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A class of operators is investigated which results from certain boundary and transmission problems, the so-called Sommerfeld diffraction problems. In various cases these are of normal type but not normally solvable, and the problem is how to normalize the operators in a physically relevant way, i.e., not loosing the H ilbert space structure of function spaces defined by a locally finite energy norm. The present approach solves this question rigorously for the case where the lifted Fourier symbol matrix function is Holder continuous on the real line with a jump at infinity. It incorporates the intuitive concept of compatibility conditions which is known from some canonical problems. Further it presents explicit analytical formulas for generalized inverses of the normalized operators in terms of matrix factorization. © 1998 A cademic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let $H^{s}=H^{s}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the spaces of Bessel potentials of order $s \in \mathbb{R}[7]$. Further let $H_{+}^{s}$ be the subspace of $H^{s}$ formed by all distributions supported on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}$in the sense of $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}=\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} H^{s}$ be the space of restrictions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$; see the A ppendix for more details. In the vector case the same notation is used with $s=\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}={\underset{j=1}{n}}_{\chi_{j}^{s_{j}}}, \quad H_{+}^{s}=\underset{j=1}{\underset{X}{X}} H_{+}^{s_{j}}, \quad H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\underset{j=1}{\nmid} H^{s_{j}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The central topic of our investigations is a class of Wiener-Hopf operators (WHOs) acting between spaces of Bessel potentials,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W(\Phi)=\left.r_{+} A\right|_{H_{+}^{r}}: H_{+}^{r} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad r, s \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{+}$acts componentwise and $A$ is a translation invariant homeomorphism between $H^{r}$ and $H^{s}$. This is equivalent to writing $A=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi \cdot \mathscr{F}$, where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^{n \times n}, \quad \Phi_{j l}=\mathscr{O}\left(|\xi|^{r_{l}-s_{j}}\right), \quad|\xi| \rightarrow \infty \\
& j, l=1, \ldots, n . \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

The inverse Fourier symbol matrix function $\Phi^{-1}$ also satisfies the conditions (1.3), where $r$ and $s$ are exchanged. Lifting the WHO into $L^{2}$ (cf. Theorem A.1), we obtain an equivalent lifted $W H O$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left.r_{+} A_{0}\right|_{\left[L_{+}^{2}+\right]^{n}}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n}, \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi_{0} \cdot \mathscr{F}$ and $\Phi_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^{n \times n}$. A s a general assumption let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})^{n \times n} \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\nu \in] 0,1$ [, i.e., the elements of $\Phi_{0}$ are Hölder continuous on $\ddot{\mathbb{R}}=[-\infty,+\infty]$ and the matrix does not degenerate there. This class of operators is of particular importance in certain applications [18] (see also Sections 5 and 6).

For the sake of simplicity we shall focus first on the case where $A$ is scalar ( $n=1$ ) and acts "symmetrically" ( $r=s$ ). Let us denote by $W_{s}$ the corresponding scalar WHO,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{s}=W_{s}(\Phi)=\left.r_{+} A\right|_{H_{+}^{s}}: H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi \cdot \mathscr{F}$ with $\Phi \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
Note that the question of operators acting between spaces of different order,

$$
W=\left.r_{+} A\right|_{H_{+}^{1}}: H_{+}^{s_{1}} \rightarrow H^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),
$$

where $A=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi \cdot \mathscr{F}, \tilde{\Phi}_{0}=\lambda_{-}^{s_{2}} \Phi \lambda_{+}^{-s_{1}} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\lambda_{ \pm}^{s}(\xi)=\left(\xi \pm k_{0}\right)^{s}$, [cf. (2.1)], can be completely reduced to the case $s_{1}=s_{2}=s$ and treated analogously. A generalization to the system's case (1.2) will be described later, in Section 6.

A number $s \in \mathbb{R}$ is said to be critical if $W_{s}$ is not normally solvable, i.e., if the image of the WHO is not closed. It is well known (cf. Corollary A.6) that these numbers are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s=s_{1}+k-\frac{1}{2}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad s_{1}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi}[\arg \Phi(+\infty)-\arg \Phi(-\infty)] . \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $s-s_{1}+1 / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}, W_{s}$ is a one-sided invertible Fredholm operator with known explicit formulas for the generalized inverses in terms of a factorization of $\Phi$ [cf. (A.34)]. For the critical numbers we shall study a problem of the following type.

Normalization Problem (for bounded operators) [13, 14]. Let $X_{0}, Y_{0}$ be Banach spaces and $M \subset \mathscr{L}\left(X_{0}, Y_{0}\right)$ be a set of bounded linear operators. Find another pair of Banach spaces $X_{1}, Y_{1}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0} \cap X_{1} \subset X_{j}, \quad Y_{0} \cap Y_{1} \subset Y_{j}, \quad j=0,1 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

are dense, any $T \in M$ maps $X_{0} \cap X_{1}$ into $Y_{1}$, and has a continuous extension $\bar{T}$ in the sense

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{T}=\left.\operatorname{Ext} T\right|_{X_{0} \cap X_{1}}: X_{1} \rightarrow Y_{1}, \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is normally solvable. In this case, we write $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{N}(M)$; see, e.g., [6, 12, 25] for similar concepts.

Since the embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{+}^{s_{2}} \subset H_{+}^{s_{1}}, \quad H^{s_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{s_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad s_{1}<s_{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

are dense, the normalization problem for

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}=\left\{W_{s}=W_{s}(\Phi): \Phi \in \mathscr{C} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}}), \nu \in\right] 0,1\left[, \text { im } W_{s} \neq \overline{\operatorname{im} W_{s}}\right\} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be easily solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{+}^{s+\varepsilon}, H^{s+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{N}\left(M_{s}\right), \quad \varepsilon \notin \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the strategy in many papers [22,27,28] and it seems to be one of the most natural methods of normalization from the viewpoint of operator theory [7]. A nother solution is possible with the help of Sobolev-Slobodecki spaces $W^{p, s}, p \neq 2$ [21].

However, sometimes it is important not to change the topologies of $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ simultaneously, particularly in applications to mathematical physics, where the energy norm ( $s=1$ ) plays a fundamental role [18] (see also next remark). This leads to the question whether a normalization problem is solvable under one of the additional assumptions

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=X_{0}, \quad Y_{1} \subset Y_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad X_{1} \supset X_{0}, \quad Y_{1}=Y_{0}, \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. We call each of them a minimal normalization problem and denote the corresponding normalized operators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{T}=\mathrm{Rst} T: X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1} \quad \text { and } \quad \stackrel{>}{T}=\mathrm{Ext} T: X_{1} \rightarrow Y_{0} \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided $\left(X_{0}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ or $\left(X_{1}, Y_{0}\right) \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ holds, respectively. A lso we speak about image/domain normalization in these two particular cases.
In the present paper we shall first solve these two problems for the subset of $M_{s}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s, c}=\left\{W_{s}(\Phi) \in M_{s}:\left|\frac{\Phi(+\infty)}{\Phi(-\infty)}\right|=c\right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, c \in \mathbb{R}_{+} . \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, one-sided inverses of $\stackrel{<}{W}$ and ${\underset{W}{w}}_{s}$ will be presented in terms of a factorization of $\Phi$ and the stability of defect numbers will be proved for the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{W_{s}=W_{s}(\Phi): H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), s_{0}<s<s_{0}+1\right\} \cup\left\{{\left.\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s_{0}}, \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s_{0}+1}\right\}, ~}_{\text {and }}\right. \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Fourier symbol is fixed. In particular, this yields the index jump formula

$$
\text { Ind } \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s_{0}}-\operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{s_{0}}=1
$$

A generalization to the system's case is discussed and applications are shown for the concept of image normalization in two classes of Sommerfeld diffraction problems: the impedance problem [17, 20, 27] and the oblique derivative problem [11, 22].

Remark 1.1. The present normalization problems resulted from certain boundary and transmission problems in mathematical physics, the so-called Sommerfeld diffraction problems [18, 23]. There we consider, as the simplest case, a domain $\Omega=\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \Sigma$ with $\partial \Omega=\Sigma=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right.$ : $\left.x_{1} \geq 0, x_{2}=0\right\}$ (identified with the above-mentioned half-line $\mathbb{R}_{+}$) and we look for solutions of the H elmholtz equation (and other elliptic equations) in $H^{1}(\Omega)$ representing waves with a locally finite energy and a reasonable radiation condition at infinity. This implies layer potentials and given data in $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), s=1 / 2-k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Actually, many problems are not well posed in the most intuitive space setting. Now we give the reasons to use our method:

1. Although it is possible and well known that the boundary pseudodifferential operators can be normalized by changing from $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$to $H^{s+\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \varrho\right)$ or $W^{s+\varepsilon, p}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}, \varrho\right)$ with or without some weight function $\varrho$, we like to stick or return to the original parameters $(s, p)=(1 / 2-k, 2)$ and $\varrho=1$ somehow at the end, for physical reasons. This is not possible (at least directly) if we deal with $p$ normalization [see, e.g., the embedding arguments after (4.8)].
2. Thinking of a change of spaces "as little as possible," we may ask for a solution ( $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{Y}_{1}$ ) of the normalization problem for an operator class $M$ that satisfies

$$
X_{0} \subset \tilde{X}_{1} \subset X_{1}, \quad Y_{1} \subset \tilde{Y}_{1} \subset Y_{0}
$$

for every $\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{N}(M)$ with $X_{0} \subset X_{1}, Y_{1} \subset Y_{0}$. This is not solvable for the operator classes under consideration [cf. (2.17)], but if we restrict on image or domain normalization (putting $\tilde{X}_{1}=X_{0}$ or $\tilde{Y}_{1}=Y_{0}$ a priori), the normalization problem turns out to be uniquely solvable. This cannot be achieved by a (pure) change of $p$, but only by a (temporary) change of $s$.
3. In principle there are two concepts of minimal image normalization: a mathematical one (described before) and a physical concept formulated by compatibility conditions (in the simplest case, jumps of the layer potentials are extendable by zero). We can conclude that the two concepts lead to the same unique solution (which is also impossible to obtain by a pure change of $p$ ). Minimal domain normalization of the reduced equivalent operators $V_{ \pm 1 / 2}$ is obtained by duality; see (4.2).

