**Discrete Mathematics 101 (1992) 203-212 North-Holland** 

# T-colorings of graphs

## Daphne Der-Fen Liu

*Department of Mathematics, University of South Carolina, Columbia, SC 29208, USA* 

**Received 27 December 1990** 

#### *Abstract*

**Liu, D. D.-F., T-colorings of graphs, Discrete Mathematics 101 (1992) 203-212.** 

Given a finite set T of positive integers containing  $\{0\}$ , a T-coloring of a simple graph G is a **nonnegative integer function f defined on the vertex set of G, such that if**  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$  **then**  $|f(u) - f(v)| \notin T$ . The T-span of a T-coloring is defined as the difference of the largest and smallest colors used; the T-span of G,  $sp_T(G)$ , is the minimum span over all T-colorings of G. It is known that the T-span of G satisfies  $sp_T(K_{\omega(G)}) \le sp_T(G) \le sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$ . When T is an **r-initial set (Cozzens and Roberts, 1982), or a k multiple of s set (A. Raychaudhuri, 1985),**  then  $\text{sp}_T(G) = \text{sp}_T(K_{\chi(G)})$  for all graphs G. Using graph homomorphisms and a special family of graphs, we characterize those T's with equality  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$  for all graphs G. We **discover new T's with the same result. Furthermore, we get a necessary and sufficient condition**  of equality  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_m)$  for all graphs G with  $\chi(G) = m$ .

# **1. Introduction**

Given a finite set T of positive integers containing  $\{0\}$ , a T-coloring of a simple graph G is a nonnegative integer function *f* defined on the vertex set of G, such that if  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$  then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \notin T$ . *T*-colorings of graphs arose from the channel assignment problem. Hale [l] formulated it in graph theory language. *T*  is the interference set. That is, if we want to assign channels to a pair of adjacent cities or radio stations, then the difference of those two channels used has to avoid the set *T*. For example,  $T = \{0, 7, 14, 15\}$  is the interference set for UHF-television stations. If the set T is  $\{0\}$  then T-coloring is the same as proper coloring. The T-span of a T-coloring f, denoted by  $sp_T(f)$ , is defined as the difference of the maximum and minimum channels used; and the T-span of a graph G, denoted by  $\text{sp}_T(G)$ , is the minimum span over all T-colorings of G. It is known that  $sp_T(K_{\omega(G)}) \le sp_T(G) \le sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$  for any T-set T and any graph G, where  $\omega(G)$  is the maximum clique size of G (Cozzens and Roberts [2]). When T is an *r*-initial set, i.e.,  $T = \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, r\} \cup S$ , where S contains no multiple of  $r+1$  (Cozzens and Roberts [2]); and when *T* is a *k* multiple of *s* set, i.e.,  $T = \{0, s, 2s, ..., ks\} \cup S$ , where  $S \subseteq \{s+1, s+2, ..., ks-1\}$  (Raychaudhuri

**0012-365X/92/\$05 .OO @ 1992 - Elsevier Science Publishers B .V. All rights reserved** 

**[41), then** 

$$
\text{sp}_T(G) = \text{sp}_T(K_{\chi(G)}) \quad \text{for all graphs } G. \tag{*}
$$

In this paper, we first introduce a special family of graphs, called  $T$ -graphs. A graph G is weakly perfect if  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$ . Using graph homomorphisms and the weak perfectness of the T-graphs, we get a necessary and sufficient condition in Section 3 to characterize the sets *T.* This leads to short proof that (\*) holds for the two families above, and new families with this property are presented. In Section 4, we characterize the equality

$$
\text{sp}_T(G) = \text{sp}_T(K_m) \quad \text{for all graphs } G \text{ with } \chi(G) = m.
$$

## 2. **T-gmphs and graph homomorphisms**

For a given set *T*, the *T*-graph, denoted by  $G_T$ , is defined by the following:

$$
V(G_T) = \mathbb{Z}^+ \cup \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x, y\} \in E(G_T) \text{ iff } |x - y| \notin T.
$$

