about the possibility of introducing genetic screening in population-based cancer CRC. This decision is mostly driven by the frequency of follow-up colonoscopies, CRC bR bR W bR v bR nario.

Individuals are willing to participate in genetic screening for CRC as a result of being genetically predisposed did not impact the willingness of Respondents preferred biannual and annual colonoscopies over having a follow-up three screening scenarios.

estimate the relative importance of the four included genetic screening attrib-

nine D-efficient designed choice tasks. Panel-mixed-logit models were used to
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Global Health Status/QOL has a median score 77.78 (P25 = 55.55, P75 = 97.22), the other medians: symptoms 77.28 (P16.88, 75-96.87) and in overall satisfaction average was 75.00 (P25 = 50.00, P75 = 93.75). CONCLUSIONS: Multiple myeloma patients treated in this hospital show favorable results on scores of quality of life in terms of symptoms and effects, especially in the fatigue. These results can be compared satisfactory according with other reports from the international literature.

Before the surgery we applied EORTC questionnaires QLQ-C30, PR25, and IN-PATSAT2. The scores for each questionnaire were calculated according formulas and instruction in the EORTC Scoring Manual. We calculated mean and standard deviation for each score.

RESULTS: Global Health Status/QOL has a mean score 74.80 (±20.74); the functional scales: physical 87.4 (±18.00); emotional 80.3 (±17.23); role 76.0 (±17.06); symptoms scales: fatigue 15.8 (±15.40); insomnia, 27.3 (±30.00), pain 12 (±17.27), constipation 18.4 (±27.63), financial difficulties 17.7 (±23.67), the other symptom scales showed mean scores under 10. For scales in PR25 questionnaire, the scores where questionnaire were calculated according formulas and instruction in the EORTC Scoring Manual. We calculated mean and standard deviation for each score.
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