

Topology Vol. 32, No. 4, pp. 751–756, 1993.
Printed in Great Britain

0040-9383/93 \$6.00 + 0.00
© 1993 Pergamon Press Ltd

A NILPOTENCE THEOREM FOR MODULES OVER THE MOD 2 STEENROD ALGEBRA

MICHAEL J. HOPKINS and JOHN H. PALMIERI

(Received 11 September 1992; in revised form 5 February 1993)

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

LET A be the mod 2 Steenrod algebra. In this paper we prove Theorem 1.1, a conjecture of Adams, which describes how to detect all non-nilpotent elements in $\text{Ext}_A^{**}(\mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_2)$. One can view this result in two ways: it is a generalization of results of Lin [5] and Wilkerson [13] about Ext over certain sub-Hopf algebras of A (and hence is analogous to results of Quillen and others on group cohomology); and it is a Steenrod algebra version of Nishida's theorem [10], a special case of the nilpotence theorem of Devinatz, Hopkins, and Smith [1].

We need one definition in order to state our result: fix a prime p and a cocommutative \mathbf{F}_p Hopf algebra A . An *elementary* sub-Hopf algebra B of A is a bicommutative sub-Hopf algebra with $b^p = 0$ for all $b \in IB$ (IB is the augmentation ideal). For instance when $p = 2$, then the elementary sub-Hopf algebras are the sub-Hopf algebras which are exterior algebras. Let $\iota_B: B \hookrightarrow A$ denote the inclusion, so ι_B^* is the restriction map on Ext .

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be a sub-Hopf algebra of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra; fix $z \in \text{Ext}_A^{**}(\mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_2)$. If $\iota_E^*(z) = 0$ for every elementary sub-Hopf algebra $\iota_E: E \hookrightarrow A$, then z is nilpotent.*

Theorem 1.1 was first conjectured by Adams, as reported by Lin in [5].

We view Theorem 1.1 as a first step in proving structure theorems for Steenrod algebra modules analogous to those for spectra given in [3] and [4]; for instance, one has the following conjecture (analogous to the nilpotence theorem):

Conjecture 1.2. *Let A be a sub-Hopf algebra of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra; let C be a bounded below coalgebra over A . Given $z \in \text{Ext}_A^{**}(C, \mathbf{F}_2)$, if $\iota_B^*(z) = 0$ for every elementary sub-Hopf algebra $B \subset A$, then z is nilpotent.*

This is the “ring spectrum” version of the conjecture; one can make a similar conjecture about $\text{Ext}_A^{**}(M, M)$ for any finite A -module M . If one could prove this, then one should be able to work as in [3] or [4] to determine the thick subcategories of the category of finite A -modules, and hence to prove an appropriate “periodicity” theorem.

Theorem 1.1 raises other questions; for instance, given A , can we find all of the non-nilpotent elements in $\text{Ext}_A^{**}(\mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_2)$? One approach would be to investigate the image of ι_E^* for each E . Assume that E is normal; then this image lies in the set of generators for $\text{Ext}_E^{**}(\mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_2)$ as an $A//E$ -module (since ι_E^* is an edge homomorphism in the spectral sequence associated to the extension $E \rightarrow A \rightarrow A//E$); hence, the first step should be determining this set of generators. When A is the full Steenrod algebra, this is difficult already for the case $E = E(2) = (\mathbf{F}_2[\xi_2, \xi_3, \dots]/(\xi_i^4))^*$, the maximal elementary sub-Hopf algebra of A containing P_2^1 .

At odd primes, Wilkerson found a finite sub-Hopf algebra of the Steenrod algebra for which the odd primary version of Theorem 1.1 fails. A weakened version could still be true—perhaps all non-nilpotent elements in $\text{Ext}_{\lambda}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_p, \mathbb{F}_p)$ are detected by restricting to two-stage extensions of elementary sub-Hopf algebras [8].

