

JOURNAL OF ALGEBRA 133, 404–423 (1990)

Minimal Embeddings of Central Simple Algebras

BURTON FEIN*

*Department of Mathematics, Oregon State University,
Corvallis, Oregon 97331*

DAVID J. SALTMAN†

*Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Austin,
Austin, Texas 78712*

AND

MURRAY SCHACHER‡

*Department of Mathematics, University of California at Los Angeles,
Los Angeles, California 90024*

Communicated by Susan Montgomery

Received October 13, 1988

IN MEMORY OF I. N. HERSTEIN, A TEACHER, COLLABORATOR, AND FRIEND

1. INTRODUCTION

Let L be a finite algebraic extension of a field K and let A be a finite-dimensional simple algebra with center L . The theory developed in this paper arises from the following question: what are the “minimal” finite-dimensional simple algebras with center K in which A is embeddable?

Before clarifying what we mean by “minimal” in the above context we introduce some terminology. We say that A/L is central simple if A is a central simple algebra finite-dimensional over its center L ; if A is a division ring we refer to A as an L -division ring. If A/L is central simple and L/K is a finite-dimensional extension of fields, we say that A/L is embeddable in a central simple B/K if there exists a K -algebra monomorphism φ from A into B such that $\varphi(1_A) = 1_B$; if φ exists we usually identify A with its image

* Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-8800687.

† Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-8601279.

‡ Research supported by NSF Grant DMS-8801051.

in B . We shall show in Section 2 that there is no loss of generality in assuming that our embeddings preserve identities. That A/L is actually embeddable in some central simple B/K is clear; the left regular representation, for example, embeds A/L in $M_u(K)/K$, where $u = [A:K]$.

The most natural notions of minimality in our context are those of degree minimality and matrix size minimality. Let B/K be central simple. Then $B \cong M_t(D)$, where D is a K -division ring. We refer to D as the skew field component of B . The index, $\text{ind}(B)$, of B equals $\sqrt{[D:K]}$. The degree, $\text{deg}(B)$, of B , equals $\sqrt{[B:K]}$; we have $\text{deg}(B) = t \cdot \text{ind}(B)$. We refer to t as the matrix size of B .

DEFINITION. Let L/K be a finite-dimensional extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. We define $d_K(A)$ by:

$$d_K(A) = \min \{ \text{deg}(B) \mid B/K \text{ is a central simple } K\text{-algebra and } A \text{ embeds in } B/K \}.$$

Similarly, $ms_K(A)$ is defined by:

$$ms_K(A) = \min \{ t \mid A/L \text{ embeds in a central simple } K\text{-algebra of matrix size } t \}.$$

If A/L embeds in a central simple B/K and $\text{deg}(B) = d_K(A)$ (resp. the matrix size of B equals $ms_K(A)$), we say that B/K is degree minimal for A/L (resp. matrix size minimal for A/L).

It is an easy consequence of the Double Centralizer Theorem (see Section 2) that the minimum value possible for $d_K(A)$ is $\text{deg}(A) \cdot [L:K]$. Although A/L is always embeddable in some central simple B/K , there need not exist any such B/K of this minimum possible degree. This is the case even when K and L are number fields. It is instructive to compare this situation with some of the results in the literature concerning embeddings in division rings. Suppose, for simplicity, that A is an L -division ring and K and L are number fields. If A is embeddable in a K -division ring D , then, as above, the minimal possible degree of D is $\text{deg}(A) \cdot [L:K]$. Examples exist of L -division rings A which are not embeddable in any K -division ring; if, however, an L -division ring A is embeddable in some K -division ring then A is embeddable in a K -division ring of minimal possible degree $\text{deg}(A) \cdot [L:K]$ [3, Theorem 1]. This is in marked contrast with the situation for embeddings in central simple algebras.

Throughout this paper L/K will be a finite extension of fields and A/L will be central simple. We denote the class of A/L in the Brauer group, $B(L)$, of L by $[A]$. The order of $[A]$ in $B(L)$ is denoted $\text{exp}(A)$. We say

that L is *stable* if $\text{ind}(A) = \text{exp}(A)$ for every central simple A/L . Examples of stable fields are the global and local fields of class field theory. (By a global field we mean either an algebraic number field or an algebraic function field in one variable over a finite constant field.) We let A^{op} be the opposite algebra of A ; $[A] + [A^{\text{op}}] = [L]$. The p -primary component of $B(L)$ is denoted $B(L)_p$ and the maximal divisible subgroup of $B(L)$ is denoted $DB(L)$. The restriction map from $B(K)$ to $B(L)$ is denoted $\text{Res}_{L/K}$; here $\text{Res}_{L/K}([B]) = [B \otimes_K L]$. The relative Brauer group, $B(L/K)$, is the kernel of this restriction map.

If n is a positive integer and p is a prime, we let n_p denote the p -part of n ; $n = n_p n'$, where $(n_p, n') = 1$. Now suppose G is a group and $\alpha \in G$ has order $n = n_p n'$. Then α is uniquely expressible as a product $\alpha = \alpha_p \cdot \alpha'$ of two commuting elements of G where α_p , the p -component of α , has order a power of p and α' , the p -regular component of α , has order prime to p . If $1 = un_p + vn'$, then $\alpha_p = \alpha^{vn'}$ and $\alpha' = \alpha^{un_p}$. If A/L is central simple, then $A \cong \bigotimes_p A_p$, where the tensor products are taken over L and over all primes p and where $\text{deg}(A_p)$ is a power of p [4, p. 256]. We have $[A_p] = [A]_p$. We will say that a numerical invariant μ of central simple L -algebras *localizes* if $\mu(A)_p = \mu(A_p)$. Finally, we point out one easy fact that we will use repeatedly in what follows. Suppose φ is a homomorphism from a group H to G and $\alpha \in \varphi(H)$. Since both α_p and α' are powers of α , both α_p and $\alpha' \in \varphi(H)$. In particular, if $[A] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$, then $[A_p] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ and $[A'] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$.

We begin our discussion of the invariants $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ in Section 2 by reducing to the case when A is an L -division ring. More precisely, if $A \cong M_n(\Delta)$, we show that $d_K(A) = n \cdot d_K(\Delta)$ and $ms_K(A) = n \cdot ms_K(\Delta)$. The next step in our discussion is to reduce to the case when $\text{ind}(A)$ is a prime power. Since neither $d_K(A)$ nor $ms_K(A)$ localize, we need to introduce some additional invariants of A/L . Suppose A/L embeds in a central simple B/K . Let Y be the centralizer of A in $C_B(L)$, the centralizer of L in B . Then $\text{deg}(B) = \text{deg}(A) \cdot \text{deg}(Y) \cdot [L:K]$ and $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Thus B/K will be degree minimal for A/L provided $\text{deg}(Y)$ is as small as possible. Since we may reduce easily to the case when Y is an L -division ring, we are led to consider the following invariant of A/L :

DEFINITION. Let L/K be a finite extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. $r_K(A)$ is defined to be the minimum index of an L -division ring Y such that $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$.

We show in Section 2 that $r_K(A)$ localizes and that $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot r_K(A)$; this localizes the computation of $d_K(A)$. The localization of the computation of $ms_K(A)$ is more subtle and will be discussed in Section 2. For arbitrary fields K and L we are also able to show that if A/L

embeds in a central simple B/K , then $d_K(A)$ divides $\deg(B)$ and $ms_K(A)$ divides the matrix size of B .

We are able to say more in Section 3 where we assume that K and L are stable fields. In particular, we show that there exists a B/K which is both matrix size minimal and degree minimal for A/L . This permits us to obtain more precise information regarding the relationship between $d_K(A)$, $ms_K(A)$, and $r_K(A)$ in this situation.

