www.jcma-online.com



CrossMar

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Journal of the Chinese Medical Association 77 (2014) 234-241

Original Article

Standardized report for early complications of radical prostatectomy

Wei-Ming Cheng^a, Tzu-Ping Lin^{a,b,*}, Chih-Chieh Lin^{a,b}, Eric Yi-Hsiu Huang^{a,b}, Hsiao-Jen Chung^{a,b}, Junne-Yih Kuo^{a,b}, William J.S. Huang^{a,b}, Yen-Hwa Chang^{a,b}, Alex T.L. Lin^{a,b}, Kuang-Kuo Chen^{a,b}

^a Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC ^b Department of Urology, School of Medicine, and Shu-Tien Urological Research Center, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan, ROC

Received April 3, 2013; accepted October 14, 2013

Abstract

Background: Radical prostatectomy (RP) is one of the curative treatment options for patients with prostate cancer to achieve long-term survival, but it is accompanied by potential complications. The Martin criteria used as a format for reporting complications has become standard in recent years. However, it has not been applied in RP in Asian countries. In the present study, we investigated the early complications of RP developing within 90 days in our institute according to the Martin criteria.

Methods: Between January 2003 and November 2011, patients with organ-confined adenocarcinoma of the prostate who received RP in our institute were retrospectively reviewed. The operation was done as open RP, or minimally invasive RP, including laparoscopic RP and robot-assisted laparoscopic RP (RaLP). The preoperative, operative, postoperative, and pathological parameters were recorded for analysis. Definitions of complications were adopted from previous reports. Surgical and medical complications developed within 90 days postoperatively were identified respectively; severity of each complication was classified according to Clavien–Dindo classification. Clavien–Dindo classification grade III or higher complications were viewed as major complications.

Results: A total of 359 patients were included; 280 (78%) underwent open RP, 45 (12.5%) received laparoscopic RP, and 34 (9.5%) had RaLP. The overall complication rate was 40.1%, and the major complication rate was 13.1%. There was no surgical mortality. Diarrhea requiring conservative treatment (13.6%), minor urine leakage (9.5%), and gout attack (4.2%) were the leading complications. Minimally invasive RP had higher rates of lymph leakage (p = 0.015) and upper-extremity neuropathy (p = 0.048). Body mass index >25 kg/m² and use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy were predictors for overall and major complications, whereas diabetes mellitus also predicted the development of major complications. Besides lower case volume and learning curve for RaLP, patients' higher age at surgery and higher risk for disease progression compared to the Western series may be responsible for the higher complication rates.

Conclusion: The early complication rates of RP in our patients were slightly high compared to the Western series. By standardized report, being overweight, diabetes mellitus, and use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy were identified as predictors of early complications in our series.

Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Taiwan LLC and the Chinese Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Keywords: complications; prostatectomy; prostatic neoplasms

1. Introduction

Prostate cancer is one of the leading malignant neoplasms among male Taiwanese. Treatment should be tailored individually based on the risk of disease progression, life expectancy at diagnosis, and possible complications of each treatment modality, as well as the patient's preference and expected compliance. As the screening test with serum

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest related to the subject matter or materials discussed in this article.

^{*} Corresponding author. Dr. Tzu-Ping Lin, Division of Urology, Department of Surgery, Taipei Veterans General Hospital, 201, Section 2, Shih-Pai Road, Taipei 112, Taiwan, ROC.

E-mail address: tplin63@vghtpe.gov.tw (T.-P. Lin).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jcma.2014.02.008

^{1726-4901/}Copyright © 2014 Elsevier Taiwan LLC and the Chinese Medical Association. All rights reserved.