The T-graph of order *n* is the subgraph of  $G_T$  induced by the vertices  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$  of  $G_T$ , and is denoted by  $G_T^n$ . Since the ordering of the vertices of  $G_T^n$  is a T-coloring itself, one has  $sp_T(G_T^n) \le n - 1$  for any n. Given two graphs  $G$  and  $H$ , a graph homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$  is a function  $f: V(G) \to V(H)$  such that if  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$  then  $\{f(u), f(v)\} \in E(H)$ . We say that  $G$  is homomorphic to  $H$ , if there is a graph homomorphism from  $G$  to  $H$ , denoted by  $G \rightarrow H$ . If  $G \rightarrow H$  then  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(H)$ ; if  $\chi(G) \leq m$  then  $G \rightarrow K_m$ ; and if  $K_m \to G$  then  $\omega(G) \geq m$ . For related work on graph homomorphisms, see [7-8]. From the definitions, we can get the following properties.

**Properties.** (i) if  $G \to H$  then  $sp_T(G) \le sp_T(H)$ .

- (ii)  $\text{sp}_T(G) \leq n 1$  *iff*  $G \rightarrow G^n$ .
- (iii) If n is the minimum number such that  $\chi(G_T^n) \geq \chi(G)$ , then  $sp_T(G) \geq n 1$ .
- (iv) If  $\text{sp}_T(G)$  < n then  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G_T^n)$ .
- (v) If  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G_T^n)$  then  $sp_T(G) \leq n-1$ .

**Proof.** (i) If f is a homomorphism from G to H, and g is a T-coloring of H, then the composition function  $g \circ f$  is a T-coloring of G. Hence  $sp_T(G) \le sp_T(H)$ .

(ii) ( $\Leftarrow$ ) From Property (i), and  $sp_T(G_T^n) \leq n - 1$ .

 $(\Rightarrow)$  Suppose f is a T-coloring of G attaining  $sp_T(f) = sp_T(G) \le n - 1$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume the colors that  $f$  uses are in the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ . If  $\{u, v\} \in E(G)$ , then  $|f(u) - f(v)| \notin T$ , i.e.  $\{f(u), f(v)\} \in E(G)$  $E(G_T^n)$ . Hence f is also a homomorphism from G to  $G_T^n$ .

(iii) If *n* is the minimum number with  $\chi(G_T^n) \geq \chi(G)$  but  $sp_T(G) \leq n-1$ , by (ii)  $G \rightarrow G_T^{n-1}$ , which implies  $\chi(G) \leq \chi(G_T^{n-1})$ . This contradicts the minimality of n.

(iv) If 
$$
\text{sp}_T(G) < n
$$
, by (ii)  $G \to G^n_T$ , so  $\chi(G) \leq G^n_T$ .  
\n(v) If  $\omega(G^n_T) \geq \chi(G)$  then  
\n $G \to K_{\omega(G^n_T)} \to G^n_T$ ,

so  $G \rightarrow G_{T}^{n}$ , which implies  $sp_{T}(G) \leq n-1$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 3. **Main theorem**

The following Lemma 3.1 describes the relation between the minimum span of a complete graph  $K_m$  and the clique size of the T-graph of size n. Then we present the main theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.** *The number n is the minimum such that*  $\omega(G_T^{\pi}) = m$  *iff*  $sp_T(K_m) =$  $n-1$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Suppose *n* is the minimum such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = m$ . Therefore  $K_m \rightarrow$  $G_{\tau}^{n}$  so  $\text{sp}_{\tau}(K_{m}) \leq n - 1$ . Now if  $\text{sp}_{\tau}(K_{m}) \leq n - 1$ , by Property (ii), we get  $K_m \rightarrow G_T^{n-1}$ , so  $\omega(G_T^{n-1}) \geq m$ . This contradicts the minimality of *n*.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) If  $sp_T(K_m) = n - 1$ , then  $K_m \to G^n$ . Therefore  $\omega(G^n) \ge m$ . If  $\omega(G^{n-1}) =$ *m*, then by Property (v), we get  $sp_T(K_m) \le n - 2$ , which contradicts  $sp_T(K_m)$  =  $n-1$ . Hence *n* is the minimum with  $\omega(G_T^n) = m$ .  $\Box$ 

**Theorem** 3.2. *Given T, the following are equivalent:* 

*(i)*  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\gamma(G)})$  *for all graphs G,* 

(ii)  $\text{sp}_T(G^n_T) = \text{sp}_T(K_{\chi(G^n_T)})$  *for all n,* 

(iii)  $G_T^n$  is weakly perfect for all n,

(iv)  $G^r$  *is weakly perfect for all n with some graph H such that*  $\text{sp}_T(H) = n - 1$ .

