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#### Abstract

The question of how often the same distance can occur between $k$ distinct points in $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_{n}$ "ta: been extersively studied by Paul Erdös and others. Sir Alexander Oppenheim posed the somewhat similar problem of investigating how many triangle: with vertices chosen from arıo.s $k$ points in $\mathrm{E}_{n}$ can have the same non-zero area. A subsequent article by Erdös and Purdy gave some preliminary results on this problem. Here we carry that work somewhat further and show that there can lot be more than $c k^{3-\epsilon}$ triangles with the same non-zero area chosen from among $k$ points in $\mathrm{E}_{5}$, where $\epsilon$ is a positive constant. Since there can be $c k^{3}$ such triangles in $\mathrm{E}_{6}$, the result is in a certain sense best possible. The methods used are mainly combinatorial and geometrical. i minn tool is a theorem on generalized graphs due to Paul Erdös.


## 1. Introduction

Let there be given $n$ points $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ in $k$-climensional Euclidean space $\mathrm{E}_{k}$. Denote by $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ the distance between $X_{i}$ and $X_{j}$. Let $A\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ be the number of distinct values of $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right), 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$. Put $f_{k}(n)=\min A\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, where the minimum is taken over all possible choices of distinct $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. Denote by $g_{k}(n)$ the maximum number of solutions of $d\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)=\alpha, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$, where the maximum is to be taken over all possible choices of $\alpha$ and $n$ distinct points $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$. The estimation of $f_{k}(n)$ and $g_{k}(n)$ are difficult problems even for $k=2$. It is known (see [ 1,7$]$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c n^{2 / 3}<f_{2}(n)<c n / \sqrt{\log n} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
n * *(1+c / \log \log n)<g_{2}(n)<C n^{3 / 2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ and $C$ are positive absolute constants and $a * * b$ denotes $a^{b}$.
If $k \geq 4$, the study of $g_{k}(n)$ becomes somewhat simpler ([4] see also [2]).
A. Oppenheim posed the problem of investigating the number of riangles chosen from $n$ points in the plane which have the same nonero area. This question and its generalization were first investigated in [5]. In this note I support some clains made in [5].

## 2. Notations

Let $n \geq 3, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be $n$ points in $k$-dimensional space $\mathrm{E}_{k}$ and let $\Delta>0$.

We cefine $g_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} ; \Delta\right)$ to be the number of triangles of the form $X_{i} X_{j} X_{k}$ having area $\Delta$. We let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\underset{\Delta}{\operatorname{Max}} g_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} ; \Delta\right), \\
& g_{k}^{(2)}(n)=\operatorname{Max}_{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}} g_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P$ be a fixed point and define $G_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} ; \Delta\right)$ to be the number of triangles of the form $P X_{i} X_{j}$ having area $\Delta$. We let

$$
G_{k}^{(2)}(n)=\operatorname{Max}_{\substack{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} \\ \Delta>0}} G_{k}^{(2)}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n} ; \Delta\right) .
$$

Clearly, $g_{k-1}^{(2)}(n) \leq g{ }_{k}^{(2)}(n) \leq n G_{k}^{(2)}(n-1) \leq n G_{k}^{(2)}(n)$. We see that $g_{k}^{(2)}(n)$ is analogous to $g_{k}(n)$.

## 3. The article of Erdös and Purdy

It was shown [5] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c n^{2} \log \log n \leq g_{2}^{(2)}(n) \leq n C_{2}^{(2)}(n) \leq 4 n^{5 / 2}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c$ is a positive absolute constant, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}^{(2)}(n) \leq g_{3}^{(2)}(n) \leq n G_{3}^{(2)}(n) \leq c n^{3-(1 / 3)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A simple example, which I shall give in Section 4, shows that $G_{,^{(2)}(n)}(n)$ $\geq c n^{\prime \prime}$ and $g_{5}^{(2)}(n) \geq c n^{3}$. It is therefore worth asking whether $g_{4}^{(2)}(n)$
and $g_{\xi}^{(2)}(n)$ are $o\left(n^{3}\right)$. The object of this note is to support the claim made in [5] that in fact $g_{4}^{(2)}(n) \leq g_{5}^{(2)}(n) \leq c n^{3-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon>0$.