Table 1

Definitions of complications of radical prostatectomy	y.
---	----

Significant hematuria I Mere severe than usual condition II Resulting in actue urinary retention; Toomey irrigation and blood transfusion needed UTI/epididymitis II Clinically evident with positive urine culture, treated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foley cath Meatal stenosis IIIa Treated with urethral sounding under local anest Anastomotic stenosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and percutaneous neptroro or double-1 stenting needed Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Renoved by cystoscopy of reinsertion Spontareous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Renoved by cystoscopy Hematology Postoperative bleeding III Renoved by cystoscopy Neuromuscular disease Delirium II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Guit attack II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol III Neuromuscular disease Pel	System	Complications	Severity ^a	Definitions/management
Wound debisere IIIa Yound debisere Wound infection I Wound debisere Abscess formation IIIa Proved by sonography and treated Prolonged lymph secretion I Drainage needed for 3 4 (without Stutre of drainage catheter IIIb Clinically eviden, and related Urology Urinary leakage I Proved by cstography and Foley Catheter IIIa Sature of drainage catheter IIIb Clinically eviden, and Foley Catheter Urology Urinary leakage I Proved by cstography and Foley Catheter Urology Urinary relation I More sever the usual condition B Significant hematuria I More sever the usual condition UT/lepiddymitis II In antur intraction site arise catheter IIIb Acute urinary retention I Nor due to hematuria, estimated residual urine Acute urinary retention I Nor due to hematuria, estimated residual urine Acute urinary retention IIIa Tretted vith urretnal sounding under local anesthesia Ha Tretated vith urretnal sounding under local anesthesia	Wound	Prolonged wound pain	I	Intravenous opioid analgesics needed for
wound infection I Wet design as wound care Abscess formation IIIa Proved by sonography and traited with aspintion with aspintion with aspintion Brolonged lymph secretion I Drinage needed for >14 (a without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (a without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention) Urology Urinary leakage II Proved by cystography, and Foley catheter retervint for >14 (b without evidence of anatomosis leakage intervention) Urology IIIa Same as above, and chage of Foley catheter retervint for Same as above, and chage of roley catheter retervint with cystoscopy. Urology IIIb Same as above, and chage of Foley catheter retervint for Same as above, and chage of Foley catheter retervint with as above, and chage of Foley catheter retervint with association. Urology III Mession and blood transfision needed in the catheta sambiolis is a same as above, and chage of Foley catheter retervint werefvint in cystoscop, and the anatomosi leakage retervint werefvint in cystoscop. Actue urinary retention IIII Proved by cystoscop, and reakatina in cystoscop. <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>7 d or more postoperatively</td>				7 d or more postoperatively
Wound infection I We dessing as wound care with aspiration Abscess formation IIIn Proved by soongraphy and tread with aspiration Prolonged lymph secretion I Drainage needed for >14 d, without evidence of anastomosis leakage Stature of drainage catheter IIIb Clinically evident, and explorative laportomy for removal Jeology Urinary leakage I Proved by cytography, and Foley catheter retention for >14 d Significant hematuria III Mere severe than usaid condition Interpretention Significant hematuria III Resulting in acres urinary retention: Troney interpretention: Interpretention: Acute urinary retention I Proved by cytoscopy, and there retention: Soongraphy and the solad aneat with interpretention: Acute urinary retention III Proved by cytoscopy, and there ruinary the solad aneat with interpretention: Soongraphy, and percuaneous neptros under head methodsi Acute urinary retention IIIa Proved by cytoscopy, and there anabusitian under head methodsi Acute urinary retention IIIa Proved by cytoscopy, and there anabusitian under head methodsi Acute urinary retention IIIa Proved by cytoscopy, and there anabusit under head metho		Wound dehiscence	IIIa	
Wound infection I We dressing as wound care with aspiration Ascess formation IIIa Proved by soongraphy and tread Prolonged lymph secretion I Drainage needed for >14 d, without evidence of anastmosic leakage Jordong Urinary leakage III Drainage needed for >14 d, without evidence of anastmosic leakage Jordong Urinary leakage IIIa Same as show, end charge not leaked evidence of anastmosic leakage Jordong IIIa Same as show, end charge not leaked evidence of holes, catheter IIIa Significant hematuria III Mere severe than usual condition IIIa Significant hematuria III Mere severe than usual condition IIIa Acute urinary retention III Proved by cystoscopy and the optimum in the optimum interview interview show, and repart motificant interview Acute urinary retentions IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urbanal soonding under head metabaia Acute urinary retentions IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urbanal soonding under head metabaia Acute urinary retentions IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urbanal soonding under head metabaia Acute urinary retentions IIIa Proved by				anesthesia in operation room
Absess formation IIIn Proved by songarphy and treated with aspiration Prolonged lymph secretion I Drainage needed for >14 d, without evidence of anastronosis (achage liperotronosy for removal liperotronosy for removal irology Urinary leakage I Droved by cystography, and Foley catheter reterinion for >14 d irology Urinary leakage IIIa Same as above, and cipage of Foley catheter with cystoscopy irginificant hematuria IIIa More severe than usaid condition III More severe than usaid condition UTI lepididymitis II Chaiceally evident with positive of the conditions Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual uring under local anesthesia Meatal stonosis IIIa Proved by cystograph, and treated with urinstrue end under local anesthesia Meatal stonosis IIIa Proved by cystograph, and treated with urinstrue end under local anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystograph, and precumeous ambiotics IIIa Proved by cystograph, and precumeous ambiotics IIIa Proved by cystograph, and treated with urinstrue end under local anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystograph, and precumeous ambiotics IIIIa Proved by cystograph, and precumeous ambiotics IIIa Proved by cystograph, and precumeous ambiotics IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII		Wound infection	Ι	*
Prolonged lymph secretion I Dariange needed for >14 without evidence of ansitomosis lackage Juniogy Urinary leakage I Proved by cystography, and Foley catheter retention for >14 d Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Image Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscopy entert with cystoscopy Image Significant hematuria Image Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscopy Image Significant hematuria Image More sever than usual condition Image Image Significant hematuria Image Significant hematuria Image Acute urinary retention Image Significant hematuria Image Image Acute urinary retention Image Significant hematuria Image Image Acute urinary retention Image Significant hematuria Imagee Image Acute urinary retention Imagee Significant hematuria Imagee		Abscess formation	IIIa	•
Prolonged lymph secretion I Drainage needed fory 14 d, without evidence of anatomosis lackage Suture of drainage catheter IIIb Clinically evident, and explorative laparotomy for removal Jrnlogy Urinary leakage I Proved by cystography, and Foley catheter retention for >14 d Jrnlogy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscepy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscepy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscepy IIIb Same as above, and repair under general anesthe irrigation and block under constraints. VITL/epididymitis II Resulting in acute urinary retention. IIIb Clinically evident with positive urine culture. Meatal stenosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urethral sounding under local anesthesia for the cystoscopy, and urethral sounding under local anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy for cliniserion Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Proved by cystoscopy for cliniserion Veuromauscular disease Distal ureteral injury IIIb Distal ureteral injury IIIb Proved by the songraphy, and perturative setting spontaneous clipvoiding Veuromauscular disease Distal ureteral injury IIIb				
Sture of drainage catheter IIIb Clinically evident, and explorative laparotomy for removal Lirology Urinary leakage I Proved by cystography, and Foley catheter retention for >14 d IIIa Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley Catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley Catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley Catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley Catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley Catheter with cystoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley IIIb IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley IIIb IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley IIIb IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley IIIb Acute urinary retention I Not due to hemating: cettinal sounding under local ancetthesia Acute urinary retention IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and uretarinal sounding under local ancetthesia IIIb </td <td></td> <td>Prolonged lymph secretion</td> <td>Ι</td> <td>*</td>		Prolonged lymph secretion	Ι	*