In Section 2 we prove Theorem 1.1, and at the end of that section we discuss some reasons that our proof doesn't work for an arbitrary coalgebra C . There is also an appendix in which we give a brief description of Eisen's calculation of certain localized Ext groups.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section we prove the main theorem. The proof is analogous to that for the nilpotence theorem for spectra, [1, Theorem 3]. In particular, we use certain sub-Hopf algebras $Y(n)$ of A (cf. the spectra $X(n)$ of [1]) and a downward induction on n to show that if an element $z \in \text{Ext}_{\lambda}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is not detected by restricting to any exterior algebra, then it is nilpotent when restricted to $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Since $Y(1) = A$, this is good enough. To perform the induction, we use Lemmas 2.1–2.3 below; note that Lemma 2.2 corresponds fairly closely to Step II of [1], and Lemma 2.3 corresponds to Step III. Furthermore, the proofs of these two lemmas follow the general pattern for the proofs of these two steps, except that in Lemma 2.3 we use Lemma 2.1, a homological algebra calculation, instead of the computation involving the mapping telescope of a certain self-map of the spectrum G_k in Step III.

We prove the theorem in the case where A is the mod 2 Steenrod algebra; the proof easily generalizes to any sub-Hopf algebra. We fix some notation: A is dual to $A_* = \mathbb{F}_2[\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \dots]$; we dualize with respect to the monomial basis in A_* , and set $P_t^s = (\xi_t^{2^s})^*$. The maximal exterior sub-Hopf algebras of A are $E(n) = E[P_t^s: t \geq n, 0 \leq s \leq n - 1]$, for $n \geq 1$ (see [5], for example). For $n \geq 1$ let $Y(n)$ be the sub-Hopf algebra dual to $\mathbb{F}_2[\xi_n, \xi_{n+1}, \dots]$ (so we have $A = Y(1) \supset Y(2) \supset Y(3) \supset \dots$).

Let $z \in \text{Ext}_{\lambda}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$; we will also use z to denote the restriction $i_{Y(n)}^*(z) \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Assume that z is “not detected” by any exterior algebra $E \subset A$ (i.e., the restriction $i_E^*(z) = 0$ for all E). We will show that $z \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ is nilpotent by downward induction on n .

First, since $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) = 0$ if $(2^n - 1)s > t$, then for $n \geq 0$, z restricts to 0 over $Y(n)$; this starts the induction. The inductive step is somewhat more involved.

By replacing z by a suitable power if necessary, we may assume that z restricts to zero in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n+1)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. We want to show that z is nilpotent when restricted to $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$.

Note that $Y(n) // Y(n + 1) \cong E[P_n^s: s \geq 0]$. Define a module G_k over this exterior algebra by $G_k = E[P_n^s: k - 1 \geq s \geq 0]$; let $G_0 = \mathbb{F}_2$. Note also that for each s , P_n^s is indecomposable in $Y(n)$, so that the polynomial generators of $\text{Ext}_{Y(n) // Y(n+1)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) = \mathbb{F}_2[h_{ns}: s \geq 0]$ map nontrivially to $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. We also use h_{ns} to denote their images in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. We will show the following:

LEMMA 2.1. *For each s , there exist integers i and j so that $h_{ns}^{2^i} z^j = 0$.*

LEMMA 2.2. *For some $k > 0$, there is an integer N so that $z^N \otimes 1_{G_k} = 0$ in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, G_k)$.*

LEMMA 2.3. *If for some $k > 0$ we have $z \otimes 1_{G_k} = 0$, then there is an integer N' so that $z^{N'} \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}} = 0$ in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_{k-1}, G_{k-1})$.*

Lemmas 2.2 and 2.3 give us a downward induction on m to show that $z \otimes 1_{G_m}$ is nilpotent in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_m, G_m)$; since $G_0 = \mathbb{F}_2$, this is good enough. Lemma 2.1 is used to prove 2.3.

Proof of Lemma 2.1. This is in two parts: if $s \geq n$, then h_{ns} is nilpotent in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ (see [5], [9]). Otherwise, z restricts to zero in $\text{Ext}_{E(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$; so z goes to zero in $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. But by Eisen's calculation (see [2], or Theorem A.1 in the appendix), $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ embeds in $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{E(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, so z is zero in $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$. Hence in $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ we have $h_{n0}^{2^i} z = 0$ for some i . Let z have filtration m , and choose i so that $2^i > 2^{n-1}m$. We have Steenrod operations acting on $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$; index them as May does the \tilde{P} 's in [7, 11.8(c)–(d)], so that Sq^k raises filtration by k . Then applying

$$\text{Sq}^{2^{s-1}m} \text{Sq}^{2^{s-2}m} \dots \text{Sq}^{2m} \text{Sq}^m$$

to $h_{n0}^{2^i} z = 0$ gives $h_{ns}^{2^i} z^{2^s} = 0$ for all $s \leq n - 1$. □

