Diophantine equations over global function fields I: The Thue equation

István Gaál [a,1], Michael Pohst [b,*,2]

[a] *University of Debrecen, Mathematical Institute, H-4010 Debrecen Pf. 12, Hungary*
[b] *Technische Universtät Berlin, Institut für Mathematik, Straße des 17. Juni 136, Berlin, Germany*

Abstract

We solve completely Thue equations in function fields over arbitrary finite fields. In the function field case such equations were formerly only solved over algebraically closed fields (of characteristic zero and positive characteristic). Our method can be applied to similar types of Diophantine equations, as well.

© 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

MSC: 11D59; 11Y50; 11R58

Keywords: Thue equations; Global function fields

1. Introduction

Classical Diophantine equations like Thue equations (cf. Thue [10]) are traditionally solved over the rings of rational integers or over the ring of integers of a number field

---

* Corresponding author.
  E-mail addresses: igaal@math.klte.hu (I. Gaál), pohst@math.tu-berlin.de (M. Pohst).

(cf. Baker [1]). Several authors considered the analogous problem over function fields: more exactly over the ring of integers of a function field over an *algebraically closed field*, see, e.g., [6,8], both in case the ground field is of zero or positive characteristic. These results have also common generalizations (cf. Győry [5]).

Our purpose is now to investigate this problem in function fields over arbitrary *finite fields*. It is well known that Diophantine equations over finite fields play an important role in cryptography, cf. Niederreiter and Xing [7].

Also, from a practical point of view this case is much more straightforward than the case of algebraically closed ground fields (which mostly occur in theory only).

As it will turn out, also in this case the unit equation plays a crucial role and the solutions can be computed easily. However, for constructing the appropriate function fields, performing calculations in them, determining heights, etc. we intensively use the computer algebra package KASH [2].

2. Global function fields

In the following we shall strongly rely on the argument used by Mason [6] for function fields over algebraically closed fields. We show how his ideas can be transferred to our situation. A general description of properties of function fields (also over finite fields) can be found in the book of Stichtenoth [9].

We introduce some notations. $k = \mathbb{F}_q$ denotes a finite field with $q = p^d$ elements. The rational function field of k is $k(t)$ as usual, and K is a finite extension of $k(t)$ of degree n_0 and genus g_0. The integral closure of $k[t]$ in K is denoted by o_K. We assume that K is separably generated over $k(t)$ by an element y belonging to o_K and that k is the full constant field of K. Any element $f \in K$ has a unique presentation

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} h_i y^{i-1}, \quad h_i \in k(t).$$

Conjugates of elements (fields) are denoted by upper case indices. Let $A := ((y^{(j)})^{i-1})_{1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n} \in \overline{K}^{n \times n}$ have determinant D. We note that D is the discriminant of y. It is nonzero since K is separably generated. We obtain the system of linear equations:

$$\left(f^{(1)}, \ldots, f^{(n)} \right) = (h_1, \ldots, h_n) A.$$

Hence, the h_i are rational functions in the $f^{(j)}, (y^{(j)})^{i-1}$.

The set of all (exponential) *valuations* of K is denoted by V, the subset of infinite valuations by V_∞. By abuse of notation we do not distinguish between places and valuations. For example, we write $\deg v$ for the degree of the divisor belonging to the valuation $v \in V$. For a nonzero element $f \in K$ we denote by $v(f)$ the value of f at v. For integral elements this is the highest power of the divisor belonging to v that divides the divisor (f), and this

concept is extended to rational elements in the usual way. For the normalized valuations $v_N(f) = v(f) \cdot \deg v$ the *product formula* holds:

$$\sum_{v \in V} v_N(f) = 0 \quad \forall f \in K \setminus \{0\}.$$

The *height* of a nonzero element f of K is defined to be

$$H(f) := \sum_{v \in V} \max\{0, v_N(f)\}.$$

Because of the product formula this is tantamount to

$$H(f) = -\sum_{v \in V} \min\{0, v_N(f)\}$$

which then holds for all elements of K including 0.

3. Unit equations

Let V_0 be a finite subset of V. Then the nonzero elements $\gamma \in K$ satisfying $v(\gamma) = 0$ for all $v \notin V_0$ form a multiplicative group in K. These elements are called V_0-*units*. For $V_0 = V_\infty$ the V_0-units are just the units of the ring o_K.

The resolution of Thue equations (as well as several other types of classical Diophantine equations) is usually reduced to equations of the form

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0 \tag{1}$$

where the γ_i are V_0-units for a suitable set V_0.

