

## Note

# An Infinite Family of Non-embeddable Quasi-Residual Designs with $k < v/2$

KIRSTEN MACKENZIE-FLEMING

*Central Michigan University, Mount Pleasant, Michigan 48859*

*Communicated by the Managing Editors*

Received March 17, 1995

View metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

parameters  $2 - (2(3^{d+1}) - 2, 2(3^d), 3^d)$ , where  $d \geq 1$ . © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Prior to 1978, most of the known examples of non-embeddable quasi-residual designs were either those designs having parameters  $2 - (16, 6, 3)$  i.e. the parameters of the Bhattacharya design (see, for example [3, 4, 5 and 10]) or were trivial, in the sense that the associated symmetric design does not exist. Since 1978, much work has been done in this area, both on non-embeddable quasi-residual designs with large block size ( $k \geq v/2$ ) (see for example [8, 9 and 11]) and in the case where  $k < v/2$ , (see for example [12, 13, 14 and 15]). The aim of this paper is to demonstrate the existence of a previously unknown infinite family of non-embeddable quasi-residual designs with parameters  $2 - (2(3^{d+1}) - 2, 2(3^d), 3^d)$ , where  $d \geq 1$ .

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND NOTATION

The definitions and notation used in this paper are standard, see, for example, [1]. A  $t - (v, k, \lambda)$  design  $D$  is an  $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{B}, I)$  incidence structure with the following properties:

- (i) The point set  $\mathcal{P}$  of  $D$  has cardinality  $v$ ;
- (ii) every block  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is incident with exactly  $k$  points;
- (iii) every  $t$  distinct points are together incident with exactly  $\lambda$  blocks.

Further the cardinality of  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $b$ , and every point is incident with  $r$  blocks, where  $r$  and  $b$  are dependent on  $v$ ,  $k$ , and  $\lambda$ . Since all designs in this paper are  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  designs we will omit the 2, and simply use the notation  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design. In particular, a symmetric design is one in which  $|\mathcal{P}| = |\mathcal{B}| = v$ , and  $r = k$ . The derived design of a symmetric design  $D$  is the design obtained by deleting a block and retaining those points incident with the block. The derived design is a  $(k, \lambda, \lambda - 1)$  design. The residual of a symmetric  $(v, k, \lambda)$  design  $D$ , is the design obtained by deleting a block of  $D$  and retaining those points not incident with the block. The residual design is a  $(v - k, k - \lambda, \lambda)$  design. A design is said to be quasi-residual if it has the property that  $r = k + \lambda$ .

A design is said to be affine resolvable if its block set can be partitioned into sets of equal size (parallel classes) such that: the blocks in any given parallel class are pairwise disjoint; each point of the design appears on exactly one block of each parallel class; and blocks from distinct parallel classes meet in a constant number of points. A design which has the first two properties, but not the third (i.e. blocks from distinct parallel classes need not intersect in a constant number of points) is called resolvable.

### 3. CONSTRUCTION

In [6, available from the author] it is shown that the existence of a symmetric  $2-(v, k, \lambda)$  design,  $D$ , possessing a resolvable derived design, implies the existence of a  $2-(v + ek, 2k, k)$  design, where  $e = v/k$ . If, in particular, we take for  $D$  a member of the infinite family of Mitchell designs ([7]), then  $D$  is a  $2-(q^{d+1} - q + 1, q^d, q^{d-1})$  design, where  $q > 2$  is a prime power, and  $d \geq 2$ , and, further if we note that the derived design of  $D$  is a  $(q - 1)$ -multiple of  $AG_{d-1}(d, q)$ , we can modify Theorem 2 of [6] as follows:

**THEOREM 1.** *Given a symmetric design  $D$ , where  $D$  is a member of the Mitchell family, together with  $q$  copies of  $AG_{d-1}(d, q)$ ,  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q$ , there exists a  $(2q^{d+1} - q + 1, 2q^d, q^d)$  design,  $R$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is constructive and is similar to that given in [6], but requires modifying to allow for use of  $AG_{d-1}(d, q)$  in place of the derived design of  $D$ . Let the blocks of  $D$  be  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s\}$ , where  $s = q^{d+1} - q + 1$ . For the points of  $R$  we take the points of  $D$  together with the points of each of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q$ , giving us  $(q^{d+1} - q + 1) + q(q^d) = 2q^{d+1} - q + 1$  points, as is required.