Proof of Lemma 2.2. Fix a finite module M . We will show by induction on the dimension of M that for $k \geq 0$ and for any $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, M)$, some power of $z \otimes 1_{G_k}$ annihilates α . We will apply this to $M = G_k$ and $\alpha = 1_{G_k}$.

We start with $M = \mathbb{F}_2$. We have a normal algebra extension

$$Y(n + 1) \rightarrow Y(n) \rightarrow Y(n) // Y(n + 1). \tag{1}$$

Let $D = Y(n) // Y(n + 1)$; as noted above, $D \cong E[P_n^s; s \geq 0]$. Note that for any k , G_k has a D -resolution

$$G_k \leftarrow D \otimes \mathbb{F}_2[h_{ns}, s \geq k],$$

where $|h_{ns}|$ has bidegree $(1, 2^s(2^n - 1))$. Let $c = 2^n - 1$. Then for any bounded above D -module N , $\text{Ext}_D^{**}(G_k, N)$ has a vanishing line of slope $2^k c$.

We use a Cartan-Eilenberg spectral sequence associated to the extension (1):

$$E_2 \cong \text{Ext}_D^{p,*}(G_k, \text{Ext}_{Y(n+1)}^{q,*}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)) \Rightarrow \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{p+q,*}(G_k, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

$\text{Ext}_{Y(n+1)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ has a vanishing line of slope $2c - 1$, so the E_2 -term has a vanishing plane: $E_2^{p,q,r} = 0$ if $r < 2^k cp + (2c - 1)q$. Of course, we have another such spectral sequence which computes $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, and the action of $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ on $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, \mathbb{F}_2)$ manifests itself as a pairing of the two spectral sequences. We are interested in the z -action, so we want to find the permanent cycle \tilde{z} in the \mathbb{F}_2 -spectral sequence that corresponds to z . So assume that $\tilde{z} \in E_2^{p_0, q_0, r_0}$. Can $p_0 = 0$? No, because $z \mapsto 0$ under the restriction $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{Y(n+1)}^{**}(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$, and this map is the edge homomorphism in the spectral sequence. Hence $p_0 > 0$. This is enough: now we choose k large enough so that $2^k c > p_0$; then multiplication by a high enough power of \tilde{z} in E_2 for G_k lands above the vanishing plane, and hence is zero. So for each $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, \mathbb{F}_2)$, some power of z kills α .

Assume this is true for all $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, N)$, as long as $\dim N < m$. Let M be any module of dimension m . By including a top-dimensional class into M , we get a short exact sequence of $Y(n)$ -modules (up to suspension)

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\varphi} M \xrightarrow{\psi} N \rightarrow 0,$$

with $\dim N = m - 1$. Applying $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, -)$ gives a long exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, \mathbb{F}_2) \xrightarrow{\varphi_*} \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, M) \xrightarrow{\psi_*} \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

Given any $\alpha \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_k, M)$, we can find i so that $\psi_*(z^i \alpha) = 0$, by induction. Then $z^i \alpha \in \text{im } \varphi_*$, say $\varphi_*(\beta) = z^i \alpha$. But we can find j so that $z^j \beta = 0$, so $0 = \varphi_*(z^j \beta) = z^{i+j} \alpha$. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. For each k there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{2^k c} G_{k-1} \rightarrow G_k \rightarrow G_{k-1} \rightarrow 0$$

(where, as above, $c = 2^n - 1$), which gives $y \in \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{1, 2^k c}(G_{k-1}, G_{k-1})$. One can check that this element is the image of h_{nk} under the map

$$\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(\mathbf{F}_2, \mathbf{F}_2) \xrightarrow{- \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}}} \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(G_{k-1}, G_{k-1});$$

i.e., $y = h_{nk} \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}}$. For brevity, let $\text{Ext}(M)$ denote $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(M, \mathbf{F}_2)$. The short exact sequence above gives a long exact sequence in Ext :

$$\cdots \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) \xrightarrow{h_{nk} \otimes 1} \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G_k) \rightarrow \cdots$$