Suture of drainage catheter IIb Clinically evident, and explorative lapotomy for removal Jrology Urinary leakage I Proved by explorative retention for >14 d Jrology Urinary leakage II Proved by explorative retention for >14 d Jrology IIIa Same as above, and repair under general anesthe significant hematuria I Jrology IIIa Resulting in acute urinary retention from subove, and repair under general anesthe irrigation and blood transfusion needed UT1/epid/dymitis II Clinically evident with positive urine culture, treated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >150 ml. or mula reinsertion of Foley cath Anastomotic stenosis IIIa Proved by exploscopy, and urethral sounding under local anesthesia demate local anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by exploscopy, and transurethral incision under spiral anesthesia demate local anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by exploscopy, and transurethral incision under spiral anesthesia demate local anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by exploscopy, and precutaneous neptor or double-3 stenstesia/general Postoperative bloed transfusion II Postoperative hemaperative repair Distol ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative negretarive blood transfusion Postoperative bloed transfusio				•
Lirology Urinary leakage I Proved by cytography, and Foley caheter retention for y14 d IIIa Same as above, and change of Foley caheter with cytoscopy Caheter with cytoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley caheter with cytoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley caheter with cytoscopy IIIb Same as above, and change of Foley caheter with cytoscopy III More severe than usual condition III Resulting in accuration with positive urinary ettention; Toomey inrigation and blood transfusion needed in thirtweroous antibiotics III Not due to hematuria, estimated residual urine culture, treated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention III Not due to hematuria, estimated residual urine under local anesthesia III Anastomotic stenosis III Proved by cytoscopy, and transurtential iostion under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia IIIa Proved by cytoscopy, and transurtential iostion under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia IIIa Proved by cytoscopy and transurtential iostion under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia IIIa Proved by cytoscopy and transurtential iostion under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia IIIa Cytoscopy for crinstrion Statutereral injury IIIb Intraoperative hepaire Statutereral injury III Reported by cytoscopy <td></td> <td>Suture of drainage catheter</td> <td>IIIb</td> <td>•</td>		Suture of drainage catheter	IIIb	•
retention for 514 d retent		C		laparotomy for removal
IIIaSame as above, and change of Foley catheter with systoscopyIIIbSame as above, and change of Foley catheter with systoscopySignificant hematuriaIIIbIIIMean set above, and repair under general anesthe irrigation and blood transfusion needed irrigation and blood transfusion needed tirrigation and blood transfusion needed irrigation and blood transfusion needed irrigation and blood transfusion needed irrigation and blood transfusion needed tirrigation and blood transfusion needed irrigation and trends sounding under local anesthesia under local anesthesia under local anesthesia under local anesthesia under local anesthesia under local anesthesiaMeatal stenosisIIIaProved by cystoscopy, and transfurnationsion under spontal anesthesia/general anesthesia under local anesthesiaHydronephrosisIIIaProved by cystoscopy, and transfurnation under spontal anesthesia/general anesthesia generation of Foley catheterDislodgment of Foley catheterIIIaCystoscopy for reinsertion transperative blood transfusion to spotscopyIematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative spot spotscopyIematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIClinically evident ind proved by laboatory exa to blood transfusionVeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident ind proved by laboatory exa to blood tra	Jrology	Urinary leakage	Ι	
Significant hematuria I More severe than usual condition II Resulting in acute urinary retention; Toomey irrigation and blood transfusion needed UTI/epididymitis II Clinically evident with positive urine culture, treated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >>150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foly cath Meatal stenosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urethral sounding under local anest Anastomotic stenosis II Proved by cystoscopy, and urethral sounding under local anesthesia Ha Proved by cystoscopy and transurethral incision under spiral anesthesia Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Reported by the patient Nearomuscular disease Dolty cath Reported by the patient Stiches in the urinary bladder IIIa Reported by the patient Nearomuscular disease Dolty cath I Dic I Chinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attack			IIIa	Same as above, and change of Foley
Significant hematuria I More severe than usual condition ⁻ II Resulting in acute urinary retention; Toomey irrigation and blood transfusion needed UTI/epididymitis II Clinically evident with positive urine culture, treated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine treated with intravenous antibiotics Meatal stenosis IIIa Treated with oritravenous antibiotics Anastomotic stenosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and treatsurethral incision under spinal anesthesia Hata Proved by cystoscopy, and treatsurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and treatsurethral incision under spinal anesthesi/general anesthesia Postal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distodgment of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Removed by cystoscopy Peatoperative bleeding IIb Removed by cystoscopy Veuromuscular disease Delirium II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Oburator nerve injury IIb Intraoperative hemoglobin, CP-10 g/dL, or decre by 33 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done tore postop			IIIb	Same as above, and repair under general anesthesia
Image: second		Significant hematuria	Ι	· ·
Irrigation and blood transfusion neededUTI/epididymitisIClinically evident with positive urine culture, treated with intravenous antibioticsAcute urinary retentionINot due to bematuria, estimated residual urine >150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foly cath Meatal stenosisMeatal stenosisIIIaTreated with ureftral sounding under local anest Anastomotic stenosisIIIIIaProved by cystoscopy, and ureftral sounding under local anesthesia under spiral anesthesia mental anesthesiaHydronephrosisIIIaDistal ureteral injuryIIIbIntraoperative repairDistal ureteral injuryIIIbIntraoperative repairDistal ureteral injuryIIIbReported by the patientSpontaneous clip voidingIReported by the patientStitches in the urinary bladderIIIaPostoperative blood transfusionIPostoperative blood transfusionIPostoperative blood transfusionIOttal catackIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol toperative blood transfusionOttal catackIIClinically evident, treated with clochicine Upper-tremity neuropathyIObstrattaneObstrattane and proved by antopy can the anabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObstrattaneObstrattane the anabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObstrattanePressure sore, under observation and chabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyI<		e	II	Resulting in acute urinary retention; Toomey
UT//epididymitis II Clinically evident with positive urine culture, reated with intravenous antibiotics Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >150 nl. or nanual reinsertion of Foley cult Treated with urefral sounding under local anest with response of the system of the system of the system ander local anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral sounding under local anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral anesthesia Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral anesthesia Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral anesthesia Proved by cystoscopy, and urefral anesthesia Proved by cystoscopy, and transurefral anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy and transurefral anesthesia Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Dislodgment of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Reported by the patient Hematology Postoperative bleding IIIb Resperative hemoglobin <				
Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >150 ml. or manual ensistion of Foley cath Meatal stenosis IIIa Treated with intravenous antibiotics Meatal stenosis IIIa Treated with urethral sounding under local ancesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision Using and the provide by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Removed by cystoscopy Hematology Postoperative blood transfusion II Postoperative bleeding DIC II Clinically evident, treated with holperidol Gout attack II Clinically evident, treated with clochicine Upper-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Lower-extenity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilit		UTI/epididymitis	II	
Acute urinary retention I Not due to hematuria; estimated residual urine >150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foley cath anastomotic stenosis IIIa Treated with mrethral sounding under local aneal Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia Distal ureteral injury IIIb Distogement of Foley catheter IIIa Spontaneous clip voiding I Remoted by the patient Spontaneous clip voiding Veuromuscular disease IIIb Postoperative blood transfusion II Postoperative bleeding IIIb OIC II Oil clinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Observation and r		1 2		
 >150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foley cath Meatal stenosis Matal stenosis II Proved by cystoscopy, and urethral sounding under local anesthesia Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia Hydronephrosis Ha Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia Hydronephrosis Ha Proved by congraphy, and percutaneous nephroi or double-J stenting needed Distal ureteral injury Hib Intraoperative repair Spontaneous clip voiding Reported by the patient Stiches in the urinary bladder Ha Renoved by cystoscopy of reinsertion Spontaneous clip voiding Reported by the patient Stiches in the urinary bladder Ha Renoved by cystoscopy Postoperative bleoding Brostoperative hemoglobin <5-10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done postoperative bleeding DIC III Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attack Delirium Clinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathy Lower-extremity neuropathy Observation and rehabilitation Lower-extremity neuropathy Dobservation and rehabilitation Compartment syndrome Ha Pressure sore, ouder observation and the spontare facility of serum creatinine elay Pressure sore or and serum creatinine elay Pressure sore or and insufficiency Prostoperative bostoprative blood ractinine lev with hydration and alkalization of urine		Acute urinary retention	Ι	