Although the invariant $r_K(A)$ of A/L succeeds in localizing the computation of $d_K(A)$, it is not a particularly natural invariant to consider. $r_K(A)$ is, however, closely related to a much more natural and more easily computed invariant of A/L , the order of $[A]$ modulo the image of the restriction map; we denote this order by $\exp_K(A)$. We also define $k_K(A)$ to be the maximum index of a K -division ring D such that $\text{Res}_{L/K}[D] = \exp_K(A)[A]$. We show in Section 3 that if K and L are stable and $B(K)_p$ is divisible for all primes dividing $\text{ind}(A)$, then $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \exp_K(A)$, and $ms_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)/k_K(A)$. Finally, in Section 4 we provide an example which illustrates the computation of the invariants that we have introduced and which also shows that the formulas for $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ are not, in general, valid if $B(K)_p$ is not divisible for some prime p dividing $\text{ind}(A)$.

2. ARBITRARY FIELDS

In this section we will obtain results about embedding questions which are valid for arbitrary fields. We will maintain the following context.

Context. Throughout this section L/K is a finite extension of fields and A/L is central simple.

We begin by justifying our assertion that no generality is lost by requiring that our embeddings preserve identity elements.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let the context be as above and suppose that φ is a K -algebra monomorphism of A/L into $B = M_n(D)$, where D/K is central simple. Suppose $\varphi(1_A) \neq 1_B$. Then there exists $r < n$ such that A/L is embeddable in $M_r(D)$.*

Proof. Let $e = \varphi(1_A)$. Then $e = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_r$, where the $\{e_i\}$ are a set of primitive orthogonal idempotents of B . Then $e_i B e_i \cong D$ so $\varphi(A) = e\varphi(A)e \subseteq e B e \cong M_r(D)$. We note that $r < n$ since $e \neq 1_B$. Since e is the identity of $e B e$, it follows that φ is an embedding of A/L into $M_r(D)/K$. ■

Our next result will allow us, when convenient, to restrict our attention to L -division rings.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let the context be as above and suppose that $A \cong M_n(\Delta)$, where Δ/L is central simple. Let A/L be embeddable in $M_m(D)$, D a K -division ring. Then $n \mid m$ and Δ is embeddable in $M_k(D)$, where $m = nk$.*

Proof. Let φ be an embedding of A into $B = M_m(D)$ and let $e_i = \varphi(e_{ii})$, where e_{ii} is the $n \times n$ matrix having a 1 in the (i, i) position and 0's elsewhere. Since $\varphi(1_A) = 1_B$, $1_B = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n$. Since e_i is an idempotent in B , $e_i B e_i \cong M_{u_i}(D)$, where e_i is a sum of u_i primitive orthogonal idempotents in B . Since e_{ii} and e_{jj} have the same Jordan form in $M_n(L)$, there is an invertible $w \in B$ such that $w e_i w^{-1} = e_j$. It follows that $e_i B e_i \cong e_j B e_j$ and so $u_i = u_j$. Let $k = u_i$. Then $m = nk$. Also, $\Delta \cong e_{11} A e_{11}$ and $\varphi(e_{11} A e_{11}) \subset e_1 B e_1 \cong M_k(D)$. Since e_{11} is the identity of $e_{11} A e_{11}$ and $\varphi(e_{11}) = e_1$ is the identity of $e_1 B e_1$, it follows that Δ is embeddable in $M_k(D)$. ■

COROLLARY 3. *Let the context be as above and suppose that $A \cong M_n(A)$, n a positive integer. Then $d_K(A) = n \cdot d_K(\Delta)$ and $ms_K(A) = n \cdot ms_K(\Delta)$.*

Proof. Let A be embeddable in $B = M_m(D)$, where $\deg(B) = d_K(A)$. Then $n \cdot d_K(\Delta) \leq d_K(A)$ by Proposition 2. If Δ is embeddable in a central simple K -algebra B' with $\deg(B') = d_K(\Delta)$, then Δ is embeddable in $M_n(B')$ so $d_K(A) = n \cdot d_K(\Delta)$. Thus $n \cdot d_K(\Delta) = d_K(A)$ and similarly $n \cdot ms_K(\Delta) = ms_K(A)$. ■

Recall that we denote the centralizer in B of a subalgebra E by $C_B(E)$. Our next result collects some standard results about the centralizer of a simple subalgebra of B/K .

PROPOSITION 4. *Let the context be as above and suppose that A/L embeds in a central simple B/K . Let Y be the centralizer of A in $C_B(L)$. Then:*

- (a) Y/L is central simple such that $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$
- (b) $B \otimes_K L \cong M_r(C_B(L))$, where $r = [L: K]$
- (c) $\deg(B) = \deg(A) \cdot \deg(Y) \cdot [L: K]$ and $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$
- (d) $\deg(A) \cdot [L: K] \leq d_K(A) \leq \deg(A) \cdot \deg(Y) \cdot [L: K]$.

Proof. This is immediate from [4, p. 94–96]. ■

Our next result shows that the minimum possible value for $d_K(A)$ is attained precisely when $[A] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let the context be as above. Then $d_K(A) = \deg(A) \cdot [L: K]$ if and only if $[A] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Moreover, if $[A] = [D \otimes_K L]$, D a*

K-division ring, then there is an integer w such that A/L is embeddable in $B = M_w(D)$ so that $A = C_B(L)$ and $\deg(B) = \deg(A)[L:K] = d_K(A)$.

Proof. Suppose first that $d_K(A) = \deg(A) \cdot [L:K]$ and let A/L be embedded in B/K , where $\deg(B) = d_K(A)$. By Proposition 4(c), $A = C_B(L)$ and $[A] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Conversely, suppose $[A] = [D \otimes_K L]$, D a K -division ring. Taking matrices over D if necessary, we may assume that L is a subfield of $E = M_v(D)$. By Proposition 4 (with $A = L$), $[C_E(L)] = [\text{Res}_{L/K}([D])] = [A]$. Thus $M_s(A) \cong M_t(C_E(L))$ for integers s and t . Let $F = M_t(E)$. Since $M_t(C_E(L)) \cong C_F(L)$, we have $M_s(A) \cong C_F(L)$. We identify $M_s(A)$ with $C_F(L)$. Since $M_s(K)$ is a K -subalgebra of $M_s(A)$, $M_s(K) \subset F$. Let B be the centralizer of $M_s(K)$ in F . Then $L \subset B$ because L centralizes $M_s(K)$. $C_B(L)$ consists of all $\alpha \in F$ which commute with all elements of $L \cdot M_s(K)$. Under our identifications, $C_B(L)$ consists of all $\alpha \in M_s(A)$ which commute with all elements of $M_s(K)$. It follows that $A \cong C_B(L)$ and so A/L embeds in B . By Proposition 4, $\deg(B) = \deg(A)[L:K]$. Finally, by [4, pp. 94–96], $F \cong M_s(K) \otimes_K B$ so $[B] = [D]$. ■

Recall that in Section 1 we defined $r_K(A)$ to be the minimum index of an L -division ring Y such that $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Our next result establishes the basic relationship between $d_K(A)$ and $r_K(A)$.

THEOREM 6. *Let L/K be a finite extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. Then $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot r_K(A)$.*

Proof. Let Y be an L -division ring such that $\text{ind}(Y) = r_K(A)$ and $[A \otimes_L Y] = [A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. By Proposition 5, there is a central simple B/K in which $A \otimes_L Y$ embeds such that $\deg(B) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \text{ind}(Y)$. Thus $d_K(A) \leq [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot r_K(A)$. By Proposition 4(c), $d_K(A) \geq [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot r_K(A)$, which establishes the result. ■

We record a consequence of Theorem 6 for future reference.

