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Existence and multiplicity results for the boundary value problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=p(t), \quad 0<t<\pi, \\
u(0)=u(\pi)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

are presented. The proofs are based on the alternative method, a connectedness result, the contraction mapping principle, and a detailed analysis of the bifurcation equation utilizing, e.g., a generalization of the mean value theorem for integrals. We shall obtain results with $g$ bounded or unbounded, having finite limits at $\pm \infty$ or without limits, thus extending some recent results in the literature. The proofs offer a constructive way to find the bounds for $\bar{p}$ and to find numerically the number of solutions and the approximative solutions. © 2001 Academic Press
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

The two-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=p(t), \quad 0<t<\pi, \\
u(0)=u(\pi)=0, \tag{1.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $g$ and $p$ are continuous functions, has been studied (e.g., by Canada and Drabek [2] and Habets and Sanchez [3]). The existence results of [2] are completed in [3] by a multiplicity result in terms of conditions for $\bar{p}$ in the decomposition of $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)=\bar{p} \sin t+\tilde{p}(t), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{p} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\tilde{p}$ is orthogonal to $\sin t$. The proof is carried out using mainly topological degree and homotopy arguments.

We shall present existence and multiplicity results for the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=p(t), \quad 0<t<\pi \\
u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The proofs are based on the alternative method (as in [2] and [3]), a connectedness result of [6], the contraction mapping principle, and a detailed analysis of the bifurcation equation utilizing, e.g., a generalization of the mean value theorem for integrals [5]. We shall obtain results for (1.3) with $g$ bounded or unbounded, having finite limits at $\pm \infty$ or without limits.

The proofs offer a constructive way to find the bounds for $\bar{p}$ and to find numerically both the number of solutions and the approximative solutions.

## 2. THE ALTERNATIVE METHOD

Denote $\phi(t)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin t$ and $L u=u^{\prime \prime}+u$. Let $k$ be a modified Green's function satisfying (as a function of $t$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& L k(t, s)=\delta(t-s)-\phi(t) \phi(s) \\
& k(0, s)=k(\pi, s)=0  \tag{2.1}\\
& \int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s) \phi(t) d t=0
\end{align*}
$$

The problem (1.3) is equivalent to the pair of equations

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{\lambda}(t) & =\lambda \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s)\left[\tilde{p}(s)-g\left(s, u_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s  \tag{2.2}\\
\bar{\delta}(\lambda) & =\bar{p}-\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t, u_{\lambda}(t), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t=0 . \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, for simplicity, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(t)=\bar{p} \phi(t)+\tilde{p}(t) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

instead of (1.2).
That a solution of (2.2)-(2.3) is a solution of (1.3) is easily verified by applying $L$ to the integral equation (2.2) and using the given orthogonality conditions. The proof that a solution of (1.3) satisfies (2.2)-(2.3) is a standard one using the Lagrange identity, symmetry of $k(t, s)$, and the orthogonality conditions.

## 3. THE RESULTS

We shall use the following assumptions for $g:[0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ :
(g1) $g$ is continuous and bounded;
(g2) $g$ is continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz condition $\mid g(t, u, v)-$ $g(t, \bar{u}, \bar{v})|\leq M| u-\bar{u}|+N| v-\bar{v} \mid, u, \bar{u}, v, \bar{v} \in \mathbb{R}$, where $M^{2}+4 N^{2}<9 / 2$.

If $g$ satisfies (g1), then by Schauder's fixed-point theorem the integral equation (2.2) has at least one solution $u_{\lambda}$ for any given $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. If, on the other hand, $g$ satisfies (g2), then it can be shown that for a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the right-hand side of Eq. (2.2) defines an operator which is a contraction mapping on $H^{1}[0, \pi]$ and hence has a unique fixed point $u_{\lambda}$. In both cases we can calculate

$$
\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t, u_{\lambda}(t), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t
$$

In the case of (g1) $\tilde{\delta}$ may be multivalued. Denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=\inf \left\{\tilde{\delta}(\lambda): \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u_{\lambda} \text { is a solution of }(2.2)\right\} \\
& b=\sup \left\{\tilde{\delta}(\lambda): \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u_{\lambda} \text { is a solution of }(2.2)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\bar{p} \in(a, b)$ and $g$ satisfies (g2), then there exist $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ such that $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)<\bar{p}<\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$, and it can be shown that $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is (Lipshitz) continuous, which implies that $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=\bar{p}$ for a $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right)$ (or for a $\lambda \in\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{1}\right)$ ); i.e., problem (1.3) has a solution. Also in the case of (g1), as shown in [2], using a result of ([1], Theorem 3.1), problem (1.3) has a solution. Hence, we can state the following result, which essentially is due to Canada and Drabek [2].

