## MATHEMATICS

## ON THE DIAGONAL FORM OF REAL SYMMETRIC MATRICES

BY

F. D. VELDKAMP

(Communicated by Prof. T. A. Springer at the meeting of January 27, 1973)

## Introduction

In numerical mathematics one would like to have some kind of algorithm which brings a real or complex matrix in diagonal or triangular form by means of rational operations and taking arbitrary $n$-th roots. For arbitrary matrices such an algorithm cannot exist, since it would imply the characteristic polynomial always to be solvable by radicals, which is not the case in general by the Abel-Ruffini theorem. One might ask the same question for the diagonal form of real symmetric matrices. In this note we show the answer in this case to be negative either, by producing real symmetric matrices whose characteristic polynomials are not solvable.

The problem has been suggested by A. van der Sluis in a discussion with the author.

1. Lemma. Let $l_{0} \supset k_{0}$ be a Galois extension of commutative fields whose Galois group $G_{0}$ is isomorphic to either $S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$ with $n>4, k \supset k_{0}$ an extension made by successive adjunction of square roots, $l=l_{0} k$ a common extension of $l_{0}$ and $k$ generated by these two fields. Then $l \supset k$ is a Galois extension with Galois group isomorphic to either $S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$.

Proof. $l_{0}$ is the splitting field over $k_{0}$ of a separable polynomial, hence so is $l$ over $k$, i.e., $l$ is Galois over $k$. Clearly $l \neq k$, for otherwise $l_{0} \subseteq k$, which is impossible since $\left[l_{0}: k_{0}\right]=n!$ or $\frac{1}{2} n!$, and [ $\left.k: k_{0}\right]$ is a power of 2 . Let $G$ denote the Galois group of $l$ over $k$. Any $\sigma \in G$ leaves $l_{0}$ invariant as a whole, since $l_{0}$ is normal over $k_{0}$; the restriction of $\sigma$ to $l_{0}$ will be called $\sigma_{0}$. Obviously $\sigma \mapsto \sigma_{0}$ is an injective homomorphism of $G$ into $G_{0}$; let $H$ denote the image of $G$ under this homomorphism, so $G \cong H$. If we can show $H$ to be normal in $G$, it follows that $H=S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$ by the simplicity of $A_{n}$.

We have a tower of extensions

$$
k_{0} \subset k_{1} \subset k_{2} \subset \ldots \subset k_{t}=k
$$

with $k_{i}=k_{i-1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right), \alpha_{i}{ }^{2} \in k_{i-1}$. So we also have

$$
l_{0} \subseteq l_{0} k_{1} \subseteq l_{0} k_{2} \subseteq \ldots \subseteq l_{0} k_{t}=l
$$

with $l_{0} k_{i}=l_{0} k_{i-1}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)$. Any $\tau_{0} \in G$ can be extended step by step to an automorphism of $l_{0} k_{1}, l_{0} k_{2}, \ldots, l$ over $k_{0}$ which leaves each of the fields $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots$, $k_{t}=k$ invariant as a whole. That is, we can find an automorphism $\tau$ of $l$ over $k_{0}$ which leaves both $k$ and $l_{0}$ invariant as a whole, and such that the restriction of $\tau$ to $l_{0}$ is $\tau_{0}$. For $\sigma \in G$, the $k_{0}$-automorphism $\tau \sigma \tau^{-1}$ of $l$ induces the identity on $k$, hence belongs to $G$. Therefore $\tau_{0} \sigma_{0} \tau_{0}{ }^{-1} \in H$, which shows that $H \triangleleft G_{0}$.

Remark. The above argument, of course, works under much weaker assumptions. If $G_{0}$ has a simple normal subgroup $G_{0}{ }^{\prime}$, and $k$ is obtained from $k_{0}$ by a series of successive normal extensions, then either $G=1$ or $G$ is isomorphic to a subgroup $H$ of $G_{0}$ with $G_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq H \subseteq G_{0}$.
2. Consider the following situation. Let $k_{0}$ be a subfield of the reals, $f \in k_{0}[X]$ a polynomial of degree $n>4$ whose splitting field $l_{0}$ over $k_{0}$ is also contained in the reals and such that the Galois group $G_{0}$ of $l_{0}$ over $k_{0}$ is isomorphic to either $S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$.

Let $A: k_{0}{ }^{n} \rightarrow k_{0}{ }^{n}$ be any linear transformation having $f$ as its characteristic polynomial, e.g.,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & 0 & & 0 & -u_{n} \\
1 & 0 & \cdots \cdots & & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & & & \\
\vdots & 0 & & & \\
& \vdots & & 0 & -u_{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & 1 & -u_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

if $f=X^{n}+u_{1} X^{n-1}+\ldots+u_{n-1} X+u_{n}$. There are $n$ distinct roots of $f$ in $l$, say $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}$.