## 4. The example of Linz generalized

We first give the example that shows that $G_{4}^{(2)}(n) \geq c n^{2}$. Let $n \geq 2$ be given. Let $n=2 m+r$, where $0 \leq r<2$. Choose a coordinate system in $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ and put $X_{i}=\left(a_{i}, b_{i}, 0,0\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and $Y_{i}=\left(0,0, a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+r$, where $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ are $m+r$ distinct real solutions of $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$. Then the $m(m+r)$ triangles $O X_{i} Y_{j}$ are all congruent to the triangles with sides $1,1, \sqrt{2}$ and therefore have the same (positive) area. Hence $G_{4}^{(2)}(n) \geq m(m \div r) \geq \frac{1}{4} n^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \geq c n^{2}$. By choosing the $a_{i}, b_{i}$ so that some of the triangles $O Y_{i} Y_{j}$ and $O X_{i} X_{j}$ are congruent to the $O X_{i} Y_{j}$, we may improve this to $\frac{8}{4} n^{2}+c n$, but no further.

We now show that $g_{6}^{(2)}(n) \geq c n^{3}$. Let $n \geq 3$ be given. Let $n=3 m+r$, where $0 \leq r<3$. Choose a coordinate system in $\mathrm{E}_{6}$, put $X_{i}=\left(a_{i}, b_{i}, 0\right.$, $0,0,0)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, put $Y_{i}=\left(0,0, a_{i}, b_{i}, 0,0\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, and pur $Z_{i}=\left(0,0,0,0, a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq m+r$, where $\left(a_{i}, b_{i}\right)$ are $m+r$ distinct real solutions of $a^{2}+b^{2}=1$. Then the $m^{2}(m+r)$ triangles $X_{i} Y_{j} Z_{k}$ are all equilateral triangles of side length $\sqrt{2}$. Hence $g_{6}^{(2)}(n) \geq m^{2}(m+r) \geq c n^{3}$.

## 5. Statement of the main theorems

Theorem 5.1. There exist $n_{1}, \epsilon>0$ such that $g_{5}^{(2)}(n) \leq n^{3-\epsilon}$ for $n \geq n_{1}$. Consequently, there exists a positive constant $c$ such that $g_{5}^{(2)}(n) \leq c n^{3-\epsilon}$ for all $n$.

Let $|S|$ denote the cardinality of the set $S$. We shall deduce Theorern 5.1 from the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2. Suppose that $A, B$ and $C$ are finite sets in $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ such that $|A| \geq M,|B| \geq N a n a^{\prime}|C| \geq N$, where $M$ and $N$ are certain absolute constants. Then the triangles $X Y Z$ for $X$ in $A, Y$ in $B$.and $Z$ in $C$ cannot all have the same area, unless that area be zero.

## 6. Some graph theory

By an r-graph $G^{(r)}$ we mean an object whose basic components are its elements, called vertices, and certain distinguished r-element sets of these elements, called $r$-sets. When $r=2, G^{(r)}$ is an ordinary graph. When we say that $G$ is a $C^{(r)}(n ; m)$, we mean that $G$ is an $r$-graph having $n$ vertices and $m r$-sets. If $G$ is a $G^{(r)}\left(n ;\binom{n}{r}\right.$ ), then $G$ is the unique $r$-graph which has all possible $r$-element sets as its $r$-sets. We call this the complete $r$-graph on $n$ vertices and denote it by $K^{(r)}(n) . K^{(r)}\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$ will denote the $r$-graph of $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{r}$ vertices and $n_{1} \ldots n_{r} r$-sets defined as follows: The vertices are

$$
X_{i_{j}}^{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad 1 \leq i_{j} \leq n_{j},
$$

and the $r$-sets of our $r$-graph are the $n_{1} \ldots n_{r} r$-sets

$$
\left\{X_{i_{1}}^{(1)}, X_{i_{2}}^{(2)}, \ldots, X_{i_{r}}^{(r)}\right\}, \quad 1 \leq i_{j} \leq n_{j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r
$$

Denote by $f\left(n ; K^{(r)}\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{r}\right)\right.$ the smallest integer $L$ so that every $G^{(r)}(n ; L)$ contains a $K^{(r)}\left(l_{1}, \ldots, l_{1}\right)$.

