

A UNIQUENESS THEOREM FOR A GOURSAT-TYPE PROBLEM

P. A. MISHNAEVSKII AND A. G. RAMM
Mathematics Department, Kansas State University
Manhattan, KS 66506-2602, U.S.A.

(Received January 1992)

Abstract—In this paper, a q uniqueness theorem is proved for a hyperbolic boundary problem with data on the characteristic cone.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT

Consider the problem

$$u_{tt} - \Delta u + q(x)u = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad -r \leq t \leq r, \quad r = |x|, \quad (1)$$

$$u = 0 \quad \text{at } t = \pm r, \quad (2)$$

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|s|=R} \int_{-R}^R |u_r \mp u_t|^2 dt ds = 0. \quad (3)$$

The boundary data are given on the characteristic cone $t = \pm r$. Condition (3) is a condition at infinity. Assume that $q(x) \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^3)$. No assumptions are made concerning the behavior of $q(x)$ at infinity. The main result of this paper is the following uniqueness theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Problem (1)–(3) has only the trivial solution $u(x, t) = 0$ in the class of functions $u(x, t)$ which are locally $C^3_{x,t}$ and such that*

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|s|=R} \int_{-R}^R |u_{tr} \pm u_{tt}|^2 dt ds = 0. \quad (3')$$

Theorem 1 is of importance in inverse scattering theory [1–3]. In [2] a uniqueness theorem similar to Theorem 1 is formulated. However, the proof in [2] requires that the solution $u(x, t)$ be infinitely differentiable in t , that u and all its derivatives go to zero as $r \rightarrow \infty$, and that $q(x)$ and ∇q vanish at a certain rate as $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Moreover, the proof uses some formal arguments which need a justification (the class which belongs to the kernel and the solution of equation (4) in [2] is not defined, function (7) is a distribution, and the meaning of the integral in (8) is not explained; also, the argument below formula (3) is not clear).

The purpose of this paper is to give a simple proof of Theorem 1 based on the result from [1]. More general results than in [1] are given in [4]. The results in [4] allow one to formulate an analogue for Theorem 1 for operator equations. In Section 2, a proof of Theorem 1 is given.

A. G. Ramm thanks ONR, NSF and the USIEF for support. This paper was written while A. G. Ramm was a Fulbright Research Professor at the Technion, 1991–92.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}\text{-}\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

In [1] the following result is proved: if u solves (1) and satisfies conditions

$$u = 0 \quad \text{at } t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad t = r \quad (4)$$

and (3) (with the minus sign) holds, then $u(x, t) = 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $0 \leq t \leq r$.

If $u(x, t)$ solves (1)–(3), then its even and odd parts $\frac{u(x, t) + u(x, -t)}{2}$ and $\frac{u(x, t) - u(x, -t)}{2}$ solve (1)–(3). The odd part of $u(x, t)$ solves also (4) and, by the cited result from [1], vanishes, so one can assume that

$$u(x, t) = u(x, -t). \quad (*)$$

Define $w(x, t) := u_t(x, t)$. From (*), it follows that $w(x, t) = -w(x, -t)$. Therefore,

$$w(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{at } t = 0. \quad (5)$$

Clearly, $w(x, t)$ solves (1) since $q(x)$ does not depend on t . Moreover, w satisfies (3) by the assumption (3'). If one proves that

$$w = 0 \quad \text{at } t = r, \quad (6)$$

then, by the uniqueness theorem from [1], it follows that $w = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $0 \leq t \leq r$. This implies that $u(x, 0) = \int_r^0 w(x, \tau) d\tau = 0$. Using again the uniqueness result from [1], one concludes that $u(x, t) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and all $0 \leq t \leq r$. By (*) it follows that $u(x, t) = 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $-r \leq t \leq r$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1 as long as the following lemma is proved.

LEMMA 1. *If u solves (1), $u(x, t) = u(x, -t)$, $u(x, t) \in C_{\text{loc}}^3$, $u(x, \pm r) = 0$, then $w(x, t) := u_t(x, t)$ satisfies (6).*

PROOF. Let $\xi := (r + t)/2$, $\rho := (r - t)/2$, $v := r u(x, t)$. Then (1) becomes

$$v_{\xi\xi} - v_{\rho\rho} - r^{-2}Av + qv = 0, \quad A = -\Delta^*, \quad (7)$$

where Δ^* is the angular part of the Laplacian. In the variables ξ, ρ , this equation becomes

$$v_{\xi\rho} + (\xi + \rho)^{-2}Av - qv = 0. \quad (8)$$

The boundary conditions (2) become

$$v = 0 \quad \text{at } \rho = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{at } \xi = 0. \quad (9)$$

Since the operator A does not act on the variables ρ and ξ , it follows from (8) and (9) that

$$v_{\xi\rho} = 0 \quad \text{at } \rho = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{at } \xi = 0. \quad (10)$$