The crucial inequality of Mason on the above equation becomes in our case:

Lemma 3.1. *Let V_0 be a finite subset of V and let γ_i $(1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3)$ be V_0-units satisfying* (1). *Then either $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3}$ is in K^p or its height is bounded*:

$$H\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3}\right) \leqslant 2g - 2 + \sum_{v \in V_0} \deg v. \tag{2}$$

Proof. The proof is along the lines of the proof of Lemma 2 in [6] (see [6, p. 14]). Since in our case the field of constants k is not algebraically closed we encounter a few additional difficulties which we will point out in what follows.

We may assume that $f := \gamma_1/\gamma_3$ and therefore $\gamma_2/\gamma_3 = -f - 1$ do not belong to k. Let

$$V_1 := \{v \in V : v(f) < 0\}, \qquad V_2 := \{v \in V : v(f) > 0\},$$
$$V_3 := \{v \in V : v(f) = 0 \wedge v(f+1) > 0\}.$$

These are disjoint subsets of the set V_0. Then we have

$$H(f) = \sum_{v \in V_1} \big(-v(f)\big) \deg v = \sum_{v \in V_2} v(f) \deg v = \sum_{v \in V_3} v(f+1) \deg v.$$

This is true because of $1 = (1+f) + (-f)$, the product formula, and the property $v(f) < 0$ $\Leftrightarrow v(1+f) < 0$. If z denotes a prime element for the valuation $v \in V$ then the differential $1\,df$ satisfies $v(1\,df) = v(df/dz)$ (see [9, Chapter IV]). If f is not a pth power then the divisor $1\,df$ is canonical, i.e., $\deg(1\,df) = 2g - 2$, since the field k of constants is complete (see [9, Chapter I.5]). This yields

$$2g - 2 = \sum_{v \in V} v(df) \deg v = \sum_{v \in V_0} v(df) \deg v = \sum_{v \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3} v(df) \deg v$$

$$\geqslant \sum_{v \in V_1 \cup V_2} \big(v(f) - 1\big) \deg v + \sum_{v \in V_3} \big(v(1+f) - 1\big) \deg v$$

$$= -H(f) + H(f) - \sum_{v \in V_1 \cup V_2} \deg v + H(f) - \sum_{v \in V_3} \deg v$$

$$\geqslant H(f) - \sum_{v \in V_1 \cup V_2 \cup V_3} \deg v \geqslant H(f) - \sum_{v \in V_0} \deg v$$

whence the assertion follows. □

Taking $\Phi = -\gamma_1/\gamma_3$, $\Psi = -\gamma_2/\gamma_3$ Eq. (1) gives the *unit equation in two variables*

$$\Phi + \Psi = 1 \tag{3}$$

where Φ, Ψ are V_0-units. Because of characteristic p the number of solutions of such a unit equation can be infinite.

For example, if V_0 is just the set of infinite valuations and η, $1 - \eta$ are both units of o_K then also η^κ, $(1 - \eta)^\kappa$ is a solution of (3) for every exponent $\kappa = p^\ell$. Hence, there exist solutions of arbitrary large heights in this situation.

The subsequent lemma shows that for any finite subset V_0 of V, the group of V_0-units of K contains only a finite number, say s, of V_0-units η which are not p^ℓth powers and for which also $1 - \eta$ is a V_0-unit. We denote the set of these units by $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_s\}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let V_0 be a finite subset of V. Assume that a V_0-unit Φ in K is a solution of (3). If Φ is not a p^ℓth power of η_i ($1 \leqslant i \leqslant s$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geqslant 0}$) then Φ belongs to a finite subset of K which can be calculated.*

For the proof we refer to [6, Lemma 11, p. 98]. The proof starts by assuming that Φ is not a pth power in K and therefore also provides the means to calculate the η_i.

4. Application to Thue equations

4.1. Preliminaries

We want to apply these results to (relative) Thue equations over K. Let

$$F(X, Y) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} A_i X^{n-i} Y^i \in o_K[X, Y]$$

be a binary homogeneous form of degree at least 3. Without loss of generality (cf. [4, p. 20]) we can assume that F is monic in X, i.e., $A_0 = 1$. The polynomial $F(X, 1) \in o_K[X]$ is required to be separable and irreducible. Then for arbitrary $m \in o_K$ the equation

$$F(x, y) = m \quad \text{in } x, y \in o_K$$

is called a *Thue equation* (over K). Denote by α a zero of $F(x, 1)$ in $\overline{K}$, let $L = K(\alpha)$ and o_L the integral closure of $k[t]$ in L. Assume that k is the full constant field of L, too. If $x, y \in o_K$ is a solution of the Thue equation then

$$F(x, y) = N_{L/K}(x - \alpha y) = m. \tag{4}$$

Denote by $\gamma^{(j)}$ $(j = 1, \ldots, n)$ the conjugates of any $\gamma \in L$ over K. Assume that $(x, y) \in o_K^2$ is a solution of (4). Then $\beta = x - \alpha y$ is of norm m, that is β can be represented in the form

$$\beta = x - \alpha y = \mu \cdot \eta \tag{5}$$

where η is a unit in L and μ is an element of a finite set S of non-associated elements of L of norm m over K. For the solution of the corresponding norm equation we use the usual methods from algebraic number theory, i.e., calculate suitable S-units [3]. Those, together with Dirichlet's unit theorem (cf., e.g., [11]), can be easily transfered to the function field case, too.