## **Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii), (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv) are trivial.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): It's enough to show that, for any n, sp<sub>T</sub>( $G_T^n$ ) = sp<sub>T</sub>( $K_{\chi(G_T^n)}$ ) implies  $\omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G_T^n)$ . Suppose  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^n) = \text{sp}_T(K_{\chi(G_T^n)}) = n_0 = 1$ , since  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^n) \le n - 1$ , so  $n_0 \le n$ . Also by Lemma 3.1,  $n_0$  is the minimum such that  $\omega(G_T^{n_0}) = \chi(G_T^n)$ . Therefore  $\omega(G_T^n) \geq \omega(G_T^{n_0}) = \chi(G_T^n)$ , so we get  $\omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G_T^n)$ .

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $sp_T(G) = n_0 - 1$  and  $sp_T(K_{\chi(G)}) = n - 1$ , and suppose  $n_0 < n$ . Then  $G \rightarrow G_T^{n_0}$ ,  $\chi(G_T^n) = \omega(G_T^n)$ , and  $\chi(G_T^{n_0}) = \omega(G_T^{n_0})$ . By Lemma 3.1, *n* is the minimum with  $\omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G)$ . Therefore,  $\chi(G_T^{n_0}) = \omega(G_T^{n_0}) < \omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G)$ . This contradicts  $G \rightarrow G_T^{n_0}$ . Hence  $n_0 = n$ .  $\Box$ 

If G is weakly perfect then  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)}) = sp_T(K_{\omega(G)})$  for any T, but the reverse is not always true. From the claim of (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) above, we obtain the following corollary for  $T$ -graphs.

**Corollary 3.3.** For any T and any n,  $sp_T(G_T^n) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G_T^n)})$  iff  $G_T^n$  is weakly *perfect.* 

Next we discuss the two known families of *T* those satisfy (\*).

**Corollary 3.4** [2, 9]. If *T* is an *r*-initial set, then (\*) holds and  $sp_T(K_m) =$  $(r + 1)(m - 1)$ .

**Proof.** By Theorem 3.1, to get  $(*)$ , it is enough to show that  $G<sub>T</sub><sup>n</sup>$  is weakly perfect for all *n*. In  $G_T$ , for any  $k \ge 0$  the set of vertices  $\{0, r+1, 2(r+1), \ldots, k(r+1)\}\$ forms a clique, so  $\omega(G_T^{k(r+1)+1}) \geq k+1$  for any  $k \geq 0$  (See Fig. 1 as an example). Therefore it's sufficient to show that  $\chi(G_T^{(r+1)}) \leq k$ . Let f be the coloring defined by

$$
f(i) = \left\lfloor \frac{i}{r+1} \right\rfloor + 1.
$$

If  $\{a, b\} \in G_T^{k(r+1)}$  then  $|a - b| \notin T$ , i.e.  $|a - b| \ge r + 1$ , so

$$
\left\lfloor \frac{a}{r+1} \right\rfloor \neq \left\lfloor \frac{b}{r+1} \right\rfloor.
$$

Hence  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ , f is a proper coloring and

$$
|\text{Range}(f)| = \left\lfloor \frac{k(r+1)-1}{r+1} \right\rfloor + 1 = k,
$$

so

$$
\chi(G_T^{k(r+1)}) = \omega(G_T^{k(r+1)}) = k.
$$



*Fig. 1. T =* **{0, 1, 2,** *4, 5) (T* **is an 3-initial set).** 

On the other hand, this also implies that  $n = k(r + 1) + 1$  is the minimum such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = k + 1$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $sp_T(K_m) = (m - 1)(r + 1)$ . This finishes the proof.  $\square$ 