Additionally it should be pointed out that the present approach yields also generalized inverses of the minimally normalized operators by extension and restriction, respectively. All this makes the minimal normalization concept most attractive.

## 2. MAIN RESULT IN THE SCALAR CASE

Let $k_{0}, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, $\operatorname{Im} k_{0}>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{ \pm}^{\omega}(\xi)=\left(\xi \pm k_{0}\right)^{\omega}=\exp \left\{\omega \log \left(\xi \pm k_{0}\right)\right\}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \Gamma, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with vertical branch cuts $\Gamma_{\mp}\left(\Gamma=\Gamma_{+} \cup \Gamma_{-}\right)$taken from $\mp k_{0}$ to infinity not crossing the real line. This notation is common in diffraction theory [18].

Lemma 2.1. For any $\Phi \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}$ ( $\left.\ddot{\mathbb{R}}\right), 0 \leq \nu<1$, there exists a unique number $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$ and a function $\Psi \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{\omega} \Psi, \quad \text { ind } \Psi=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d \arg \Psi=0, \quad \Psi(+\infty)=\Phi(+\infty), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and vice versa.
Proof. Inspired by a similar notation [4, p. 48], let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d \log \Phi . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we write $\omega=\sigma+i \tau$ with real and imaginary parts given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d \arg \Phi, \quad \tau=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\frac{\Phi(-\infty)}{\Phi(+\infty)}\right|, \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. From the first formula of (2.4) it is possible to conclude that the argument increase along $\mathbb{R}$ of $\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{\omega}$ coincides with that of $\Phi$, and from definition (2.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty}\left|\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}(\xi)}{\lambda_{+}(\xi)}\right)^{\omega}\right| & =\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty} \exp \left\{-\tau \arg \left(\frac{\xi-k_{0}}{\xi+k_{0}}\right)\right\} \\
& = \begin{cases}1, & \text { at }+\infty, \\
e^{2 \pi \tau}, & \text { at }-\infty .\end{cases} \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies the representation (2.2), and the inverse conclusion is obvious.

The following notation is needed. The Bessel potential operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda_{ \pm}^{\omega}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \lambda_{ \pm}^{\omega} \cdot \mathscr{F}: H^{s} \rightarrow H^{s-\operatorname{Re} \omega} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are bounded invertible for $s \in \mathbb{R}, \omega \in \mathbb{C}$, as well as the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Lambda_{+}^{\omega}\right|_{H_{+}^{s}}: H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow H_{+}^{s-\operatorname{Re} \omega}, \quad r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\omega} \ell^{(s)}: H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{s-\operatorname{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell^{(s)} \varphi$ denotes any extension of $\varphi \in H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$to $\ell^{(s)} \varphi \in H^{s}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.4 and Lemma 4.6]). For the particular orders $s= \pm 1 / 2$ we shall need the spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} H_{+}^{s} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as subspaces of $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, but equipped with the topology of $H_{+}^{s}$. The spaces $\tilde{H}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$are continuously embedded into $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} H^{s}$ and represent proper dense subspaces [cf. (A.9) and (A.10)]. These facts justify the following notation.

Definition 2.1. For every $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\zeta}{H^{\omega}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \subset H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

equipped with the norm induced by $H_{+}^{-1 / 2}$. This means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\zeta}{H}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\left\{\psi \in H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right): \varphi=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\omega+1 / 2} \ell^{(\mathrm{Re} \omega)} \psi \in \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right\} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e., $\varphi$ is extendable by zero from $H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$into $H^{-1 / 2}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\psi\|_{\hat{H}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\|\varphi\|_{\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\left\|\ell_{0} \varphi\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}} . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, we define for $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}_{+}^{\omega}=\operatorname{clos}\left\{\psi \in H_{+}^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}:\|\psi\|_{\vec{H}_{+}^{\omega}}=\left\|r_{+} \Lambda_{+}^{\omega-1 / 2} \psi\right\|_{H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right\} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that from definition (2.9) the space ${ }_{H}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$coincides with $\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and from (2.12), $H_{+}^{1 / 2}$ is the closure of $H_{+}^{1 / 2}$ in the norm induced by $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. It is evident that all these spaces do not depend on $k_{0}$, as different numbers $k_{0}$ with Im $k_{0}>0$ generate equivalent norms.

Corollary 2.2. For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}$, the embeddings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{H}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad \vec{H}_{+}^{\omega} \supset H_{+}^{\mathrm{Re} \omega} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

are proper, dense, and continuous. Further, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{H}^{-k-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} H_{+}^{-k-1 / 2}, \quad H_{+}^{k+1 / 2} \cong H_{0}^{k+1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last space is the closure of $\mathscr{D}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$with respect to the norm of $H^{k+1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$[cf. (A.6)].

Proof. We prove the properties of the first relation in (2.13). For the second embedding we can use duality arguments. By definition (2.9) it is obvious that if $\psi \in H^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, then $\psi \in H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. To show that the inclusion is proper, let $\psi \in H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. Thus $\ell^{(\operatorname{Re} \omega)} \psi \in H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}$, which implies

$$
\ell^{(\operatorname{Re} \omega)} \psi=\Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \Lambda_{+}^{\omega+1 / 2} \ell^{(\operatorname{Re} \omega)} \psi=\Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} g, \quad \text { i.e., } \psi=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} g,
$$

with $g \in H^{-1 / 2}$. Comparing with (2.9) we conclude that

$$
\stackrel{\zeta}{H}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} H^{-1 / 2},
$$

where the inclusion is strict. The continuity is easily proved from (2.10):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\tilde{\ell}^{(\mathrm{Re} \omega)} \psi\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}} & =\left\|\tilde{\ell}^{(\mathrm{Re} \omega)} r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \ell_{0} \varphi\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}} \\
& =\left\|\Lambda_{+}^{\omega+1 / 2} \tilde{\ell}^{(\mathrm{Re} \omega)} r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \ell_{0} \varphi\right\|_{H^{-1 / 2}} \\
& \leq M\|\psi\|_{H^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{\ell}^{(\operatorname{Re} \omega)} \psi \in H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}$ is any extension of $\psi$.
For the density we show that every $\psi \in H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is the limit of a sequence $\psi_{n} \in{ }^{<}{ }^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \mathrm{\omega}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty .
$$

By definition of the norm of $H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and (2.9),
$\left\|\psi-\psi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\inf _{\ell^{\mathrm{RR} \omega)}}\left\|\ell^{(\mathrm{Re} \omega)}\left(\psi-\psi_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}}=\inf _{\ell^{\mathrm{R} e \omega)}}\left\|f-\Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \varphi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}}$
with $f \in H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}, \varphi_{n} \in H_{+}^{-1 / 2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since we can approximate each $\varphi_{n} \in$ $H_{+}^{-1 / 2}$ by $\varphi_{\varepsilon} \in \ell_{0} C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$(see [7, Lemma 4.3]), there exists an order $p \in \mathbb{N}$,
such that for all $n>p$,

$$
\left\|\varphi_{n}-\varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H_{+}^{-1 / 2}} \rightarrow 0, \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0
$$

Therefore, the following estimate holds for any $n>p$,

$$
\left\|\psi-\psi_{n}\right\|_{H^{\text {Re } \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \leq\left\|f-\Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \varphi_{\varepsilon}\right\|_{H^{\text {Re } \omega}}+\left\|\Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2}\left(\varphi_{\varepsilon}-\varphi_{n}\right)\right\|_{H^{\text {Re } \omega}},
$$

where in the right-hand side both norms converge to zero. Note that $f \in$ $H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}$ can also be approximated by $\left\{\psi_{\varepsilon}\right\} \subset C_{0}^{\infty}$ in the norm of $H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}$ (see [7, Theorem 4.1]).

We demonstrate now the first relation in (2.14). For $k=0$, this is trivial by definition (2.9). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the equality

$$
r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{k} H_{+}^{-1 / 2}=r_{+} H_{+}^{-k-1 / 2}
$$

holds, since the operator $\Lambda_{-}^{k}=\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\xi-k_{0}\right)^{k} . \mathscr{F}$ has a polynomial symbol, which means that $\Lambda_{-}^{k}$ is a differential operator and preserves the support of $\varphi \in H_{+}^{-1 / 2}$.

The second relation in (2.14) follows from definition (2.12) and from the properties of $\Lambda_{+}^{k}=\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\xi+k_{0}\right)^{k} \cdot \mathscr{F}, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ (is trivial for $k=0$ ).
Remark 2.3. In general, the spaces $\stackrel{\zeta}{H}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{H}_{+}^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$depend on $\tau=\operatorname{Im} \omega$. For instance, $\stackrel{\zeta}{H}{ }^{i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is a proper dense subspace of $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. All this can be seen by considerations similar to those in the last proof, but also directly as follows. Consider the operator

$$
U_{\omega}=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \Lambda_{+}^{1 / 2}: L_{+}^{2} \rightarrow H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

and the corresponding lifted operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\omega 0} & =r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\mathrm{Re} \omega} \ell r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega-1 / 2} \Lambda_{+}^{1 / 2} \\
& =r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-i \tau}\left(\Lambda_{-}^{-1 / 2} \Lambda_{+}^{1 / 2}\right): L_{+}^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This last operator is not normally solvable since the jump condition (A.20) is violated (cf. Theorem A.3), i.e., the equivalent operator $U_{\omega}$ satisfies im $U_{\omega} \neq \overline{\mathrm{im} U_{\omega}}$, which yields that ${ }_{H}{ }^{\omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is a proper subspace of $H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. M oreover, it is dense, because im $U_{\omega 0}$ is dense in $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$; see the formula (A.31) for $\beta\left(U_{\omega 0}\right)$ in Corollary A.6.