We embed $k_{0}{ }^{n}$ in $l_{0}{ }^{n}$, and extend $A$ linearly to $l_{0}{ }^{n}$. The Galois group $G_{0}$ operates coordinatewise on $l_{0}{ }^{n}$ :

$$
\sigma\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{n}\right)=\left(\sigma \xi_{1}, \ldots, \sigma \xi_{n}\right) \text { for } \sigma \in G_{0}, \xi_{i} \in l_{0}
$$

Corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ we choose an eigenvector $e_{1}$ of $A$ in $l_{0}{ }^{n}$ whose coordinates are rational functions of $\lambda_{1}$. If $\sigma \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{i}$ for $\sigma \in G_{0}$, then clearly $e_{i}=\sigma e_{1}$ is an eigenvector with eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$, and $e_{i}$ does not depend on $\sigma$ such that $\sigma \lambda_{1}=\lambda_{i}$. The vectors $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ form a basis of $l_{0}{ }^{n}$. We choose a positive definite inner product (, ) in $l_{0}{ }^{n}$ for which $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ form an orthonormal basis. Clearly, $A$ is symmetric with respect to (, ). Since every $\sigma \in G_{0}$ permutes $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$, we have

$$
(\sigma x, \sigma y)=\sigma(x, y) \text { for } x, y \in l_{0}^{n}
$$

so, in particular,

$$
\sigma(x, y)=(x, y) \text { for } x, y \in k_{0}{ }^{n}
$$

i.e., $(x, y) \in k_{0}$ for $x$ and $y \in k_{0}{ }^{n}$. Take an orthogonal basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in k_{0}{ }^{n}$. Choose $\alpha_{i} \in \mathbf{R}$ with $\alpha_{i}{ }^{2}=\left(a_{i}, a_{i}\right)$ and take $k=k_{0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right), l=l_{0} k$. Then $l$ is the splitting field of $f$ over $k$, with Galois group $G \cong S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$ by the lemma. In $k^{n}$ we can find an orthonormal basis, viz., $\alpha_{1}^{-1} a_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}^{-1} a_{n}$. With respect to this basis the extension of $A$ to $k^{n}$ is represented by a symmetric matrix.
3. A polynomial $f$ as in the previous section can easily be found. Choose, for instance, real numbers $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}$ which are algebraically independent over the rationals, and take

$$
f=\left(X-t_{1}\right) \ldots\left(X-t_{n}\right)=X^{n}+u_{1} X^{n-1}+\ldots+u_{n-1} X+u_{n}
$$

For the ground field we must take $k_{0}=\mathbf{Q}\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$, whereas $l_{0}=\mathbf{Q}\left(t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right)$ is a splitting field of $f$. As is well known, the Galois group of $l_{0}$ over $k_{0}$ is $S_{n}$ in this case.

One can even find polynomials

$$
f=X^{n}+a_{1} X^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n-1} X+a_{n}
$$

with rational coefficients $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$, hence $k_{0}=\mathbf{Q}$, splitting field $l_{0} \subseteq \mathbf{R}$ and Galois group $S_{n}$. This was shown in [1]. The argument is as follows. Take any polynomial $g$ with rational coefficients which has $n$ distinct real roots, say

$$
g=X^{n}+b_{1} X^{n-1}+\ldots+b_{n-1} X+b_{n}
$$

From Sturm's theorem (cf. [2], p. 280, or [4], p. 304) it follows that any polynomial

$$
f=X^{n}+a_{1} X^{n-1}+\ldots+a_{n-1} X+a_{n}
$$

with real $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ such that all $\left|b_{i}-a_{i}\right|$ are sufficiently small has $n$ distinct real roots. Let $c_{0}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n}$ be certain integers, $c_{0} \neq 0$, and take $a_{i}=c_{0}{ }^{-1} c_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$. If $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}$ satisfy certain congruences, the Galois group of the splitting field of $f$ over $\mathbf{Q}$ is $S_{n}$ (cf. [3], §61). Moreover, $c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n}$ can be chosen so that $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ are near enough to $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$, hence $f$ has $n$ distinct real roots.

The result of sections 2 and 3 are summarized in the following

Proposition. For $n>4$ there exist symmetric matrices with entries in a subfield $k$ of the reals such that the splitting field lover $k$ of the characteristic polynomial $f$ of such a matrix has Galois group either $S_{n}$ or $A_{n}$. One can even find such a matrix with $k=\mathbf{Q}\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right)$, where $\alpha_{i}{ }^{2} \in \mathbf{Q}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and such that $f$ has coefficients in $\mathbf{Q}$.
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