COROLLARY 7. *Let the context be as above and let Y be an L -division ring of index $r_K(A)$ such that $[A] + [Y] = [D \otimes_K L]$, D a K -division ring. Let $A \otimes_L Y$ be embeddable in $B = M_w(D)$ so that $A \otimes_L Y \cong C_B(L)$. Then $\deg(B) = d_K(A)$.*

Proof. The existence of w and B follows from Proposition 5 applied to $A \otimes_L Y$. By Proposition 4, (c), (c), $\deg(B) = \deg(A) \cdot \deg(Y) \cdot [L:K]$. The result now follows from Theorem 6. ■

We next show that $r_K(A)$ localizes.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let the context be as above. Then $(r_K(A))_p = r_K(A_p)$.*

Proof. Let U be an L -division ring such that $[A_p] + [U] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ and $\text{ind}(U) = r_K(A_p)$. Then $[A_p] + [U_p] = ([A_p] + [U])_p \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ so $U = U_p$. Thus $r_K(A_p)$ is a power of p . Now let Y be an L -division ring such that $\text{ind}(Y) = r_K(A)$ and $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Since $[A_p] + [Y_p] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ we have $(r_K(A))_p \geq r_K(A_p)$. Since $[A'] + [Y'] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ and $[A_p] + [U] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$, we have $[A] + [U \otimes_L Y'] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. By definition of $r_K(A)$ we have $r_K(A_p) \geq (r_K(A))_p$. Thus $(r_K(A))_p = r_K(A_p)$. ■

As mentioned previously, $d_K(A)$ does not localize. Since $r_K(A)$ localizes, however, we are able to obtain a simple relationship between $d_K(A)$ and the various $d_K(A_p)$.

COROLLARY 9. *Let the context be as above. Then:*

$$d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \prod_p \frac{d_K(A_p)}{[L:K]}$$

Proof. By Theorem 6 and Proposition 8,

$$\prod_p \frac{d_K(A_p)}{[L:K]} = \prod_p \text{deg}(A_p) \cdot r_K(A_p) = \text{deg}(A) \cdot r_K(A) = \frac{d_K(A)}{[L:K]}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We note two further consequences of Proposition 8.

COROLLARY 10. *Let the context be as above and let Y be an L -division ring such that $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Then $r_K(A)$ divides $\text{ind}(Y)$.*

Proof. For each prime p , $[A_p] + [Y_p] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$, and so it follows that $\text{ind}(Y_p) \geq r_K(A_p) = (r_K(A))_p$. ■

COROLLARY 11. *Let the context be as above. Then $r_K(A)$ divides $\text{ind}(A)$.*

Proof. Let Y be the division ring component of $[A^{op}]$. Since $[A] + [A^{op}] = [L]$, Corollary 10 implies that $r_K(A)$ divides $\text{ind}(Y) = \text{ind}(A)$. ■

We finally have enough to prove that the degree of a degree minimal central simple algebra for A/L divides the degree of any central simple B/K in which A/L is embeddable.

THEOREM 12. *Let L/K be a finite extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. If A/L embeds in a central simple B/K , then $d_K(A)$ divides $\text{deg}(B)$.*

Proof. Let A embed in a central simple B/K . Let Y/L be central simple such that $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$. By Proposition 4(c), $\text{deg}(B) = \text{deg}(A) \cdot \text{deg}(Y) \cdot [L:K]$. The result now follows from Theorem 6 and Corollary 10. ■

We turn our attention next to $ms_K(A)$. We begin with a preliminary result.

LEMMA 13. *Let the context be as above and let p be an arbitrary prime. Let \mathfrak{M}_p be the set of rational numbers of the form $\text{ind}(Y_p)/\text{ind}(D_p)$ where Y_p is an L -division ring of p -power index, D_p is a K -division ring of p -power index, and $[A_p] + [Y_p] = [D_p \otimes_K L]$. Then \mathfrak{M}_p has a minimum element.*

Proof. Suppose Y_p is an L -division ring of p -power index, D_p is a K -division ring of p -power index, and $[A_p] + [Y_p] = [D_p \otimes_K L]$. By Proposition 5 there is an integer $w(p)$ such that $A_p \otimes_L Y_p$ embeds in $M_{w(p)}(D_p)$ with $w(p) \cdot \text{ind}(D_p) = [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A_p) \cdot \text{ind}(Y_p)$. Thus each element of \mathfrak{M}_p becomes an integer when multiplied by $[L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A_p)$ so \mathfrak{M}_p has a minimal element. ■

Lemma 13 enables us to introduce the following invariant of A/L which by its very definition localizes.

DEFINITION. *Let L/K be a finite dimensional extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. For each prime p let \mathfrak{M}_p be defined as in Lemma 13. We define $v_K(A_p)$ to be the minimum element of \mathfrak{M}_p and we set $v_K(A) = \prod_p v_K(A_p)$.*

It should be noted that $v_K(A_p)$ is not, in general, trivial if p does not divide $\text{ind}(A)$. For such p , the value of $v_K(A_p)$ depends on the structure of the relative Brauer group $B(L/K)$. Since our next result shows that $v_K(A)$ is related to $ms_K(A)$ in the same way that $r_K(A)$ is related to $d_K(A)$, this explains why $ms_K(A)$ is a subtler invariant than $d_K(A)$.

THEOREM 14. *Let L/K be a finite extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. Then $ms_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot v_K(A)$.*

Proof. We show first that $ms_K(A) \leq [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot \prod_p v_K(A_p)$. For each prime p choose Y_p an L -division ring of p -power index and D_p a K -division ring of p -power index such that $[A_p] + [Y_p] = [D_p \otimes_K L]$ and $v_K(A_p) = \text{ind}(Y_p)/\text{ind}(D_p)$. Let $Y = \otimes_p Y_p$ and $D = \otimes_p D_p$, the tensor products being taken over L and K , respectively. Then $[A] + [Y] = [D \otimes_K L]$ so by Proposition 5 there is an integer u such that $A \otimes_L Y = C_B(L)$, where $B = M_u(D)$. By Proposition 4(c), $u = [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot \prod_p v_K(A_p)$. Thus

$$ms_K(A) \leq [L:K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot \prod_p v_K(A_p).$$

Now let $t = ms_K(A)$ and suppose E is a K -division ring such that A/L is embeddable in $B = M_t(E)$. Let $A \otimes_L X = C_B(L)$. Suppose $X \cong M_r(U)$. Then $A \otimes_L X \cong M_r(A \otimes_L U)$. By Proposition 2, $ms_K(A) = kr$ and $A \otimes_L U$ is embeddable in $M_k(E)$. But then A/L is embeddable in $M_k(E)$ and so $r = 1$ by the minimality of $ms_K(A)$. By Proposition 4(c), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [L: K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \prod_p \text{ind}(X_p)/\text{ind}(E_p) &= ms_K(A) \\
 &\leq [L: K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \prod_p v_K(A_p).
 \end{aligned}$$

But $[A_p] + [X_p] = [E_p \otimes_K L]$ so $(\text{ind}(X_p)/\text{ind}(E_p)) \geq v_K(A_p)$ for each prime p . It follows that $(\text{ind}(X_p)/\text{ind}(E_p)) = v_K(A_p)$ for each prime p and so $ms_K(A) = [L: K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot v_K(A)$. ■

Suppose B/K is matrix size minimal for A/L , where $[B] = [E]$, E a K -division ring. Let $A \otimes_L X = C_B(L)$. For future reference we note that the above proof shows that X is an L -division ring and $(\text{ind}(X_p)/\text{ind}(E_p)) = v_K(A_p)$ for every prime p . In particular, $\text{ind}(X) = \text{ind}(E) \cdot v_K(A)$.