Denote by $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$ a set of fundamental units in L (that can be calculated by the computer algebra system KASH [2]). Setting $k^* = \langle \eta_0 \rangle$, there are integer exponents $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_r$ such that

$$\beta = x - \alpha y = \mu \cdot \eta_0^{a_0} \cdot \eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_r^{a_r}. \tag{6}$$

For fixed distinct i, j, k (with $1 \leqslant i, j, k \leqslant n$) set $L_{ijk} = L(\alpha^{(i)}, \alpha^{(j)}, \alpha^{(k)})$ with genus g. Denote by V_0 a finite set of valuations of L_{ijk} containing the infinite valuations and such that

$$v(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}) = 0, \qquad v(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}) = 0, \qquad v(\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)}) = 0 \quad \text{if } v \notin V_0$$

and

$$v(\mu^{(i)}) = 0, \qquad v(\mu^{(j)}) = 0, \qquad v(\mu^{(k)}) = 0 \quad \text{if } v \notin V_0.$$

Siegel's identity (holding trivially for any solution, see [4, Chapter 3]) gives

$$(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})\beta^{(k)} + (\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})\beta^{(i)} + (\alpha^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)})\beta^{(j)} = 0. \tag{7}$$

By the fundamental Lemma 3.1

$$\tau_{ijk} = \frac{(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})\beta^{(i)}}{(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})\beta^{(k)}}$$

is either of bounded height or is contained in L_{ijk}^p. In the following the height function is applied always in L_{ijk}.

4.2. Effective upper bounds for the solutions of Thue equations

In case Eq. (4) has only finitely many solutions we derive an upper bound for the heights of the solutions. If the equation has only finitely many solutions, then there must be i, j, k such that τ_{ijk} is not a pth power in L_{ijk}. We keep the above notation and set $A = \max(H(\alpha^{(i)}, H(\alpha^{(j)}), H(\alpha^{(k)}))$.

Theorem 4.1. *If τ_{ijk} is not a pth power, then Eq.* (4) *has only finitely many solutions and for all solutions (x, y) we have*

$$\max(H(x), H(y)) \leqslant 11A + \frac{1}{n}H(\mu) + 4g - 4 + 2\sum_{v \in V_0} \deg v.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 3.1 we get

$$H(\tau_{ijk}) \leqslant 2g - 2 + \sum_{v \in V_0} \deg v = c_1.$$

This implies

$$H\left(\frac{\beta^{(i)}}{\beta^{(k)}}\right) = H\left(\frac{x - \alpha^{(i)}y}{x - \alpha^{(k)}y}\right) \leqslant H(\tau_{ijk}) + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}\right) \leqslant c_1 + 4A = c_2.$$

Using an argument of Mason [6, Chapter II.1] for $y \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{x}{y} = \frac{\alpha^{(k)} \beta^{(i)} / \beta^{(k)} - \alpha^{(i)}}{\beta^{(i)} / \beta^{(k)} - 1}$$

whence

$$H\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \leqslant 2A + 2c_2.$$

By

$$y^n = \frac{\mu}{\prod_{h=1}^{n} \left(\frac{x}{y} - \alpha^{(h)}\right)}$$

we derive

$$nH(y) \leqslant H(\mu) + n\left(H\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) + A\right)$$

whence the assertion follows for y. The bound for x can be obtained similarly. $\square$

4.3. An algorithm for calculating the solutions of Thue equations

We now turn to finding the solutions of Eq. (4).

