

# The Constrained Bilinear Form and the C-Numerical Range

Nam-Kiu Tsing\*

*Department of Mathematics  
University of Hong Kong  
Hong Kong*

Submitted by Olga Taussky Todd

---

## ABSTRACT

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional unitary space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  and  $S$  the set  $\{x \in V: (x, x) = 1\}$ . For any  $A \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  and  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$ , we define

$$W(A: q) = \{(Ax, y): x, y \in S, (x, y) = q\}.$$

If  $q = 1$ , then  $W(A: q)$  is just the classical numerical range  $\{(Ax, x): x \in S\}$ , the convexity of which is well known. Another generalization of the numerical range is the  $C$ -numerical range, which is defined to be the set

$$W_C(A) = \{\text{tr}(CU^*AU): U \text{ unitary}\}$$

where  $C \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ . In this note, we prove that  $W(A: q)$  is always convex and that  $W_C(A)$  is convex for all  $A$  if  $\text{rank } C = 1$  or  $n = 2$ .

---

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional unitary space with inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ ,  $S$  the set  $\{x \in V: (x, x) = 1\}$ , and  $\text{Hom}(V, V)$  the set of all linear operators on  $V$ . For any  $A \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ , the numerical range of  $A$  is defined to be the compact set

$$W(A) = \{(Ax, x): x \in S\}.$$

---

\*The author wishes to express his thanks to Dr. Yik-Hoi Au-Yeung for his valuable advice and continuous encouragement.

It is well known that  $W(A)$  is always convex [5, 10] and in particular is an elliptical disk (possibly degenerate) if  $n = 2$  [8]. For  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$ , Marcus and Andresen [6] considered the set

$$W(A : q) = \{(Ax, y) : x, y \in S, (x, y) = q\}$$

and showed that the set generated by rotating  $W(A : q)$  about the origin is an annulus. They also gave the inner and outer radii of this annulus for hermitian  $A$ . If  $q = 1$ , then  $W(A : q)$  is identical with  $W(A)$ . So  $W(A : q)$  is a generalized form of the classical numerical range.

Another generalization of  $W(A)$  is the  $C$ -numerical range, which is given by Goldberg and Straus [4] and defined as

$$W_C(A) = \{\text{tr}(CU^*AU) : U \text{ unitary}\}$$

where  $C \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  is fixed. Westwick [12] (and later Poon [9] with another proof) showed that if  $C$  is hermitian, then  $W_C(A)$  is convex for all  $A$ . It is not true in general that  $W_C(A)$  is convex even for normal  $A$  and  $C$ . For example, if  $n = 3$  and  $A, C$  normal, Au-Yeung and Poon [1] gave a necessary and sufficient condition for the convexity of  $W_C(A)$ . Au-Yeung and the present author [2] also showed that if  $C$  is a normal operator with noncollinear eigenvalues and  $A = C^*$ , then  $W_C(A)$  is not convex. However, if  $C$  is normal, the author [11] has proved that  $W_C(A)$  is always star-shaped.

In this present note, we show that  $W(A : q)$ , which equals  $W_C(A)$  for some particular  $C$ , is always convex, and we use this result to prove the convexity of  $W_C(A)$  for  $\text{rank } C = 1$ . We neglect the trivial case  $n = 1$  and always assume  $n \geq 2$  in the following.

## 2. THE CONSTRAINED BILINEAR FORM

The following lemma is given by Das and Embry-Wardrop [3].

