

## LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

### Radial Artery Occlusion: If a Tree Falls in the Forest...

Uhlemann et al. (1) serve all transradial operators with a warning flag regarding the incidence of radial artery occlusion (RAO). Their systematic use of ultrasound to assess for radial artery patency goes above and beyond the typical practice of pulse checks or plethysmography. However, their RAO rates of 13.7% in 5-F sheaths and 30.5% in 6-F sheaths far exceed the rates seen clinically or in recent trials, including those with routine ultrasound screening (2).

A few details are missing that would aid in the interpretation of these results. The duration of radial compression was described as 3 h when this report was presented in abstract form, but was not specified in the publication. RAO was diagnosed by the absence of flow by color Doppler ultrasound, but it is unclear whether this was confirmed by the more sensitive technique of pulse-wave Doppler (where a reversal of flow can be demonstrated). The color Doppler signal in the small radial artery may also be absent if: 1) the ultrasound probe is perpendicular to the direction of flow; 2) excessive pressure is applied; 3) flow is present but reduced in velocity; or 4) the sensitivity is set improperly. Patients with RAO by ultrasound should presumably demonstrate abnormal digital oximetry with ulnar compression—a concordance with this finding would have been helpful.

The use of ultrasound in radial artery catheterization both before and after the procedure should be encouraged. Ultrasound can detect anatomic variants, such as dual-radial systems and radial loops, screen for radial artery calcification, and assess the size of the radial artery. Real-time ultrasound use also facilitates successful radial artery puncture (3).

Unfortunately, formal ultrasound screening for RAO by a trained technician costs up to \$155 (4), which may reduce or eliminate the \$77 and \$184 cost advantage that radial access has over femoral access with and without closure devices (5). The true incidence and impact of RAO will determine whether routine ultrasound screening is necessary or cost effective. In particular, if RAO occurs, and neither the patient nor the physician notices, and there may be a >50% chance of recanalization by 30 days without treatment, does it make a sound?

\*Arnold Seto, MD, MPA

\*University of California, Irvine Medical Center  
 101 The City Drive  
 Orange, California 92868  
 E-mail: aseto@uci.edu

doi:10.1016/j.jcin.2012.03.010

#### REFERENCES

- Uhlemann M, Möbius-Winkler S, Mende M, et al. The Leipzig prospective vascular ultrasound registry in radial artery catheterization: impact of sheath size on vascular complications. *J Am Coll Cardiol Interv* 2012;5:36–43.
- Zankl AR, Andrassy M, Volz C, et al. Radial artery thrombosis following transradial coronary angiography: incidence and rationale for treatment of symptomatic patients with low-molecular-weight heparins. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2010;99:841–7.
- Shiloh AL, Savel RH, Paulin LM, Eisen LA. Ultrasound-guided catheterization of the radial artery: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2011;139:524–9.
- Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. Medicare Program: Final Changes to the Hospital Outpatient Prospective Payment System. CMS-1414-FC. Baltimore, MD: Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, 2009.
- Roussanov O, Wilson SJ, Henley K, et al. Cost-effectiveness of the radial versus femoral artery approach to diagnostic cardiac catheterization. *J Invasive Cardiol* 2007;19:349–53.

#### Reply

We are grateful for Dr. Seto's letter, because he nicely highlighted the key message of our report by referring to the high rate of radial artery occlusions observed in our prospective registry as "a warning flag" to all transradial operators. It was not our intention to discourage transradial coronary procedures but rather to indicate the need to optimize post-interventional management by closely monitoring radial artery occlusion rates with Doppler ultrasound.

As discussed in our report (1), there are probably 4 reasons to explain the higher-than-expected rate of radial artery occlusions (RAO):

- Doppler ultrasound is more sensitive than clinical examination only in detecting RAO. We had several patients with retrograde radial artery pulse on clinical examination but RAO as confirmed by Doppler ultrasound.
- The registry included consecutive patients after transradial procedures performed by both junior and senior staff in the catheterization laboratory—not just patients treated by radial experts—representing a real-world scenario.
- Looking at the published data, it seems that administration of 5,000 IE rather than 2,500 IE of unfractionated heparin might reduce the RAO rate. In the early days of the transradial approach, Spaulding et al. (2) observed an RAO rate of 71% without heparin, 24.4% with 2,000 to 3,000 IE of heparin, and 4.3% with 5,000 IE of heparin. We increased the routine dose of heparin to 5,000 IE as a consequence of the registry.
- As reported by Pancholy et al. (3,4), the duration of hemostatic compression after transradial catheterization plays an important role in the occurrence of radial artery occlusion. Arterial sheaths were removed immediately after completion of the coronary procedure. A compression device (RadiStop, St. Jude Medical, St. Paul, Minnesota; or Terumo TR BAND, Terumo, Somerset, New Jersey) was applied to