Corollary 2.4. For any $\omega_{1}, \omega_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ the following operators are homeomorphisms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { Rst } r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}} \ell^{\left(\operatorname{Re} \omega_{1}\right)}: \stackrel{<}{H}{ }^{\omega_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \stackrel{<}{H^{\omega_{2}}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \\
& \left.\operatorname{Ext} \Lambda_{+}^{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}}\right|_{H_{+}^{\mathrm{Re} \omega_{1}}}: \stackrel{>}{H_{+}^{\omega_{1}}} \rightarrow \overrightarrow{H_{+}^{\omega_{2}}} . \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The operator

$$
r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}} \ell^{\left(\operatorname{Re} \omega_{1}\right)}: H^{\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right)}
$$

is well defined and bounded by (2.7). By definition (2.9) and Corollary 2.2, the spaces ${ }_{H}{ }^{\omega_{j}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), j=1,2$, are proper dense subspaces of $H^{\omega_{j}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, $j=1,2$. Thus, the restricted operator given by the first formula in (2.15) is a bijection with inverse

$$
\text { R st } r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}} \ell^{\left(\omega_{2}\right)}: \stackrel{<}{H}{ }^{\omega_{2}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \stackrel{\iota}{H}^{\omega_{1}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

continuous by the same arguments. The second relation in (2.15) can be proved analogously.

Theorem 2.5 (M ain theorem). Let $\left.\Phi \in \mathscr{C} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}}), \nu \in\right] 0,1[$, and $\omega=$ $\sigma+i \tau$ defined by (2.3). Then $W_{s}$ defined by (1.6) is not normally solvable iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa=s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(H_{+}^{s}, \stackrel{\grave{H}}{ }{ }^{s-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right), \quad\left(\vec{H}_{+}^{s-i \tau}, H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right) \in \mathcal{N}\left(M_{s, c}\right), \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=|\exp (-2 \pi \tau)|$; see (1.15) and (2.5). (The question of whether $W_{s}$ is normally solvable depends on sand $\sigma$; the solution of the normalization problem depends on $s$ and $\tau$, provided $s+\sigma+1 / 2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, but not on the particular integer $\kappa=s+\sigma+1 / 2$.)

Each of the normalized operators [cf. (1.14)]

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\operatorname{Rst} W_{s}: H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow \stackrel{\stackrel{<}{H}}{ }+i \tau\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \\
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W_{s}}=\operatorname{Ext} W_{s}: \stackrel{>}{H_{+}^{s-i \tau} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

is left or right invertible with index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ind } \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s}=-\kappa, \quad \operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}=-\kappa+1, \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Generalized inverses (which are one-sided inverses) can be obtained by extension/restriction from the generalized inverses of $W_{s \pm \varepsilon}$, for any $\varepsilon \in] 0,1[$, which are constructed by factorization of $\Phi$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{\stackrel{W}{W}}{s}_{-}^{=} \mathrm{Ext} W_{s+\varepsilon}^{-}: \stackrel{\stackrel{1}{H}}{ }{ }^{s-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{+}^{s}, \\
& \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}^{-}=\mathrm{R} \text { st } W_{s-\varepsilon}^{-}: H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{H}_{+}^{s-i \tau}, \tag{2.20}
\end{align*}
$$

where $W_{s \pm \varepsilon}^{-}$are given in the Appendix [cf. (A .34)].

Proof. Condition (2.16) is known, see Corollary A.6. The rest is proved by construction of one-sided inverses (2.20) as follows. In Section 3, we show that the normalization makes sense, i.e., the formulas (2.18) represent continuous operators, and that the problem can be reduced to the consideration of

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{ \pm 1 / 2}=W_{ \pm 1 / 2}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{\kappa} \Psi\right) \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\Psi$ defined as in (2.2) [and $W_{s}(\Phi)$ in (1.16)]. In Section 4, the reduced case is treated and the results are assembled to complete the proof.

$$
\text { 3. REDUCTION TO } W_{s}=W_{s}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right), \Psi_{\kappa} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\dot{\mathbb{R}}), s= \pm \frac{1}{2}
$$

U nder the assumption of Theorem 2.5 about $\Phi$ we have from Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{\sigma+i \tau} \Psi . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $B=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Psi_{\kappa} \cdot \mathscr{F}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\kappa}=\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{\kappa} \Psi, \quad \kappa=s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, \quad \text { wind } \Psi_{\kappa}=\operatorname{ind}_{2} \Psi_{\kappa}=\kappa, \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the 2-index of $\Psi_{\kappa}$ is defined according to [21] [cf. (A .29) and (7.36)]. In the critical case, $\Psi_{\kappa} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\mathbb{R})$ since $\kappa$ is an integer [cf. (2.16)]. $U$ sing the notation (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain the factorization of $W_{s}=W_{s}(\Phi)$ in (1.6),

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{s}= & r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{\sigma+i \tau \mathscr{F}}-1 \mathrm{~T} \cdot \mathscr{F} \Lambda_{+}^{-(\sigma+i \tau)} \\
= & \left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-(s+1 / 2-i \tau)} \ell^{(-1 / 2)}\right)\left(r_{+} B\right) \Lambda_{+}^{s+1 / 2-i \tau}: \\
& H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \overleftarrow{\mathrm{bjj} .} H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \overleftarrow{V_{-1 / 2}} H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \overleftarrow{\mathrm{bij} .} H_{+}^{s}, \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we used E skin's formulas (2.7). Thus, $W_{s}$ is equivalent [up to bijective operators of the form (2.7)] to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{-1 / 2}=W_{-1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way we find for $W_{s+1}=W_{s+1}(\Phi)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{s+1}= & \left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-(s+1 / 2-i \tau)} \ell^{(1 / 2)}\right)\left(r_{+} B\right) \Lambda_{+}^{s+1 / 2-i \tau}: \\
& H^{s+1}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \overleftarrow{\text { bij. }} H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \overleftarrow{V_{1 / 2}} H_{+}^{1 / 2} \overleftarrow{\text { bij. }} H_{+}^{s+1}, \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

which operator is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{1 / 2}=W_{1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): H_{+}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. If $\Psi_{\kappa} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\dot{\mathbb{R}})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{im} W_{-1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right) \subset \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\stackrel{<}{H}^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { R st } W_{-1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a bounded operator. Further $W_{1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right)$ has a continuous extension

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ext } W_{1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): \vec{H}_{+}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\vec{H}_{+}^{1 / 2}=\operatorname{clos}\left\{\psi \in H_{+}^{1 / 2}:\|\psi\|_{\vec{H}_{+}^{1 / 2}}=\left\|r_{+} \psi\right\|_{H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $B=a I+B_{-\nu}$, where $a=\Psi_{\kappa}(+\infty)$ and $B_{-\nu}$ is a smoothing operator of order $-\nu$, i.e., $B_{\nu} H^{r} \subset H^{r+\nu}$ for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{+} B H_{+}^{-1 / 2} & \subset r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2}+r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2+\nu} \\
& =\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)+H^{-1 / 2+\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the embedding is continuous and $r_{+}: H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is isometric. In the second case, $W_{1 / 2}$ is equivalent to

$$
\tilde{W}_{1 / 2}=r_{+}\left(a I+B_{-\nu}\right) \ell_{0}: \tilde{H}_{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
$$

Since the embeddings

$$
\tilde{H}_{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{1 / 2-\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\tilde{H}_{1 / 2-\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

are continuous and $r_{+} B_{-\nu} \ell_{0}: \tilde{H}_{1 / 2-\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$as well, the operator $r_{+}\left(a I+B_{-\nu}\right) \ell_{0}$ has a continuous extension to $H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$. This, by definition (3.10), implies the continuity of (3.9).

## 4. NORMALIZATION OF $V_{s}, s= \pm 1 / 2$

Continuing the proof of Theorem 2.5 we have to normalize operators of the form (2.21), i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s}=W_{s}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Psi_{\kappa} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\dot{\mathbb{R}})$, wind $\Psi_{\kappa}=\kappa \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $s= \pm 1 / 2$. We recall that by definitions (1.11) and (1.15), the operators $V_{ \pm 1 / 2}$ in (4.1) belong to the classes $M_{ \pm 1 / 2,1}$ of not normally solvable operators.

Proposition 4.1. Under the above assumptions, the operators

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2}=\mathrm{R} \text { st } W_{-1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \\
& \stackrel{>}{V}_{1 / 2}=\operatorname{Ext} W_{1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right): \stackrel{>}{H_{+}^{1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)} \tag{4.2}
\end{align*}
$$

due to Lemma 3.1 are Fredholm and one-sided invertible operators with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2}=\operatorname{lnd} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}_{1 / 2}=-\kappa \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

One-sided inverses are then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-}=\operatorname{Ext} V_{s}^{-}: \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \\
& \stackrel{>}{V_{1 / 2}^{-}}=\mathrm{R} \text { st } V_{s}^{-}: H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \stackrel{>}{H_{+}^{1 / 2}} \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{s} V_{s}^{-} V_{s}=V_{s}$ for all $|s|<1 / 2$ and $V_{s}^{-}$is represented by factorization of $\Psi_{\kappa}$ (cf. Corollary A.7).

