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#### Abstract

For each odd prime $q$ an integer $N H_{q}\left(N H_{3}=-1 . N H_{5}=-1, N H_{7}=97\right.$, $N H_{11}=-243, \ldots$ ) is defined as the norm from $L$ to of the Heilbronn sum $H_{q}=\operatorname{Tr}_{I}^{(\mathcal{Q}()}(\zeta)$, where $\zeta$ is a primitive $q^{2}$ th root of unity and $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ the subfield of degree $q$. Various properties are proved relating the congruence properties of $H_{q}$ and $N H_{q}$ modulo $p(p \neq q$ prime $)$ to the Fermat quotient $\left(p^{q-1}-1\right) / q(\bmod q)$; in particular, it is shown that $N H_{q}$ is even iff $2^{q-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod q^{2}\right)$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $q$ be an odd prime and let $\zeta$ be a primitive $q^{2}$ th root of unity. We shall study the divisibility properties of the exponential sums defined by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{u}=\underset{1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant \varphi-1}{\} \zeta^{a^{u}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

These sums are closely related to certain $n$-dimensional Kloosterman sums. (See, e.g., [3, p. 342]. Smith |3] mentions that Heilbronn, approximately 15 years ago, posed the problem of finding nontrivial upper bounds for the sums $H_{q}+1$. For this reason we shall call the sum in (1.1) the $q$ th Heilbronn sum.

The number $H_{q}$ is just $\operatorname{Tr}_{L}^{\mathbb{Q}(6)} \zeta$, where $L\left(=\mathbb{Q}\left(H_{q}\right)\right)$ is the subfield of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ of degree $q$ over $\mathbb{Q}$. The rational integer $N_{Q}^{L}\left(H_{q}\right)$, which we shall denote simply by $N H_{q}$, is the main object of study of this paper. A table of these numbers (and of their small prime factors) for $q<50$ appears at the end of the paper.

For $x$ an integer such that $(x, q)=1$, we define the Fermat quotient by

$$
Q(x) \equiv\left(x^{q-1}-1\right) / q \quad(\bmod q) .
$$

For the history of these quotients, see [1, Chapter IV]. The importance of these quotients is derived (among other things) from their connection with

Fermat's equation. For example, Wieferich [4] has shown that if there exist integers $x, y, z$ which are relatively prime and not multiples of $q$ such that $x^{q}+y^{q}+z^{q}=0$ then $Q(2) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$. (For more information along these lines see [2, Lectures 8,9]. In this paper we relate the divisors of the Heilbronn sums to the solutions $p$ of the congruence $Q(p) \equiv 0(\bmod q)$. We shall prove

## Theorem 1. Let $q$ be an odd prime.

(a) If $p$ is a prime divisor of $N H_{q}$, then $Q(p) \equiv 0 \bmod q$. If $p=2, q$ arbitrary, or if $p=3, q \equiv 1 \bmod 3$, then the converse also holds.
(b) If $p$ is a prime number, then

$$
Q(p) \equiv 0 \bmod q \quad \text { if and only if } H_{q}^{p} \equiv H_{q} \bmod p O \quad \text { and } \quad q \neq p
$$

It is clear that the converse of (a) does not hold for all prime numbers $p$, since for fixed $q$ there are infinitely many primes $p$ satisfying $p^{q-1} \equiv$ $1 \bmod q^{2}$. In the course of the proof of the theorem we shall also show that for all odd primes $q$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
N H_{q} \equiv-1 \bmod q^{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The table in Section 3 strongly suggests that $H_{q}$ is a unit only if $q=3$ or $q=5$. In view of (1.2) one might conjecture that $\left|N H_{q}\right| \geqslant q^{2}-1$ whenever $q \geqslant 7$. On the other hand, we shall see in Section 2 that $\left|N H_{q}\right| \leqslant(q-1)^{q / 2}$ for all odd primes $q$.