Using Theorem 14 we obtain the analog of Corollary 9 for $ms_K(A)$.

COROLLARY 15. *Let the context be as above. Then:*

$$ms_K(A) = [L: K] \cdot \prod_p \frac{ms_K(A_p)}{[L: K]}.$$

Proof. By Theorem 14,

$$\prod_p \frac{ms_K(A_p)}{[L: K]} = \prod_p \deg(A_p) \cdot v_K(A_p) = \deg(A) \cdot v_K(A) = \frac{ms_K(Z)}{[L: K]}. \quad \blacksquare$$

We next prove the analog of Theorem 12 for $ms_K(A)$.

THEOREM 16. *Let the context be as above. If A/L embeds in a central simple B/K , then $ms_K(A)$ divides the matrix size of B .*

Proof. Let $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$, where Y/L is central simple. Let $B \cong M_w(D)$, where w is the matrix size of B . To show that $ms_K(A)$ divides w it is sufficient to show that $ms_K(A)$ divides w/k for some divisor k of w ; thus by Proposition 2 we may assume that Y is an L -division ring. By Proposition 4, $w = [L: K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \prod_p (\text{ind}(Y_p)/\text{ind}(D_p))$. Since $[A_p] + [Y_p] = [D_p \otimes_K L]$, $v_K(A_p) \leq (\text{ind}(Y_p)/\text{ind}(D_p))$. It follows that $w/ms_K(A) = \prod_p ((\text{ind}(Y_p)/\text{ind}(D_p)) \cdot (v_K(A_p))^{-1})$ is an integer. ■

3. STABLE FIELDS

In this section we will refine the results of Section 2 under the assumption that K and L are stable fields. Recall that a field L is called stable if $\exp(A) = \text{ind}(A)$ for every central simple A/L and that global and local fields are stable. We will adhere to the:

Context. Throughout this section L/K is a finite extension of stable fields and A/L is central simple.

Our main results for stable fields will follow by showing that there exists a B/K which is both matrix size minimal and degree minimal for A/L . The proof of this follows from an abelian group argument which we next proceed to isolate.

Let G and H be abelian groups (written additively) and let $\Phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism such that the kernel, $\ker(\Phi)$, of Φ has bounded exponent. Let p be a fixed prime and let $\alpha, \beta \in H_p$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi(G)$. Define $m_\alpha(\beta)$ to be the minimum of $\exp(\beta)/\exp(\delta)$, the minimum taken over all $\delta \in G_p$ such that $\Phi(\delta) = \alpha + \beta$. (We are denoting the order of β by $\exp(\beta)$.) We note that this minimum exists because of our assumption that $\ker(\Phi)$ has bounded exponent.

LEMMA 17. *Let $\alpha, \beta, \beta' \in H_p$ with $\alpha + \beta, \alpha + \beta' \in \Phi(G)$ and $\exp(\beta') > \exp(\beta)$. Then: $m_\alpha(\beta') \geq \min\{m_\alpha(\beta), m_\alpha(\beta + p(\beta' - \beta)), m_\alpha((p-1)^2 \beta - p(p-2)\beta')\}$. In particular, there exists $\gamma \in H_p$ with $\alpha + \gamma \in \Phi(G)$ and with $\exp(\gamma) < \exp(\beta')$ such that $m_\alpha(\beta') \geq m_\alpha(\gamma)$.*

Proof. Let $\delta, \delta' \in G_p$ with $\Phi(\delta) = \alpha + \beta, \Phi(\delta') = \alpha + \beta', m_\alpha(\beta) = \exp(\beta)/\exp(\delta)$, and $m_\alpha(\beta') = \exp(\beta')/\exp(\delta')$. If $\exp(\delta') \leq \exp(\delta)$ then clearly $m_\alpha(\beta') \geq m_\alpha(\beta)$. Suppose $\exp(\delta') > \exp(\delta)$. Let $\eta = \delta + p(\delta' - \delta)$ so $p \cdot \exp(\eta) \leq \exp(\delta')$ and $\Phi(\eta) = \alpha + (\beta + p(\beta' - \beta))$. We note that $p \cdot \exp(\beta + p(\beta' - \beta)) \leq \exp(\beta')$. Suppose first that $p \cdot \exp(\eta) = \exp(\delta')$. Then,

$$m_\alpha(\beta') = \frac{\exp(\beta')}{p \cdot \exp(\eta)} \geq \frac{\exp(\beta + p(\beta' - \beta))}{\exp(\eta)} \geq m_\alpha(\beta + p(\beta' - \beta)).$$

Finally, suppose that $p \cdot \exp(\eta) < \exp(\delta')$. Then $p \cdot \exp(p\delta' - (p-1)\eta) = \exp(\delta')$. An easy computation shows that $\Phi(p\delta' - (p-1)\eta) = \alpha + (p-1)^2\beta - p(p-2)\beta'$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} m_\alpha((p-1)^2\beta - p(p-2)\beta') &\leq \frac{\exp((p-1)^2\beta - p(p-2)\beta')}{\exp(p\delta' - (p-1)\eta)} \\ &\leq \frac{\exp(\beta')}{\exp(\delta')} = m_\alpha(\beta'). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 18. *Let L/K be a finite extension of stable fields, and let A/L be central simple. Then there exists a central simple B/K such that:*

- (a) B/K is degree minimal for A/L ,
- (b) B/K is matrix size minimal for A/L , and
- (c) $C_B(L) = A \otimes_L X$, where X is an L -division ring of index $r_K(A)$.

Proof. Let $t = ms_K(A)$ and let $C \cong M_t(E)$ be matrix size minimal for A/L , where E is a K -division ring. Let $C_C(L) = A \otimes_L V$. It follows from the remark following Theorem 14 that V is an L -division ring and for each prime p , $v_K(A_p) = \text{ind}(V_p)/\text{ind}(E_p)$. Let p be an arbitrary prime. Let $G = B(K)$, $H = B(L)$, and let $\Phi = \text{Res}_{L/K}$. If $[D] \in \ker(\Phi)$, D a K -division ring, then L splits D , so $\exp(D)$ divides $[L : K]$. Thus $\ker(\Phi)$ has bounded exponent and so Lemma 17 applies in this situation. Suppose $\text{ind}(V_p) > r_K(A_p)$. Let $\alpha = [A_p]$, $\beta' = [V_p]$, and let $\beta = [Y_p]$, where Y is as in Corollary 7. Since K and L are stable, we have $\text{ind}(V_p) = \exp(V_p)$. By Lemma 17 there is a K -division ring W_p of p -power index and an L -division ring X_p of p -power index with $\exp(X_p) < \exp(V_p)$ such that $[A_p] + [X_p] = \Phi([W_p])$ and $v_K(A_p) \geq \exp(X_p)/\exp(W_p)$. By definition of $v_K(A_p)$ we must have $v_K(A_p) = \exp(X_p)/\exp(W_p)$. We also have $r_K(A_p) \leq \exp(X_p) < \exp(V_p)$. By repeated application of Lemma 17 we may assume that $\exp(X_p) = r_K(A_p)$. Let W (resp. X) be the K -division ring (resp. L -division ring) having p -primary component W_p (resp. X_p) for each prime p . Since $[A_p] + [X_p] = \Phi([W_p])$ for each prime p , $[A] + [X] = \Phi([W])$. By Proposition 8, $\text{ind}(X) = r_K(A)$. Let $A \otimes_L X$ be embeddable in $B = M_w(W)$ so that $A \otimes_L X \cong C_B(L)$. By Corollary 7, B/K is degree minimal for A/L . By Theorem 14, $ms_K(A) = [L : K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot v_K(A)$. But

$$v_K(A) = \prod_p v_K(A_p) = \prod_p \exp(X_p)/\exp(W_p) = \text{deg}(X)/\text{deg}(W)$$

and so $ms_K(A) \cdot \text{deg}(W) = [L : K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot \text{deg}(X)$. It follows from Proposition 4(c), that $ms_K(A) \cdot \text{deg}(W) = \text{deg}(B) = w \cdot \text{deg}(W)$. Thus $w = ms_K(A)$ and so B/K is both degree minimal and matrix size minimal for A/L . ■