All but  $q(q + 1)/2$  blocks of  $R$  will consist of one block from each of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_q$  together with a block from  $D$ , giving a block size of

$q(q^{d-1}) + q^d = 2q^d$ , as required. Note that, each of the  $A_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, q$  has  $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$  parallel classes, each of which contain  $q$  blocks. Select one such parallel class from each of these designs, giving us  $q^2$  blocks. We want to form blocks of  $R$  by pasting together a block from each parallel class of distinct copies of  $A_i$  together with a block of  $D$  subject to the following conditions:

1. Each pair of blocks from distinct copies of  $A_i$  appear together exactly once on a block of  $R$ ;
2. Blocks from the same copy of  $A_i$  do not appear together on a block of  $R$ ; and
3. We use the minimum number of blocks of  $D$ , such that each block of each of the  $A_i$  appears exactly once with the chosen blocks from  $D$  in a block of  $R$ .

We accomplish this by viewing are chosen  $q^2$  blocks as the points of an affine plane of order  $q$ . We then construct the affine plane  $A$  on these points. It is necessary to reject a parallel class of  $A$ , since one class will have lines whose points correspond to blocks from the same  $A_i$ , and would thus violate condition 2. above. By viewing the construction in this way, we see that condition 1 is met, and that we need  $q$  blocks from  $D$ , (one per parallel class of  $A$ , other than the rejected class), that each of the blocks of  $D$  is used  $q$  times (once for each line in a parallel class of  $A$ ) and further we see that we have been able to construct  $q^2$  blocks of  $R$ .

If we now repeat this procedure for the remaining parallel classes of the  $A_i$ , ensuring that each parallel class is only chosen once, and that blocks of  $D$  are only chosen once, we have constructed  $((q^d - 1)/(q - 1))(q^2)$  blocks of  $R$ , and further, we have used  $((q^d - 1)/(q - 1))(q)$  blocks of  $D$ , say  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$  where  $t = ((q^d - 1)/(q - 1))(q)$ . Further, we call this structure  $M_1$ . Now, repeat this structure  $q - 2$  times and form  $M_2, M_3, \dots, M_{q-1}$ , by replacing each occurrence of  $b_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$  in  $M_k$ ,  $k = 2, 3, \dots, q - 1$  with  $b_{j+(k-1)t}$ . This gives a total of  $q^2(q - 1)((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)) = q^2(q^d - 1)$  blocks of  $R$ . We also note that we have used  $(q - 1)q((q^d - 1)/(q - 1)) = q^{d+1} - q$  blocks of  $D$  to form  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_{q-1}$ , specifically we have an as yet unused block of  $D$ .

We form  $q$  more blocks of  $R$  by taking the points of each copy of  $A_i$  together with the unused block of  $D$ . Since each of the  $A_i$  has  $q^d$  points and each block of  $D$  also has  $q^d$  points, these blocks have size  $2q^d$ .

The final  $q(q - 1)/2$  blocks of  $R$  are formed by taking all possible pairs of the  $A_i$ 's, and then forming the block of  $R$  by adjoining all the points of each of our chosen pair of  $A_i$ 's. Each of these blocks will, clearly, have  $2q^d$  points.

Certainly, the number of points, the number of blocks, and the block size of  $R$  are as required.

To verify that the replication number is  $q^{d+1}$ , consider two cases:

1. A point from  $D$ —each point of  $D$  appears on  $q^d$  blocks of  $D$ , each of these blocks is part of  $q$  blocks of  $R$ , thus each such point appears  $q^{d+1}$  times.

2. A point from one of the  $A_i$ 's—each point of  $A_i$  appears on  $(q^d - 1)/(q - 1)$  blocks of  $A_i$ , each of these blocks appears as part of  $q(q - 1)$  blocks of  $R$  in the first part of the construction. Further each such point appears on  $q$  of the final  $q(q + 1)/2$  blocks of  $R$ . Also giving a replication number of  $q^{d+1}$ .

We now verify that every pair of points appear  $q^d$  times. We consider four cases:

1. A pair of points from one copy of  $A_i$ . Each pair of such points appears on  $(q^{d-1} - 1)/(q - 1)$  blocks of  $A_i$ . Each of these blocks appears as part of  $q(q - 1)((q^{d-1} - 1)/(q - 1))$  blocks of  $R$  in the first part of the construction. Further, each pair of points from  $A_i$  appears  $q$  times in the final  $q(q + 1)/2$  blocks of  $R$ . Thus, we have each such pair appearing  $q^d$  times.

2. A pair of points from  $D$ . Each pair of such points appears on  $q^{d-1}$  blocks of  $D$ . Each of these blocks appear as part of  $q$  blocks of  $R$ . Therefore, these pairs appears  $q^d$  times.

3. A pair of points from distinct copies of  $A_i$ . Each point of one copy of  $A_i$  will be paired with every point of a distinct copy of  $A_i$  once per choice of parallel class from the  $A_i$ 's. Further these blocks are repeated  $q - 1$  times. Also, each pair will appear once more when we form blocks by taking all possible pairs of copies of the  $A_i$ 's. Such pairs then appear  $q^d$  times.