Case I. Consider first the case when τ_{ijk} is of bounded height. Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4.1 we obtain

$$H\left(\frac{x - \alpha^{(i)} y}{x - \alpha^{(k)} y}\right) \leqslant H(\tau_{ijk}) + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}\right) \leqslant c_1 + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}}{\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}}\right) = c_2'. \quad (8)$$

By (6) we have

$$\frac{x - \alpha^{(i)} y}{x - \alpha^{(k)} y} = \frac{\mu^{(i)}}{\mu^{(k)}} \left(\frac{\eta_1^{(i)}}{\eta_1^{(k)}}\right)^{a_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\eta_r^{(i)}}{\eta_r^{(k)}}\right)^{a_r}$$

whence using (8) we obtain

$$H\left(\left(\frac{\eta_1^{(i)}}{\eta_1^{(k)}}\right)^{a_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\eta_r^{(i)}}{\eta_r^{(k)}}\right)^{a_r}\right) \leqslant c_2' + H\left(\frac{\mu^{(k)}}{\mu^{(i)}}\right) = c_3.$$

This means for any infinite valuation v of L_{ijk} we have

$$a_1 \cdot v\left(\frac{\eta_1^{(i)}}{\eta_1^{(k)}}\right) + \cdots + a_r \cdot v\left(\frac{\eta_r^{(i)}}{\eta_r^{(k)}}\right) \leqslant c_3.$$

Note that by interchanging i and k we get the same expression on the left-hand side with opposite sign: for this reason the inequalities are also valid with absolute values:

$$\left| a_1 \cdot v\left(\frac{\eta_1^{(i)}}{\eta_1^{(k)}}\right) + \cdots + a_r \cdot v\left(\frac{\eta_r^{(i)}}{\eta_r^{(k)}}\right) \right| \leqslant c_3. \tag{9}$$

Note that the units in the above formula have zero values at finite valuations. The inequalities of type (9) (obtained for different choices of i, k) can be used to determine all possible values of the exponents $a_1, \ldots, a_r$.

For any possible exponent vector $a_1, \ldots, a_r$ we can determine $\eta = \eta_1^{a_1} \cdots \eta_r^{a_r}$ in (5). Then the system of equations

$$x - \alpha^{(1)} y = \mu^{(1)} \cdot \eta^{(1)}, \qquad x - \alpha^{(2)} y = \mu^{(2)} \cdot \eta^{(2)}$$

can be used to determine the corresponding x, y.

Case II. If in (7) we have

$$\tau_{ijk} = \frac{(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})\beta^{(i)}}{(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})\beta^{(k)}} \in L_{ijk}^p,$$

then using (5) we obtain

$$\frac{(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})\mu^{(i)}}{(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})\mu^{(k)}} \cdot \frac{\eta^{(i)}}{\eta^{(k)}} \in L_{ijk}^p.$$

Here the last term is a unit in L_{ijk} hence for any finite valuation v of L_{ijk}

$$v\left(\frac{(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})\mu^{(i)}}{(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})\mu^{(k)}}\right)$$

must be divisible by p. This usually does not hold and there is no Case II solution. Otherwise, τ_{ijk} is a pth power, say $\tau_{ijk} = \psi_{ijk}^p$, we replace τ_{ijk} by ψ_{ijk}^p and repeat the argument.

Remark. In the above calculations several elements (e.g., $\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)}$) are contained in subfields of type $L_{ij} = K(\alpha^{(i)}, \alpha^{(j)})$ of L_{ijk}. Since for elements in L_{ij} the values at any valuation of L_{ijk} can be easily calculated from the values of the corresponding valuations of L_{ijk}, hence in fact almost all calculations can be performed in the subfields L_{ij} which are much easier to deal with, especially for large degrees n.

5. Examples

Example 1. In the first example we do not need to apply the fundamental lemma.

Let $k = \mathbb{F}_5$, let $K = k(t)$, let α be a root of

$$y^3 + t^7 + t = 0$$

and let $L = K(\alpha)$. Consider the Thue equation

$$N_{L/k(t)}(x - \alpha y) = 1 \quad \text{in } x, y \in k[t]. \tag{10}$$

Denote by $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}$ the conjugates of α. Using symmetric polynomials we have

$$\alpha^{(3)} = -\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}$$

and substituting it into $\alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(2)}\alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)} = 0$ we obtain

$$\left(\alpha^{(2)}\right)^2 + \alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)} + \left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^2 = 0$$

whence

$$\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{4\alpha^{(1)} \pm \alpha^{(1)}\sqrt{-3}}{2} = 3\left(4\alpha^{(1)} \pm \alpha^{(1)}\sqrt{2}\right). \tag{11}$$

Observe that $\sqrt{2}$ is contained in $\mathbb{F}_{25}$, a quadratic extension of K, hence in this case

$$M = L\left(\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}\right) = \mathbb{F}_{25}(t)(\alpha).$$

Denote by w a generating element of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{F}_{25}^*$ of $\mathbb{F}_{25}$ with

$$2 = w^6, \qquad 3 = w^{18}, \qquad 4 = w^{12}.$$

By (11) we have

$$\alpha^{(2)} = w^{16}\alpha^{(1)}, \qquad \alpha^{(3)} = w^8\alpha^{(1)}.$$

Siegel's identity gets the form

$$w^8\left(x - \alpha^{(1)}y\right) + \left(x - \alpha^{(2)}y\right) + w^{16}\left(x - \alpha^{(3)}y\right) = 0. \tag{12}$$

In our case M has one infinite valuation. In the above equation all terms are units having zero values at all finite valuations. By the product formula their value at the infinite valuation is also 0, hence they are contained in the constant field $\mathbb{F}_{25}$.