THEOREM 3.1. If $g$ satisfies $(g 1)$ or $(g 2)$, then there exists an interval $[a, b]$ such that problem (1.3) has (i) at least one solution if $\bar{p} \in(a, b)$ and (ii) no solution if $\bar{p} \notin[a, b]$.

Remark 3.1. In the case of dependence only on the derivative $g(t, u, v)=g(t, v)$, the inequality $M^{2}+4 N^{2}<9 / 2$ can be replaced by the inequality $N<3 / 2$ and in the case $g(t, u, v)=g(t, u)$ by the inequality $M<3$. Also, we could replace the constants $M$ and $N$ by suitable square integrable functions. As for the case $\bar{p} \in\{a, b\}$, we refer to [3].

Example 3.1. Consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+\sinh ^{-1} u^{\prime}=\bar{p} \phi(t)+\tilde{p}(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$



The function $g(t, u, v)=\sinh ^{-1} v$ satisfies (g2) with $M=0$ and $N=1$; hence we can apply Theorem 3.1. The curve $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$, which is found numerically for $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0$, is shown in Fig. 1 . We have $(a, b) \approx(-0.54,0.54)$. In the general case, if $\|p\|_{\infty}$ is small enough, then following the proof in [4, p. 795], it can be shown that (1.3) has a (small) solution. Thus, in that case we know that the interval $(a, b)$ is nonempty. Note that $g$ is not bounded. The interval $(a, b)$ depends on $\tilde{p}$ and $g$. If we have some additional information, we may obtain a priori bounds for $(a, b)$.

Proposition 3.1. Assume that $g(t, u, v)=g(t, v)$ satisfies (g2) with $M=0$ and $N<3 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\pi} g(t, c \cos t) \sin t d t=0 \quad \text { for all } c \in \mathbb{R} \tag{a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\tilde{p}(t)-g(t, v)| \leq m(t), \quad t \in[0, \pi], v \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an $m \in L_{1}^{+}[0, \pi]$. Then $[a, b] \subset[-d, d]$, where

$$
d=N \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} m(s)\left|k_{t}(t, s)\right| \phi(t) d t d s,
$$

i.e., problem (1.3) does not have a solution if $|\bar{p}|>d$.

Proof. We have

$$
u_{\lambda}(t)=\lambda \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s)\left[\tilde{p}(s)-g\left(s, u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s
$$

and

$$
u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)=\lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t),
$$

where $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)=\int_{0}^{\pi} k_{t}(t, s)\left[\tilde{p}(s)-g\left(s, u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s$ satisfies, by (b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right| \leq \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|k_{t}(t, s)\right| m(s) d s . \tag{c}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can write

$$
g\left(t, u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)=g\left(t, \lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)\right)+w(t)
$$

where

$$
w(t)=g\left(t, \lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right)-g\left(t, \lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)\right)
$$

satisfies, by the Lipschitz condition, the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|w(t)| \leq N\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right| . \tag{d}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by using (a), (c), and (d) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)| & =\left|\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t, u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t\right|=\left|\int_{0}^{\pi} w(t) \phi(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{\pi} N\left|\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right| \phi(t) d t \leq N \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left|k_{t}(t, s)\right| m(s) d s \phi(t) d t=d
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that problem (1.3) cannot have a solution if $|\bar{p}|>d$.
Example 3.2. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+\sin u^{\prime}=\bar{p} \phi(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(t, u, v)=\sin v$ satisfies (g2) with $M=0$ and $N=1$, and condition (a), condition (b) with $m(t) \equiv 1$ when $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0$ and $d \approx 1.1776$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1 we deduce that problem (3.2) does not have a solution if $|\bar{p}|>1.1776$. In Example 3.3 we will find numerically a smaller lower estimate for $|\bar{p}|$ for the nonexistence.

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $g$ satisfies ( $g 1$ ) or (g2) and that $c \in(a, b)$ is a limit point of both $\{\tilde{\delta}(\lambda): \lambda \in(-\infty, d]\}$ and $\{\tilde{\delta}(\lambda): \lambda \in[d, \infty)\}$ for ad $\in \mathbb{R}$. Then, if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\{c\}$, problem (1.3) has at least two solutions.