**Corollary** 3.5 [5]. Zf *T is a k multiple of s set, then (\*) holds and*   $\text{sp}_T(K_m) = sd(k+1)+q-1, \quad m=ds+q, \quad d\geq 0, \quad 1\leq q\leq s.$ 

**Proof.** In  $G_T$ ,  $[0, s-1] \cup \{ks+s\}$  is a clique. Furthermore, for any  $d \ge 0$  and  $0 \leq q \leq s$ ,  $\omega(G_T^{ds(k+1)+q}) \geq sd + q$  (see Fig. 2 as an example). Hence, for (\*), it will be enough to show that  $\chi(G_T^{ds(k+1)}) = sd$  for all  $d \ge 1$ . We can color  $G_T$  by f:

$$
f(i) = j + 1 + s \left[ \frac{i}{s(k+1)} \right]
$$
, where  $j \equiv i \pmod{s}$  and  $0 \le j < s$ .

We now show that  $f$  is a proper coloring. Because the colors  $f$  uses are increasing periodically, we only have to check the first period, i.e., for  $i \in [0, s(k + 1) - 1]$ . If  $\{a, b\} \in E(G_T)$  and  $a, b \in [0, s(k + 1) - 1]$ , then  $|a - b| \notin$ *T.* Since  $T \supseteq \{0, s, 2s, \ldots, ks\}$ , this implies  $f(a) \neq f(b)$ . Also, we can get that  $n = ds(k + 1) + q$  is the minimum such that  $\omega(G<sub>T</sub><sup>n</sup>) = sd + q$  for all  $1 \le q \le s$  and  $d \ge 0$ . So by Lemma 3.1, we can get the minimum spans for complete graphs. This finishes the proof.  $\Box$ 

For a  $k$  multiple of  $s$  set  $T$ , the maximum cliques in the family of  $T$ -graphs come out periodically. For example, when  $T = \{0, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$  (Fig. 2),



**Fig. 2.** *T = (0, 3, 4, 5, 6) (T* **is a 2 multiple of 3 set).** 

 $\{0, 1, 2, 9, 10, 11, 18, 19, 20, \ldots\}$  is a maximum clique in  $G_T$ . In the above proof, we properly color the vertices in each period by the modularity, and use different colors for each period. Now we may extend *T* by union with another set S', where all numbers in S' are greater than or equal to  $s(k + 2)$ , and S' does not contain any number of

$$
[s(2k + 1) + 1, s(2k + 3) - 1] \cup [s(3k + 2) + 1, s(3k + 4) - 1]
$$
  
 
$$
\cup [s(4k + 3) + 1, s(4k + 5) - 1] \cup \cdots
$$

Since  $\{0, s, 2s, \ldots, ks\} \subseteq T \cup S'$ , this implies the modular coloring used in the above proof is still proper for  $G_{T\cup S}$ . Without breaking the maximum clique, we let S' avoid those values to maintain that the maximum clique in  $G_T$  is also a maximum clique in  $G_{T\cup S}$ . We call  $T\cup S'$  an extended k multiple of s set. This implies the following.

**Corollary** 3.6. *If T is an extended k multiple of s set, then T has the same result as a k multiple of s set as in Corollary 3.4.* 

**Example.** If  $T = \{0, 3, 6, 12\}$ , or  $T = \{0, 3, 6, 12, 13, 14, 15\}$  then for all graphs  $G, sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$ , and  $sp_T(K_m)$  has the same value as in Corollary 3.4.

It would be nice to characterize all sets *T* for which (\*) holds. While we cannot do this we now present other new families of *T's* with the same property as the above cases. We let  $N_p$  denote the infinite set of multiples of p,  $\{p, 2p, 3p, \ldots\}$ .

**Theorem 3.7.** *Suppose*  $T = ([0, a + b] - \{a + 1\}) \cup S$ , *where*  $a = cp$ ,  $p \ge 2$ ,  $b \ge 2$ ,  $i(a + 1) \notin N_p$  *and*  $(a + b + 1) + i(a + 1) \notin N_p$  *for all*  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., p - 1$ *, and S has the following properties* :

- (1) All numbers in S are less than  $pa + p + b$ .
- (2)  $N_p \cap [0, pa + p + b 1] \subseteq S$ .