## 2. Heilbronn Sums

Since the multiplicative group $G_{q}=\left(\mathbb{Z} / q^{2} \mathbb{Z}\right)^{*}$ has a unique subgroup $A_{q}$ of order $q-1$, it follows that $\operatorname{ker} \delta=A_{q}$ for any epimorphism $\delta: G_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\delta\left(x^{q}\right) \equiv q \delta(x) \bmod q$ for any $x$ in $G_{q}$ and the set of integers $\left\{x^{q}: 1 \leqslant x \leqslant q-1\right\}$ are distinct modulo $q^{2}$, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{q}=\left\{x^{q} \bmod q^{2}: 1 \leqslant x \leqslant q-1\right\} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that if $\alpha, \beta$ are integers prime to $q$, then

$$
Q(\alpha \beta) \equiv Q(\alpha)+Q(\beta) \bmod q
$$

Since $(1+q k)^{q-1}=1+(q-1) q k+O\left(q^{2}\right)$, for any integer $k$, we have

$$
Q(1+q k) \equiv-k \bmod q .
$$

Therefore, the Fermat quotient induces an epimorphism $G_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$ and yields a coset decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{q}=\bigcup_{k=0}^{q-1}(1+k q) A_{q} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\zeta$ be a primitive $q^{2}$ th root of unity and identify the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ with $G_{q}$. Let $L$ denote the fixed field with respect to the subgroup $A_{q}$. Then, by (2.1),

$$
H_{q}=\operatorname{Tr}_{L}^{Q(\zeta)}(\zeta)
$$

Since $L / \mathbb{Q}$ is a field extension of prime degree and $H_{q} \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we conclude that $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(H_{q}\right)$. By (2.2), the conjugates of $H_{q}$ can be written as

$$
H_{q}^{(k)}=\sum_{1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant q-1} \zeta^{\alpha k q} \zeta^{a^{q}}
$$

for $k=0,1, \ldots, q-1$. Write $\eta=\zeta^{a}$ and define $f(\alpha)=\zeta^{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \not \equiv 0 \bmod q$ and $f(\alpha)=0$ for $\alpha \equiv 0 \bmod q$. Then $H_{q}^{(k)}=\sum_{\alpha \bmod q} f(\alpha) \eta^{k \alpha}$ by definition, so that $H_{q}^{(k)}$ is the "Fourier transform" of the function $f$ on the group ( $\mathbb{Z} / q \mathbb{Z}$ ). The Fourier inversion formula now gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \zeta^{\alpha q}=\Sigma_{k} \eta^{-k \alpha} H_{q}^{(k)} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $1 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant q-1$. In addition, since $H^{(k)}$ is real for every $k$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} H_{q}^{2}=q \sum_{\alpha}|f(\alpha)|^{2}=q(q-1) \quad \text { (Plancherel) } \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality when applied to $\left(H_{q}^{(k)}\right)^{2}$, $0 \leqslant k \leqslant q-1$ now gives, in view of (2.4), the inequality

$$
\left|N H_{q}\right| \leqslant(q-1)^{q / 2}
$$

## 3. Proof of the Theorem

In the sequel we denote by $O$ the ring of integers in $L=\mathbb{Q}\left(H_{q}\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. Let $q$ be an odd prime. Then
(a) $N H_{q} \equiv-1 \bmod q$.
(b) Let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime ideal in $O$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \cap \mathbb{Z} \neq(q)$. Then, for some $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$ we have $\sigma H_{q} \not \equiv H_{q} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\pi=\zeta-1$. Then the principal ideal $(\pi)$ is the only prime ideal in $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)$ that lies above $q$. Furthermore, $\zeta^{x} \equiv 1 \bmod \pi$ for every $x$ in $\mathbb{Z}$ that is prime to $q$. Since every Heilbronn sum $\sigma H_{q}$ is the sum of $q-1$ terms of the form $\zeta^{x}$ with $(x, q)=1$, we have $\sigma H_{q} \equiv q-1 \equiv-1 \bmod \pi$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$. Consequently, $N H_{q} \equiv(-1)^{q} \bmod \pi$.
(b) Suppose that $\sigma H_{q} \equiv H_{q} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$. Then, if $\mathfrak{P}$ is a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ lying above $\mathfrak{p}$ it follows from (2.3) that

$$
\zeta q \equiv H_{q} \sum_{k} \eta^{-k} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{P}
$$

which is impossible if $\mathfrak{P} \neq(\pi)$.
Theorem 1(b) is a direct consequence of

Lemma 3.2. If $p$ is a prime number, then $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ if and only if $p$ splits completely in $O$, which in turn is equivalent to the congruence $H_{q}^{p} \equiv H_{q} \bmod p O, q \neq p$.