We may assume (by taking powers) that $z \otimes 1_{G_k} = 0$; we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{h_{nk} \otimes 1} & \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(G_k) \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow z \otimes 1 & \swarrow \bar{z} & \downarrow z \otimes 1 & & \downarrow z \otimes 1 = 0 \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) & \xrightarrow{h_{nk} \otimes 1} & \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}(G_k) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

Since $z \otimes 1_{G_k}: \text{Ext}(G_k) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G_k)$ is zero, we have a factorization

$$z \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}} = (h_{nk} \otimes 1) \circ \bar{z}: \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}) \rightarrow \text{Ext}(G_{k-1}).$$

A simple diagram chase then shows that $(z \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}})^j = (h_{nk}^j \otimes 1) \circ \bar{z}^j$ for all j . Thus for any i , $(z \otimes 1)^{i+j} = (h_{nk}^{i+j} z^i \otimes 1) \circ \bar{z}^j$; by choosing i and j large enough, we have (by Lemma 2.1) $h_{nk}^{i+j} z^i = 0$. Hence $z^{i+j} \otimes 1_{G_{k-1}} = 0$, as desired. □

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Remark 2.4. There are (at least) two obstacles to applying the method in this section to study non-nilpotence in $\text{Ext}_A^{**}(C, \mathbf{F}_2)$, for C a bounded below coalgebra: the first is that we don't have a calculation like Eisen's for the appropriate localized Ext groups. In the proof of Theorem A.1, we can still embed the E_2 -term of the $Y(n)$ spectral sequence in the E_2 -term for $E(n)$, but in this case there is no reason for either spectral sequence to collapse. The second problem is that if C is not co-commutative, then we don't have Steenrod operations acting on $\text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{**}(C, \mathbf{F}_2)$, so knowing that some power of h_{n0} kills z doesn't necessarily tell us anything about h_{n1} acting on z^2 .

So in this sense, Conjecture 1.2, the algebraic nilpotence conjecture, is harder than the original geometric version of [1]. This goes against the usual idea that typical algebraic results are easier than the corresponding results in homotopy theory.

Acknowledgements—The authors would like to thank Haynes Miller and Doug Ravenel for useful conversations regarding this subject. The first author was partially funded by the National Science Foundation and the Sloan Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. E. S. DEVINATZ, M. J. HOPKINS, J. H. SMITH: Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory I, *Ann. Math.* **128** (1988), 207–241.
2. D. K. EISEN: Localized Ext groups over the Steenrod algebra, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University (1987).
3. M. J. HOPKINS: Global methods in homotopy theory, in *Proceedings of the Durham Symposium on Homotopy Theory*, edited by J. D. S. Jones and E. Rees, LMS Lecture Note Series vol. **117**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987), pp. 73–96.
4. M. J. HOPKINS and J. H. SMITH: Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory II, to appear.
5. W. H. LIN: Cohomology of sub-Hopf algebras of the Steenrod algebra I and II, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **10** (1977), 101–114, and **11** (1977), 105–110.

6. H. R. MARGOLIS: *Spectra and the Steenrod Algebra*, North-Holland, Amsterdam (1983).
7. J. P. MAY: A general algebraic approach to Steenrod operations, in *The Steenrod Algebra and Its Applications*, edited by F. P. Peterson, Lecture Notes in Mathematics vol. 168, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1970), 153–251.
8. H. R. MILLER: private communication.
9. H. R. MILLER and C. WILKERSON: Vanishing lines for modules over the Steenrod algebra, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **22** (1981), 293–307.
10. G. NISHIDA: The nilpotency of elements of the stable homotopy groups of spheres, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **25** (1973), 707–732.
11. J. H. PALMIERI: The Margolis Adams spectral sequence, in preparation.
12. J. H. PALMIERI: A chromatic spectral sequence to study Ext over the Steenrod algebra, Ph.D. thesis, Mass. Inst. of Tech. (1991).
13. C. WILKERSON: The cohomology algebras of finite dimensional Hopf algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **264** (1981), 137–150.