4. A pair of points, one from one of the  $A_i$ 's, the other from  $D$ . Each time a point of  $A_i$  appears on a block of  $R$  given in the first and second parts of the construction, that block contains a distinct block from  $D$ . Further, since each point of  $A_i$  appears  $q^{d+1} - (q - 1) = q^{d+1} - q + 1$  times in such blocks, we know that these points appear with every block of  $D$  exactly once. Therefore, the number of times these pairs occur is equal to the replication number of  $D$ , i.e.,  $q^d$ .

We note that the Mitchell family of designs require that  $q > 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ . The construction given above works for  $q = 2$  and  $d \geq 2$ , and for  $q > 2$  with  $d = 1$ . In these two cases the symmetric design is the complement of a projective geometry design or a projective geometry design.

## 4. THE INFINITE FAMILY OF QUASI-RESIDUAL DESIGNS

If we take  $q=3$  in the above construction, the design  $R$  is a  $(2(3^{d+1})-2, 2(3^d), 3^d)$  design with replication number  $3^{d+1}$ , i.e.  $R$  is quasi-residual. Further, consider a block of  $M_1$ , say,  $l_i, i=1, 2, \dots, 3t$  and a block of  $M_2$ , say  $l_j, j=3t+1, 3t+2, \dots, 6t$  where  $j-i=3t$  then any such pair of blocks of  $R$  are identical in their first  $3^d$  positions, and intersect in  $3^{d-1}$  points in their last  $3^d$  positions. Thus, these pairs of blocks have intersection size  $3^d+3^{d-1}$ , and so these designs are non-embeddable quasi-residual designs of Bhattacharya type.

We can also obtain a second family of non-embeddable quasi-residual designs using Theorem 2 of [6]. If we take as our symmetric design  $D$  a  $(9(2^{2d+1})-2, 3(2^{2d+1}), 2^{2d+1})$  having a resolvable derived design. Then  $R$  would be a  $((9(2^{2d+2})-2, 3(2^{2d+2}), 3(2^{2d+1}))$  design with replication number  $9(2^{2d+1})$ , i.e.  $R$  is a quasi-residual design. Such designs are trivially non-embeddable since the associated symmetric design does not exist by the Bruck–Ryser–Chowla Theorem. The first two examples in this family would take for  $D$  a  $(16, 6, 2)$  design ( $d=0$ ) and a  $(70, 24, 8)$  design ( $d=1$ ). We, further note that the design  $D$  does not itself have to have a resolvable derived design, but there must exist a design having the parameters of  $D$  which has a resolvable derived design.

## REFERENCES

1. E. F. ASSMUS, JR. AND J. D. KEY, "Designs and Their Codes," Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1992.
2. TH. BETH, D. JUNGnickEL, AND H. LENZ "Design Theory," Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1986.
3. K. N. BHATTACHARYA, A new balanced incomplete block design, *Sci. Culture* **9** (1944), 508.
4. R. B. BROWN, A new non extensible  $(16, 24, 9, 6, 3)$ -design, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **19** (1975), 115–116.
5. J. F. LAWLESS, An Investigation of Bhattacharya-type designs, *J. Combin. Theory* **11** (1971), 139–147.
6. K. MACKENZIE-FLEMING, A recursive construction for 2-designs, submitted for publication.
7. C. J. MITCHELL, An infinite family of symmetric designs, *Discrete Math.* **26** (1979), 247–250.
8. E. T. PARKER, A result in balanced incomplete block designs, *J. Combin. Theory* **3** (1967), 283–285.
9. V. D. TONCHEV, Some small non-embeddable designs, *Discrete Math.* **106/107** (1992), 489–492.
10. J. H. VAN LINT, Non-embeddable quasi-residual designs, *Indag. Math.* **40** (1978), 269–275.
11. J. H. VAN LINT AND V. D. TONCHEV, Non-embeddable quasi-residual designs with large  $k$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **37** (1984), 359–362.

12. J. H. VAN LINT AND V. D. TONCHEV, A class of non-embeddable designs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **62** (1993), 252–260.
13. J. H. VAN LINT, V. D. TONCHEV, AND I. N. LANDGEV, A new design, in “Coding Theory and Design Theory, Part II” (D. Ray-Chaudhuri, Ed.), pp. 251–256, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 21, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
14. T. VAN TRUNG, Non-embeddable quasi-residual designs with  $k < v/2$ , *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **40** (1986), 133–137.
15. T. VAN TRUNG, Non-embeddable quasi-residual designs, *Contemp. Math.* **111** (1990), 237–278.