In an elementary but not-trivial way, Erdös [3, Theorem 1] proves that if $n>n_{0}(r, l)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(n ; K^{(r)}(l, \ldots, l)\right) \leq n * *(r-l * *(1-r)) \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall use this result with $r=3$, and we shall refer to the 3 -sets of a 3-graph as triples in what follows.

## 7. The relation between the main theorems

We now prove that Theorem 5.2 implies Theorem 5.1. Let $l$ be the maximum of $M$ and $N$ of Theorem 5.2, let $\epsilon=l^{-2}$ and let $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$ be distinct points in $\mathrm{E}_{5}$ with $n>n_{0}(r, l)$, where $n_{0}(r, l)$ is the function given in Erdös's inequality (*). It is an easy consequence of (*) that Theorem 5.2 implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{5}^{(2}\left(n ; X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) \leq n^{3-\epsilon} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, let $\Delta>0$ and let $G^{(3)}$ denote the 3-graph with $n$ vertices $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}$, where the triple $X_{i} X_{j} X_{k}$ is in $G^{(3)}$ if and only it the triangle $X_{i} X_{j} X_{k}$ has area $\Delta$. Then Theorem 5.2 implies that $G^{(3)}$ does not contain a $K^{(3)}(l, l, l)$ subgraph, and (5) then follows from (*). Theorem 5.1 follows since $\lambda$ was arbitrary.

## 8. Some lemmas

Before proving Theorem 5.2, we must introduce some definitions and lemmas. We shall use the notation $\{x\}$ to mean least integer not less than $x$.

Lemma 8.1. Let triangles $\dot{P} X_{i} Y_{j}, 1 \leq i \leq n+1,1 \leq j \leq H$, all have the same non-zero area $\Delta$, where $X_{i}, Y_{j}$ are points in real Euclidean n-dimensional space. If the $n+1$ distances $d\left(P, X_{i}\right)$ are all different and nonzero, then there are not more than $2^{n-1}$ distinct distances $d\left(P, Y_{j}\right)$. Hence at least $\left\{H / 2^{n-1}\right\}$ of the $Y_{j}$ are equidistant from $P$.

Proof. Let $P$ be the origin of coordinates. Let $U_{i}$ be a unit vector parallel to $\overrightarrow{P X} \vec{i}$. The area of a triangle $O X Y$ can be written in terms of lengths and the inner product as half the square root of $|X|^{2}|Y|^{2}-(X \cdot Y)^{2}$. For all $i$ and $j$, we have $4 \Delta^{2}=\left|X_{i}\right|^{2}\left|Y_{j}\right|^{2}-\left(X_{i} \cdot Y_{j}\right)^{2}$, or $\left|Y_{j}\right|^{2}-\left(U_{i} \cdot Y_{j}\right)^{2}=$ $r_{i}^{2}$, where $r_{i}=\therefore د /\left|X_{i}\right|$ Let $C_{i}$ be the set of solutions $Y$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
|Y|^{2}-\left(U_{i} \cdot Y\right)^{2}=r_{i}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $C_{i}$ is a cylinder with axis $U_{i}$ and radius $r_{i}$. Let $k$ be the rank of the set $\left\{U_{1}, \ldots, U_{n+1}\right\}$. By renaming the $U_{i}$ and choosing a suitable coordinate system, we may suppose that $U_{i}=\left(a_{i 1}, \ldots, a_{i n}\right)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n+1$, $a_{i i} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$, and $a_{i j}=0$ if $j>k$ for all $i$. Putting $r=|Y|$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)$ in (6) and solving for $Y \cdot U_{i}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{i} a_{i j} y_{j}= \pm \sqrt{r^{2}-r_{i}^{2}}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k+1, j} y_{j}= \pm \sqrt{r^{2}-r_{k+1}^{2}} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