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $n = 2$  and  $x, z \in S$  be such that  $(Ax, x) \neq (Az, z)$ .*

(a) *If  $W(A)$  is the line segment  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$ , then*

$$(x, z) = (Ax, z) = (Az, x) = 0.$$

(b) *If  $(Ax, x) \in \partial W(A)$ , i.e. the boundary of  $W(A)$ , and  $(x, z) = 0$ , then  $(Az, z) \in \partial W(A)$  and  $\frac{1}{2}[(Ax, x) + (Az, z)]$  is the center of  $W(A)$ .*

LEMMA 2. Suppose  $x$  and  $z$  are orthonormal vectors in  $V$  and  $(Ax, x) \in \partial W(A)$ . Then

$$|(Ax, z)| = |(Az, x)|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $u = \sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}x + \epsilon e^{\phi\sqrt{-1}}z$ , where  $1 > \epsilon > 0$  and  $\phi \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $u \in S$  and

$$\begin{aligned} (Au, u) &= (Ax, x) + \epsilon^2[(Az, z) - (Ax, x)] + \epsilon\sqrt{1 - \epsilon^2}\zeta_\phi \\ &\in W(A), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\zeta_\phi = (Ax, e^{\phi\sqrt{-1}}z) + (e^{\phi\sqrt{-1}}Az, x)$ . As  $\phi$  varies in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the locus of  $\zeta_\phi$  is an ellipse (possibly degenerate) centered at the origin with  $2|(Ax, z)| - |(Az, x)|$  as length of minor axis. Suppose this ellipse does not degenerate. Then for  $\epsilon$  small enough, the locus of  $(Au, u)$ , as  $\phi$  varies in  $\mathbb{R}$ , is an ellipse enclosing  $(Ax, x)$ . But then  $(Ax, x) \notin \partial W(A)$  by the convexity of  $W(A)$ . Thus this ellipse must degenerate, and hence  $|(Ax, z)| = |(Az, x)|$ . ■

LEMMA 3. Let  $n = 2$  and  $W(A)$  be a nondegenerating elliptical disk. Suppose  $\eta \in W(A)$ .

(a) If  $\eta \in \partial W(A)$ , then there is exactly one (up to scalar multiples)  $u \in S$  satisfying  $(Au, u) = \eta$ .

(b) If  $\eta \notin \partial W(A)$ , then there are exactly two (up to scalar multiples)  $u \in S$  satisfying  $(Au, u) = \eta$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\eta \in W(A)$  and  $x, z \in S$  be such that  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$  is a chord of  $W(A)$  passing through  $\eta$  and the center of  $W(A)$ . Since  $W(A)$  is nondegenerating,  $(Ax, x) \neq (Az, z)$ . By Lemma 1(b) we may assume  $(x, z) = 0$ , and hence by Lemma 2,  $(Ax, z) = \zeta\theta$  and  $(Az, x) = \zeta\bar{\theta}$  for some  $\zeta, \theta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\theta| = 1$ . For any  $u \in S$ ,  $u$  can be written as  $\alpha x + \beta z$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (Au, u) &= |\alpha|^2(Ax, x) + |\beta|^2(Az, z) + 2(\operatorname{Re} \alpha\bar{\beta}\theta)\zeta \\ &= (Ax, x) + |\beta|^2[(Az, z) - (Ax, x)] + 2(\operatorname{Re} \alpha\bar{\beta}\theta)\zeta. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

$\zeta$  cannot be equal to  $s[(Az, z) - (Ax, x)]$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . Otherwise  $(Au, u)$  would always be on the line joining  $(Ax, x)$  and  $(Az, z)$ , and then  $W(A)$  must be degenerate. Suppose  $(Au, u) = \eta$  and  $\eta \in \partial W(A)$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\eta = (Ax, x)$ . Then from (1) and the fact that

$\zeta \neq s[(Az, z) - (Ax, x)]$  for any  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\beta|^2 [(Az, z) - (Ax, x)] + 2(\operatorname{Re} \alpha \bar{\beta} \theta) \zeta &= 0 \\ \Rightarrow |\beta|^2 [(Az, z) - (Ax, x)] &= 2(\operatorname{Re} \alpha \bar{\beta} \theta) \zeta = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\beta = 0$  and hence  $u$  must be a scalar multiple of  $x$ .