Proof. Because of definition (4.1) and the injections (1.10), which are dense and continuous, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} V_{s_{2}} \subset \operatorname{ker} V_{s_{1}}, \quad \operatorname{im} V_{s_{2}} \subset \operatorname{im} V_{s_{1}}, \quad s_{1}<s_{2} \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the functions from $\mathbb{R}$ into $\mathbb{Z}$ (cf. Corollary $A .6)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(V_{s}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} V_{s}, \quad-\beta\left(V_{s}\right)=-\operatorname{dim} H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\operatorname{im} V_{s}}  \tag{4.6}\\
& \text { Ind } V_{s}=\alpha\left(V_{s}\right)-\beta\left(V_{s}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

are monotonically decreasing. M oreover, the index formula

$$
\text { Ind } V_{s}=-\operatorname{ind}_{2} \Psi_{\kappa}=- \text { wind } \Psi_{\kappa}=-\kappa= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(V_{s}\right), & \text { if } \kappa \leq 0  \tag{4.7}\\ \beta\left(V_{s}\right), & \text { if } \kappa \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

holds for $|s|<1 / 2$. That is, the family $\left\{V_{s}:|s|<1 / 2\right\}$ consists of Fredholm operators with constant defect numbers. We will show that this is true also for the enlarged family $\left\{V_{s}:|s|<1 / 2\right\} \cup\left\{\stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2}, \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}_{1 / 2}\right\}$. To this end let first $\kappa \leq 0$. Then $V_{s}$ is surjective if $s<1 / 2$ and $s-1 / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$. To show that $\stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2}$ is surjective, we try to solve, for any given $g \in \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{s} f=r_{+}\left(a I+B_{-\nu}\right) f=g \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{+}^{-1 / 2}$, putting $B=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Psi_{\kappa} \cdot \mathscr{F}=a I+B_{-\nu}$ as in Section 3. From the embeddings

$$
g \in \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

we know that there exists a solution $f \in H_{+}^{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}$ since $V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}$ is surjective. Substituting this solution in (4.8) we obtain, since $a \neq 0$,

$$
r_{+} f=\frac{1}{a}\left[g-r_{+} B_{-\nu} f\right] \in \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)+H^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
$$

and $f \in H_{+}^{-1 / 2}$.
A similar argument [put $g=0$ in (4.8)] implies that $\operatorname{ker} \stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2} \subset$ ker $V_{-1 / 2+\nu}$, which has the dimension $-\kappa$. Hence $\stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2}$ is Fredholm and right invertible with the same defect numbers of all $V_{s},|s|<1 / 2$.

Second, let $\kappa>0$. As before we see that $\operatorname{ker} \stackrel{<}{V_{-1 / 2}} \subset \operatorname{ker} V_{-1 / 2+\nu}=\{0\}$, i.e., $V_{-1 / 2}$ is injective. A lso $V_{-1 / 2 \pm \nu / 2}$ are injective (even left invertible) and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{im} V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2} \subset \operatorname{im}_{V_{-1 / 2}}^{<_{\operatorname{im}} V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}},  \tag{4.9}\\
\kappa=\beta\left(V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}\right) \geq \beta\left(\widehat{V}_{-1 / 2}\right) \geq \beta\left(V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}\right)=\kappa-1
\end{gather*}
$$

according to Lemma 3.1, (4.5)-(4.7). Thus, we can find $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\kappa} \in$ $H^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\operatorname{im} V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}+\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\kappa}\right\},  \tag{4.10}\\
& H^{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\operatorname{im} V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}+\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{\kappa-1}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{im} \stackrel{\Sigma}{V}_{-1 / 2}=C:=\operatorname{clos}\left\{\operatorname{im} V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}:\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}\right\} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in two steps. First we demonstrate that this closure is contained in im $\stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2}$. For the proof let $g \in C$ and solve

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2} f=r_{+}\left(a I+B_{-\nu}\right) f=g \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

in $H_{+}^{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}$ uniquely [see (4.9)], because $g \in \operatorname{im} V_{-1 / 2-\nu / 2}$ and the operator is left invertible. Equation (4.12) implies $r_{+} f \in C+r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2} \subset$ $\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, i.e., $g=V_{-1 / 2} f \in \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$due to Lemma 3.1. The second step is to see that $g_{j} \notin \operatorname{im} \stackrel{\vee}{V}_{-1 / 2}$, which is evident for $j=1, \ldots, \kappa-1$ from (4.9) and (4.10). For $j=\kappa$ let us assume that $g_{\kappa}$ (or a linear combination
 $r_{+}\left(a I+B_{-\nu}\right) f=g_{k}$, which yields $r_{+} f \in H^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)+r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2+\nu}$ and contradicts (4.10). This completes the proof of (4.11) and therefore $\stackrel{\vee}{V}_{-1 / 2}$
is left invertible with $\beta\left(V_{-1 / 2}\right)=\beta\left(V_{s}\right)=\kappa,|s|<1 / 2$. A Itogether we have the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{rlll}
V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}: & H_{+}^{-1 / 2+\nu / 2} & \longrightarrow \operatorname{im~} V_{-1 / 2+\nu / 2}+\dot{\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right\}} \\
& \cap \text { dense } & & \cap \text { dense } \\
\stackrel{V}{V}_{-1 / 2}: & H_{+}^{-1 / 2} & \longrightarrow & C \quad+\operatorname{span}\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{k}\right\},
\end{array}
$$

where, dropping the finite-dimensional components, we have bounded invertible operators and know the inverse of the first one. Therefore the inverse of the second (acting onto $C$ ) is just the extension of that first inverse. R eturning to the full spaces (including the spans) we obtain the first formula of (4.4), in the case $\kappa>0$. The corresponding conclusion for $\kappa \leq 0$ with a diagram analogous to the preceding one is evident.

The proof of the second part due to $s=1 / 2$ makes use of similar arguments and therefore is omitted.
Completion of the proof of Theorem 2.5. The formulas (3.3) and (3.5), respectively, have shown that for the critical numbers $s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{s}=E V_{-1 / 2} F, \quad W_{s+1}=E V_{1 / 2} F, \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-(s+1 / 2-i \tau)} \ell^{(\mp 1 / 2)}$ and $F=\Lambda_{+}^{s+1 / 2-i \tau}$, respectively, are invertible operators (bijections in the original space setting) and $V_{\mp 1 / 2}$ are not normally solvable but admit a normalization described in Proposition 4.1.

In the first case, $s=-1 / 2$, we have an image normalization, where

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dom} \stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2} & =H_{+}^{-1 / 2}=\operatorname{dom} V_{-1 / 2},  \tag{4.14}\\
\operatorname{im} \stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2} & =r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2}=\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The new image space of $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{W}_{s}$ induced by that normalization in (4.13) is $\stackrel{H}{H}^{s-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$since a comparison of (3.3) with definition (2.9) implies $\omega=$ $s-i \tau$. The restricted operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{E}=\text { Rst } E: r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \stackrel{\stackrel{L}{H}}{ }{ }^{s-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is also bounded invertible according to Corollary 2.4. Consequently, the composition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\stackrel{\llcorner }{E} \stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2} F: \stackrel{<}{H}^{s-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \leftarrow H_{+}^{s} \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

makes sense. In (4.16), $\stackrel{<}{E}$ and $F$ are bijections and $\stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2}$ is generalized invertible, by $\stackrel{\zeta}{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-}$say [see (4.4)]. H ence a generalized inverse of $\stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{s}$ reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{s}^{-}=F^{-1} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-} \stackrel{<}{E}^{-1} \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\stackrel{<}{E}-1=\mathrm{R} \text { st } E^{-1}: \stackrel{\stackrel{H}{H}}{ }=\frac{\mathbb{R}_{+}}{-i \tau}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad E^{-1}=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{s-i \tau+1 / 2} \ell^{(s)}
$$

The rest of the statement of Theorem 2.5 concerning the image normalization, namely, the index formula [see (2.19)], is an obvious consequence of (4.3).

The proof of the second part due to domain normalization makes use of similar arguments and therefore is omitted.

## 5. FURTHER CONCLUSIONS AND SOME APPLICATIONS

From the proof of Theorem 2.5 it is clear that the solutions of the minimal normalization problems for $M_{s, c}$ are unique up to norm equivalence in $Y_{1}$ or $X_{1}$, respectively. So let us take the "canonical image normalized operators" $\stackrel{<}{W}_{s}$ in (4.16) and the analogous domain normalized operators $\vec{W}_{s}$, provided $\Phi \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=W_{s}=\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $W_{s}$ is normally solvable. There are several results which now hold for all $s \in \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 5.1. For all $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the operators $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}$ and $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}$ are Fredholm and one-sided invertible with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s}=-\left[s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right], \quad \operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}=-\left[-\left(s+\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right] \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the brackets denote the integer part of a real number and $\sigma=\operatorname{Re} \omega$ is defined in (2.4). Consequently

$$
\text { Ind } \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}-\text { Ind } \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}  \tag{5.3}\\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the kernels of $\stackrel{<}{W}_{\text {s }}$ are generated by a sequence of elements in the manner

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{\alpha}\right\} \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in[-\alpha-\sigma-1 / 2,-\alpha-\sigma+1 / 2[$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H_{+}^{-j-\sigma+1 / 2-\varepsilon} \backslash H_{+}^{-j-\sigma+1 / 2} \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, ker $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s} \neq\{0\}$ for $s<-\sigma-1 / 2$ and Ind $\stackrel{<}{W}=\alpha(\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s})=$ $\max \{0,-[s+\sigma+1 / 2]\}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, which is right continuous.

The complements of the image of $\stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{s}$ are characterized by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\operatorname{im} \stackrel{<}{W}}=\operatorname{span}\left\{\psi_{1}, \ldots, \psi_{\beta}\right\} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in] \beta-\sigma-1 / 2, \beta-\sigma+1 / 2]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H^{j-\sigma-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \backslash H^{j-\sigma-1 / 2+\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\mathrm{im} \stackrel{<}{W}} \neq\{0\}$ for $s>-\sigma+1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{Ind} \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=$ $-\beta\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}\right)=\max \{0,[-(s+\sigma-1 / 2)]\}, s \in \mathbb{R}$, which is left continuous.

Proof. Formulas (5.2) and (5.3) follow directly from definition (5.1) and known results for $W_{s}$ in the case where it is normally solvable (cf. Corollary A.6). Based on Lemma 2.1 and Theorem A.3, we factorize $\Phi \in$ $\mathscr{C} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\Psi_{-}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{\omega} \Psi_{+}=\tilde{\Psi}_{-}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{[s+\sigma+1 / 2]} \tilde{\Psi}_{+} \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=\sigma+i \tau$ is defined by (2.3), $\Psi_{ \pm} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\dot{\mathbb{R}})$, and $\tilde{\Psi}_{ \pm} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$ [cf. (3.1) and (3.2)]. This yields

$$
\text { ker } \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \tilde{\Psi}_{+}^{-1} \operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{+}^{-1}, \lambda_{+}^{-2}, \ldots, \lambda_{+}^{-\alpha}\right\}
$$

i.e., $\varphi_{1} \in H_{+}^{s}$ for $s<-\sigma-1 / 2, \varphi_{2} \in H_{+}^{s}$ for $s<-\sigma-3 / 2, \ldots, \varphi_{\alpha} \in H_{+}^{s}$ for $s<-\alpha-\sigma-1 / 2$. In other words, for $s \in[-\sigma-3 / 2,-\sigma-1 / 2$ [ we have ker $\stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\left\{\varphi_{1}\right\}$ with

$$
\varphi_{1} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H_{+}^{-\sigma-1 / 2-\varepsilon} \backslash H_{+}^{-\sigma-1 / 2}
$$

for $s \in\left[-\sigma-5 / 2,-\sigma-3 / 2\left[\right.\right.$, $\operatorname{ker} \stackrel{<}{W}_{s}=\left\{\varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}\right\}$, where $\varphi_{1}$ is defined as before and

$$
\varphi_{2} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H_{+}^{-\sigma-3 / 2-\varepsilon} \backslash H_{+}^{-\sigma-3 / 2}
$$

and so on. For $s \in[-\alpha-\sigma-1 / 2,-\alpha-\sigma+1 / 2[$, the kernel of $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{s}$ is given by (5.4) with $\varphi_{j}, j=1, \ldots, \alpha$, defined in (5.5).