We shall show that $r^{2}$ is the root of a non-zero poiynomial of degree at
most $2^{k-1}$, and the lemma will ollow. Let the system of equations $\sum_{j=1}^{i} a_{i j} y_{j}=z_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ have the solution $y_{i}=\Sigma_{j=1}^{i} b_{i j} z_{j}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ and sirpose that $z_{k+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k+1, j} y_{j}$. The substituting the expression for the $y_{j}$, we get

$$
z_{k+1}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} a_{k+1, j} \sum_{i=1}^{j} b_{j i} z_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{k} c_{j} z_{j} \quad \text { for some } c_{j}
$$

There are $2^{k}$ functions $f_{i}\left(r^{r}\right)$ of the form $\sqrt{t \cdots r_{k+1}^{2}}-\Sigma_{j=1}^{k} \pm c_{j} \sqrt{t-r_{j}^{2}}$ corresponding to the choices of sign. Let $P(t)=f_{1}(t) \ldots f_{m}(t)$, where $m=2^{k}$. If $Y$ is a solution of (7), then clearly $P\left(r^{2}\right)=0$. It is therefore sufficient to show that $P$ is a non-zero polynomial of degree at most $2^{k-1}$. Let $W_{0}=\sqrt{t-r_{k+1}^{2}}$ and let $W_{i}=c_{i} \sqrt{t-r_{i}^{2}}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. By induction on $k$, we have $\Pi\left(W_{0} \pm W_{1} \pm \ldots \pm W_{k}\right)=F_{k}\left(W_{0}^{2}, W_{1}^{2}, \ldots, W_{k}^{2}\right)$, where $F_{k}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $2^{k-1}$ and the product is taken over all $2^{k}$ possible combinations of signs. Hence $P(t)=$ $F_{k}\left(t-r_{k+1}^{2}, c_{1}^{2}\left(t-r_{1}^{2}\right), \ldots, c_{k}^{2}\left(t-r_{k}^{2}\right)\right)$ is a polynomial in $t$ of degree at most $2^{k-1}$.

To show that $P$ is $n \uparrow t$ the zero polynomial, we proceed as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{i}(t)=\sqrt{t-r_{k+1}^{2}}-\sum_{j=1}^{k} \pm c_{j} \sqrt{t-r_{j}^{2}} \\
& 2 f_{i}^{\prime}\left(i^{\prime}\right)=1 / \sqrt{t-r_{k+1}^{2}}+\sum_{j=1}^{k} \pm c_{j} / \sqrt{t-r_{j}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $c_{k+1}=1$ and let $R=r_{p}$ be the maximum $r_{j}$ for which $c_{j} \neq 0$. Then ${ }_{i} f_{i}^{\prime \prime}(t)$ is of constant sign for $R^{2}<t<R^{2}+\epsilon_{i}$, some positive $\epsilon_{i}$, since the term $c_{p} / \sqrt{t-r_{r}^{2}}$ goes to infinity as $t \downarrow R^{2}$, and the other terms remain bounded. (If the $r_{i}$ were not distinct, considerable difficulty would arise a: this point.) Hence $f_{i}$ has at most one zero in that interval, and $P$ has at most $m$ zeros in the interval $R^{2}<t<R^{2}+\min \epsilon_{i}$. The lemma is proved.

Definition.8.2. If all the points of a set $B$ are equidistant from a point $X$, then we say that $B$ is equidistant from $X$. If $B$ is equidistant from every point $X$ of $A$, then we say that $B$ is equidistant from $A$. This relation is clearly not symmetric. If all the points of a set $B$ are different distances from a point $X$, then we say that $B$ is separaied by $X$. If $B$ is
separated by every point $X$ of $A$, then we say that $B$ is separatea bv $A$. This relation is also not symmetric.