One has $ru_t = v_t = (v_\xi - v_\rho)/2$. Thus, $2v_t|_{t=r} = (v_\xi - v_\rho)|_{\rho=0} = v_\xi|_{\rho=0} - v_\rho|_{\rho=0}$. It follows from (9) that $v_\xi|_{\rho=0} = 0$. Therefore, $2v_t|_{t=r} = -v_\rho|_{\rho=0}$. It follows from (10) that $v_\rho|_{\rho=0} = c$, where c does not depend on ξ (c may depend on the angular variables). If one proves that $c = 0$, then the proof of Lemma 1 is complete. One has

$$-2rv_t|_{t=r} = v_\rho|_{\rho=0} = c. \quad (11)$$

By the assumption, u_t is a locally continuous function. Therefore, taking $r \rightarrow 0$ in (11) yields $c = 0$. Lemma 1 is proved.

The proof of Lemma 1 is close to an argument in [2]. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

REMARK 1. Condition (3) is satisfied if

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|s|=R} \int_{-R}^R [|u_r|^2 + |u_t|^2] ds dt = 0. \quad (3'')$$

REMARK 2. In [4], equation (7) with an abstract operator $A \geq 0$ was studied in a Hilbert space.

REMARK 3. One can give an example of nonuniqueness of the solution to a Goursat-type problem in the class of functions which do not decay at infinity (so that condition (3) does not hold). For instance (cf. [5, p. 238]), the function

$$u(x_1, x_2, t) := \int_{|x-y| \leq t} \frac{\rho^m \cos n\varphi \rho d\rho d\varphi}{(t^2 - |x-y|^2)^{1/2}}, \quad u \neq 0, \quad y_1 = \rho \cos \varphi, \quad y_2 = \rho \sin \varphi,$$

m and n are positive integers, $n > m + 1$, n is even, m is odd, solves the wave equation $u_{tt} - u_{x_1 x_1} - u_{x_2 x_2} = 0$ in $\mathbf{R}_x^2 \times \mathbf{R}_t$, $r := (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2}$, $x_1 = r \cos \theta$, $x_2 = r \sin \theta$, satisfies the conditions $u = 0$ at $t = 0$ and $u = 0$ at $t = r$. To check the last claim, one calculates

$$\begin{aligned} u(x, r) &= \int_{r^2 - 2r\rho \cos(\theta - \varphi) + \rho^2 \leq r^2} \frac{\rho^{m+1} \cos n\varphi d\rho d\varphi}{[2r\rho \cos(\theta - \varphi) - \rho^2]^{1/2}} = \int_{\rho \leq 2r \cos \alpha} \frac{\rho^{m+\frac{1}{2}} d\rho \cos n\varphi d\varphi}{[2r \cos(\theta - \varphi) - \rho]^{1/2}} \\ &= \int_{-\pi/2+\theta}^{\pi/2+\theta} d\varphi \cos n\varphi \int_0^\gamma \frac{\rho^{m+\frac{1}{2}} d\rho}{(\gamma - \rho)^{1/2}} = \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} d\alpha \cos[n(\theta + \alpha)] (2r \cos \alpha)^{m+1} \\ &= c(2r)^{m+1} \left[\cos(n\theta) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \alpha)^{m+1} \cos(n\alpha) d\alpha \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sin(n\theta) \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \alpha)^{m+1} \sin(n\alpha) d\alpha \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Here, $\alpha = \varphi - \theta$, $\gamma = 2r \cos \alpha$, $c = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{m+1} dt}{(1-t)^{1/2}}$. If $n > m + 1$, n is even, m is odd, then

$$\int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \alpha)^{m+1} \cos(n\alpha) d\alpha = 0, \quad \int_{-\pi/2}^{\pi/2} (\cos \alpha)^{m+1} \sin(n\alpha) d\alpha = 0$$

so that $u(x, r) = 0$. The function $u(x_1, x_2, t) \neq 0$ since $u_t = r^m \cos(n\theta)$ at $t = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. P.A. Mishnaevskii and A.G. Ramm, Uniqueness theorem for a Goursat-Darboux problem, *Soviet Math. Doklady* **321** (N1), 19–22 (1991).
2. R.G. Newton, Uniqueness in some quasi-Goursat problems in 3 + 1 dimensions and the inverse scattering problem, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (N11), 3130–3134 (1991).
3. A.G. Ramm and O.L. Weaver, On 3D inverse scattering, *Comp. and Math. with Appl.* **22** (4/5), 1–26 (1991).
4. P.A. Mishnaevskii and A.G. Ramm, Uniqueness theorems for Goursat-type problems, *J. Diff. Eq.* (to appear).
5. A.V. Bitsadse, *Some Classes of Partial Differential Equations*, Nauka, Moscow, (1981).