Now suppose  $(Au, u) = \eta \notin \partial W(A)$ . Then  $(Au, u) = t(Ax, x) + (1 - t)(Az, z)$  for some  $1 > t > 0$ . From (1), the only possible solutions for  $u$ , if  $\alpha$  is chosen to be real nonnegative, are  $(\alpha, \beta) = (\sqrt{t}, \sqrt{1 - t} \theta \sqrt{-1})$  and  $(\sqrt{t}, -\sqrt{1 - t} \theta \sqrt{-1})$ . Hence (b) is justified also. ■

**LEMMA 4.** *Let the line segment  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$  be a chord of  $W(A)$  where  $x, z \in S$  and  $(Ax, x) \neq (Az, z)$ . Then*

$$(x, z)(Az, x) = (z, x)(Ax, z).$$

*Proof.* The vectors  $x$  and  $z$  are linearly independent, since  $(Ax, x) \neq (Az, z)$ . Let  $V' = \langle x, z \rangle$ , i.e. the subspace spanned by  $x$  and  $z$ , and  $A' = PA|_{V'}$  where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection of  $V$  onto  $V'$ . Then  $A' \in \operatorname{Hom}(V', V')$  and  $(A'u, v) = (Au, v)$  for all  $u, v \in V'$ . In particular,  $W(A') \subset W(A)$  and so  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$  is a chord of  $W(A')$  also. If  $W(A')$  is degenerating, then  $W(A') = [(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$ . By Lemma 1(a),  $(x, z) = 0$  and the result follows. If  $W(A')$  is nondegenerating and  $(x, z) \neq 0$ , let  $w \in V'$  be orthonormal to  $x$ . Then  $z = \alpha x + \beta w$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  satisfying  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ . By Lemma 2, since  $(Ax, x) \in \partial W(A)$ , we can write  $(Ax, w) = \zeta \theta$  and  $(Aw, x) = \zeta \bar{\theta}$  for some  $\zeta, \theta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\theta| = 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (Az, z) &= (A(\alpha x + \beta w), \alpha x + \beta w) \\ &= |\alpha|^2 (Ax, x) + |\beta|^2 (Aw, w) + 2(\operatorname{Re} \alpha \bar{\beta} \theta) \zeta. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $z' = \alpha x + \beta' w$ , where  $\beta' = \alpha \theta \bar{\beta} / (\alpha \bar{\theta})$ . Then  $z'$  is a unit vector in  $V'$  and  $(Az', z') = (Az, z)$  by direct calculation. From Lemma 3(a), since  $(Az, z) \in \partial W(A')$ ,  $\beta$  must equal  $\beta'$ . So  $\bar{\alpha} \beta \bar{\theta} = \alpha \bar{\beta} \theta$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x, z)(Az, x) &= (x, \alpha x + \beta w)(A(\alpha x + \beta w), x) \\ &= \bar{\alpha} [\alpha (Ax, x) + \beta \bar{\theta} \zeta] \\ &= \alpha [\bar{\alpha} (Ax, x) + \bar{\beta} \theta \zeta] \\ &= (z, x)(Ax, z). \end{aligned}$$

■

LEMMA 5. For any  $q \in \mathbf{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$ ,

$$W(A; q) = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbf{C} : |\xi - q(Ax, x)| \leq \sqrt{1 - |q|^2} \left[ \|Ax\|^2 - |(Ax, x)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \right. \\ \left. \text{for some } x \in S \right\}$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the norm induced by the inner product.

*Proof.* For any pair of  $x, y \in S$  satisfying  $(x, y) = q$ ,  $y$  can be written as  $\bar{q}x + pw$  where  $w$  is some vector orthonormal to  $x$  and  $p = \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}$ . Then

$$(Ax, y) = (Ax, \bar{q}x + pw) \\ = q(Ax, x) + p(Ax, w).$$

By considering  $Ax$  as a linear combination of vectors in an orthonormal basis containing  $x$  and  $w$ , and using the Bessel's inequality,

$$|(Ax, y) - q(Ax, x)| = p|(Ax, w)| \\ \leq p \left[ \|Ax\|^2 - |(Ax, x)|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Conversely, suppose  $p = \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}$  and  $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$  satisfies