(3)  $i(a + 1) \notin S$ , and  $(a + b + 1) + i(a + 1) \notin S$  for all  $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., p - 1$ . *Then*  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$  *for all graphs G, and* 

$$
sp_T(K_m) = k(pa + p + b) + (l - 1)(a + 1), \quad m = kp + l, \quad k \ge 0, \quad 1 \le l \le p.
$$

Note that in this theorem, the set *T* is neither a *k* multiple of s set, nor an *r*-initial set since  $p(a + 1)$  is in *T*. Before we prove this theorem, let us look at the simpler special cases  $p = 2$ , and  $b = 2$ , 4 respectively.

**Corollary 3.8.** If  $T = [0, a] \cup \{a+2, a+4, a+6, \ldots, 2(a+1)\} \cup R$ , where a is *an even integer and*  $R \subseteq \{a + 5, a + 7, \ldots, 2(a + 1) + 1\}$  *then* (\*) *holds, and* 

$$
\mathrm{sp}_T(K_m) = \begin{cases} k(2a+4), & \text{if } m = 2k+1, \ k \geq 0; \\ (k-1)(2a+4)+a+1, & \text{if } m = 2k, \ k \geq 1. \end{cases}
$$



Fig. 3. *T = (0,* 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, lo}.

**Proof.** In  $G_T$ , for any  $k \ge 0$ ,  $\omega(G_T^{k(2a+4)+1}) \ge 2k+1$  and  $\omega(G_T^{k(2a+4)+a+2}) \ge 0$  $2(k + 1)$  (see Fig. 3 as an example). Hence, it is enough to show the following:

(i)  $\chi(G_T^{k(2a+4)}) \leq 2k, k \geq 1$  and

(ii)  $\chi(G_T^{k(2a+4)+a+1}) \leq 2k+1, k \geq 0.$ 

To show (i), since  $G_T^{2a+4}$  is isomorphic to the subgraph of  $G_T$  induced by the vertices  $[2a+4, 2(2a+4)-1]$ , it will be enough to claim that  $\chi(G_T^{2a+4}) \le 2$ . Color  $G_T^{2a+4}$  by:

$$
f(i) = \begin{cases} 1, & i \text{ is even;} \\ 2, & i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}
$$

If  $\{x, y\} \in E(G_T^{2a+4})$ , then x,  $y \le 2a+3$  and  $|x-y| \notin T$ . Since *T* contains all the even integers less than or equal to  $2(k + 1)$ ,  $|x - y|$  must be odd which implies  $f(x) \neq f(y)$ , i.e., f is a proper coloring. On the other hand, the vertex set  $[k(2a + 4), k(2a + 4) + a]$  is independent, so (ii) follows from (i). This also implies that  $n = k(2a + 4) + 1$  is the minimum such that  $\omega(G<sub>T</sub><sup>n</sup>) = 2k + 1$ , and  $n = k(2a + 4) + a + 2$  is the minimum such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = 2(k + 1)$ . So by Lemma 3.1, we complete the proof.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary** 3.9. *Zf* 

 $T = (\{0, a + 4\} - \{a + 1\}) \cup \{a + 6, a + 8, \ldots, 2(a + 1), 2(a + 1) + 2\} \cup R$ 

*where a is even and R*  $\subseteq$  {a + 7, a + 9, . . . , 2(a + 1) + 3} then (\*) holds, and

$$
\text{sp}_T(K_m) = \begin{cases} k(2a+6), & m = 2k+1, k \ge 0; \\ (k-1)(2a+6)+a+1, & m = 2k, k \ge 1. \end{cases}
$$