Meatal stenosisIIIaTreated with urethral sounding under local ancest under local ancesthesiaIIProved by cystoscopy, and transurethral ancesthesiaIIIaProved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal ancesthesia/general ancesthesiaHydronephrosisIIIaProved by sonography, and percutaneous nephror or double-J stenting neededDistal ureteral injuryIIIbIntraoperative repairDistoldgenent of Foley catheterIIIaCystoscopy for reinsertion spontaneous clip voidingAttentionIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyHematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative bleodingIIIPostoperative bleodingIIIClinically evident, reated with holoperidol of postoperative bleodingOICIIClinically evident, reated with holoperidol Gout attackIIObservation and rehabilitation Upper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urine with hydration and alkalization in urine infaitPeterologyIIIaPressure sore, under observation and cheabilitation urineIIIaPressure sore, under observation and cheabilitation urineIIIaPressure soreIIIIaPressure sore, under observation and cheabilitation urine urineIIIaPressure sore, underessing urineIIIIaPressure soreI				>150 mL or manual reinsertion of Foley catheter
Anastomotic stenosis I Proved by cystoscopy, and ureftral sounding under local anesthesia IIIa under local anesthesia under local anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and protamethesia Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distodgement of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Spontancous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Stiches in the urinary bladder IIIa Renoved by cystoscopy Postoperative blood transfusion I Postoperative hemoglobin <9-10 g/L, or decre		Meatal stenosis	IIIa	Treated with urethral sounding under local anesthesia
IIIa Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia/ Proved by sonography, and percutaneous nephro or double-J stenting needed IDistal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Disdogment of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Spontaneous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Spontaneous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Postoperative heodolin <9–10 g/dL, or decre		Anastomotic stenosis	II	•
under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia Hydronephrosis IIIa Proved by sonography, and percutaneous nephrosor of ouble-J stenting needed Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Dislodgment of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Spontaneous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Removed by cystoscopy Iematology Postoperative bleodi transfusion I Postoperative hemoglobin <9–10 g/dL, or decrements				under local anesthesia
HydronephrosisIIIaProved by sonography, and percutaneous nephrosis or double-J stenting needed or double-J stenting neededDistal ureteral injuryIIIbIntraoperative repairDislodgment of Foley catheterIIIaCystoscopy for reinsertionSpontaneous clip voidingIReported by the patientStitches in the urinary bladderIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyIematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative hemoglobin <9–10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was doneIematologyPostoperative bleedingIIbReoperation because of postoperative bleeding Out and proved by laboratory exant Inically evident, treated with holoperidolIeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with clochcine Upper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitation I Lower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitation IIIaIIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIaIIIaProstoperative superficial injury without repair in throoperative superficial injury without repair IIIaPostoperative superficial injury without repair IIIIIIIaIntraoperative superficial injury with repair III IIIaIII Protoperative superficial injury with repair III III IIIIIIIIIntraoperative superficial injury with repair III III III IIIIIII Treated with potop premyIIIIIIIIIIIIII Protoperative			IIIa	Proved by cystoscopy, and transurethral incision
Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distal ureteral injury IIIb Intraoperative repair Distodgment of Foley catheter IIIa Cystoscopy for reinsertion Spontaneous clip voiding I Reported by the patient Stitches in the urinary bladder IIIa Removed by cystoscopy Postoperative blood transfusion II Postoperative bleeding Dif Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Postoperative bleeding II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attack II Clinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Lower-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Obturator nerve injury IIIb Intraoperative nerve repair Rhabdomyolysis II Elevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, treated Vephrology Hyponatremia I Treated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiency I Postoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in p Vephrology Injury to rectal serosa I Protoperative serum creatinine lev Acute rena				under spinal anesthesia/general anesthesia
Distal ureteral injuryIIIbIntraoperative repairDislodgment of Foley catheterIIIaCystoscopy for reinsertionSpontaneous clip voidingIReported by the patientStitches in the urinary bladderIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative hemoglobin <9–10 g/dL, or decrement		Hydronephrosis	IIIa	Proved by sonography, and percutaneous nephrostomy or double-J stenting needed
Dislodgment of Foley catheterIIIaCystoscopy for reinsertionSpontaneous clip voidingIReported by the patientStitches in the urinary bladderIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative hemoglobin <9–10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was donePostoperative bleedingIIIbReoperative hemoglobin <9–10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was donePostoperative bleedingIIIClinically evident and proved by laboratory exam of Gout attackIIVeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackIIUpper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitation Lower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repair with hydration and alkalization of urine 		Distal ureteral injury	IIIb	
Spontaneous clip voidingIReported by the patient Stitches in the urinary bladderIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyHematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative hemoglobin <9-10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done Dot postoperative bleedingIIIbReoperation because of postoperative bleeding bleedingNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol Observation and rehabilitationNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIObservation and rehabilitation Intraoperative nerve repair RhabdomyolysisIIObservation and rehabilitation Intraoperative nerve repair RhabdomyolysisNeuromuscular diseaseIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and alkalization of urine IIIaPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIaNeuromuscular diseaseCompartment syndromeIIIbEnergent fasciotomy neededNeuromuscular diseaseIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIaPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIaNeuromuscular diseaseIIIPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIIIIIINeuromuscular diseaseIIIPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIINeuromuscular diseaseIIIPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIINeuromuscular diseaseIIIPressure sore, under observation and chabilitation IIIIINeuromuscular diseaseIIIIII				
Stitches in the urinary bladderIIIaRemoved by cystoscopyHematologyPostoperative blood transfusionIIPostoperative hemoglobin <9-10 g/dL, or decre by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done DICNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIIClinically evident and proved by laboratory exan Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathyNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathyNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIObservation and rehabilitation Observation and rehabilitationNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIIbIntraoperative nerve repair With ydration and alkalization of urine With ydration and alkalization of urine Pressure sorePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, of grade II or more, and dressing IIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sores of grade II or more, and dressing Compartment syndromeNephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiencyJastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIntraoperative superficial injury without repair IleusJastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal bleedingIIIleusIIIPostive oc		÷ .		
Itematology Postoperative blood transfusion II Postoperative hemoglobin <9-10 g/dL, or decreby >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done brostoperative bleeding DIC Neuromuscular disease Delirium II Clinically evident and proved by laboratory exam Neuromuscular disease Delirium II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attack II Clinically evident, treated with clochcine Upper-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Lower-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Obturator nerve injury IIIb Intraoperative nerve repair Rhabdomyolysis II Elevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, trewith hydration and alkalization of urine Vephrology Pressure sore I Grade I pressure sore, under observation and chasing Nephrology Compartment syndrome IIIb Emergent fasciotomy needed Vephrology Injury to rectal serosa I Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injury IIb Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Ileus Ileus II Proloperative superficial injury without repair Ileus				