Lemma 8.3. Let $S$ and $T$ be arbitrary sets of cardinalities $M$ and $N$ respectively, and suppose that the elements of $S \times T$ are divided into two classes $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$. (Suppose that the pairs are colored' two colors $C_{1}$ a:td $C_{2}$.) Then there is a subvet $T^{\prime}$ of $T$ of cardinality $\left\{N /\left(2^{M}\right)\right\}$ such that for every $X$ in $S$ the elements $\left(X, Y\right.$ ) for $Y$ in $T^{\prime}$ are either all in $C_{1}$ or all in $C_{2}$. (For every $X$, the olor of the pair $(X, Y)$ for $Y$ in $T^{\prime}$ depends only on $X$.)

Prosi. Use induction on $M$ and the pigeon-hole principle.
Lenma 84. Given pairwise disioint finite subsets $A, B, C$ of $\mathrm{E}_{k}$, there are subsets $A^{\prime}$ of $A, B^{\prime}$ of $B$, and $C^{\prime}$ of $C$ such that $B^{\prime}$ is separated by or equidistant from $A^{\prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ is separated by or equidistant from $A^{\prime}$. Further if $|A|=H$, we have $\left|A^{\prime}\right|=\left\{\frac{1}{4} H\right\},\left|B^{\prime}\right|=|B| * * 2^{-H}$ and $\left|C^{\prime}\right|=$ $|C| * * 2^{-}\{H / 2\}$.

Proof. Let $B_{0}=B$, and $i \geq 1$ If the elements of $A$ are $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots . \lambda_{H}$, we define sets $B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{H}$ as follows. For each $X_{i}$, we color $X_{i}$ and take a subset of $B_{i-1}$ as folliws. If $B_{i-1}$ has a suoset of $\left\{\sqrt{\left|\bar{B}_{i-1}\right|}\right\}$ points separated by $X_{i}$, let $E_{i}$; te this subset and we color $X_{i}$ red. Otherwise, by the pigeon-hole pris l , there is a subset $B_{i}$ of $B_{i-1}$ of cardinality $\left\{\sqrt{\mid B_{i-1}}\right\}$, equidistant forn $X_{i}$, and we color $X_{i}$ blue. If we do this for $1 \leq i \leq H$, we get a sut set $B_{H}$ of $B$ of cardinality $|B| * * 2^{* H}$ that is separated by all the rec $\rho_{i}$ and equidistant from all the blue $X_{i}$. Let $B^{\prime}=B_{H}$. Then there is a sirset $A^{*}$ of cardinality $\left\{\frac{1}{2} H\right\}$ of $A$ such that $B^{\prime}$ is either separated by $\because$ uidistant from $A^{*}$.

Similarly, there is a subset $\because$ (f $C$ of cardinality $|C| * * 2^{-K}, K=\left\{\frac{1}{2} H\right\}$, and a subset $A^{\prime}$ of $A^{*}$ of carr inai ty $\left\{\frac{1}{4} H\right.$; such that $C^{\prime}$ is either separated by or equidistant from $A^{\prime}$. Te ienma follows.

Lemma 8.5. Let $P X_{i} Y_{j} . \quad \Delta>0$ for $1 \leq i \leq 3$ and $1 \leq j \leq 3$, where $X_{i}, X_{2}, X_{3}$ are dis. ' tita $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3}$ are distinct. Then the $X_{i}$ are not collinear. By symme, the $Y_{j}$ are not collinear.

Proof. Suppose the $X_{i}$ are collinear. Let $1 \leq j \leq 3$. The $X_{i}$ lie on the sur$f_{i}$ ce of a cylinder with axis $P Y_{j}$. This can happen only if the $X_{i}$ lie on a line parallel to $P Y_{j}$. Consequently, $P$ and the $Y_{j}$ are collinear. The distances: $d\left(F, Y_{j}\right)$ camot all be equal. Suppose; without loss of generality, that $d\left(P, Y_{1}\right)>d\left(P, Y_{2}\right)$. Then triangle $P X_{1} Y_{1}$ has : greater area than triangie $P X_{1} Y_{2}$, contary to the hypothesis.