Proof. Suppose first that (g1) holds. Since $g$ is continuous and bounded, then for any closed bounded interval $I=[\alpha, \beta]$ there exists a closed bounded convex subset $B$ of $H^{1}[0, \pi]$ such that the mapping $T$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(u, \lambda)= & \lambda \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s) \\
& \times\left[\tilde{p}(s)-g\left(s, u(s), u^{\prime}(s)\right)\right] d s, \quad \lambda \in I, u \in B
\end{aligned}
$$

is a compact continuous mapping from $B \times I$ into $B$. Then, by [ 6 , Fixed Point Theorem, p. 341], there exists a connected set $S \subset B \times I$ of fixed points of $T$, and $S$ meets both $B \times\{\alpha\}$ and $B \times\{\beta\}$. Now, for any $\bar{p} \in$ $(a, b) \backslash\{c\}$ we can find $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), \tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{3}\right)$ such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}$ and $\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{1}\right)<\bar{p}<\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{2}\right), \tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{3}\right)<\bar{p}<\tilde{\delta}\left(\lambda_{2}\right)$. Hence, as a continuous real valued function on a connected set $S_{1}$ associated with the interval $I_{1}=\left[\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right]$, $(u, \lambda) \rightarrow \bar{p}-\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ assumes the value 0 on $S_{1}$; i.e., problem (1.3) has a solution. The same conclusion holds true for the interval $I_{2}=\left[\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right]$; i.e., problem (1.3) has at least two solutions.

If $g$ satisfies (g2), then $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is single valued and Lipschitz continuous and the proof is obvious.

In [3] it is proved that if $g$ satisfies (g1) and is locally Lipschitz continuous then the conclusion of Theorem 3.2 holds true, provided that $g(t, u, v)=$ $g(v)$ has finite limits $g(-\infty)$ and $g(+\infty)$ with $p \in(a, b) \backslash\{l\}, l=g(-\infty)+$ $g(\infty)$. In the following example we have $g(v)=\sin v$, which does not have limits at $\pm \infty$ but $\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=0$, and we can apply Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.3. Consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+\sin u^{\prime}=\bar{p} \phi(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $g(t, u, v)=\sin v$ satisfies (g2) with $M=0$ and $N=1$, and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)= & \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \left(\lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t \\
= & \int_{0}^{\pi} \sin \left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin t d t \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)+t\right) d t \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)-t\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The functions $\theta_{\lambda}(t)=\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)+t$ and $\bar{\theta}_{\lambda}(t)=\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)-t$, and their derivatives are continuous and bounded uniformly in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Since
$\phi^{\prime}(t)=\sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t$ has a finite number of critical points on $[0, \pi]$ and $q(u)=\cos u$ is $2 \pi$-periodic with a mean value 0 , then by Lemma 2 of [5],

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\theta_{\lambda}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \\
\text { and } \quad \int_{0}^{\pi} \cos \left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\bar{\theta}_{\lambda}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
$$

as $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=0$, which by Theorem 3.2 implies that problem (3.2) has at least two solutions if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\{0\}$. Again, following the proof in [4, p. 795], it can be shown that problem (3.2) has a (small) solution if $\|p\|_{\infty}$ is small enough, and consequently the interval $(a, b)$ in this case is nonempty. We have found numerically that $(a, b) \approx(-0.36,0.36)$. The curve $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is shown in Fig. 2.

Example 3.3 also serves as an example for the following more general result.

Corollary 3.1. Assume that $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz continuous with Lipschitz constant $N<\frac{3}{2}$ and T-periodic with the mean value $\bar{g}=$ $\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} g(u) d u$. Then the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=\bar{p} \phi(t)+\tilde{p}(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least two solutions, if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\left\{2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \bar{g}\right\}$.


Proof. The function $g(t, u, v)=g(v)$ satisfies (g2) with the condition given in Remark 3.1, and following the proof of Lemma 2 in [5] we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\delta}(\lambda) & =\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(\lambda \phi^{\prime}(t)+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t \\
& =\bar{g} \int_{0}^{\pi} \phi(t) d t=2 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \bar{g} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

The conclusion follows then from Theorem 3.2.
The result (3.4) is valid for any $\phi$ for which $\phi^{\prime}$ has a finite number of critical points and $\phi^{\prime} \in C^{1}[0, \pi]$. Thus we could derive similar results, e.g., for the boundary value problem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(u^{\prime}\right)=p(t), \quad u^{\prime}(0)=u^{\prime}(\pi)=0, \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which case the null space is spanned by $\cos t$. We will not go into details here.