Equation (12) leads to the unit equation

$$w^4\frac{x - \alpha^{(1)}y}{x - \alpha^{(3)}y} + w^{20}\frac{x - \alpha^{(2)}y}{x - \alpha^{(3)}y} = 1$$

which can be written in the form

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 = 1 \quad \text{in } \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{25}^*. \tag{13}$$

If $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ are solutions of this equation, then

$$x - \alpha^{(1)}y = \varepsilon_1 w^4 \left(x - \alpha^{(3)}y\right), \qquad x - \alpha^{(2)}y = \varepsilon_2 w^{20} \left(x - \alpha^{(3)}y\right), \tag{14}$$

hence (10) gets the form

$$\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \left(x - \alpha^{(3)}y\right)^3 = 1 \tag{15}$$

whence for the solutions $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ of (13) the $1/(\varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2)$ must be a cube in $\mathbb{F}_{25}^*$.

   We determined all such solutions $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$ of (13), calculated the corresponding $x - \alpha^{(3)}y$ from (15) and determined x, y from the system of linear equations (14). We found, that the only integer solution is $x = 1$, $y = 0$.

Example 2. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_{11}$, $K = k(t)$, let α be a root of

$$y^3 - ty + t^3 = 0$$

and let $L = K(\alpha)$. Consider the Thue equation

$$N_{L/k(t)}(x - \alpha y) = 1 \quad \text{in } x, y \in k[t]. \tag{16}$$

Denote by $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}$ the conjugates of α. Using symmetric polynomials we have

$$\alpha^{(3)} = -\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}$$

and substituting it into $\alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(2)}\alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)} = -t$ we obtain

$$\left(\alpha^{(2)}\right)^2 + \alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)} + \left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^2 - t = 0$$

whence

$$\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{-\alpha^{(1)} \pm \sqrt{-3(\alpha^{(1)})^2 + 4t}}{2}. \tag{17}$$

The minimal polynomial of the square root is

$$z^6 + 5tz^4 + 9t^2z^2 + 5t^6 + 7t^3.$$

The function field $M = \mathbb{F}_{11}(t)(z)$ contains $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}$. By

$$z^2 = -3\left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^2 + 4t$$

we have

$$\left(\alpha^{(1)}\right)^2 = \frac{-z^2 + 4t}{3}$$

whence

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \frac{6}{t^4}\left(4t^3 + 6t^2 z^2 + tz^4\right).$$

Further we obtain

$$\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{-\alpha^{(1)} + z}{2}, \qquad \alpha^{(3)} = \frac{-\alpha^{(1)} - z}{2}.$$

For any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ let $j = i + 1 \pmod 3$, $k = i + 2 \pmod 3$ (taking 3 in case of 0 remainder) and let

$$\gamma_i = \left(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}\right)\left(x - \alpha^{(i)} y\right).$$

Then Siegel's identity gets the form

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0. \tag{18}$$

The field M has genus 1. It has three infinite valuations, all of degree 2. The $x - \alpha^{(i)} y$ are units, having nonzero values only at the infinite valuations. The $(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})/(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})$ have nonzero values all together for 6 finite valuations, all having degrees 1 or 2, the sum of the degrees is 9. Denote by V_0 the set of these 6 finite and the three infinite valuations of M. Then by the fundamental Lemma 3.1 γ_i/γ_j is either of bounded height, or is contained in M^{11}.

Case I. Assume

$$H\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3}\right) \leqslant 2 \cdot 1 - 2 + 15 = 15.$$

This implies

$$H\left(\frac{x - \alpha^{(1)} y}{x - \alpha^{(3)} y}\right) \leqslant 15 + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}}{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}\right) = 17. \tag{19}$$

In our case K has unit rank 1. The fundamental unit is

$$\varepsilon = 3t + 1 + 3\alpha.$$

The values

$$v\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon^{(k)}}\right)$$

(at infinite valuations) are ± 3. Hence by (19) and (9) we have

$$x - \alpha y = \mu \cdot \varepsilon^a$$

with a root of unity μ in k, where

$$3 \cdot |a| \leqslant 17$$

hence

$$|a| \leqslant 5.$$

The possible values of a were tested and we found only the solution $x = 1$, $y = 0$ for $a = 0$ and $x = 3t + 1$, $y = 8$ for $a = 1$.