Massachusetts Institute of Technology,
University of Minnesota

APPENDIX
EISEN'S CALCULATION

In his thesis, Eisen proves the following result (with notation as above):

THEOREM A.1.

$$h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(F_2, F_2) \cong F_2 \left[h_{n0}, h_{n0}^{-1}, h_{1s}; \begin{cases} \text{if } s = 0, \text{ then } 2n > t > n \\ \text{if } n > s \geq 1, \text{ then } t \geq n \end{cases} \right]$$

Since his work has never been published, we outline a proof.

For any $Y(n)$ -module M , there is a spectral sequence, called the *Margolis Adams spectral sequence* (see [12] or [11]), which computes $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(M, F_2)$. This spectral sequence is constructed as follows: note that $(P_n^0)^2 = 0$, so we can define the P_n^0 -homology of any $Y(n)$ -module M . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{p,q}(Y(n)/Y(n)P_n^0, M) \\ & \cong \bigoplus_{i+j=q} F_2[h_{n0}]^{p,i} \otimes H_{-j}(M, P_n^0) \text{ for } p > 0, \\ & \text{Ext}_{Y(n)}^{0,q}(Y(n)/Y(n)P_n^0, M) \rightarrow H_{-q}(M, P_n^0). \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

(See [6, 19.2, 19.3].) So we can form a resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow Q_2 \rightarrow Q_1 \rightarrow Q_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0,$$

where each Q_i is a direct sum of (suspensions of) copies of $Y(n)/Y(n)P_n^0$ and $Y(n)$, so that this sequence is exact and is exact in P_n^0 -homology. Applying $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(-, F_2)$ to this gives a spectral sequence with

$$E_1 = \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(Q_*, F_2),$$

converging to $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(M, F_2)$. Now invert h_{n0} —this is a permanent cycle in the spectral sequence with $M = F_2$, so we still get a spectral sequence. This localized spectral sequence is the Margolis Adams spectral sequence.

A simple computation using (2) and the properties of the P_n^0 -homology of the resolution shows that

$$E_2 \cong \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^{\mathbb{F}_2}(H(M, P_n^0), F_2) \otimes F_2[h_{n0}^{\pm 1}],$$

where $Y(n)_n^0 = (H_*(Y(n)/Y(n)P_n^0, P_n^0))^{op}$ is the algebra of operations for P_n^0 -homology. We can compute this—see [6, 19.26]:

$$Y(n)_n^0 \cong E[P_i^s: s \text{ and } t \text{ as in A.1}].$$

A vanishing line argument shows that inverting h_{n0} presents no convergence problems. Let $M = F_2$; then we have a spectral sequence with

$$E_2 \cong F_2[h_{n0}, h_{n0}^{-1}, h_{1s}; s \text{ and } t \text{ as in A.1}]$$

converging to

$$h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^*(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2).$$

We claim that this spectral sequence collapses. To show this, we embed it in another Margolis Adams spectral sequence, this time over $E(n)$ instead of $Y(n)$. For this one we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(n)_n^0 &= (H_*(E(n)/E(n)P_n^0, P_n^0))^{\text{op}} \\ &\cong E(n)/E(n)P_n^0, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$E_2 \cong \mathbb{F}_2[h_{n0}^{-1}, h_{ts}: t \geq n, n > s \geq 0].$$

Again, there are no convergence problems. Also, since $E(n)$ is an exterior algebra, we can compute $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^*(\mathbb{F}_2, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and see that the spectral sequence for $M = \mathbb{F}_2$ collapses. Lastly, we observe that the map $E(n) \rightarrow Y(n)$ induces an embedding of the E_2 -term for the $Y(n)$ -spectral sequence into that for the $E(n)$ -spectral sequence, and hence the $Y(n)$ spectral sequence collapses as well. \square

Remark A.2. There are a number of ways of performing this calculation, all based on finding a spectral sequence converging to $\text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^*(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$ and inverting h_{n0} . Usually, the Ext calculations are straightforward and imply that the localized spectral sequence collapses; the main issue is to show that the localized spectral sequence converges to the right thing, namely $h_{n0}^{-1} \text{Ext}_{\mathbb{F}_2}^*(M, \mathbb{F}_2)$.