Corollary 3.2. Let $g(t, u, v)=g(u, v)$ satisfy (g2) and assume that the limits $g(\infty,-\infty)=\lim _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty, v \rightarrow-\infty} g(u, v)$ and $g(\infty, \infty)=$ $\lim _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty, v \rightarrow \infty} g(u, v)$ exist and are finite. Then the problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=\bar{p} \phi(t)+\tilde{p}(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0
$$

has at least two solutions, if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\{l\}$, where $l=g(\infty,-\infty)+$ $g(\infty, \infty)$.

Proof. Following the idea of [3], without loss of generality we may and do suppose henceforth that $l=0$, i.e., $g(\infty,-\infty)=-g(\infty, \infty)$. Indeed, by letting

$$
h(u, v)=g(u, v)-\frac{g(\infty,-\infty)+g(\infty, \infty)}{2}=g(u, v)-\frac{l}{2}
$$

and $q(t)=p(t)-l / 2$ we obtain an equivalent problem,

$$
u^{\prime \prime}+u+h\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=q(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0
$$

with $h$ and $q$ satisfying the hypotheses of $g$ and $p$ of the theorem and $h(\infty,-\infty)=-h(\infty, \infty)$.
By Theorem 3.2 it suffices to show that $\lim _{|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=0$. But this follows from the Lebesque convergence theorem and the condition $g(\infty,-\infty)=-g(\infty, \infty)$ because for any $u_{\lambda}$ we have

$$
\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)=\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(\lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin t+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}(t), \lambda \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \cos t+\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \sin t d t,
$$

where both $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}$ and $\tilde{u}_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ are bounded on $[0, \pi]$ uniformly in $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { SOLUTIONS то } u^{\prime \prime}+u+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=p(t), u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{563}
\end{equation*}
$$

EXAMPLE 3.4. Since $g(t, u, v)=\tan ^{-1} v$ satisfies (g2) and has finite limits $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ at $\pm \infty$ we conclude, by Corollary 3.2, that the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u+\tan ^{-1} u^{\prime}=\bar{p} \phi(t)+\tilde{p}(t), \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has at least two solutions, if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\{0\}$. In [4] it has been proved that if $\|p\|_{\infty}$ is small, then problem (3.6) has a solution. We have found the curve $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ for $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0$ numerically (see Fig. 3), with $a \approx-0.3$ and $b \approx 0.3$.

If $g$ depends on $t$, we replace the assumptions of finite limits of Corollary 3.2 by the assumption

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty, v \rightarrow \infty} g(t, u, v)= & g(t, \infty, \infty)=-g\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}, \infty,-\infty\right) \\
= & \lim _{u \rightarrow \pm \infty, v \rightarrow-\infty} g\left(t+\frac{\pi}{2}, u, v\right) \\
& \text { uniformly in } t \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right] \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

and obtain in a similar way.
Corollary 3.3. Let $g$ satisfy (g2) and (3.7). Then problem (1.3) has at least two solutions, if $\bar{p} \in(a, b) \backslash\{l\}$.

As we can see from the graphs of $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$, in Examples 3.1-3.4 $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is odd when $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0$. Indeed, we have


THEOREM 3.3. If $g$ satisfies $(g 2)$ and if $g(t,-u,-v)=-g(t, u, v), 0 \leq$ $t \leq \pi, u, v \in \mathbb{R}$, and if $\tilde{p}(t) \equiv 0$, then $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is odd and the number of solutions of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime \prime}+u^{\prime}+g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad u(0)=u(\pi)=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is odd.
Proof. For each $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ the integral equation (2.2) has a unique solution $u_{\lambda}$. From

$$
\begin{aligned}
-u_{\lambda}(t) & =-\lambda \phi(t)+\int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s) g\left(s, u_{\lambda}(s), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s \\
& =-\lambda \phi(t)-\int_{0}^{\pi} k(t, s) g\left(s,-u_{\lambda}(s),-u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(s)\right) d s, \quad 0 \leq t \leq \pi
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows by the uniqueness that $u_{-\lambda}=-u_{\lambda}, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\delta}(-\lambda) & =\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t, u_{-\lambda}(t), u_{-\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t,-u_{\lambda}(t),-u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t \\
& =-\int_{0}^{\pi} g\left(t, u_{\lambda}(t), u_{\lambda}^{\prime}(t)\right) \phi(t) d t \\
& =-\tilde{\delta}(\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves that $\tilde{\delta}(\lambda)$ is odd and hence that the number of solutions of (3.8) is odd.
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