Case II. To exclude $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} \in M^{11}$ we consider

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} = \frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}} \cdot \frac{x - \alpha^{(1)} y}{x - \alpha^{(3)} y}.$$

The second term on the right-hand side is a unit, hence

$$v \left(\frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}} \right)$$

should be divisible by 11 at all finite valuations v. This is not satisfied, however. (Similarly for γ_1 / γ_2 and γ_2 / γ_3.)

Example 3. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_3$, $K = k(t)$, let α be a root of

$$y^3 + (t^2 + 2) y^2 + (2t^2 + 2) y + 2 = 0$$

and let $L = K(\alpha)$. Consider the Thue equation

$$N_{L/k(t)}(x - \alpha y) = 1 \quad \text{in } x, y \in k[t]. \tag{20}$$

Denote by $\alpha^{(1)}$, $\alpha^{(2)}$, $\alpha^{(3)}$ the conjugates of α. Using symmetric polynomials we have

$$\alpha^{(3)} = -t^2 - 2 - \alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}$$

and substituting it into $\alpha^{(1)} \alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(2)} \alpha^{(3)} + \alpha^{(1)} \alpha^{(2)} = 2t^2 + 2$ we obtain

$$\left(\alpha^{(2)} \right)^2 + \alpha^{(2)} \left(\alpha^{(1)} + t^2 + 2 \right) + \left(\alpha^{(1)} \right)^2 + \alpha^{(1)} \left(t^2 + 2 \right) + 2t^2 + 2 = 0$$

whence

$$\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{-(\alpha^{(1)} + t^2 + 2) \pm \sqrt{\alpha^{(1)}(t^2 + 2) + t^4 + 2t^2 + 2}}{2}. \tag{21}$$

The minimal polynomial of the square root is

$$z^6 + z^4(t^4 + t^2 + 1) + z^2(t^8 + 2t^6 + 2t^2 + 1) + 2t^8 + 2t^4 + t^6 + 2.$$

The function field $M = \mathbb{F}_3(t)(z)$ contains $\alpha^{(1)}, \alpha^{(2)}, \alpha^{(3)}$. By

$$z^2 = \alpha^{(1)}(t^2 + 2) + t^4 + 2t^2 + 2$$

we have

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \frac{z^2 - t^4 - 2t^2 - 2}{t^2 + 2}.$$

Further we obtain

$$\alpha^{(2)} = \frac{-\alpha^{(1)} - t^2 - 2 + z}{2}, \qquad \alpha^{(3)} = \frac{-\alpha^{(1)} - t^2 - 2 - z}{2}.$$

For any $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 3$ let $j = i + 1 \pmod 3$, $k = i + 2 \pmod 3$ (taking 3 in case of 0 remainder) and let

$$\gamma_i = \left(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)}\right)\left(x - \alpha^{(i)} y\right).$$

Then Siegel's identity gets the form

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0. \tag{22}$$

The field M has genus 7. It has six infinite valuations, all of degree 1. The $x - \alpha^{(i)} y$ are units, having nonzero values only at the infinite valuations. The $(\alpha^{(i)} - \alpha^{(j)})/(\alpha^{(j)} - \alpha^{(k)})$ have nonzero values all together for six finite valuations, all having degrees 4. Denote by V_0 the set of these six finite and the six infinite valuations of M. Then by the fundamental Lemma 3.1 γ_i / γ_j is either of bounded height, or is contained in M^3.

Case I. Assume

$$H\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3}\right) \leqslant 2 \cdot 7 - 2 + 24 = 42.$$

This implies

$$H\left(\frac{x - \alpha^{(1)} y}{x - \alpha^{(3)} y}\right) \leqslant 42 + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}}{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}\right) = 48. \tag{23}$$

In this case K has unit rank 2. The fundamental units are

$$\varepsilon_1 = 1 + 2t^2\alpha + 2\alpha^2, \qquad \varepsilon_2 = t^2 + 1 + (2t^2 + 1)\alpha + 2\alpha^2.$$