by >3 g/dL, and blood transfusion was done Postoperative bleeding DIC IIIb Reoperation because of postoperative bleeding DIC Neuromuscular disease Delirium II Clinically evident, and proved by laboratory exan Gout attack II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attack II Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Upper-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Lower-extremity neuropathy I Observation and rehabilitation Obturator nerve injury IIIb Intraoperative nerve repair Rhabdomyolysis II Elevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre Weth hydration and alkalization of urine Pressure sore I Pressure sore I Grade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIa Pressure sore of grade II or more, and dressing Compartment syndrome IIIb Emergent fasciotomy needed Nephrology Hyponatremia I Pressure sores of grade II or more, and dressing Acute renal insufficiency I Postoperative normal serum creatinine leve Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative seumericial injury without repair	Hematology	-		
Postoperative bleeding DICIIIbReoperation because of postoperative bleeding DICNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident and proved by laboratory exam Clinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackWeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackUpper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and chasing 		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		
DICIIClinically evident and proved by laboratory examNeuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidolGout attackIIClinically evident, treated with colchicineUpper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, trePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and classingCompartment syndromeIIIbEmergent fasciotomy neededNephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplementAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pr with protoperative superficial injury without repairBowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative superficial injury without repairBowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative bowel injury without repairIleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		Postoperative bleeding	IIIb	
Neuromuscular diseaseDeliriumIIClinically evident, treated with haloperidol Gout attackGout attackIIClinically evident, treated with colchicine Upper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObservation and rehabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaVephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplementAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative superficial injury without repair IleusIIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasisIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		1 0		
Gout attackIIClinically evident, treated with colchicineUpper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaVephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplementAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative normal serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in para with preoperative normal serum creatinine in para with preoperative superficial injury without repairBastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIllusIntraoperative superficial injury without repair I leusIIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal bleedingIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasisIII	Jeuromuscular disease			
Upper-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationLower-extremity neuropathyIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, trewith hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cheasingCompartment syndromeIIIbEmergent fasciotomy neededVephrologyHyponatremiaIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in paraVastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIleusIIIntraoperative bowel injury without repairBowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative bowel injury with repairIleusIIProloged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis	teuronnuseunar unseuse			
Lower-extremity neuropativIObservation and rehabilitationObturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaVephrologyHyponatremiaIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine leve owith preoperative some some injury without repair Bowel injuryIIIbBastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal bleedingStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in foastroenterologyIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				•
Obturator nerve injuryIIIbIntraoperative nerve repairRhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaNephrologyHyponatremiaIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pr with preoperative normal serum creatinine leve with preoperative normal serum creatinine leve Bowel injuryIIIbGastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIntraoperative superficial injury with repair IIBIleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				
RhabdomyolysisIIElevation of serum creatinine and myoglobin, tre with hydration and alkalization of urinePressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaPressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaVephrologyHyponatremiaIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine leveGastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal bleedingStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in instointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				
with hydration and alkalization of urine Pressure sore I Grade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIa Pressure sores of grade II or more, and dressing Compartment syndrome IIIb Emergent fasciotomy needed Hyponatremia I Treated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiency I Postoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine leve Gastroenterology Injury to rectal serosa I Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative bowel injury with repair Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				1 1
Pressure soreIGrade I pressure sore, under observation and cha IIIaIIIaPressure sores of grade II or more, and dressing Compartment syndromeIIIbEmergent fasciotomy neededNephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiencyIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine levelGastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIIleusIIIbIntraoperative superficial injury without repair IleusIleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		rende donny ory one		
NephrologyHyponatremiaIIIaPressure sores of grade II or more, and dressing Emergent fasciotomy neededNephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiencyIAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine leve with preoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injuryIIIbBowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative superficial injury without repair Intraoperative bowel injury with repair IleusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIGastrointestinal bleedingIIPositive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitorIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		Pressure sore	I	Grade I pressure sore, under observation and changing position
Compartment syndromeIIIbEmergent fasciotomy neededNephrologyHyponatremiaITreated with electrolytes supplementAcute renal insufficiencyIPostoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine leveGastroenterologyInjury to rectal serosaIBowel injuryIIIbIntraoperative superficial injury without repairIeusIIProlonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression neededStress ulcerIIClinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleedingIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				
Nephrology Hyponatremia I Treated with electrolytes supplement Acute renal insufficiency I Postoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pawith preoperative normal serum creatinine level Gastroenterology Injury to rectal serosa I Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative bowel injury with repair Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression needed Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		Compartment syndrome		6
Acute renal insufficiency I Postoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in pa with preoperative normal serum creatinine level with preoperative normal serum creatinine level injury Gastroenterology Injury to rectal serosa I Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative bowel injury with repair Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression needed Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis	Nenhrology	· ·		e .
Gastroenterology Injury to rectal serosa I Intraoperative superficial injury without repair Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative bowel injury with repair Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression needed Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis	(opiniology	•••		Postoperative serum creatinine >1.5 mg/dL in patients
Bowel injury IIIb Intraoperative bowel injury with repair Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression needed Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump in inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis	Gastroenterology	Injury to rectal serosa	Ι	* *
Ileus II Prolonged nil by mouth postoperatively and gastrointestinal decompression needed Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis	Subirounierorogy			
Stress ulcer II Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump in Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				
Gastrointestinal bleeding II Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric treated with proton pump inhibitor IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis				
treated with proton pump inhibitorIIIaUpper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		Stress ulcer		Clinically suspected, treated with proton pump inhibitor
IIIa Upper GI endoscopy needed for hemostasis		Gastrointestinal bleeding	II	Positive occult blood reaction in stool or gastric juice, treated with proton pump inhibitor
			IIIa	
		Diarrhea	Ι	
II Treated with antidiarrheal medication			II	
Elevated liver function tests I Observation without medical treatment		Elevated liver function tests		
Acute cholecystitis IIIa Clinically evident, treated with percutaneous dra		Acute cholecystitis	IIIa	Clinically evident, treated with percutaneous drainage