Lemma 8.6. If in $\mathrm{E}_{4}$ the cylinder $C=\left\{X:|X|^{2}-\{U \cdot X)^{2}=c^{2}\right\}$, where $|U|=1$, intersects the hyperplane $\pi$, then there are three possibilities.
(i) If $\overrightarrow{O U}$ is parallel to $\pi$, then $C$ intersects $\pi$ in a cylinder.
(ii) If $\overrightarrow{O U}$ is perpendicular to $\pi$, then $C$ intersects $\pi$ in a sphere.
(iii) If neither of the above, then C intersects $\pi$ in an ellipsoid of revolution whose axis is the projection of $\overleftrightarrow{O U}$ onto $\pi$.

Proof. Choose the origin $O$ to be on $\pi$ and choose the $X_{4}$ axis normal to $\pi$. Then $\pi$ is the set of points $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}\right)$ such that $x_{4}=0$. Now choose the $X_{1}$ axis lying in $\pi$, in the direction of the projection $\overrightarrow{O U^{*}}$ of $\overrightarrow{O U}$ onto $\pi$. Thien $U=(\alpha, 0,0, \beta)$, where $c^{2}+\beta^{2}=1$. The cylinder $C$ has the equation ${ }^{\circ} x_{i}^{2}-\left(\alpha x_{1}+\beta x_{4}\right)^{2}=c^{2}$ and $C$ intersects $\pi$ in a surface with equation $\beta^{2} x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}+x_{3}^{2}=c^{2}$. If $\beta=0$, we have (i); if $\beta=1$, we have (ii); and if $0<\beta<1$, then we have (iii).

## 9. Proof of Theorem 5.2

Le: $A, B, C$ be sets of cardinality $M, N, N$ respectively, such that the triangles $X Y Z$ for $X$ in $A, Y$ in $B$ and $Z$ in $C$, all have a common positive arca $\Delta$. We shall show that this leads to a contradiction if $M$ and $N$ are large enough, and the theorem will follow.

Lee us assume that $N \geq M \geq 3$; then. by Lemma 8.5 , no three points of $A$ (or $B$ or $C$ ) are collinear. By Lemma 8.4 and the symmetry betwe en $B$ and $C$, we may suppose without luss of generality that one of the following holds:
(:) $B$ is separated by $A$, but $C$ is eciuidistant from $A$.
(2) $B$ is separated by $A$ and $C$ is separated by $A$.
(3) $B$ is equidistant from $A$ and $C$ is equidistant from $A$.

This epplication of Lemma 8.4 redu ves $M$ and $N$. From now on, $M$ and $i v$ are for the new sets.

Let $A=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{M}\right\}, B=\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{N}\right\}$. First of all, (2) leads immediately to a contradiction. Take one point $X$ of $A$ and six point: $Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{6}$ of $B$. Tien, by Lemma 8.1 , there are at most 16 points $Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{16}$ such that $\left\{Z_{1}, \ldots, Z_{16}\right\}$ is separated by $X$. We get a contradiction if $N \geq 17$.

Seco adly, (3) leads to a contradiction; (3) implies that the affine hull of $A$ is orthogonal to the affine huil of $B$ and the affine hull of $C$. We shall find a subsets $B^{\prime}$ of $B$ and $C^{\prime \prime}$ of $C$ whose affine hulls are orthogonal. Let $X$ be a fixed point of $A$, let $B^{\prime}$ be a subset of order three of $B$, let $d$ be the common distance of $B$ from $X$ and let $e$ be the common sistance of $C$ from $X$. Then $4 \Delta^{2}=e^{2} d^{2}-\{(Y-X) \cdot(Z-X)\}^{2}$ or $|(Y-X) \cdot(Z-X)|$ $=\sqrt{e^{2} d^{2}-4 \Delta^{2}}$ for all $(Y, Z)$ in $B^{\prime} \times C$. By Lemma 8.3 , there is a subset $C^{\prime}$ of $C$ of order $\left\{\frac{1}{B} N\right\}$ such that for each $Y$ in $B^{\prime},(Y-X) \cdot(Z-X)$ has a constant sign as $Z$ ranges over $C^{\prime}$. Hence for $Z, Z^{\prime}$ in $C^{\prime}$ and $Y, Y^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime}$, $(Y-X) \cdot(Z-X)=(Y-X) \cdot\left(Z^{\prime}-X\right) .(Y-X) \cdot\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)=0,\left(Y-Y^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right)$ $=9$, and the affine covers of $C^{\prime}$ and $B^{\prime}$ are orthogonal. Since no three points of $A$ (or $B$ or $C$ ) can be collinear and three pairwise orthogonal planes cannot exist in $\mathbf{R}^{5}$, we obtain a contradiction for $M \geq 3$ and $N \geq 17$.