$$|\xi - q(Ax, x)| \leq p \left[ \|Ax\|^2 - |(Ax, x)|^2 \right]^{1/2}$$

for some  $x \in S$ . Let  $u$  be any unit eigenvector of  $A$ , and consider a continuous function  $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow S$  satisfying  $f(0) = x$  and  $f(1) = u$ . Since

$$p \left[ \|Au\|^2 - |(Au, u)|^2 \right]^{1/2} = 0 \\ \leq |\xi - q(Au, u)|,$$

by the continuity of  $f$  there exists  $v = f(t_0) \in S$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$|\xi - q(Av, v)| = p \left[ \|Av\|^2 - |(Av, v)|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Then  $\xi = q(Av, v) + p\theta[\|Av\|^2 - |(Av, v)|^2]^{1/2}$  for some  $\theta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\theta| = 1$ . Let  $z \in S$  be such that  $(v, z) = 0$ , and define

$$w = \begin{cases} \frac{Av - (Av, v)v}{\|Av - (Av, v)v\|} & \text{if } Av \neq (Av, v)v, \\ z & \text{if } Av = (Av, v)v. \end{cases}$$

Then  $v$  and  $w$  are orthonormal and

$$(Av, w) = [\|Av\|^2 - |(Av, v)|^2]^{1/2}.$$

Let  $y = \bar{q}v + p\bar{\theta}w$ . Then  $y \in S$ ,  $(v, y) = q$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= q(Av, v) + p\theta[\|Av\|^2 - |(Av, v)|^2]^{1/2} \\ &= q(Av, v) + p\theta(Av, w) \\ &= (Av, y) \\ &\in W(A: q). \end{aligned} \quad \blacksquare$$

Now we proceed to prove the main result of this section.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|q| \leq 1$ . Then  $W(A: q)$  is convex for all  $A \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\xi = \lambda\xi_1 + (1 - \lambda)\xi_2$  for some  $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in W(A: q)$  with  $1 \geq \lambda \geq 0$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , by Lemma 5, there exists  $x_i \in S$  such that

$$|\xi_i - q(Ax_i, x_i)| \leq p[\|Ax_i\|^2 - |(Ax_i, x_i)|^2]^{1/2}$$

where  $p = \sqrt{1 - |q|^2}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &|\xi - q[\lambda(Ax_1, x_1) + (1 - \lambda)(Ax_2, x_2)]| \\ &= |\lambda[\xi_1 - q(Ax_1, x_1)] + (1 - \lambda)[\xi_2 - q(Ax_2, x_2)]| \\ &\leq p\left\{\lambda[\|Ax_1\|^2 - |(Ax_1, x_1)|^2]^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1 - \lambda)[\|Ax_2\|^2 - |(Ax_2, x_2)|^2]^{1/2}\right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Suppose  $(Ax_1, x_1) = (Ax_2, x_2)$ . We may assume without loss of generality that  $\|Ax_1\|^2 - |(Ax_1, x_1)|^2 \geq \|Ax_2\|^2 - |(Ax_2, x_2)|^2$ . By using (2),

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi - q(Ax_1, x_1)| &= |\xi - q[\lambda(Ax_1, x_1) + (1 - \lambda)(Ax_2, x_2)]| \\ &\leq p \left[ \|Ax_1\|^2 - |(Ax_1, x_1)|^2 \right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\xi \in W(A : q)$  by Lemma 5.

Now suppose  $(Ax_1, x_1) \neq (Ax_2, x_2)$ . Then  $x_1, x_2$  are linearly independent. Denote  $\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$  by  $V'$  and  $PA|_{V'}$  by  $A'$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection of  $V$  onto  $V'$ . Let  $x, z$  be unit vectors of  $V'$  such that  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$  is a chord of  $W(A')$  passing through  $(Ax_i, x_i)$  and

$$(Ax_i, x_i) = t_i(Ax, x) + (1 - t_i)(Az, z) \quad (i = 1, 2) \tag{3}$$

with  $0 \leq t_i < t_2 \leq 1$ .