(continued on next page)

236

System	Complications	Severity ^a	Definitions/management
Cardiology	Tachyarrhythmia	I	Clinically evident, treated with electrolytes supplement
		II	Clinically evident, treated with antiarrhythmics
	Acute coronary syndrome	II	Clinically evident, treated with antiangina agent
	Postoperative hypertension	II	Clinically evident, treated with antihypertensive medication
	Intraoperative hypotension	II	Clinically evident, treated with inotropic agents
Dermatology	Allergic exanthema	II	Clinically evident, treated with topical agent
	Herpes zoster	II	Clinically evident, treated with topical agent

Table 1 (continued)

DIC = disseminated intravascular coagulopathy; UTI = urinary tract infection.

^a Severity grade based on Clavien–Dindo classification.

prostate-specific antigen (PSA) and digital rectal examination has become widespread, more patients with prostate cancer could be diagnosed at organ-confined status, making possible more curative treatment, such as radical prostatectomy (RP),¹ can be performed via open, laparoscopic, or robot-assisted laparoscopic methods.² These three approaches have complications in common, and each is also prone to additional specific complications. Development of complications not only results in prolonged hospitalization and excessive costs, but also endangers patients. Thus, studies focused on postoperative complications are crucial. However, different definitions of complications as well as different categorization of severity in different surgical series make comparisons difficult. In 2002, Martin³ proposed the "Martin criteria" to evaluate the quality of operative complication reports, and the criteria have become the standard format in many surgical fields, including urology. To our knowledge, there are no such standardized complication reports concerning RP in Asian people. In the present study, we retrospectively reviewed complications developing within 90 days after RP in our institute and reported them using the Martin criteria.

2. Methods

The preoperative, operative, and postoperative parameters of patients with organ-confined adenocarcinoma of the prostate receiving RP by any of 10 attending urologists in our institute between January 1, 2003 and November 30, 2011 were retrospectively reviewed. The pathological details were also recorded. The low, intermediate, or high risk for disease progression was defined according to the National Comprehensive Cancer Network Guideline in Prostate Cancer Version 3 2010.⁴ The operation could be open RP (ORP) or minimally invasive RP (MIRP), including laparoscopic RP (LRP) and robot-assisted laparoscopic RP (RaLP, which was launched in our institute in December 2009). Standards from the Martin criteria modified by Donat et al⁵ for urological oncologic surgery were used in this study. Surgical and medical complications developing within 90 days postoperatively were included as early complications. They were divided into eight fields: including wound, urology, hematology, neuromuscular disorder, nephrology, gastroenterology, cardiology, and dermatology. Definitions of complications were adapted from other RP complication reports,^{6–9} and graded according to the

Clavien–Dindo classification.¹⁰ The definitions of complications are shown in Table 1. Clavien–Dindo classification grade III or higher was viewed as a major complication. Twosample *t* test and Fisher exact test were used for continuous variables; Pearson χ^2 test and Mann–Whitney *U* test were used for categorical variables. A *p* value <0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

During the study period, a total of 363 consecutive patients underwent RP in our institute. Four patients were excluded because of rare histology (3 sarcoma and 1 basal cell carcinoma). Median age at surgery was 67 years; median preoperative PSA was 9.37 ng/mL; 280 patients (78%) received ORP, and 45 patients (12.5%) underwent LRP; RaLP was done in 34 patients (9.5%). Because of similar physiological change during operation and similar stage with regard to the learning curve, we combined LRP and RaLP as MIRP for further analysis. Other demographic data are shown in Table 2.

Patients receiving MIRP were older (p < 0.001), and, although operation time was longer in the MIRP group (p < 0.001), the amount of intraoperative blood loss (p < 0.001) and intraoperative blood transfusion rate (p < 0.001) were significantly lower than those in the ORP group. However, more lymph nodes could be obtained during ORP (p < 0.001), and there was a lower positive surgical margin rate (p < 0.001). Duration for the postoperative urethral catheterization was longer in the ORP group (p < 0.001), but duration for the retention of drainage catheter was shorter (p < 0.009). Postoperative follow-up was also shorter in the MIRP group (p < 0.001). There was no statistical difference between the two groups concerning other patient characteristics.

The detailed complications of RP are listed in Table 3. The overall early complication rate was 40.1%, whereas the major complication rate was 13.1% [including major surgical complications (12%) and major medical complications (1.1%)]. There was no surgical mortality in our series. Diarrhea under conservative treatment was the most common complication (13.6%), followed by minor anastomotic leakage (9.5%) and gout attack (4.2%). Compared to ORP, the MIRP group had higher rates of lymph leakage (6.3%, p = 0.015) and upper-extremity neuropathy (2.5%, p = 0.048).

Table 2 Demographic data of patients who underwent radical prostatectomy.