We next show that (1) leads to a contradiction. This is the last and hardest case. We start by reducing $B$ tc be $\left\{Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{M}\right\}$ by throwing away $N^{\prime}-M$ points. Now $4 \Delta^{2}=|X-Z|^{2}|Y-X|^{2}-\{(Y-X) \cdot(Z-X)\}^{2}$ for all $(X, Y, Z)$ in $A \times B \times C$. Herce $\mid \cdot Z-X) \cdot(Y-X) \mid=$ $\sqrt{|X-Z|^{2}!\underline{\underline{V}}-\left.X\right|^{2}-4 \Delta^{2}}$, and the righ -hand side is independent of $Z$ since $|X-Z|$ is independent of $Z$. Let $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma$, where,$=M^{2}$, be an enumeration of $A \times B$. Let us 2-color $\left.G^{(2)}=A \times B\right) \times C$ as follows: If $(7,-X) \cdot(Y-X) \geq 0$, then color $((X, Y), Z)$ sed. Otherwise, color $((X, Y), Z)$ blue. By Lemma 8.3 , there is a subset $C^{\prime}$ of $C$ of cardinality $\left\{N /\left(2^{r}\right)\right\}$ such that $(Z-X) \cdot(Y-X)$ is of constant sign as $Z$ range: over $C^{\prime}$ with $(X, Y)$ fixed. Hence for $(X, Y)$ in $A \times B$ and $Z, Z^{\prime}$ in $C^{\prime}$, $\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right) \cdot(Y-X)=0$; for $Y, Y^{\prime}$ in $B, Z, Z^{\prime}$ in $C^{\prime}$ and $X, X^{\prime}$ in $A$, we have $\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(Y-Y^{\prime}\right)=\left(Z-Z^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(X-X^{\prime}\right)=0$. Hence the affine hull of $C^{\prime}$ is orthogonal to the aftine hull of $A \cup B$. Lat us assume that $N \geq$ $2 * *\left(M^{2}+1\right)+1$, so that the order $\left.N /\left(2^{r}\right)\right\}$ of $C^{\prime} \mathrm{s}$ at least 3 , so that $C^{\prime \prime}$ containe three non collinear points.

Hence the dimension of the affine huil of $C^{\prime}$ is at least two, and this forces $A \cup B$ to lie in a three dimensional subspace $\pi$.

If $C^{\prime}$ is also contained in $\pi$, the $\eta$ the whole configuration is in $R^{3}$,
and if $M$ and $N$ are large enough, we have a contradiction by (4). We raty therefore suppose the existence of a point $Z$ of $C^{\prime}$ that is not in $\pi$. Let $Z^{*}$ be the orthogonal projection of $Z$ onto $\pi$. The points of $B$ lie on cylinders with axes $\widehat{X}_{i} Z(1 \leq i \leq M)$, which, by Lemma 8.6, intersect $\%$ in surfaces $\xi_{i}$ which are either cylinders, spheres, or ellispoids of revolution with axes $\overleftarrow{X_{i} Z^{*}}$. Call these surfaces $\xi_{i}$ By the same lemma, $\xi_{i}$ cannot be a cylinder since $\widehat{X_{i}}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ is not parallel to $\pi$.