**210** *D. D.-F. Liu* 

**Proof.** Similar argument to the above corollary.  $\Box$ 

**Proof of Theorem 3.7.** First notice that  $(p - 1)(a + 1) + a + b + 1 = pa + p + b$ . Since S has the properties (1) and (3), the set of vertices  $\{0, a+1, 2(a+1),\}$ ...,  $(p-1)(a+1)$ ,  $pa+p+b$ } in  $G_T$  forms a clique. Hence,  $\omega(G_T^{pa+p+b+1}) \geq$ *p +* 1. Moreover, we get

$$
\omega(G_T^{k(pa+p+b)+q(a+1)+1}) \geq k p + q + 1, \text{ for all } k \geq 0 \text{ and } 0 \leq q \leq p - 1.
$$

By Theorem 3.2, it is enough to show that  $\chi(G_T^{k(pa+p+b)+q(a+1)+1}) \leq k p + q + 1$ for  $0 \le q \le p - 1$  and  $k \ge 0$ . Any  $a + 1$  consecutive vertices in  $G_T$  form an independent set, and the subgraph of  $G_T$  induced by the vertices  $[k(pa + p + b)]$ ,  $(k + 1)(pa + p + b) - 1$  is isomorphic to  $G_T^{pa+p+b}$  for all  $k \ge 1$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to show that  $\chi(G_T^{pa+p+b}) \leq p$ . We define the coloring f on  $G_T^{pa+p+b}$  by the following:

$$
f(i) = i - \left\lfloor \frac{i}{p} \right\rfloor p + 1.
$$

If  $\{i, j\} \in E(G_T^{pa+p+b})$ , then  $i, j \leq pa + p + b - 1$ . Since S contains all multiples of *p*, one has that  $f(i) \neq f(j)$ . The coloring *f* uses *p* colors. This shows that *T* has the property (\*). It is easy to see that  $n = k(pa + p + b) + q(a + 1)$  is the minimum number such that  $\omega(G_T^{n+1}) = kp + q + 1$ ,  $k \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le q \le p - 1$ . By Lemma 3.1, we get the minimum span of  $K_m$ .  $\Box$ 

Using the same method of extending a *k* multiple of s set, we can also extend the  $T$ 's in the above three cases to get more families of  $T$  with the property  $(*)$ . It's tedious, so we will not state them here.

A greedy algorithm to T-color a complete graph  $K_m$  is defined as: Order the vertices of  $K_m$  by  $\{1, 2, 3, \ldots, m\}$ . Suppose the vertices  $[1, i - 1]$  have been colored, then color the vertex *i* by the smallest integer that will not contradict the definition of a  $T$ -coloring, and keep going to the last vertex. If the  $T$ -coloring done by the greedy algorithm attains the minimum span of  $K_m$ , then we say that greedy works for  $K_m$  ([6]). Notice that for all *T*'s in this section, the greedy algorithm works for all complete graphs  $K_m$ . To end this section, we state the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.10.** If *T* has the property (\*), then the greedy algorithm gets  $\text{sp}_T(K_m)$  for all  $m \ge 1$ .

# 4. Graphs G with  $\chi(G) = m$

The property (\*) is very strong for *T.* That is, only a few of *T's* have that property but the most of *T's* do not. In this section, we consider the weaker

property where equality  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_{Y(G)})$  holds only for the graphs G with fixed chromatic number instead for all graphs G.

**Theorem 4.1.** *The T-span*  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_m) = n - 1$  *for all graphs G with*  $\chi(G) = m$  iff  $\omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G_T^n) = m$  and  $\chi(G_T^{n-1}) < \chi(G_T^n)$ .

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Since  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_m) = n - 1$ , by Lemma 3.1, *n* is the minimum such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = m$ . Now, suppose  $m = \omega(G_T^n) < \chi(G_T^n)$ , then there exists some  $n_0 < n$  such that  $\chi(G_T^{n_0}) = m$ . Hence,  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^{n_0}) = \text{sp}_T(K_m) = n - 1$ . But  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^{n_0}) \leq$  $n_0-1 < n-1$ . This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\omega(G_T^n) = \chi(G_T^n) = m$ . Next, if we suppose  $\chi(G_T^{n-1}) = \chi(G_T^n) = m$ , then  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^{n-1}) = \text{sp}_T(K_m) = n - 1$ . But this contradicts  $\text{sp}_T(G_T^{n-1}) \leq n - 2$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Since  $\omega(G_T^{n-1}) \leq \chi(G_T^{n-1}) \leq \chi(G_T^{n}) = \omega(G_T^{n}) = m$ , so *n* is the minimum number such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = m$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{sp}_T(K_m) = n - 1$ . Suppose there is a graph G with  $\chi(G) = m$  but  $sp_T(G) \le sp_T(K_m) = n - 1$ , i.e.,  $sp_T(G) \le n - 2$ . By Property (i),  $G \rightarrow G_T^{n-1}$ , then  $m = \chi(G) \leq (G_T^{n-1}) < m$ . This is a contradiction.  $\Box$ 