A nalogously, we prove (5.6) and (5.7) from the factorization (5.8), which gives

$$
H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\operatorname{im} \stackrel{\Sigma}{W}_{s}}=r_{+} \mathscr{F}^{-1} \tilde{\Psi}_{-} \operatorname{span}\left\{\lambda_{+}^{-1}, \lambda_{+}^{-2}, \ldots, \lambda_{+}^{-\beta}\right\}
$$

Remark 5.2. Similar statements hold for the kernels of $\vec{W}_{s}$ and for the complements of the images of $\vec{W}_{s}$. Therefore, the elements of the kernel of $\vec{W}_{s}$, defined as in (5.4) read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H_{+}^{-j-\sigma-1 / 2} \backslash H_{+}^{-j-\sigma-1 / 2+\varepsilon} \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in]-\alpha-\sigma-1 / 2,-\alpha-\sigma+1 / 2]$, and the elements of the complement of the image corresponding to (5.6) read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{j} \in \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} H^{j-\sigma+1 / 2-\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \backslash H^{j-\sigma+1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $s \in\left[\beta-\sigma-1 / 2, \beta-\sigma+1 / 2\left[\right.\right.$. In particular, $\operatorname{ker} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s} \neq\{0\}$ for $s \leq-\sigma-1 / 2$ and $\operatorname{Ind} \vec{W}_{s}=\alpha\left(\vec{W}_{s}\right)=\max \{0,[-(s+\sigma-1 / 2)]\}$, which is left continuous. $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \operatorname{im} \vec{W}_{s} \neq\{0\}$ for $s \geq-\sigma+1 / 2$ and Ind $\vec{W}_{s}=$ $-\beta\left(\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{s}\right)=\max \{0,[s+\sigma+1 / 2]\}$, which is right continuous.

Corollary 5.3. There is a unique $s_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$, namely, $s_{1}=-\sigma$ such that:
(i) for $s \in\left[s_{1}-1 / 2, s_{1}+1 / 2[, \stackrel{\leftarrow}{W}\right.$ is invertible;
(ii) for $s \in\left[s_{1}-k-1 / 2, s_{1}-k+1 / 2\left[, k \in \mathbb{N}\right.\right.$, $\stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{s}$ is right invertible and $\alpha\left({ }_{W}{ }_{s}\right)=k$;
(iii) for $s \in\left[s_{1}+k-1 / 2, s_{1}+k+1 / 2[, k \in \mathbb{N}, \stackrel{\leftarrow}{W}\right.$ is left invertible and $\beta\left(\stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{s}\right)=k$.
The one-sided inverses are given by formula (2.20), where $\left.W_{s+\varepsilon}^{-}, \varepsilon \in\right] 0,1[$, is constructed as in (A.34) if $s=k-\sigma-1 / 2, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ (critical number), and straightforwardly by formula (A .34) in the other cases. Analogous statements hold for $\vec{W}_{s}$.

Corollary 5.4 (Extended shift theorem). For each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the "shifted operator" $\stackrel{<}{W}_{s+k}(\Phi)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{s+k}(\Phi)=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-k} \ell^{(s)}\left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{k} A \Lambda_{+}^{-k}\right) \Lambda_{+}^{k} \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is therefore equivalent to $\stackrel{<}{W}\left(\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{k} \Phi\right)$. Thus, if $V$ is a generalized inverse of the last mentioned operator, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{W}{W}_{s+k}(\Phi)^{-}=\Lambda_{+}^{-k} V r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{k} \ell^{(s+k)} \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a generalized inverse of the first one.

We apply now the concept of image normalization to some scalar Sommerfeld diffraction problems that are not normally solvable. Let us consider first the well-known impedance problem in the scalar case, which is equivalent to the WHO

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{\mathscr{F}}=\left.r_{+} A_{1}\right|_{H_{+}^{-1 / 2}}: H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad A_{1}=\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(1-i p t^{-1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{F}, \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p \in \mathbb{C}$ is the face impedance number and $t(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ is abbreviated by $t$ [20]. If $W_{\mathcal{J}}$ is of normal type, i.e., $\left(1-i p t^{-1}\right) \in \mathscr{C}(\dot{\mathbb{R}})$, then according to definitions (1.11) and (1.15) it belongs to the class $M_{-1 / 2,1}$. We have the same assumptions of Proposition 4.1 with $\kappa=s+\sigma+1 / 2=0$. The image normalized operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{f}=\mathrm{R} \text { st } W_{f}: H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

is even invertible, since Ind $\stackrel{<}{W}_{f}=0$. This case of image normalization is also known as normalization by compatibility conditions [23].

The representation of the inverse of (5.13) follows from the fact that it is invertible and we know the inverse [21] of

$$
W_{\mathscr{S}_{0}}=\left.r_{+} A_{1}\right|_{L_{+}^{2}}: L_{+}^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),
$$

where $A_{1}$ has the same Fourier symbol as (5.13). So we know the inverse of $W_{\mathcal{F}}$ on a dense subspace $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$of the image $\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$of $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}_{f}$. Thus $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{W}_{\mathcal{F}}$ is invertible by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{W}{f}_{f}^{-1}=\mathrm{Ext} W_{\tilde{J}_{0}}^{-1}: \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \tag{5.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\mathcal{J}_{0}}^{-1} & =A_{1+}^{-1} \ell_{0} r_{+} A_{1-}^{-1} \ell_{0}, \quad A_{1 \pm}^{-1}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi_{1 \pm}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{F},  \tag{5.16}\\
\Phi_{1 \pm} & =\exp \left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(I \pm S_{\mathbb{R}}\right) \log \left(1-i p t^{-1}\right)\right\},
\end{align*}
$$

where $S_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Cauchy operator on $\mathbb{R}$.
A nother example from mathematical physics, the Sommerfeld diffraction problem with oblique derivatives [22], gives rise to scalar WHOs when the boundary conditions are equal on both faces of the screen. The so-called main problem decomposes into two scalar problems, each of them being equivalent to

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\Theta \mathscr{O}} & =\left.r_{+} A_{2}\right|_{H_{+}^{-1 / 2}}: H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),  \tag{5.17}\\
A_{2} & =\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\alpha+i \beta \xi t^{-1}\right) \cdot \mathscr{F},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ are coefficients and $t(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ as before. From (5.17) we see that $W_{\Theta \mathscr{I}}$ is of normal type iff $\alpha /(i \beta) \neq \xi t^{-1}$ and belongs
to $M_{-1 / 2, c}$ with $c=|(\alpha+i \beta) /(\alpha-i \beta)|$ if $\kappa=\sigma=(1 / 2 \pi) \arg (\alpha+i \beta) /$ $(\alpha-i \beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ (critical number). Writing in the same way as (3.3),

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\Theta \mathscr{D}} & =\left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{i \tau} \ell^{(-1 / 2)}\right)\left(r_{+} B\right) \Lambda_{+}^{-i \tau} \\
& =E V_{-1 / 2} F: H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \leftarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2}, \tag{5.18}
\end{align*}
$$

we reduce the normalization of (5.17) to the image normalization of $V_{-1 / 2}=$ $W_{-1 / 2}\left(\Psi_{\kappa}\right)$ with $\kappa=\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$. This can be done as in Section 4. Therefore, the image normalized operator is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{<}{W}_{\Theta \mathscr{D}}=\stackrel{\llcorner }{E} \stackrel{<}{V}_{-1 / 2} F: \stackrel{<}{H}^{-1 / 2-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \leftarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \leftarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau=(1 / 2 \pi) \log |(\alpha-i \beta) /(\alpha+i \beta)|$, which is left invertible with index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ind } \stackrel{\vee}{W}_{\Theta \mathscr{D}}=-\sigma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \arg \frac{\alpha-i \beta}{\alpha+i \beta} \text {. } \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Proposition 4.1, a generalized inverse of $\breve{V}_{-1 / 2}$ is given by the first formula (4.4). Take then $s=0$ and construct a factorization of $\Phi=\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{\eta} \Psi$ with $\eta=[\sigma+1 / 2]+\delta,|\delta|<1 / 2$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\Psi_{-} \lambda_{-}^{\delta}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{[\sigma+1 / 2]} \lambda_{+}^{-\delta} \Psi_{+}=\Phi_{2-}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{[\sigma+1 / 2]} \Phi_{2+}, \tag{5.2.}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-}=\operatorname{Ext} V_{0}^{-}: \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{0}^{-} & =A_{2+}^{-1} \ell_{0} r_{+} C^{-1} \ell_{0} r_{+} A_{2-}^{-1} \ell_{0}, \quad A_{2 \pm}^{-1}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi_{2 \pm}^{-1} \cdot \mathscr{F}, \\
C & =\mathscr{F}^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{[\sigma+1 / 2]} \cdot \mathscr{F} . \tag{5.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore a generalized inverse of $\stackrel{<}{W}_{\mathscr{O}}$ reads as

$$
\stackrel{<}{W}_{\Theta \mathscr{V}}=F^{-1} \stackrel{\Sigma}{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-} \stackrel{<}{E}^{-1}
$$

where $F^{-1}=\Lambda_{+}^{i \tau}, \bar{V}_{-1 / 2}^{-}$is given by (5.22) and (5.23), and

$$
\stackrel{\stackrel{\iota}{E}}{ }-1=\mathrm{R} \mathrm{st} r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-i \tau} \ell^{(-1 / 2)}: \stackrel{\zeta}{H}^{-1 / 2-i \tau}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow \tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) .
$$

Note that (5.19) can also be interpreted as a compatibility condition for the scalar oblique derivative problem, since it represents the extendability of the given data from the half-line onto the full real line [see definition (2.10)].