There are two possible cases:

*Case 1.*  $W(A')$  is the line segment  $[(Ax, x), (Az, z)]$ . Then by Lemma 1(a),  $(x, z) = (Ax, z) = (Az, x) = 0$ . For any unit vector  $u \in V'$ ,  $u = \alpha x + \beta z$  for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\alpha|^2 + |\beta|^2 = 1$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} (Au, u) &= |\alpha|^2(Ax, x) + |\beta|^2(Az, z) + (\alpha Ax, \beta z) + (\beta Az, \alpha x) \\ &= |\alpha|^2(Ax, x) + |\beta|^2(Az, z) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au\|^2 &= |\alpha|^2\|Ax\|^2 + |\beta|^2\|Az\|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\alpha Ax, \beta Az) \\ &\leq |\alpha|^2\|Ax\|^2 + |\beta|^2\|Az\|^2 + 2|\alpha\beta\rho|, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

where  $\rho = (Ax, Az)$ . For  $i = 1, 2$ , as  $x_i \in V'$ , by (3), (4), and (5) we have

$$\|Ax_i\|^2 \leq t_i\|Ax\|^2 - (1 - t_i)\|Az\|^2 + 2\sqrt{t_i(1 - t_i)}|\rho|.$$

Now define

$$\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{\rho}{|\rho|} & \text{if } \rho \neq 0, \\ 1 & \text{if } \rho = 0. \end{cases}$$

For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , let  $u(t) = \sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{1 - t}\theta z$ . Then  $\|u(t)\| = 1$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

*Case 2.*  $W(A')$  is nondegenerating. If  $(x, z) = 0$  then, by Lemma 2,  $|(Ax, z)| = |(Az, x)|$ , and so  $(Ax, z) = -\zeta\phi$  and  $(Az, x) = \zeta\bar{\phi}$  for some  $\zeta, \phi \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $|\phi| = 1$ . If  $(x, z) \neq 0$ , we may choose  $\phi = (x, z)\sqrt{-1}/|(x, z)|$  instead. For  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ , consider

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(t) &= \sqrt{t}x + \sqrt{1-t}\phi z, \\ u_2(t) &= \sqrt{t}x - \sqrt{1-t}\phi z. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Then by direct computation and with Lemma 4 for the situation  $(x, z) \neq 0$ , we have, for  $j=1, 2$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_j(t)\| &= 1, \\ (Au_j(t), u_j(t)) &= t(Ax, x) + (1-t)(Az, z), \\ \|Au_1(t)\|^2 &= t\|Ax\|^2 + (1-t)\|Az\|^2 + 2\sqrt{t(1-t)}\rho, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|Au_2(t)\| = t\|Ax\|^2 + (1-t)\|Az\|^2 - 2\sqrt{t(1-t)}\rho,$$

where  $\rho = \operatorname{Re}(Ax, \phi Az)$ . For  $t \in [0, 1]$ , by Lemma 3, these  $u_j(t)$ 's are the only unit vectors in  $V'$  (up to scalar multiplies) satisfying  $(Au, u) = t(Ax, x) + (1-t)(Az, z)$ . So for  $i=1, 2$ ,  $x_i$  must be a scalar multiple of one of the  $u_j(t_i)$ 's defined in (6). Choose  $u(t) = u_1(t)$  or  $u(t) = u_2(t)$  according as  $\rho \geq 0$  or  $\rho < 0$ .

Now for both cases 1 and 2, though  $u(t)$  and  $\rho$  are defined differently in different cases, we have the same results:

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\| &= 1, \\ (Au(t), u(t)) &= t(Ax, x) + (1-t)(Az, z), \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

$$\|Au(t)\|^2 = t\|Ax\|^2 + (1-t)\|Az\|^2 + 2\sqrt{t(1-t)}|\rho| \tag{8}$$

and for  $i=1, 2$ ,

$$(Au(t_i), u(t_i)) = (Ax_i, x_i), \tag{9}$$

$$\|Au(t_i)\|^2 \geq \|Ax_i\|^2. \tag{10}$$

Define

$$r(t) = \left[ \|Au(t)\|^2 - |(Au(t), u(t))|^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

which is a nonnegative function of  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Then by using (7) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} r^2(t) &= t\|Ax\|^2 + (1-t)\|Az\|^2 + 2\sqrt{t(1-t)}|\rho| \\ &\quad - t^2|(Ax, x)|^2 - (1-t)^2|(Az, z)|^2 \\ &\quad - 2t(1-t)\operatorname{Re}[(Ax, x)\overline{(Az, z)}]. \end{aligned}$$

By routine computation,  $(d^2/dt^2)r^2(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . So if there exists  $t_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $r(t_0) = 0$ , by the fact that  $r(t)$  is nonnegative we can conclude that  $r(t) = 0$  for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . If  $r(t) > 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ , then by the identity

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}r^2 \equiv 2\left[r\frac{d^2r}{dt^2} + \left(\frac{dr}{dt}\right)^2\right],$$

$(d^2/dt^2)r(t) \leq 0$  for all  $t \in (0, 1)$ . In both situations we have

$$r(t_3) \geq \lambda r(t_1) + (1-\lambda)r(t_2)$$

where  $t_3 = \lambda t_1 + (1-\lambda)t_2$ . Using (7), (3), (2), (9), and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi - q(Au(t_3), u(t_3))| &= |\xi - q[\lambda(Ax_1, x_1) + (1-\lambda)(Ax_2, x_2)]| \\ &\leq p\left\{ \lambda\left(\|Ax_1\|^2 - |(Ax_1, x_1)|^2\right)^{1/2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (1-\lambda)\left[\|Ax_2\|^2 - |(Ax_2, x_2)|^2\right]^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq p[\lambda r(t_1) + (1-\lambda)r(t_2)] \\ &\leq pr(t_3) \\ &= p\left[\|Au(t_3)\|^2 - |(Au(t_3), u(t_3))|^2\right]^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\xi \in W(A; q)$  by Lemma 5. ■

REMARK 1. Suppose  $A$  is hermitian with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$ . Then by Lemma 5 and some calculations, it can be shown that  $W(A: q)$  is equal to the set

$$\left\{ \xi \in \mathbf{C} : \left| \xi - q[t\lambda_1 + (1-t)\lambda_n] \right| \leq (1 - |q|^2)^{1/2} \sqrt{t(1-t)} (\lambda_1 - \lambda_n), 0 \leq t \leq 1 \right\},$$

which is an elliptical disk with foci  $q\lambda_1$  and  $q\lambda_n$  and eccentricity  $|q|$  if  $q \neq 0$ . For the case  $q = 0$ ,  $W(A: q)$  is merely a circular disk with origin as center and radius  $\frac{1}{2}(\lambda_1 - \lambda_n)$ . Hence the results obtained in [6] on the set  $\{(Ax, y) : x, y \in S, |(x, y)| = |q|\}$  follow directly.

REMARK 2. Marcus and Sandy defined the  $G$ -bilinear range  $W(A: G)$  where  $G$  is an  $r \times 3r$  matrix and gave a sufficient condition for its convexity (for details see [7]). If  $r = 1$ , then, by our Theorem 1,  $W(A: G)$  is always convex. So we see that the mentioned sufficient condition is not a necessary one even for  $r = 1$ .

### 3. THE $C$ -NUMERICAL RANGE

Let  $e = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be a fixed ordered orthonormal basis of  $V$ , and let  $[x]_e$  denote the column  $n$ -tuple of coefficients of any  $x \in V$  with respect to  $e$ . Suppose  $x_0, y_0 \in V$  are such that  $a = [x_0]_e$ ,  $b = [y_0]_e$ , and  $B \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  has the matrix representation  $[B]_e^e$  with respect to  $e$  equal to  $ab^*$  (here  $*$  denotes the conjugate transpose). The following is due to Marcus and Sandy [7], who proved a more general result.