It is not true, in general, that if B/K is degree minimal (resp. matrix size minimal) for A/L , then B/K is also matrix size minimal (resp. degree minimal) for A/L . Assume, for example, that p and q are distinct primes, K is a global field, and $[L : K] = q$. Let D_1 be a K -division ring of index p . By [1, Corollary 4] $B(L/K)$ is infinite. Let D_2 be a K -division ring such that $[D_2] \in B(L/K)$. Let $\Delta = D_1 \otimes_K L$. Then Δ is an L -division ring of index p . By Theorem 6, $d_K(\Delta) = pq$. Let $B = M_q(D_1)$. By Proposition 5, B/K is degree minimal for Δ . B/K is, however, not matrix size minimal for Δ , since Proposition 5 also shows that Δ embeds in the K -division ring

$D_1 \otimes_K D_2$. If r is a prime distinct from p and q and D_3 is a K -division ring of index r , then A embeds in the K -division ring $D_1 \otimes_K D_2 \otimes_K D_3$. Thus $D_1 \otimes_K D_2 \otimes_K D_3$ is matrix size minimal for A but it clearly is not degree minimal.

Using Theorem 18 we can read off the relationship between the main invariants of A/L that we have been considering.

COROLLARY 19. *Let L/K be a finite extension of stable fields and let A/L be central simple. Then $d_K(A) \cdot v_K(A) = ms_K(A) \cdot r_K(A)$.*

Proof. Let B/K be as in the statement of Theorem 18 and let $C_B(L) = A \otimes_L X$. Let E be the skew field component of B . Then $v_K(A) = \text{ind}(X)/\text{ind}(E)$ and $\text{deg}(B) = d_K(A) = ms_K(A) \cdot \text{ind}(E)$. Thus $d_K(A) \cdot v_K(A) = ms_K(A) \cdot r_K(A)$. ■

With notation as in Theorem 18, we note that $v_K(A_p) = r_K(A_p)/\text{ind}(D_p)$ for each prime p . Let us define $m_K(A_p)$ to be the maximum index of a K -division ring A_p of p -power index such that for some L -division ring V_p with $\text{ind}(V_p) = r_K(A_p)$ we have $[A_p] + [V_p] = [A_p \otimes_K L]$. If we then define $m_K(A)$ to be $\prod_p m_K(A_p)$, then $m_K(A) = \text{ind}(D)$ and by Corollary 19 we have $ms_K(A) = d_K(A)/m_K(A)$. $m_K(A)$ is an answer to the following problem: it is the maximal degree of a K -division ring D so that $[A] + [Y] = [D \otimes_K L]$ and Y is an L -division ring of degree $r_K(A)$. We will, however, not be concerned with $m_K(A)$ in what follows.

The invariants $r_K(A)$ and $v_K(A)$ of A/L are useful for localizing the computation of $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ for arbitrary fields L and K . Unfortunately, both $r_K(A)$ and $v_K(A)$ suffer from the defect that their definition involves considering central simple Y/L such that $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$; this makes their computation difficult. We next introduce two additional invariants of A/L , $\text{exp}_K(A)$ and $k_K(A)$. These new invariants are more natural and more readily computable than $r_K(A)$ and $v_K(A)$. While their exact relationship to $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ is unclear for arbitrary fields, we are able to obtain simple expressions for $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ in terms of $\text{exp}_K(A)$ and $k_K(A)$ in many important cases.

DEFINITION. Let L/K be a finite dimensional extension of fields and let A/L be central simple. We define $\text{exp}_K(A)$ to be the order of $[A] + \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ in $B(L)/\text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ and $k_K(A)$ to be the maximum index of a K -division ring D such that $\text{Res}_{L/K}([D]) = \text{exp}_K(A)[A]$.

We note that $k_K(A)$ does not, in general, localize because of the possible existence of primes p not dividing $\text{ind}(A)$ such that $B(L/K)_p \neq \{0\}$.

LEMMA 20. *Let the context be as above. Then:*

- (a) *for each prime p , $k_K(A_p)_p = k_K(A)_p$*
- (b) *$\exp_K(A)$ divides $r_K(A)$*

Proof. (a) Let Γ be a K -division ring of index $k_K(A)$ with $\text{Res}_{L/K}([\Gamma]) = \exp_K(A)[A]$. Then $[\Gamma_p \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A)[A_p]$. Let $\exp_K(A) = v \cdot \exp_K(A_p)$ and let $rv \equiv 1 \pmod{\exp(A_p)}$. Since $r[\Gamma_p \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A_p)[A_p]$ and $r[\Gamma_p]$ has exponent $k_K(A)_p$, it follows that $k_K(A)_p \leq k_K(A_p)_p$. Now let Θ be a K -division ring of index $k_K(A_p)$ such that $[\Theta \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A_p)[A_p]$. Then $[\Theta_p \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A_p)[A_p]$ so $[(\Theta_p \otimes_K \prod_{q \neq p} \Gamma_q) \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A)[A]$. It follows that $k_K(A_p)_p \leq k_K(A)_p$, proving (a). Now let B/L be as in the statement of Theorem 18 and let $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L X$. Then X is an L -division ring of index $r_K(A)$ such that $[A] + [X] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Thus $r_K(A)[A] = r_K(A)[A] + r_K(A)[X] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ so $\exp_K(A)$ divides $r_K(A)$. ■

In order to obtain the desired expressions for $d_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ in terms of $\exp_K(A)$ and $k_K(A)$ it will be necessary at a crucial point in the argument to take an appropriate root of an element of $B(K)$. Since $B(K)$ is not always divisible, this will not always be possible. It is, however, well known that if K is a global field then $2B(K)$ is always divisible; this follows easily, for example, from [4, (32.13)]. This motivates the somewhat technical condition in the next lemma.

LEMMA 21. *Let the context be as above. Let p be a prime and let Γ_p be a K -division ring of index $k_K(A)_p$ such that $\text{Res}_{L/K}([\Gamma_p]) = \exp_K(A_p)[A_p]$. Let n be minimal such that there exists $[A] \in B(K)_p$ satisfying $p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [A] = p^n [\Gamma]$. Then there are integers u, r with $0 \leq u, r \leq n$ with*

$$d_K(A_p) = p^u \cdot [L: K] \cdot \text{deg}(A)_p \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$$

$$ms_K(A_p) = p^r \cdot [L: K] \cdot \text{deg}(A)_p / k_K(A)_p.$$

Proof. In view of Theorems 6 and 14 we need to show that there are integers u, r with $0 \leq u, r \leq n$ such that $r_K(A)_p = p^u \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$ and $v_K(A)_p = p^r / k_K(A)_p$. Let V be the L -division ring such that $[V] = [A \otimes_K L] - [A_p]$. Since

$$p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [V] = p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [A \otimes_K L] - p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [A_p]$$

and

$$p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [A \otimes_K L] = p^n ([\Gamma_p \otimes_K L]) = p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p [A_p],$$

it follows that $\exp(V)$ divides $p^n \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$. But $[A_p] + [V] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$ so $r_K(A)_p$ divides $\exp(V)$ by Corollary 10. Thus $\exp(V) = p^u \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$ for

some $v \leq n$ and so by Lemma 20(b) $r_K(A)_p = p^u \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$ for some $u \leq v \leq n$.