		All	ORP	MIRP	р
Patient numbers		359 (100.0)	280 (78.0)	79 (22.0)	
Age, y		66.2 ± 7.7	65.4 ± 7.7	69.1 ± 6.8	< 0.001*
BMI, kg/m ²		24.7 ± 2.8	24.6 ± 2.7	25.0 ± 3.2	0.259
Preoperative PSA, ng/dL		14.46 ± 19.44	15.07 ± 20.03	12.17 ± 16.96	0.252
Clinical stage	T1	130 (36.2)	97 (34.6)	33 (41.8)	0.431
	T2	186 (51.8)	146 (52.1)	40 (50.6)	
	T3	41 (11.4)	35 (12.5)	6 (7.6)	
	T4	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	
TRUS Gleason score	≤ 6	198 (55.2)	162 (57.9)	36 (45.6)	0.147
	7	106 (29.5)	75 (26.8)	31 (39.2)	
	≥ 8	53 (14.8)	41 (14.6)	12 (15.2)	
Neoadjuvant hormone therapy		53 (14.8)	42 (15.0)	11 (13.9)	1
Prior abdominal operation		43 (12.0)	36 (12.9)	7 (8.9)	0.85
Charlson Comorbidity Index score	0	196 (54.6)	159 (56.8)	37 (46.8)	0.291
	1	93 (25.9)	66 (23.6)	27 (34.2)	
	2	44 (12.3)	35 (12.5)	9 (11.4)	
	3	15 (4.2)	10 (3.6)	5 (6.3)	
	4	9 (2.5)	8 (2.9)	1 (1.3)	
	5	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	
Operative ASA score	1	20 (6.1)	18 (7.1)	2 (2.7)	0.345
*	2	237 (72.3)	184 (72.2)	53 (72.6)	
	3	71 (21.6)	53 (20.8)	18 (24.7)	
Operation time, min		378.8 ± 135.9	337.1 ± 102.7	520.9 ± 130.1	< 0.001*
EBL, mL		1495.7 ± 1130.5	1763.9 ± 1075.0	536.5 ± 740.7	< 0.001*
Intraoperative BT		243 (68.2)	236 (84.3)	9 (11.4)	< 0.001*
Prostate size, g		39.44 ± 22.95	40.27 ± 21.80	36.31 ± 26.99	0.188
LN dissection numbers		7.3 ± 5.2	8.1 ± 5.3	4.6 ± 3.3	< 0.001*
Pathological stage	0	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	0.899
	1	3 (0.8)	2 (0.7)	1 (1.3)	
	2	181 (50.4)	141 (50.4)	40 (50.6)	
	3	172 (47.9)	134 (47.9)	38 (48.1)	
	4	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	
Operative Gleason score	≤ 6	98 (27.3)	80 (28.6)	18 (22.8)	0.243
•	7	215 (59.9)	166 (59.3)	49 (62.0)	
	≥ 8	46 (12.8)	34 (12.1)	12 (15.2)	
Positive surgical margin		89 (24.8)	58 (20.7)	31 (39.2)	0.001*
SV invasion		50 (13.9)	43 (15.4)	7 (8.9)	0.197
Postoperative					
Hospitalization, d		9.3 ± 4.8	9.3 ± 5.0	9.3 ± 3.8	0.887
Foley catheterization, d		14.7 ± 11.9	16.4 ± 12.7	8.4 ± 4.2	< 0.001*
Drain retention, d		6.4 ± 3.7	6.1 ± 3.7	7.2 ± 3.3	0.009*
Adjuvant hormone therapy		112 (31.2)	93 (33.2)	19 (24.1)	0.711
Adjuvant radiotherapy		15 (4.2)	9 (3.2)	6 (7.6)	0.108
Follow-up, mo		38.2 ± 26.0	41.6 ± 26.5	26.2 ± 20.5	< 0.001*

Data are presented as n (%) or mean \pm SD.

*p < 0.05.

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology; BMI = body mass index; BT = blood transfusion; EBL = estimated blood loss; LN = lymph nodes; MIRP = minimally invasive radical prostatectomy; ORP = open radical prostatectomy; PSA = prostate specific antigen; SV = seminal vesicle; TRUS = transrectal ultrasound.

On univariate analysis, body mass index (BMI, p = 0.002), operation time (p = 0.008), estimated blood loss (p = 0.023), duration of urethral catheterization (p < 0.001) and drainage catheter retention (p < 0.001), hospital stay (p < 0.001), use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy (p = 0.019), and adjuvant hormone therapy (p = 0.035) were associated with the development of complications. BMI > 25 kg/m² [odds ratio (OR) 2.03, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.31–3.15, p = 0.002] and neoadjuvant hormone therapy (OR 1.97, 95% CI 1.07–3.62, p = 0.029) were significant risk factors for overall complications on multivariate analysis. As for major complications, BMI (p = 0.008), duration of urethral catheterization (p < 0.001) and drainage catheter (p = 0.007), hospital stay (p < 0.001), presence of comorbidities of type 2 diabetes (p = 0.037) and severe renal disease (p = 0.032), and use of adjuvant hormone therapy (p = 0.013) were predictive in univariate analysis, but only BMI > 25 kg/m² (OR 2.48, 95% CI 1.28–4.81, p = 0.007) and type 2 diabetes (OR 2.54, 95% CI 1.16–5.55, p = 0.019) reached statistical significance in multivariate analysis (Table 4). As for minor

Table 3 Complications of radical prostatectomy within 90 days.