LEMMA 6. *In the preceding notation*

$$\begin{aligned} \{(Ax, y) : x, y \in V, \|x\| = \|x_0\|, \|y\| = \|y_0\|, (x, y) = (x_0, y_0)\} \\ = \{\text{tr}(BU^*AU) : U \text{ unitary}\} \\ = W_B(A). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $x_0, y_0$  belong to  $S$  in Lemma 6, we see that  $W(A: q)$  equals to  $W_C(A)$  for some particular  $C$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $C \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$  have rank 1. Then  $W_C(A)$  is convex for all  $A \in \text{Hom}(V, V)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\text{rank } C = 1$ , then  $[C]_e^e = ab^*$  for some nonzero column  $n$ -tuples  $a$  and  $b$ . Let  $x_0, y_0 \in V$  be such that  $[x_0]_e = a$  and  $[y_0]_e = b$ . Then  $x_0$  and  $y_0$  are nonzero vectors. By Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} W_C(A) &= \{(Ax, y) : x, y \in V, \|x\| = \|x_0\|, \|y\| = \|y_0\|, (x, y) = (x_0, y_0)\} \\ &= \left\{ (A\|x_0\|u, \|y_0\|v) : u, v \in S, (u, v) = \frac{(x_0, y_0)}{\|x_0\|\|y_0\|} \right\} \\ &= \|x_0\|\|y_0\|W\left(A : \frac{(x_0, y_0)}{\|x_0\|\|y_0\|}\right), \end{aligned}$$

the convexity of which is guaranteed by Theorem 1. ■

**COROLLARY.** *If  $n = 2$ , then  $W_C(A)$  is always convex.*

*Proof.* Let  $n = 2$  and  $\mu$  be any eigenvalue of  $C$ . If  $C = \mu I$ , then  $W_{C-\mu I}(A)$  is a singleton containing 0 as its only element. If  $C \neq \mu I$ , then  $\text{rank}(C - \mu I) = 1$  and hence  $W_{C-\mu I}(A)$  is convex by Theorem 2. By the identity

$$W_C(A) = W_{C-\mu I}(A) + \mu \text{tr } A,$$

$W_C(A)$  is always convex. ■

We end our discussion with an example of nonconvex  $W_C(A)$  where  $\text{rank } C = 2$  and  $n = 3$ . Let  $\dim V = 3$ ;  $C$  be a normal operator with eigenvalues  $1, \sqrt{-1}, 0$ ; and  $A = C^*$ . Then, since the eigenvalues of  $C$  are not collinear,  $W_C(A)$  is not convex [2].

REFERENCES

- 1 Y. H. Au-Yeung and Y. T. Poon,  $3 \times 3$  orthostochastic matrices and the convexity of generalized numerical ranges, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 27:69-79 (1979).
- 2 Y. H. Au-Yeung and N. K. Tsing, A conjecture of Marcus on the generalized numerical range, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, to appear.
- 3 K. C. Das and M. Embry-Wardrop, Orthogonality and the numerical range II, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 30:63-67 (1980).

- 4 M. Goldberg and E. G. Straus, Elementary inclusion relations for generalized numerical ranges, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 18:1–24 (1977).
- 5 F. Hausdorff, Der Werteworrat einer Bilinearform, *Math. Z.* 3:314–316 (1919).
- 6 M. Marcus and P. Andresen, Constrained extrema of bilinear functionals, *Monatsh. Math.* 84:219–235 (1977).
- 7 M. Marcus and M. Sandy, The G-bilinear range, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 11:317–332 (1982).
- 8 F. D. Murnaghan, On the field of values of a square matrix, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 18:246–248 (1932).
- 9 Y. T. Poon, Another proof of a result of Westwick, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 9:35–37 (1980).
- 10 O. Toeplitz, Das algebraische Analogon zu einem Satze von Fejér, *Math. Z.* 2:187–197 (1918).
- 11 N. K. Tsing, On the shape of the generalized numerical ranges, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 10:173–182 (1981).
- 12 R. Westwick, A theorem on numerical range, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra* 2:311–315 (1975).

*Received 21 September 1982*