By Proposition 5 there is an integer w such that $A_p \otimes_L V$ is embeddable in $M_w(A)$ so that $w \cdot \exp(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A_p) \cdot \exp(V)$. Since $\exp(A) = \exp_K(A)_p \cdot k_K(A)_p$ we have $w = p^v \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A_p) / k_K(A)_p$. But $ms_K(A_p)$ divides w by Theorem 16, so $[L:K] \cdot \deg(A_p) \cdot v_K(A)_p$ divides $p^v \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A_p) / k_K(A)_p$. Thus $k_K(A)_p \cdot v_K(A)_p \leq p^v$. It remains to show that $k_K(A)_p \cdot v_K(A)_p \geq 1$.

Let B/L be as in the statement of Theorem 18. Let D be the skew field component of B and let $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$. By Theorem 18, Y is an L -division ring of index $r_K(A)$ and $\exp(D_p) = r_K(A)_p / v_K(A)_p$. We have

$$\exp_K(A)_p [D_p \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A)_p [A_p] + \exp_K(A)_p [Y_p]. \tag{1}$$

Let $G = B(K)_p$, $H = B(L)_p$, and let $\Phi = \text{Res}_{L/K}$. Let $\alpha = \exp_K(A)_p [A_p]$, $\beta = [L]$, and $\beta' = \exp_K(A)_p [Y_p]$. Since $r_K(A)_p = p^u \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$ for some $u \leq n$, we have $\exp(\beta') = p^u \geq 1$. If $u = 0$ then $r_K(A)_p = \exp_K(A)_p$. This implies that $\text{Res}_{L/K}(\exp_K(A)_p [D_p]) = \exp_K(A)_p [A_p]$. By definition of $k_K(A)$, $k_K(A)_p \geq \exp(\exp_K(A)_p [D_p]) = 1/v_K(A)_p$. Thus $k_K(A)_p \cdot v_K(A)_p \geq 1$ and so we may assume that $u \geq 1$. We have

$$\exp_K(A)_p [A_p] + [L] = \text{Res}_{L/K}([\Gamma_p]) \tag{2}$$

Now $\exp(\beta') > \exp(\beta)$ so Lemma 17 applies for (1) and (2). Note that in (2) we have $m_\alpha(\beta) = 1/k_K(A)_p$, and the choice of Γ_p makes this value minimal. Using (1), we have $m_\alpha(\beta') = v_K(A)_p$. Lemma 17 says $m_\alpha(\beta') \geq m_\alpha(u)$, where u has order strictly smaller than β' . Iterating this conclusion reduces us to the case $u = 0$. But (2) returns the minimal value among all $m_\alpha(0)$. Thus $v_K(A)_p \geq 1/k_K(A)_p$, so again, $v_K(A)_p \cdot k_K(A)_p \geq 1$, as desired. ■

We record some consequences of Lemma 21 in the special case when various p -Sylow components of $B(L/K)$ are divisible. These conditions will hold over global fields for all odd p . The proofs are all immediate from Lemma 21.

COROLLARY 22. *Let L, K, A satisfy the hypotheses of this section, i.e., we are assuming that K and L are stable. If for some prime p dividing $\exp(A)$ we have $B(K)_p$ is divisible, then $r_K(A)_p = \exp_K(A)_p$. If $B(K)_p$ is divisible for all primes p dividing $\exp(A)$ then*

- (1) $r_K(A) = \exp_K(A)$
- (2) $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \exp_K(A)$
- (3) $ms_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) / k_K(A)$.

In case $B(K)_p$ is not divisible but $p^n B(K)_p$ is divisible, the conclusion of Lemma 21 is that the estimates of (2) and (3) of Corollary 22 are “off by at most p^m ” for that local component.

We are finally able to give our main result relating $d_K(A)$ to $\exp_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A)$ to $k_K(A)$ when L/K is a finite extension of global fields. We will freely use the classification theory of central simple algebras over global fields by means of Hasse invariants; we refer the reader to [4, Section 32] for the relevant theory assumed. We denote the Hasse invariant of $[A]$ at a prime π of K by $\text{inv}_\pi[A]$. Let $\text{inv}_\pi[A] = s/m \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, where $(s, m) = 1$. Then m is called the *local index of A at π* and denoted by $\text{l.i.}_\pi[A]$; $\text{l.i.}_\pi[A] = \exp(A \otimes_K K_\pi)$, where K_π denotes the completion of K at π . We let ∞ denote the infinite prime of \mathbb{Q} .

THEOREM 23. *Let L/K be a finite extension of global fields and let A/L be central simple. Then $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \exp_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)/k_K(A)$ if any of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (1) K has positive characteristic
- (2) $\text{ind}(A)$ is odd
- (3) $[L:K]$ is odd
- (4) K has no real embeddings
- (5) L is totally real
- (6) $\exp_K(A)$ is odd
- (7) $B(L/K)_2$ is infinite.

In any case, $d_K(A) = 2^u \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \exp_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A) = 2^r \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)/k_K(A)$, where $0 \leq u, r \leq 1$.

Proof. Suppose Γ_p is a K -division ring of index $k_K(A)_p$ such that $\text{Res}_{L/K}([\Gamma_p]) = \exp_K(A_p)[A_p]$. The crux of the matter in Lemma 21 is whether $[\Gamma_p]$ is in $DB(K)$. By [4, (32.12)], $[\Gamma_p] \in DB(K)$ unless $p = 2$ and K has a real infinite prime π such that $\text{inv}_\pi[\Gamma_p] = \frac{1}{2}$. In particular, if $p \neq 2$ or if $p = 2$ and one of (1), (2), (4), or (6) hold, then by Lemma 21 we have $d_K(A_p) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)_p \cdot \exp_K(A)_p$ and $ms_K(A_p) = [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)_p/k_K(A)_p$. Since $d_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \prod_p (d_K(A_p)/[L:K])$ and $ms_K(A) = [L:K] \cdot \prod_p (ms_K(A_p)/[L:K])$, we may assume that $p = 2$, A has index a power of 2, and none of conditions (1), (2), (4), or (6) hold. We set $I' = I'_2$. By the criterion mentioned above for $[\Gamma]$ to be in $DB(K)$, we may assume that K has a real infinite prime π such that $\text{inv}_\pi[\Gamma] = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $\exp_K(A)$ is even, $\text{inv}_\mu(\exp_K(A)[A]) = 0$ for all infinite primes μ of L . But $[\Gamma \otimes_K L] = \exp_K(A)[A]$ and so $[L_\mu:K_\pi] \cdot \text{inv}_\pi[\Gamma] = \text{inv}_\mu[\Gamma \otimes_K L] = 0$ for all extensions μ of π to L . In particular, all extensions of π to L must be complex so we may assume that (5) does not hold. Since $[L:K] = \sum_\mu [L_\mu:K_\pi]$, we

are also finished if (3) holds. Finally, suppose (7) holds. Then there exist infinitely many finite primes τ of K with the property that $[L_\sigma: K_\tau]$ is even for all extensions σ of τ to L (see, for example, the proof of [1, Theorem 2]). For each infinite prime ϕ of K with $\text{inv}_\phi[\Gamma_p] = \frac{1}{2}$ we choose a different finite prime τ as above and let $\Omega(\phi)$ be the K -division ring such that $\text{inv}_\phi[\Omega(\phi)] = \text{inv}_\tau[\Omega(\phi)] = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\text{inv}_\rho[\Omega(\phi)] = 0$ for all other primes ρ of K . Let $A = \Gamma \otimes_K (\otimes_\phi \Omega(\phi))$. Then $A \in DB(K)$ and $[A \otimes_K L] = [\Gamma \otimes_K L]$, so we are also finished if (7) holds. ■

We remark that the precise conditions under which the u and r of Theorem 22 equal 1 are complicated and involve the existence of primes of K with certain local behavior in L ; we omit these calculations. In the next section we will give an example to show that the case when $u=r=1$ does arise. Although we will not prove it here, one can show that if $u=1$ then also $r=1$. We also give an example in the next section to show the case $u=0$ and $r=1$ occurs.