## 6. THE SYSTEM'S CASE

Let us return to the WHO

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=W_{r, s}=\left.r_{+} A\right|_{H_{+}^{r}}: H_{+}^{r} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r, s \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A: H^{r} \rightarrow H^{s}$ is a translation invariant homeomorphism. The corresponding lifted operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left.r_{+} A_{0}\right|_{\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n}}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is assumed to have a Fourier symbol

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})^{n \times n} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\nu \in] 0$, $1[$, i.e., it is H ölder continuous of order $\nu$ at any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfies the conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\Phi_{0}(\xi)-\Phi_{0}( \pm \infty)\right)_{j l}=\mathscr{O}\left(|\xi|^{-\nu}\right) \quad \text { as }|\xi| \rightarrow \infty, j, l=1, \ldots, n,  \tag{6.4}\\
\left|\operatorname{det} \Phi_{0}(\xi)\right| \neq 0, \quad \xi \in \ddot{\mathbb{R}} . \tag{6.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Suppose now that $\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{m}(m \leq n)$ are the eigenvalues of the jump at infinity of the Fourier symbol with regard to their multiplicities, i.e., if $l_{1}, \ldots, l_{m}$ are the lengths of the corresponding chains of associated vectors, then $\sum_{j=1}^{m} l_{j}=n$. The following notation will be used for the diagonal matrix,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(\tilde{\mu}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\mu}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{1}}_{l_{1} \text { times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{\mu_{m}, \ldots, \mu_{m}}_{l_{m} \text { times }}) \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, after introducing

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mu}_{j}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \tilde{\omega}_{j}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}[, \quad j=1, \ldots, n\right. \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(or $\left.\left.\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j} \in\right]-1 / 2,1 / 2\right]$ alternatively) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\omega}_{n}\right)=(\underbrace{\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{1}}_{l_{1} \text { times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{\omega_{m}, \ldots, \omega_{m}}_{l_{m} \text { times }}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we write briefly

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{diag}\left(\tilde{\mu}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{\mu}_{n}\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\exp \left(2 \pi i \tilde{\omega}_{j}\right)\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} \omega_{j} & \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}[ \right. \\
& j=1, \ldots, n . \tag{6.9}
\end{align*}
$$

For the class of symbols described by (6.3)-(6.5) the jump at infinity can be written in the normal Jordan form (see, e.g. [8])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}^{-1}(+\infty) \Phi_{0}(-\infty)=T^{-1} J_{\Phi_{0}} T, \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T \in \mathscr{G} \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and the quasidiagonal matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\Phi_{0}}=\operatorname{diag}\left(J_{1}, \ldots, J_{m}\right) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

has Jordan blocks of size $l_{j} \times l_{j}$ in the diagonal given by

$$
J_{j}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu_{j} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0  \tag{6.12}\\
0 & \mu_{j} & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mu_{j}
\end{array}\right], \quad j=1, \ldots, m
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let (6.3) be satisfied. Then the representation (6.10) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(\xi)=\Phi_{0}(-\infty) T^{-1}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}(\xi)}{\lambda_{+}(\xi)}\right)^{\tilde{\omega}_{j}}\right) J_{\Phi_{0}}+\Psi_{0}(\xi)\right) T \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the elements of $\Psi_{0}$ satisfy $\Psi_{0} \in C^{\nu}(\mathbb{R})^{n \times n}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{0 j l}(\xi)=\mathscr{O}\left(|\xi|^{-\nu}\right) \quad \text { as }|\xi| \rightarrow \infty . \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $\operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\lambda_{-} / \lambda_{+}\right)^{\tilde{\omega}_{j}}\right) \in C^{\infty}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$ and

$$
\lim _{\xi \rightarrow \pm \infty} \operatorname{diag}\left(\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}(\xi)}{\lambda_{+}(\xi)}\right)^{\tilde{\omega}_{j}}\right)= \begin{cases}I, & \text { at }+\infty,  \tag{6.15}\\ \operatorname{diag}\left(\exp \left(-2 \pi i \tilde{\omega}_{j}\right)\right), & \text { at }-\infty,\end{cases}
$$

where $I$ denotes the identity $n \times n$ matrix, from the representation (6.13) one gets

$$
\Phi_{0}(+\infty)=\Phi_{0}(-\infty) T^{-1}\left(J_{\Phi_{0}}+\Psi_{0}(+\infty)\right) T .
$$

M oreover $\Psi_{0}(+\infty)=0$ and we conclude the normal J ordan form (6.10).
Proposition 6.2. Under the assumptions (6.1)-(6.3) the operator $W$ is normally solvable iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j} \neq-\frac{1}{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

(or $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j} \neq 1 / 2$ alternatively).
Proof. By Theorem A. 1 and Lemma 6.1 the operator $W$ is equivalent to the lifted operator $W_{0}$ with a Fourier symbol $\Phi_{0}$ given by (6.13). H ence the condition for $W_{0}$ to be normally solvable can be written in the form (6.16) [cf. (A .20)].

N ow let us suppose that $W$ is not normally solvable, i.e., (6.16) is violated or, after ordering the components by a permutation of the columns of $T$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { iff } j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}, \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $1 \leq n^{\prime} \leq n$ (alternatively $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j}=1 / 2, j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$ ).
Theorem 6.3. Let (6.1)-(6.3) and (6.17) be satisfied. Then the first minimal normalization problem (image normalization) is solvable by
where

$$
\begin{align*}
\stackrel{\llcorner }{H^{-i}\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n^{\prime}}\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) & =\stackrel{n^{\prime}}{\underset{j=1}{ }} r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-i \tau_{j}} \Lambda_{-}^{-1 / 2} \Lambda_{+}^{1 / 2} L_{+}^{2},  \tag{6.19}\\
\tau_{j} & =\operatorname{Im} \tilde{\omega}_{j}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d \log \left|\Psi_{j j}(\xi)\right|, \quad j=1, \ldots, m .
\end{align*}
$$

Further, there exists an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}$ is generalized invertible for $r^{\prime}=\left(r_{1}+\varepsilon, \ldots, r_{n}+\varepsilon\right), s^{\prime}=\left(s_{1}+\varepsilon, \ldots, s_{n}+\varepsilon\right), 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$. A generalized inverse of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{W}}{ }=\mathrm{Rst} W: H_{+}^{r}=X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1} \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained by extension of any generalized inverse $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{-}$of $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}=\mathrm{R}$ st $W$ : $H_{+}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow H^{s^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$; in short,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\zeta}{W}^{-}=\operatorname{Ext} W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{-}: Y_{1} \rightarrow H_{+}^{r}, \quad 0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0} . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The proof is a modification of the argumentation in the scalar case. First $W$ maps into $Y_{1}$, since [see (6.13) and (2.9)]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-1 / 2+i \tau_{j}} \Lambda_{+}^{1 / 2-i \tau_{j}}: L_{+}^{2} \rightarrow \stackrel{{ }^{-}-i \tau_{j}}{H_{j}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \\
& \quad r_{+} \mathscr{F}^{-1} \Psi_{0} \cdot \mathscr{F}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n} \rightarrow H^{\nu}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n} \rightarrow Y_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

are continuous operators. Therefore the restricted operator in (6.20) is well defined with a closed image (6.18) and (6.19). M oreover ${ }_{W}^{<}$is generalized invertible and has a complemented (finite-dimensional) kernel and image. The representation (6.21) for a generalized inverse follows from a density argument similar to that used in the proof of Proposition 4.1.

Theorem 6.4. Let (6.1)-(6.5) and the alternative of (6.17) be satisfied, i.e., $\operatorname{Re} \tilde{\omega}_{j}=1 / 2, j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$. Then the second minimal normalization problem (domain normalization) is solved by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{1}=\Lambda_{+}^{r} T\left\{\stackrel{>}{H} H_{+}^{i\left(\tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n^{\prime}}\right)} \times\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n-n^{\prime}}\right\}, \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numbers $\tau_{j}$ are defined as in (6.19). A generalized inverse of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{>}{W}=\mathrm{Ext} W: X_{1} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=Y_{0} \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

is obtained by restriction of a generalized inverse of $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}=\mathrm{Ext} W: H_{+}^{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow$ $H^{s^{\prime}}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, where $r^{\prime}=\left(r_{1}-\varepsilon, \ldots, r_{n}-\varepsilon\right), s^{\prime}=\left(s_{1}-\varepsilon, \ldots, s_{n}-\varepsilon\right)$ for suitable $0<\varepsilon<\varepsilon_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{>}{W}^{-}=\mathrm{R} \mathrm{st} W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{-}: H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow X_{1} . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By analogy, noting that [see (6.13) and (2.12)]

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Lambda_{+}^{i \tau_{j}}: \stackrel{>}{H}{ }_{+}^{i \tau_{j}} \rightarrow L_{+}^{2} \\
r_{+} \mathscr{F}^{-1} \Psi_{0} \cdot \mathscr{F}: \stackrel{>}{H}{ }_{+}^{i \tau_{j}} \rightarrow H_{+}^{-\nu} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are continuous, a continuous extension of $W$ as defined in (6.23) is possible. H ence we can use arguments similar to former considerations and conclude the representation (6.24) for a generalized inverse.

REmARK 6.5. The system's case admits eventually a mixed image/domain normalization in different components, i.e., a simultaneous change of both spaces $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$ (see the Introduction). Although the solution of the normalization is not unique up to isomorphy, we can also speak of a minimal normalization in view of the density of $X_{0} \subset X_{1}$ and $Y_{1} \subset Y_{0}$.