Considering the values of

$$v\left(\frac{\varepsilon^{(i)}}{\varepsilon^{(k)}}\right)$$

(at infinite valuations) by (23) and (9) become

$$x - \alpha y = \mu \cdot \varepsilon_1^{a_1} \cdot \varepsilon_2^{a_2}$$

with a root of unity μ in k, where

$$|a_1 + 2a_2| \leqslant 24, \quad |a_1 - a_2| \leqslant 24,$$

whence

$$|3a_1| \leqslant |a_1 + 2a_2| + |a_1 - a_2| \leqslant 48,$$

that is $|a_1| \leqslant 16$. Searching over the set

$$-16 \leqslant a_1 \leqslant 16, \qquad a_1 - 24 \leqslant a_2 \leqslant a_1 + 24$$

we found the following solutions

$$(x, y) = (1, 2t^2 + 1), \ (0, 2), \ (1, 1), \ (1, 0), \ (2t^2 + 2, 2t^2 + 1), \ (t^4 + 2t^2 + 1, 2t^2 + 1).$$

Case II. To exclude $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} \in M^3$ we consider

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} = \frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}} \cdot \frac{x - \alpha^{(1)} y}{x - \alpha^{(3)} y}.$$

The second term on the right-hand side is a unit, hence

$$v\left(\frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}}\right)$$

should be divisible by 3 at all finite valuations v. This is not satisfied, however. (Similarly for γ_1/γ_2 and γ_2/γ_3.)

Example 4. Let $k = \mathbb{F}_5$, $K = k(t)$. For simplicity take $A = t^2 + t + 1$; $B = t^4 - 1$. Let α be a root of

$$y^4 - 2B^2 y^2 + A + B^2 = 0,$$

that is $\alpha = \sqrt{B + \sqrt{-A}}$, and let $L = K(\alpha)$. This function field L obviously has $N = K(\sqrt{-A})$ as a subfield. Consider the Thue equation

$$N_{L/k(t)}(x - \alpha y) = 1 \quad \text{in } x, y \in k[t]. \tag{24}$$

Denote by $\alpha^{(i)}$ the conjugates of α ($i = 1, \ldots, 4$).

If we let

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \sqrt{B + \sqrt{-A}}, \qquad \alpha^{(2)} = -\sqrt{B + \sqrt{-A}}$$

then by $\alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)} = \sqrt{A + B^2}$ the element $\beta = \alpha^{(1)} + \alpha^{(1)}\alpha^{(2)}$ generates a function field M of degree 8 over K containing all conjugates of α. The element β is defined by the polynomial

$$
\begin{aligned}
&z^8 + \left(-4B - 4A - 4B^2\right)z^6 + \left(4BA + 4B^3 + 6B^2 + 2A + 6A^2 + 6B^4 + 12AB^2\right)z^4 \\
&\quad + \left(-4BA + 12A^2 - 12B^4A + 16AB^2 + 4BA^2 + 4B^5 + 4B^4 - 12A^2B^2 - 4A^3\right. \\
&\quad\quad \left. - 4B^3 + 8B^3A - 4B^6\right)z^2 \\
&\quad + \left(6B^4A^2 + B^4 - 4B^5 + 6B^6 + 2A^3 + A^2 + 2AB^2 + 4B^6A - 4B^7 - 8B^3A\right. \\
&\quad\quad \left. + 14B^4A + A^4 + 4A^3B^2 + 10A^2B^2 - 4BA^2 - 12B^5A - 4BA^3 - 12A^2B^3 + B^8\right) \\
&= z^8 + \left(t^8 + 4t^4 + t^2 + t + 1\right)z^6 + \left(t^{16} + 2t^{10} + 2t^9 + 2t^8 + 2t^4 + 2t^3 + 3t^2\right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2t + 4\right)z^4 + \left(t^{24} + 3t^{20} + 3t^{18} + 3t^{17} + 2t^{16} + t^{14} + t^{13} + 4t^{12} + t^{11} + 4t^{10}\right. \\
&\quad\quad \left. + t^9 + 2t^8 + t^7 + t^6 + 2t^4 + 4t^3 + 4t^2 + 2\right)z^2 \\
&\quad + \left(t^{32} + 3t^{28} + 4t^{26} + 4t^{25} + t^{24} + 4t^{22} + 4t^{21} + 2t^{19} + 2t^{18} + t^{17} + 3t^{15}\right. \\
&\quad\quad \left. + 2t^{14} + t^{13} + t^{12} + 2t^{11} + 3t^{10} + 4t^8 + 2t^7 + 3t^6 + 4t^5 + 2t^4 + 2t^3 + 4t^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

By

$$\beta - \alpha = \sqrt{A + B^2} \tag{25}$$

we get

$$\beta^2 - 2\beta\alpha + \alpha^2 - A - B^2.$$

One of the roots of this equation satisfies the quartic defining polynomial of α, giving the embedding of $\alpha^{(1)}$ into M. The other conjugate can be obtained by (25), the last two conjugates are the negatives of these ones.