Also by Lemma 8.6, $\xi_{i}$ is a sphere only if $X_{i}=Z^{*}$. Since no three points of the set $A$ are collinear, there exist two points, say $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, of $A$ such that $X_{1}, X_{2}, Z^{*}$ are not collinear. This imples that neither $X_{1}$ nor $X_{2}$ coincide with $Z^{*}$, so that by Lemma 8.6, $\xi_{1}$ and $\xi_{2}$ are ellipsoids of revolution with axes of revolution $\overleftrightarrow{X_{1} Z^{*}}$ and $\underset{X_{2} Z^{*}}{ }$.

Suppose that $B$ has a mine-point subset $B^{*}$ that is equidistant from $Z$. Then $B^{*}$ lies on a sphere $S^{*}$ having center $Z^{*}$ and lying in $\pi$, and for $i=1,2$, each $\xi_{i}$ intersects the sphere $S^{*}$ in a pa.r of circles $C_{i}$ and $C_{i}^{\prime}$ whose centers lie on the line $\overline{X_{i} Z}$. For $i, j=2$ and $j \neq i, C_{i}$ is distinct from $C_{j}$ and $C_{j}^{\prime}$, since the normals $\widehat{X}_{i} Z^{*}$ and $\widehat{X}_{j} Z^{*}$ are not parallel, due to the fact that $X_{1}, X_{2}, Z^{*}$ are non collinear. Two distinct circles on the surface of a sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{3}$ intersect in at most two points. Hence $\left(C_{1} \cup C_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap\left(C_{2} \cup C_{2}^{\prime}\right)=C_{1} \cap C_{2} \cup C_{1}^{\prime} \cap C_{2} \cup C_{1} \cap C_{2}^{\prime} \cup C_{1}^{\prime} \cap C_{2}^{\prime}$ is a set of order less than nine containing a set $B^{*}$ of order nine, which is absurd.

Hence there exists a se: $B^{\prime}$ of cardinality $\left\{\frac{1}{B} M\right\}$, which is separated ly $Z$. Let us suppose that $M \geq 41$ and take $B^{\prime}$ to have cardinality at least 6 . By Lemma 8.1, there exists a subset $A^{\prime}$ of $A$ of cardinality $R=$ $\{M / 16\}$ that is equidistant from $Z$; let $d$ be the common distance of the points of $A^{\prime}$ from $Z$. Let $B^{\prime \prime}$ be a subset of thee elements of $B^{\prime}$. Then $4 \AA^{2}=d^{2}\left\{Y-\left.Z\right|^{2}-\{(Y-Z) \cdot(X-Z)\}^{2}\right.$ or $|(Y-Z) \cdot(X-Z)|=$ $\overbrace{d^{2}\left|Y-L^{\prime}\right|^{2}-4 \Delta^{2}}$ for all $(X, Y) \in A^{\prime \prime} \times B^{\prime \prime}$. The right-hand side is independent of $X$. By Lemmą 8.3, there is a subset $A^{\prime \prime}$ of $A$ of order $S=$ $\left\{\frac{1}{8} R\right\}$ such that $(Y-Z) \cdot(X-Z)$ is of constiant sign for fixed $Y$ in $B^{\prime \prime}$ as $X$ ranges over $A^{\prime \prime}$. Hence $(Y-Z) \cdot\left(X-X^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $X, X^{\prime}$ in $A^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y$ in $B^{\prime \prime}$. Heace $\left(Y-Y^{\prime}\right) \cdot\left(X-X^{\prime}\right)=0$ for all $X, X^{\prime}$ in $A^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y, Y^{\prime}$ in $B^{\prime \prime}$, and the affine hull of $A^{\prime \prime}$ is orthogonal to the affine hull of $B^{\prime \prime}$. Comlining this with cur earlier iesult, we see that the affine hulls of $A^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime}$ and $C^{\prime}$ are pairwise orth ugonal. To get a contradiction, it is sufficient to ensure that each of these sets has at least three elements. If $M=257$,
then $R=17$ and $S=3$. If $N \geq 2 * *\left(M^{2}+1\right) \div 1$, we obtain the desired contradiction.
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