REMARK 6.6. In the case where the jump at infinity is diagonalizable, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}^{-1}(-\infty) \Phi_{0}(+\infty)=T^{-1} \operatorname{diag}\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right) T \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mu_{j}=\exp \left(2 \pi i \omega_{j}\right), \quad \operatorname{Re} \omega_{j} \in\left[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}[, \quad j=1, \ldots, n\right.
$$

(or $\left.\left.\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j} \in\right]-1 / 2,1 / 2\right]$ alternatively) with $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}$, are eigenvalues of the jump at infinity, we have the representation formula [instead of (6.13)]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}(\xi)=T^{-1}\left(\operatorname{diag}\left(c_{j}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}(\xi)}{\lambda_{+}(\xi)}\right)^{\omega_{j}}\right)+\Psi_{0}(\xi)\right) T \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c=\left(c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n}, c_{j} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{diag} c_{j}=T \Phi_{0}(+\infty) T^{-1} \tag{6.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where the elements of $\Psi_{0}$ satisfy (6.14). Now under the assumptions (6.1)-(6.3) and (6.25) the operator $W$ is normally solvable iff

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j} \neq-\frac{1}{2}, \quad j=1, \ldots, n \tag{6.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

(or $\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j} \neq 1 / 2$ alternatively). Thus, for not normally solvable WHOs the statements of Theorems 6.3 and 6.4 hold, where condition (6.17) is substituted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j}=-\frac{1}{2} \quad \text { iff } j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}, 1 \leq n^{\prime} \leq n \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

(alternatively $\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j}=1 / 2, j=1, \ldots, n^{\prime}$ ). The diagonalizable case, as we shall see next, is relevant in many applications from mathematical physics.
Theorem 6.7. If (6.1)-(6.3), (6.25), and (6.28) hold and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu>\frac{1}{2}+\max \left\{\operatorname{Re} \omega_{j}: j=1, \ldots, n\right\}, \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the intermediate space $Z$ in a factorization of $A_{0}(c f$. Corollary A.4) due to a generalized factorization of $\Phi_{0}$ is (up to a perturbation of the components by $T$ ) the fractional Sobolev space

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=H^{\operatorname{Re} \omega}=\underset{j=1}{\underset{\sim}{x}} H^{\mathrm{Re} \omega_{j}} . \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof, see [24, proof of Theorem 4.2] and [3].
Let us briefly analyze the system impedance problem [17, 20, 23, 27], which corresponds to

$$
\begin{align*}
& W_{f}=\left.r_{+} A_{1}\right|_{H_{+}^{r}}: H_{+}^{r} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad r=\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad s=\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), \\
& W_{J_{0}}=\left.r_{+} A_{1,0}\right|_{\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{2}}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{2}, \tag{6.32}
\end{align*}
$$

with Fourier symbols

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-(t-i p) & -i q t^{-1} \\
i q & 1-i p t^{-1}
\end{array}\right] \\
\Phi_{1,0} & =\left[\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(1-i p t^{-1}\right) & -i q t^{-1}\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
i q t^{-1} & \left(1-i p t^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right] \tag{6.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}-k_{0}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}, p, q \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$. The coefficient $q$ appears here due to different impedance numbers on each face of the half-plane. These operators are not normally solvable. A ssuming $Y_{1}=H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times$ $\tilde{H}_{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$as the image of ${ }_{W}^{W_{\mathcal{J}}}=\mathrm{R}$ st $W_{\mathcal{J}}$ and $Y_{1}=L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times{ }^{\circ}{ }^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$as the image of $\stackrel{\llcorner }{W}_{J_{0}}=\mathrm{Rst} W_{\mathcal{S}_{0}}$, we solve the image normalization problem (by compatibility conditions as in [23]) for this case. On the other hand, from [27] we know already an inverse of

$$
W_{\varepsilon}=\mathrm{Rst} W_{J_{0}}:\left[H_{+}^{\varepsilon}\right]^{2} \rightarrow H^{\varepsilon}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{2}
$$

for a given $\varepsilon \in] 0,1 / 2\left[\right.$, which can be used straightforwardly to define ${\stackrel{W}{J_{0}}}^{-}$ as in Theorem [3].

For the Sommerfeld diffraction problem with oblique derivatives in the general case [11, 22] we have

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{\Theta \mathscr{P}} & =\left.r_{+} A_{2}\right|_{H_{+}^{r}}: H_{+}^{r} \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right), \quad r=\left(\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right), \\
s & =\left(-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2}\right),  \tag{6.34}\\
W_{\Theta \Im, 0} & =\left.r_{+} A_{2}\right|_{\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{2}}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

with Fourier symbols

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{2} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha t+i \beta \xi & -\left(\gamma+i \delta \xi t^{-1}\right) \\
\gamma t+i \delta \xi & -\left(\alpha+i \beta \xi t^{-1}\right)
\end{array}\right], \\
\Phi_{2,0} & =-\frac{1}{2}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha+i \beta \xi t^{-1} & -\left(\gamma+i \delta \xi t^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
\gamma+i \delta \xi t_{-1} & -\left(\alpha+i \beta \xi t^{-1}\right)\left(\frac{\lambda_{-}}{\lambda_{+}}\right)^{-1 / 2}
\end{array}\right], \tag{6.35}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{C} \backslash\{0\}$ appear due to the difference of the parameters on each face of the screen (in the main problem $\gamma=\delta=0$ ). These operators are also not normally solvable for all parameters $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ up to some exceptional cases.

The image normalization of $W_{\Theta \mathscr{}}$ (provided $W_{\Theta \mathscr{S}}$ is of normal type) can here be obtained by considering the data on the half-plane in

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{1}=r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{s} T \ell^{(0)}\left\{\stackrel{\stackrel{1}{H}}{ }-i \tau\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \times L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right\}, \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tau=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \log \left|\sqrt{\frac{\alpha^{2}+\beta^{2}-\gamma^{2}-\delta^{2}}{(\alpha+i \delta)^{2}+(\beta-i \gamma)^{2}}}\right| .
$$

This represents the compatibility conditions for the oblique derivative problem. Furthermore, we can use a previous result [15] to give a representation for a generalized inverse of the image normalized operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{\zeta}{W}_{\Theta \mathscr{S}}=\mathrm{R} \text { st } W_{\Theta \mathscr{S}}: H_{+}^{r}=X_{0} \rightarrow Y_{1} . \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

In [15], a representation for a generalized inverse of $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}, r^{\prime}=(1 / 2+$ $\varepsilon,-1 / 2+\varepsilon), s^{\prime}=(-1 / 2+\varepsilon,-1 / 2+\varepsilon), 2 \varepsilon \notin \mathbb{N}_{0}$, was presented explicitly from a rather sophisticated factorization process. Thus, by extension of $W_{r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}}^{-}$, we now conclude the result also in the sense of minimal normalization without losing the finite energy norm.

## APPENDIX

We present briefly some relevant details of the notation of spaces of Bessel potentials and known results about WHOs in appropriate formulation. Starting with the Schwarz test function space $\mathscr{S}=\mathscr{S}(\mathbb{R})$ of rapidly decreasing smooth functions, the dual space $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}=\mathscr{S}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ of tempered distributions, and the Fourier transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F} \varphi(\xi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i x \xi} \varphi(x) d x \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $\mathscr{S}$ and $\mathscr{S}^{\prime}$, respectively, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{s}=H^{s}(\mathbb{R})=\left\{f \in \mathscr{S}^{\prime}: \lambda^{s} \mathscr{F} f \in L^{2}\right\}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda(\xi)=\left(\xi^{2}+1\right)^{1 / 2}$ for $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$. This is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle f, g\rangle_{s}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^{s \mathscr{F}} f \cdot \lambda^{s} \overline{\mathscr{F} g} \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and can be considered as a subspace of $L^{2}$ for $s \geq 0$.
Denote by $H_{+}^{s}$ the subspace of $H^{s}$ distributions $f$ supported on $\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{+}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\varphi)=0 \text { for } \varphi \in \mathscr{S} \text { and } \operatorname{supp} \varphi \subset \overline{\mathbb{R}}_{-} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the norm induced by $H^{s} . H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$represents the restrictions $g=r_{+} f$ of $H^{s}$ distributions on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle g, \varphi\rangle=\left\langle r_{+} f, \varphi\right\rangle=\left\langle f, \ell_{0} \varphi\right\rangle \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\varphi \in \mathscr{S}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, the $C^{\infty}$ functions on $\mathbb{R}$ which admit a zero extension $\ell_{0} \varphi \in$ $\mathscr{S} . H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$is equipped with the infimum norm

$$
\|g\|_{H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)}=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{H^{s}}: r_{+} f=g\right\},
$$

which is a H ilbert space as well. These two scales of spaces $H_{+}^{s}$ and $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, are sufficient for the definition and discussion of many properties of the WHOs (1.6) and, moreover, of pseudodifferential operators (PDOs), see [7, 29]. H owever, for various reasons, it is convenient to study the related spaces $(s \in \mathbb{R})$

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{0}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\operatorname{clos} C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \text {in } H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right),  \tag{A.6}\\
& \tilde{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\left[H^{-s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)\right]^{\prime},  \tag{A.7}\\
& \tilde{H}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=r_{+} H_{+}^{s} \subset H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{A8}
\end{align*}
$$

with the norm induced by $H_{+}^{s}$, which yields that the embedding is continuous. The first space is very important for approximation arguments, proof
technique, the study of boundary value problems, etc. It is well known that in general the elements of $H_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$are not extendable by zero to elements in $H^{1 / 2}$, in contrast to the cases where $|s-k|<1 / 2, k \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$; see [16]. All the spaces in (A .6)-(A .8) can be seen as subspaces of $\left(r_{+} \mathscr{S}\right)^{\prime}[1,10,26]$. So we find, e.g.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}_{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\tilde{H}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \subset H_{0}^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=H^{1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{A.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a dense but nonclosed linear manifold. The same holds for $s=-1 / 2$ (by duality, e.g.):

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{+} H_{+}^{-1 / 2} \subset H^{-1 / 2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{A.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a proper dense manifold. The tilde spaces have been successfully used in the study of boundary and transmission problems, (see, for instance, [5, 9, 18, 30, 31]), in particular for mixed boundary value problems and screen and wedge problems. The two definitions (A.7) and (A.8) are equivalent for $s \geq-1 / 2$, but not for $s<-1 / 2$. For example, the $\delta$ distribution belongs to $\tilde{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$, and not to $\tilde{H}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$[30] (the notation is rectified by an early definition in [9]). A ccording to the number of papers that now use (A.7), we decided not to write $\tilde{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$for (A.8), and we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{H}^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)=\tilde{H}_{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)+\operatorname{span}\left\{D^{j} \delta: j=0,1, \ldots, k-1\right\} \tag{A.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be identified, where

$$
k=\min \{l \in \mathbb{N}: s+l<1 / 2\} .
$$

The following results are mainly collected from [7] and [21], but see also [ $2,19,24$ ] and other references in particular cases. Consider the W H Os (or PDOs) defined in (1.2). In the elliptic case $A$ acts bijectively and both $\Phi$ and $\Phi^{-1}$ (with $r$ and $s$ exchanged) satisfy the conditions (1.3).