The field K has unit rank 3, we embed all conjugates of the fundamental units into M. (These units are far too complicated to include explicitly here.) Let

$$\gamma_1 = \left(\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}\right)\left(x - \alpha^{(1)}y\right),$$
$$\gamma_2 = \left(\alpha^{(3)} - \alpha^{(1)}\right)\left(x - \alpha^{(2)}y\right),$$
$$\gamma_3 = \left(\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}\right)\left(x - \alpha^{(3)}y\right),$$

then we have

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \gamma_3 = 0. \tag{26}$$

The field M has genus 13. It has eight infinite valuations, all of degree 1. The $x - \alpha^{(i)}y$ are units, having nonzero values only at the infinite valuations. The quotients

$$\frac{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(3)}}, \qquad \frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(1)}}, \qquad \frac{\alpha^{(3)} - \alpha^{(1)}}{\alpha^{(3)} - \alpha^{(2)}}$$

have nonzero values all together at four finite valuations, two of them being of degree 4, the other two of degree 6. Denote by V_0 the set of the eight infinite and these four finite valuations. Then by the fundamental Lemma 3.1 γ_i/γ_j is either of bounded height, or is contained in M^5.

Case I. Assume

$$H\left(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3}\right) \leqslant 2 \cdot 13 - 2 + (8 + 12 + 8) = 52.$$

This implies

$$H\left(\frac{x - \alpha^{(1)}y}{x - \alpha^{(3)}y}\right) \leqslant 52 + H\left(\frac{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}}{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}\right) = 65. \tag{27}$$

As we mentioned above, K has unit rank 3. We denote by $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3$ the fundamental units. Considering the values of

$$v\left(\frac{\varepsilon_h^{(i)}}{\varepsilon_h^{(k)}}\right)$$

(at infinite valuations) for $h = 1, 2, 3$, by (27) and (9) we get

$$x - \alpha y = \mu \cdot \varepsilon_1^{a_1} \cdot \varepsilon_2^{a_2} \cdot \varepsilon_3^{a_3}$$

with a root of unity μ in k where among others the exponents satisfy

$$|50a_1 + 6a_2 + 54a_3| \leqslant 65,$$
$$|51a_1 + 5a_2 + 54a_3| \leqslant 65,$$
$$|49a_1 + 3a_2 + 54a_3| \leqslant 65.$$

There are about 8000 solutions (a_1, a_2, a_3) of the above system of linear inequalities. Testing all possible exponent vectors we found that Eq. (24) has only the trivial solutions $(x, y) = (1, 0), (2, 0), (3, 0), (4, 0)$ (these yield in fact the products of $x - \alpha y$ for $x = 1$, $y = 0$ with roots of unity in k).

Case II. To exclude $\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} \in M^5$ we consider

$$\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_3} = \frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}} \cdot \frac{x - \alpha^{(1)} y}{x - \alpha^{(3)} y}.$$

The second term on the right-hand side is a unit, hence

$$v\left(\frac{\alpha^{(2)} - \alpha^{(3)}}{\alpha^{(1)} - \alpha^{(2)}} \right)$$

should be divisible by 5 at all finite valuations v. This is not satisfied, however. (Similarly for γ_1/γ_2 and γ_2/γ_3.)

Computational experiences. All computations used in the examples were performed by using the computer algebra system KASH [2], running on 1 GHz PC-s. The calculations took just some seconds with the exception of the test of about 8000 possible exponent vectors in Example 4 which took about 90 minutes.

Acknowledgment

References

[1] A. Baker, Transcendental Number Theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1990.

[2] M. Daberkow, C. Fieker, J. Klüners, M. Pohst, K. Roegner, K. Wildanger, KANT V4, J. Symbolic Comput. 24 (1997) 267–283.

[3] C. Fieker, Über relative Normgleichungen in algebraischen Zahlkörpern, PhD thesis, Berlin, 1997.

[4] I. Gaál, Diophantine Equations and Power Integral Bases, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, 2002.

[5] K. Győry, Bounds for the solutions of norm form, discriminant form and index form equations in finitely generated integral domains, Acta Math. Hungar. 42 (1983) 45–80.

[6] R.C. Mason, Diophantine Equations over Function Fields, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1984.

[7] H. Niederreiter, C. Xing, Rational points on curves over finite fields, in: London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 285, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[8] W.M. Schmidt, Thue's equation over function fields, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A 25 (1978) 385–422.

[9] H. Stichtenoth, Algebraic Function Fields and Codes, Springer, Berlin, 1993.

[10] A. Thue, Über Annäherungswerte algebraischer Zahlen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 135 (1909) 284–305.

[11] E. Weiss, Algebraic Number Theory, McGraw–Hill, New York, 1963.