From Theorem 4.1, if we want to check the truth of

$$
sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_m) \quad \text{for all graphs } G \text{ with } \chi(G) = m,
$$

we first find out the smallest integer *n* such that  $\omega(G_T^n) = m$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $\text{sp}_T(K_m) = n - 1$ . Secondly, we check the graph  $G^n_T$ . If both  $\omega(G^n_T) = \chi(G^n_T)$  and  $\chi(G_T^{n-1}) < \chi(G_T^n)$  are true, then we can get (\*\*). Otherwise, we are able to find a counterexample by looking at  $G^{\prime}$ .

**Example.** *If*  $T = \{0, 2, 3, 5\}$ , *then for any graphs G with*  $\chi(G) = 4$ ,  $\text{sp}_T(G) =$  $sp_T(K_4) = 8.$ 



<sup>212</sup>*D. D.-F. Liu* .

**Proof.** From the graph  $G_T^9$  (Fig. 4) and by Lemma 3.1, we can get  $sp_T(K_3) = 7$ and  $sp_T(K_4) = 8$ . The circled number at each vertex is a proper coloring. Since  $\omega(G_T^9) = 4$  and  $\omega(G_T^8) = 3$ , hence  $\chi(G_T^9) = 4$  and  $\chi(G_T^8) = 3$ . Therefore by **Theorem 4.1, we get**  $sp_T(G) = sp_T(K_4) = 8$  **for all graphs G with**  $\chi(G) = 4$ **.**  $\Box$ 

For the above case,  $\chi(G_T^8) = \omega(G_T^8) = 3$  but  $\chi(G_T^7) = 3$ . By Theorem 4.1, there exists some graph G with  $\chi(G) = 3$  but  $sp_T(G) \le sp_T(K_{\chi(G)})$ . For example, the 5-cycle is such a graph (Actually,  $G_T^5$  is a 5-cycle!). Since  $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$  is a **T**-coloring of  $C_5$ ,  $sp_T(C_5) \leq 4$ , but  $sp_T(K_3) = 7$ .

#### **Acknowledgement**

**I am grateful to Dr. Jerry Griggs and Dr. Ko-Wei Lih for their helpful support and discussion to this topic. Special thanks to Jerry for editorial supervision of this paper.** 

#### **References**

- [l] W.K. Hale, Frequency Assignment: Theory and Applications, Proc. IEEE 68 (1980) 1497-1514.
- [2] M.B. Cozzens and F.S. Roberts, T-colorings of graphs and the channel assignment problem, Congr. Numer 35 (1982) 191-208.
- [3] Z. Füredi, J. Griggs and D. Kleitman, Pair labelings with given distance, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 2 (1989) 491-499.
- [4] A. Raychaudhuri, Intersection assignment, T-coloring, and powers of graphs, Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, 1985.
- [S] A. Raychaudhuri, Further results on T-coloring and frequency assignment problems, 1990, preprint.
- [6] F.S. Roberts, From Garbage to Rainbows: Generalizations of Graph coloring and their Applications (1988).
- [7] M.O. Albertson, Generalized Colorings (Academic Press, New York, 1987) 35-49.
- [8] A.M.H., Gerards, Homomorphisms of graphs to odd cycles, J. Graph Theory 12 (1988) 73-83.
- [9] B.A. Tesman, T-colorings, list T-colorings and set T-colorings of graphs, Ph.D. Dissertation, Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, 1989.