Proof: Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime in $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta]$ that lies above $p$ and write $\mathfrak{p}=\mathfrak{P} \cap O$. It is clear that $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ if and only if the order of $p \bmod q^{2}$ is a divisor of $q-1$. This statement is equivalent to $q-1$ being divisible by the residue class degree $f(\mathfrak{P} / p)$ of $\mathfrak{P}$ with respect to $p$ and $p \neq q$. Since $f(\mathfrak{P} \mid p)=$ $f(\mathfrak{P} / \mathfrak{p}) f(\mathfrak{p} / p)$ and $f(\mathfrak{p} / p)$ is a divisor of $q$, the first part of the lemma follows.

If $f(p / p)=1$, then $O / p \cong \mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ and $\alpha^{q} \equiv \alpha \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\alpha$ in $O$. Conversely, suppose that $H_{q}^{p} \equiv H_{q} \bmod \mathfrak{p}, p \neq q$, where $\mathfrak{p}$ is a prime ideal in $O$ above $p$. We must show that $f(p / p)=1$. If not, there exists exactly one prime $\mathfrak{p}$ above $p$ such that $O / \mathfrak{p}$ is a field extension of $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ of degree $q$. The corresponding Galois group is generated by the Frobenius automorphism $x \rightarrow x^{p}$. If $H_{q}$ satisfies the above congruence, then $H_{q} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ is invariant with respect to the Galois group. Therefore, for some $x$ in $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$, we have $H_{q} \equiv x \bmod p$. Since $\sigma p=p$ for every $\sigma$ in $\operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$, we have $\sigma H_{q} \equiv x \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\sigma$-a contridiction in view of Lemma 3.1(b).

Proof of Theorem 1 (a). Let $p$ be a prime such that $p \mid N H_{q}$. Then by Lemma 3.1(a) we have $p \neq q$. Suppose that $p$ does not split completely in $O$. Then, there exists a unique $\mathfrak{p}$ above $p$ such that $\sigma H_{q} \equiv 0 \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / Q)$-contradiction. By Lemma 3.1(b) we, therefore, have that if $p \mid N H_{q}$, then $p^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$.

Suppose now $2^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ but $2 \nmid N H_{q}$. Since 2 splits completely in $O$, we have that $O / \mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for every $\mathfrak{p} \mid 2$. Since $2 \nmid N H_{q}$, we conclude that $\sigma H_{q} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\sigma \in \operatorname{Gal}(L / \mathbb{Q})$-a contradiction.

Suppose that $3^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ but $3 \nmid N H_{q}$. Then, as above, $O / \mathfrak{p} \cong \mathbb{Z} / 3 \mathbb{Z}$ if $\mathfrak{p} \mid 3$, and, therefore, $\sigma H_{q}^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ for every $\sigma$. Consequently, by (2.4) we have

$$
q(q-1) \equiv q \bmod \mathfrak{p},
$$

and it follows that $q \equiv 2 \bmod 3$.
Proof of (1.2). Put $N H_{q}=-1+k q$ (Lemma 3.1(a)). Then

$$
\left(N H_{q}\right)^{q-1} \equiv 1-k q(q-1) \equiv 1+k q \bmod q^{2} .
$$

But $\left(N H_{q}\right)^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ since every prime divisor $r$ of $N H_{q}$ satisfies $r^{q-1} \equiv 1 \bmod q^{2}$ (Theorem 1(a)). We conclude that $k \equiv 0 \bmod q$ and the result follows.

The table below depicts the numbers $N H_{q}$ for all odd primes $q \leqslant 50$ as well as their prime factors $p \leqslant 2767$.

| $q$ | $N H_{a}$ | $p^{r} \\| N H_{q}$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: |
| $(p \leqslant 2767)$ |  |  |
| 3 | -1 | - |
| 5 | -1 | - |
| 7 | 97 | 97 |
| 11 | -243 | $3^{5}$ |
| 13 | 12167 | $23^{3}$ |
| 17 | 577 | 577 |
| 19 | 221874931 | - |
| 23 | 157112485811 | - |
| 29 | -2480435158303 | 137 |
| 31 | 310695313260929 | - |
| 37 | -51140551819476687829 | - |
| 41 | 2727257042363914863401 | - |
| 43 | -2572343484535669027372727 | $19^{4}$ |
| 47 | 1052824394331287344099620777449 | $53^{2}$ |
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