- 2 P. M. Gibson, Permanental polytopes of doubly stochastic matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 32:87–111 (1980).
- 3 S. G. Hwang, Some nontrivial permanental mates, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 140:89–100 (1990).
- 4 H. Minc, *Permanents*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. 6, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1978.
- 5 E. T. H. Wang, Permanental pairs of doubly stochastic matrices, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 85:188–190 (1978).

*Received 4 May 1992; final manuscript accepted 26 May 1993*