It is natural to ask whether there is a unique matrix size minimal or degree minimal B/K for a central simple A/L . Our final result shows that for global fields one always has infinitely many non-isomorphic choices for such a B .

THEOREM 24. *Let L/K be a non-trivial finite extension of global fields and let A/L be central simple. Then there exist infinitely many non-isomorphic central simple B/K which are both matrix size minimal and degree minimal for A/L .*

Proof. Let p be a prime such that $B(L/K)$ has infinitely many elements of order p ; the existence of such a p follows from [1, Corollary 4]. Let B/K be as in the statement of Theorem 18 and let D be the skew component of B . Then $\text{deg}(B) = d_K(A)$. We claim that p divides $\text{ind}(D)$. Suppose not. Let $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$. By Theorem 18, Y is an L -division ring of index $r_K(A)$. Let D_1 be a K -division ring of index p split by L . Then $[A \otimes_L Y] = [(D \otimes_K D_1) \otimes_K L]$ and $D \otimes_K D_1$ is a K -division ring. By Proposition 5 there is an integer w such that $A \otimes_L Y/L$ is embeddable in $B_1 = M_w(D \otimes_K D_1)$ so that $C_{B_1}(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y/L$. Since $\text{ind}(Y) = r_K(A)$, we have $\text{deg}(B_1) = d_K(A) = \text{deg}(B)$ by Corollary 7. Since $\text{deg}(D \otimes_K D_1) > \text{deg}(D)$, the matrix size of B_1 is strictly smaller than the matrix size of B . Since B/K is matrix size minimal for A/L we conclude that p divides $\text{ind}(D)$ as asserted.

Let \mathcal{F} be the set of primes π of K such that p divides the local degree $[L_\gamma: K_\pi]$ for every extension γ of π to L . By [1, Theorem 2], \mathcal{F} is infinite. Let μ and ν be distinct primes in \mathcal{F} such that $\text{inv}_\mu[D] = \text{inv}_\nu[D] = 0$ and let E be the K -division ring such that $\text{inv}_\mu[E] = 1/p$, $\text{inv}_\nu[E] = -1/p$, and $\text{inv}_\pi[E] = 0$ for all other primes π of K ; the existence of E follows from

[4, (32.13)]. Our assumptions imply that $[E] \in B(L/K)$. Let A be the skew field component of $D \otimes_K E$. By [4, Theorem 32.19], $\text{ind}(A) = \text{ind}(D)$. Since $[A] + [Y] = [A \otimes_K L]$, Corollary 7 implies that $A \otimes_L Y$ is embeddable in $B_2 = M_t(A)$, where $\deg(B_2) = d_K(A) = \deg(B)$. Since $\text{ind}(A) = \text{ind}(D)$ and B/K is matrix size minimal for A/L , we conclude that $t = ms_K(A)$ and so B_2 is also matrix size minimal for A/L . Since there are infinitely many choices for μ and ν , there are infinitely many choices for B_2 . In particular, B_2/K is degree minimal for A/L . ■

4. AN EXAMPLE

In this section we will give an example of number fields L/K and a central simple A/L such that $d_K(A) = 2 \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A) \cdot \text{exp}_K(A)$ and $ms_K(A) = 2 \cdot [L:K] \cdot \deg(A)/k_K(A)$.

EXAMPLE. Let $f(x) = x^4 + 18x^2 + 24x + 117 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Using any of the standard computational packages available (e.g., Maple or Macsyma), one can easily check that $f(x)$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$, has square discriminant $2^{18}3^6$, and has an irreducible factor of degree 3 when viewed in $\mathbb{Z}_5[x]$. It follows that the Galois group of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to A_4 . One can also easily verify that $f(x)$ has no real roots and factors into linear factors when viewed in $\mathbb{Z}_{71}[x]$. Let E be the splitting field of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} . Then E is totally imaginary, the rational prime 71 splits completely in E , and $\text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \cong A_4$.

We next construct our base field K so that K is totally real, EK/K is unramified at all finite primes, and $\text{Gal}(EK/K) \cong A_4$. Let E_p denote the splitting field of $f(x)$ over \mathbb{Q}_p for $p \in \{2, 3\}$. By [6, Proposition 4-10-5], $[E_p: \mathbb{Q}_p]$ divides 12. Let $r_p = 12/[E_p: \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and let $g_p(x)$ be a product of r_p distinct monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{Q}_p[x]$ each of whose roots is a primitive element for E_p over \mathbb{Q}_p . Let $g_{71}(x) = x^{12} - 71 \in \mathbb{Q}_{71}[x]$ and let $g_\infty(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{12} (x - i) \in \mathbb{R}[x]$. We note that $g_p(x)$ is separable for $p = 2, 3, 71$, and ∞ . By the Approximation Theorem [6, Theorem 1-2-3], Krasner's lemma [5, Lemma 5.5], and continuity considerations one can find a $g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ sufficiently close p -adically to $g_p(x)$ for $p = 2, 3, 71$, and ∞ so that if $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, where α is a root of $g(x)$, then K is totally real and EK/K is unramified at all finite primes of K . Moreover, since the rational prime 71 splits completely in E but is totally ramified in K , it follows that $E \cap K = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $\text{Gal}(EK/K) \cong A_4$.

Let $M = EK$. Then M/K is Galois with $\text{Gal}(M/K) \cong A_4$, K is totally real, M is totally imaginary, and each finite prime of K is unramified in M . Let L and T be, respectively, the fixed fields of distinct involutions σ and τ of $\text{Gal}(M/K)$. Since σ and τ are conjugate in $\text{Gal}(M/K)$, $L \cong T$. Since $M = LT$

and M is totally imaginary, each of L and T must also be totally imaginary. For future reference we note the following two properties of L/K :

(*) if π is an infinite prime of L extending the prime ρ of K , then $[L_\pi: K_\rho] = 2$

(**) if τ is a finite prime of K , then there is a prime β of L extending τ such that $[L_\beta: K_\tau]$ is odd.

Property (*) follows from the fact L is totally imaginary while K is totally real and Property (**) is proved exactly as in [3, Example 1, p. 184].