Theorem A. 1 (Lifting theorem). $W$ is equivalent to a lifted $W H O$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left.r_{+} A_{0}\right|_{\left[L_{+}^{2}+\right]^{n}}:\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n}, \tag{A.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi_{0} \cdot \mathscr{F}, \Phi_{0} \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})^{n \times n}$. An equivalence relation is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=\left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{-s} \ell^{(0)}\right) W_{0} \ell_{0}\left(r_{+} \Lambda_{+}^{r}\right), \tag{A.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell^{(0)}$ is any extension from $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n}$ into $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n}$ (even element wise [7]) and $\ell_{0} r_{+}$can be dropped. Further $\Lambda_{+}^{r}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\Lambda_{+}^{r_{1}}, \ldots, \Lambda_{+}^{r_{n}}\right)$, etc. [see definition (2.6)] and the operators in parentheses are invertible in the corresponding spaces. Conversely

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}=\left(r_{+} \Lambda_{-}^{s} \ell^{(s)}\right) W \Lambda_{+}^{-r}, \tag{A.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell^{(s)}$ denotes an arbitrary extension into $H^{s}$ [and we cannot introduce $\ell_{0} r_{+}$between $W$ and $\Lambda_{+}^{-r}$ if some $r_{j} \leq-1 / 2$ because of (A.11)].

The Fourier symbol of $W_{0}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}=\lambda_{-}^{s} \Phi \lambda_{+}^{-r}=\left(\lambda_{-}^{s_{j}} \Phi_{j l} \lambda_{+}^{-r_{l}}\right)_{j, l=1, \ldots, n} \tag{A.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

REmARK A.2. The operator in (A.12) can be identified with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}_{0}=r_{+} A_{0} \ell_{0}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n} \tag{A.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by restriction and zero extension, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}_{0}=W_{0} \ell_{0}, \quad W_{0}=\tilde{W}_{0} r_{+} \tag{A.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{W}=r_{+} A \ell_{0}=W \ell_{0}: \tilde{H}_{r}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \rightarrow H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{A.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $r_{j} \geq-1 / 2$ for $j=1, \ldots, n$. For $r_{j}<-1 / 2$ the two operators $\tilde{W}$ and $W$ cannot be related in this way according to (A.11) and the present notation of $\ell_{0}$ and $r_{+}$. H owever, particularly for the orders $\pm 1 / 2$ and operators $A=I+B$, where $B$ is smoothing, the notation of $\tilde{W}$ gives a more direct understanding of compatibility conditions and normalization.

Let us assume for the rest of the section [cf. (6.1)-(6.5)] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Phi_{0} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})^{n \times n} \quad \text { for some } \nu \in\right] 0,1[ \tag{A.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem A.3. The following assertions are equivalent:
(i) $W_{0}$ is normally solvable;
(ii) $W_{0}$ it is generalized invertible;
(iii) $W_{0}$ is a Fredholm operator;
(iv) $W$ has one of these properties;
(v) $\left.\operatorname{det}\left(\mu \Phi_{0}(-\infty)+(1-\mu) \Phi_{0}(+\infty)\right) \neq 0, \mu \in\right] 0,1[$;
(vi) $\Phi_{0}$ admits a generalized factorization with respect to $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n}$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}=\Phi_{0-} \operatorname{diag}\left(z^{\kappa_{j}}\right) \Phi_{0+} \tag{A.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z(\xi)=\lambda_{-}(\xi) / \lambda_{+}(\xi)=\left(\xi-k_{0}\right) /\left(\xi+k_{0}\right), \xi \in \mathbb{R}[$ see also (2.1)], $\kappa_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \kappa_{1} \geq \kappa_{2} \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0 \pm}, \Phi_{0 \pm}^{-1} \in L_{ \pm}^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}, \lambda_{ \pm}^{-1}\right)^{n \times n}=\mathscr{F} \Lambda_{ \pm}^{-1} \ell_{0} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{ \pm}\right)^{n \times n} \tag{A.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{+} A_{0+}^{-1} \ell_{0} r_{+} A_{0-}^{-1} \ell_{0} \in \mathscr{L}\left(L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n}\right) \tag{A.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $A_{0 \pm}=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \Phi_{0 \pm} \cdot \mathscr{F}$, which are unbounded operators on $L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n}$, in general, such that the composed operator (A.23) is bounded.

Corollary A. 4 [2]. The symbol factorization (A.21) yields an operator factorization

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}=A_{0-} C A_{0+}: L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n} \leftarrow Z \leftarrow Z \leftarrow L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n}, \tag{A.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be seen as a composition of bounded operators with the help of an intermediate space $Z$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z & =\operatorname{im} A_{0+} \subset S^{\prime} \\
\|f\|_{Z} & =\left\|A_{0+}^{-1} f\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})^{n}} . \tag{A.25}
\end{align*}
$$

A generalized inverse of $W_{0}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}^{-}=A_{0+}^{-1} P C^{-1} P A_{0-}^{-1} \ell_{0}: L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n} \rightarrow\left[L_{+}^{2}\right]^{n} \tag{A.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is the continuous extension of $\ell_{0} r_{+}$from $Z \cap L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)^{n}$ onto $Z$ and $C=\mathscr{F}^{-1} \operatorname{diag}\left(z^{\kappa_{j}}\right) \cdot \mathscr{F} \in \mathscr{G} \mathscr{L}(Z)$, for arbitrary integers $\kappa_{j}$.

Remark A.5. In the scalar case $(n=1)$, the intermediate space is a fractional Sobolev space, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=H^{\delta}, \quad|\delta|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{A.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta=\operatorname{Re} w-\kappa$, putting $\kappa=[\operatorname{Re} w+1 / 2]$ (see Lemma 2.1). In the matrix case, our assumptions (A.19) and (A.20) admit not only

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=H^{\delta}, \quad \delta=\left(\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}\right), \quad\left|\delta_{j}\right|<\frac{1}{2} \tag{A.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

but also certain manifolds in such spaces and, moreover, Fourier images of weighted $L^{2}$ spaces with logarithmic weights; see [3].

D efine in the scalar case the 2-index of $\Phi_{0} \in \mathscr{C} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$, i.e., the index in $L^{2}$ of the closed curve formed by the graph of $\Phi_{0}$ and the straight line segment connecting the points $\Phi_{0}(+\infty)$ and $\Phi_{0}(-\infty)$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{2} \Phi_{0}=\left[\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right] \quad \text { if } \sigma+\frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z} \tag{A.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the brackets denote the integer part of a real number and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d \arg \Phi_{0}(\xi) \tag{A.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the fractional real winding number of $\Phi_{0}$ [cf. (2.4)].
Corollary A.6. Let $W_{0}: L_{+}^{2} \rightarrow L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$be a WHO with Fourier symbol $\Phi_{0} \in \mathscr{G} C^{\nu}(\ddot{\mathbb{R}})$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(W_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} W_{0}= \begin{cases}-\left[\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right], & \text { if } \sigma<0, \\
0, & \text { if } \sigma \geq 0,\end{cases} \\
& \beta\left(W_{0}\right)=\operatorname{dim} L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\text { im } W_{0}}= \begin{cases}-\left[-\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right], & \text { if } \sigma>0, \\
0, & \text { if } \sigma \leq 0 .\end{cases} \tag{A.31}
\end{align*}
$$

A nalogously, the 2-index of the lifted Fourier symbol of $W_{s}: H_{+}^{s} \rightarrow$ $H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}_{2} \Phi_{s, 0}=\operatorname{ind}_{2}\left(\lambda_{-}^{s} \Phi \lambda_{+}^{-s}\right)=\left[s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right] \tag{A.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $s+\sigma+1 / 2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$, and the defect numbers of $W_{s}$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha\left(W_{s}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} W_{s}= \begin{cases}-\left[s+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right], & \text { if } s<-\sigma, \\
0, & \text { if } s \geq-\sigma,\end{cases}  \tag{A.33}\\
& \beta\left(W_{s}\right)=\operatorname{dim} H^{s}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+}\right) / \overline{\text { im } W_{s}}= \begin{cases}-\left[-\left(s+\sigma-\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], & \text { if } s>-\sigma, \\
0, & \text { if } s \leq-\sigma .\end{cases}
\end{align*}
$$

Corollary A.7. Under the assumptions (A.14), (A.15), (A.19), and (A.20), a generalized inverse of $W$ defined in (1.2) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{-}=\Lambda_{+}^{-r} A_{0+}^{-1} P C^{-1} P A_{0-}^{-1} \Lambda_{-}^{s} \ell^{(s)}, \tag{A.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Fredholm index is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Ind } W=\operatorname{Ind} W_{0}=-\operatorname{ind}_{2} \operatorname{det} \Phi_{0}=-\sum_{j=1}^{n} \kappa_{j} \tag{A.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ind $_{2}$ denotes the 2-index of the graph of $\operatorname{det} \Phi_{0}$ closed by a straight line between the values of $\operatorname{det} \Phi_{0}(+\infty)$ and $\operatorname{det} \Phi_{0}(-\infty)$.
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