Let γ be the prime of K extending the rational prime 71 and let $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_6$ denote the primes of L extending γ . By [4, (32.13)] there exists an L -division ring A such that $\text{inv}_{\delta_i}[A] = \frac{1}{4}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$, $\text{inv}_{\delta_i}[A] = \frac{3}{4}$ for $i \in \{4, 5, 6\}$, and $\text{inv}_\rho[A] = 0$ for all other primes ρ of L . We shall show that $\text{exp}_K(A) = 2$ but $r_K(A) = 4$ and that $k_K(A) = 6$ but $v_K(A) = \frac{1}{3}$. In view of Theorems 6 and 14, this will show that

$$d_K(A) = 2 \cdot [L: K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) \cdot \text{exp}_K(A)$$

and

$$ms_K(A) = 2 \cdot [L: K] \cdot \text{deg}(A) / k_K(A).$$

We show first that $\text{exp}_K(A) = 2$ and $k_K(A) = 6$. By [4, Theorem 32.19], $\text{exp}(A) = 4$. Let π be a fixed infinite prime of K and let A be the K -division ring such that $\text{inv}_\gamma[A] = \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{inv}_\pi[A] = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\text{inv}_\rho[A] = 0$ for all other primes ρ of K . By Property (*) and [4, Theorems 31.9 and (32.13)], $\text{Res}_{L/K}[A] \cong 2[A]$ and so $\text{exp}_K(A)$ divides 2. Suppose $\text{exp}_K(A) = 1$. Then there exists a K -division ring Ω such that $\text{Res}_{L/K}[\Omega] \cong [A]$. Since $[L_{\delta_1}: K_\gamma] = 1$, $\text{inv}_\gamma[\Omega] = \frac{1}{4}$. But then $\text{inv}_{\delta_4}(\text{Res}_{L/K}[\Omega]) = \frac{1}{4}$ while $\text{inv}_{\delta_4}[A] = \frac{3}{4}$. Thus $\text{exp}_K(A) = 2$. By [1, Corollary 3], $B(L/K)_3$ is infinite so there exists a K -division ring Y of index 3 in $B(L/K)$. Then $\text{Res}_{L/K}([A \otimes_K Y]) = \text{exp}_K(A)[A]$ so $k_K(A) \geq 6$. Suppose $k_K(A) > 6$ and let Ψ be a K -division ring of index $k_K(A)$ such that $[\Psi \otimes_K L] = 2[A]$. Then $2[\Psi] \in B(L/K)$ so Ψ has index dividing 12 [4, Theorem 28.5]. It follows that Ψ must have index 12. There must exist a prime ν of K such that $\text{li}_\nu[\Psi_2] = 4$. Clearly ν is a finite prime so by Property (**) there is an extension ζ of ν to L such that $[L_\zeta: K_\nu]$ is odd. But then $\text{inv}_\zeta 2[A] = [L_\zeta: K_\nu] \cdot \text{inv}_\nu[\Psi]$ and so $\text{li}_\zeta 2[A] = 4$, a contradiction. Thus $k_K(A) = 6$.

We show next that $r_K(A) = 4$. By Corollary 11, $r_K(A)$ divides 4. Since $[A] \notin \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$, $r_K(A) \neq 1$. Suppose $r_K(A) = 2$ and Y is an L -division

ring of index 2 such that $[A] + [Y] \in \text{Res}_{L/K}(B(K))$. Let $[A] + [Y] = \text{Res}_{L/K}[A]$, where A is a K -division ring. Since $\text{inv}_{\delta_i}([A] + [Y]) = \text{inv}_{\delta_j}([A] + [Y]) = \text{inv}_{\gamma}[A]$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$ it follows that we may assume that $\text{inv}_{\delta_i}[Y] = 0$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\text{inv}_{\delta_j}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}$ for $j \in \{4, 5, 6\}$. Let \mathcal{S} denote the set of primes μ of L such that $\mu \notin \{\delta_4, \delta_5, \delta_6\}$ and $\text{inv}_{\mu}[Y] \neq 0$. Since the sum of the invariants of $[Y]$ is an integer and Y has exponent 2, we must have $\text{inv}_{\mu}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}$ for all primes $\mu \in \mathcal{S}$ and $|\mathcal{S}|$ is odd. Fix a prime τ of K , $\tau \neq \gamma$, and let μ_1, \dots, μ_r denote the primes of L extending τ . Since $\tau \neq \gamma$, $\text{inv}_{\mu_i}[A] = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Suppose $\text{inv}_{\mu_1}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}$. If $[L_{\mu_1}:K_{\tau}]$ is even then $\text{li}_{\tau}[A]$ is divisible by 4. In particular, τ must be a finite prime. By Property (**), there exists an i such that $[L_{\mu_i}:K_{\tau}]$ is odd. But $[L_{\mu_i}:K_{\tau}] \cdot \text{inv}_{\tau}[A] = \text{inv}_{\mu_i}[Y]$, contradicting the fact that Y has exponent two. It follows that $[L_{\mu_1}:K_{\tau}]$ is odd and $\text{inv}_{\tau}[A] = \frac{1}{2}$. This implies that $\text{inv}_{\mu_i}[Y] = \frac{1}{2}$ for all i such that $[L_{\mu_i}:K_{\tau}]$ is odd. But $\sum_i [L_{\mu_i}:K_{\tau}] = 6$ so there are an even number of i with $[L_{\mu_i}:K_{\tau}]$ odd. This implies that $|\mathcal{S}|$ is even, a contradiction, proving that $r_K(A) = 4$.

Finally, we show that $v_K(A) = \frac{1}{3}$. Let B/K be as in the statement of Theorem 18, let D be the skew field component of B , and let $C_B(L) \cong A \otimes_L Y$. By Theorem 18, $\text{exp}(Y) = 4$, $[D \otimes_K L] = [A] + [Y]$, and $v_K(A) = 4/\text{exp}(D)$. Then $4[D] \in B(L/K)$ so $\text{exp}(D)$ divides 24. Suppose $\text{exp}(D) = 24$. Then there exists a prime τ of K such that $8 \mid \text{li}_{\tau}[D]$. τ is clearly finite and $\tau \neq \gamma$. By Property (**), $[L_{\beta}:K_{\tau}]$ is odd for some extension β of τ . Since $\text{inv}_{\beta}[A] = 0$, $8 \mid \text{li}_{\beta}[Y]$, contradicting $\text{exp}(Y) = 4$. Thus $\text{exp}(D) \leq 12$ and so $v_K(A) \geq \frac{1}{3}$. Let η be a prime of K splitting completely in L , $\eta \neq \gamma$. (Since the rational prime 197 splits completely in E , if we require $g(x)$ to be sufficiently close 197-adically to $x^{12} - 197$, we can take η to be the prime of K extending 197.) Let A_2 be the K -division ring such that $\text{inv}_{\gamma}[A_2] = \frac{1}{4}$, $\text{inv}_{\eta}[A_2] = \frac{3}{4}$, and $\text{inv}_{\rho}[A_2] = 0$ for all other primes ρ of K . Let $A = A_2 \otimes_K Y$, where $[Y] \in B(L/K)$ with $\text{exp}(Y) = 3$. Let $[Y] = [A \otimes_K L] - [A]$. Then Y has exponent four and so $v_K(A) \leq \frac{4}{12}$. Thus $v_K(A) = \frac{1}{3}$, as was to be shown.

We can also construct an example to show that the case $u = 0$, $r = 1$ occurs. With notation as in the example, let π be a fixed infinite prime of K and let π_1 be an extension of π to L . Let A be the L -division ring with invariants as follows: $\text{inv}_{\delta_1} = \frac{1}{2}$, $\text{inv}_{\pi_1} = \frac{1}{2}$, all other invariants 0. Then one can easily check that $\text{exp}_K(A) = r_K(A) = k_K(A) = 2$ but $v_K(A) = 1$.

REFERENCES

1. B. FEIN, W. M. KANTOR, AND M. SCHACHER, Relative Brauer groups, II, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **328** (1981), 39–57.

2. B. FEIN AND M. SCHACHER, Finite groups occurring in finite dimensional division algebras, *J. Algebra* **32** (1974), 332–338.
3. B. FEIN AND M. SCHACHER, Relative Brauer groups, I. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **321** (1981), 179–194.
4. I. REINER, “Maximal Orders,” Academic Press, New York, 1975.
5. D. J. SALTMAN, Generic Galois extensions and problems in field theory, *Adv. in Math.* **43** (1982), 250–283.
6. E. WEISS, “Algebraic Number Theory,” McGraw–Hill, New York, 1963.