	-	-	-		
	-	All (%)	ORP (%)	MIRP (%)	p
	grade				
All complications		144 (40.1)	110 (39.3)	34 (43 0)	0.624
Major complications	III + IV	. ,	41 (14.6)	. ,	0.386
Surgical complications	$\Pi + Iv$	108 (30.1)	. ,	24 (30.4)	0.234
Major	III + IV	. ,			0.536
Minor	I + II	65 (18.1)		18 (22.8)	0.504
Medical complications		55 (15.3)		14 (17.7)	0.314
Major	III + IV	4 (1.1)	4 (1.4)	0 (0)	0.580
Minor	I + II	51 (14.2)	37 (13.2)	14 (17.7)	0.069
Wound		31 (8.6)	20 (7.1)	11 (13.9)	0.070
Prolonged wound pain	Ι	4 (1.1)	3 (1.1)	1 (1.3)	1.000
Wound dehiscence	IIIa	8 (2.2)	8 (2.9)	0 (0)	0.208
Wound infection	Ι	5 (1.4)	3 (1.1)		0.308
Abscess formation	IIIa	4 (1.1)	2 (0.7)		0.215
Suture of drainage	IIIb	1(0.3)	1(0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
•	1110	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0(0)	1.000
catheter			2 (1 1)	5 (())	0.015*
Prolonged lymph	I	8 (2.2)	3 (1.1)	5 (6.3)	0.015*
secretion					
Urology		76 (21.2)	59 (21.1)	17 (21.5)	0.499
Urinary leakage	Ι	34 (9.5)	26 (9.3)	8 (10.1)	0.829
	IIIa	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
	IIIb	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Significant hematuria	Ι	6 (1.7)	5 (1.8)	1 (1.3)	1.000
8	Π	7 (1.9)	7 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.355
UTI/epididymitis	II	6 (1.7)	4 (1.4)	2 (2.5)	0.617
Acute urinary retention	I	8 (2.2)	5(1.8)	3 (3.8)	0.381
		. ,	. ,		
Meatal stenosis	IIIa	5 (1.4)	4 (1.4)	1 (1.3)	1.000
Anastomotic stenosis	П	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
	IIIa	13 (3.6)	11 (3.9)	2 (2.5)	0.741
Hydronephrosis	IIIa	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Distal ureteral injury	IIIb	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Dislodgement of Foley	IIIa	7 (1.9)	7 (2.5)	0 (0)	0.355
catheter					
Spontaneous clip voiding	T	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Stitches in urinary	IIIa	1(0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
bladder	111a	1 (0.5)	1 (0.4)	0(0)	1.000
		12 (2.2)	12 (1 2)	0 (0)	0.076
Hematology		12 (3.3)	12 (4.3)	0 (0)	0.076
Postoperative blood	П	10 (2.8)	10 (3.6)	0 (0)	0.126
transfusion					
Postoperative bleeding	IIIb	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
DIC	II	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Neuromuscular disorder		13 (3.6)	8 (2.9)	5 (6.4)	0.104
Delirium	II	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.3)	0.220
Gout attack	II	15 (4.2)	13 (4.6)	2 (2.5)	0.537
Upper-extremity	Ι	2 (0.6)	0 (0)	2 (2.5)	0.048*
neuropathy		2 (0.0)	0 (0)	2 (2.5)	0.010
Lower-extremity	Ι	4 (1 1)	2(11)	1(12)	1 000
•	1	4 (1.1)	3 (1.1)	1 (1.3)	1.000
neuropathy				0 (0)	1 000
Obturator nerve injury	IIIb	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Rhabdomyolysis	II	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Pressure sore	Ι	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (1.3)	0.392
	IIIa	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (1.3)	0.392
Compartment syndrome	IIIb	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (1.3)	0.392
Nephrology		5 (1.4)	3 (1.1)	2 (2.5)	0.304
Hyponatremia	Ι	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Acute renal insufficiency		4 (1.1)	2 (0.7)	2 (2.5)	0.212
•	1				
Gastroenterology		23 (6.4)	18 (6.4)	5 (6.4)	0.155
Injury to rectal serosa	I	1(0.3)	1(0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Bowel injury	IIIB	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.000
Ileus	II	11 (3.1)	7 (2.5)	4 (5.1)	0.268
Stress ulcer	II	1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1 (1.3)	0.220
Gastrointestinal bleeding	II	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (1.3)	0.392
	IIIa	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.000
Diarrhea	Ι	38 (10.6)	31 (11.1)	7 (8.9)	0.682
		()	. ()	()	

m 11 0	(.· 1)	
Table 5	(continued))

	Severity	All (%)	ORP (%)	MIRP (%) p
	grade				
	II	11 (3.1)	9 (3.2)	2 (2.5)	1.000
Elevated liver function	Ι	3 (0.8)	3 (1.1)	0 (0)	1.000
tests					
Acute cholecystitis	IIIa	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.000
Cardiology		8 (2.2)	7 (2.5)	1 (1.3)	1.000
Tachyarrhythmia	Ι	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.000
	II	2 (0.6)	1 (0.4)	1 (1.3)	0.392
Acute coronary syndrome	Π	2 (0.6)	2 (0.7)	0 (0)	1.000
Postoperative hypertension	Π	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Intraoperative hypotension	Π	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000
Dermatology		9 (2.5)	7 (2.5)	2 (2.5)	1.000
Allergic exanthema	II	8 (2.2)	6 (2.1)	2 (2.5)	0.690
Herpes zoster	II	1 (0.3)	1 (0.4)	0 (0)	1.000

*p < 0.05.

DIC = disseminated intravascular coagulopathy; MIRP = minimally invasive radical prostatectomy; ORP = open radical prostatectomy; UTI = urinary tract infection.

complications, no risk factors could be identified by univariate analysis in the present study.

We compare the complications of ORP and MIRP of our series to those of other Western standardized reports in Table $5^{9,11}$ and Table $6,^{6,7,9,11-13}$ respectively. Overall complication rate of ORP (39.3%) was comparable to that in other series, but there was a higher major complication rate in our study (14.6%). By contrast, our MIRP series had higher overall (43%) and major complication rates (7.6%) than did the pure RaLP series, but were comparable to those of pure LRP reports.

4. Discussion

Complications of surgery, which may vary in different countries, are key information for patients in making informed decisions. Well-documented complication reports have been published in Western countries, but a comprehensive report concerning RP is not yet available in Taiwan. Patients with prostate cancer in Taiwan might not be able to undergo RP by their own choice, which may potentially damage the patient-physician relationship. Moreover, communication and comparison among different complication reports could improve the understanding of specific surgeries and hence, prevent the development of complications. However, patient selection, tumor selection, and surgical experience, as well as assessment tools, definitions, and the time at which the evaluation is performed, will influence the presentation of postoperative outcome. The Martin criteria provides a standardized system to ensure consistency and clarity in reporting. A true comparison across different series or surgical approaches may be possible in such situations,³ but it may be expensive and time-consuming to analyze and present the complications fulfilling the Martin criteria. Lack of consensus on complication definitions is also an issue. This

Table 4
Univariate and multivariate analyses of risk factors for all and major complications of radical prostatectomy.

			All cor	nplicati	ons		Major c	ations	
		Univa	riate analysis		Multivariate analysis	Univa	riate analysis		Multivariate analysis
		No	Yes	р		No	Yes	р	
Age, y		65.9 ± 7.5	66.6 ± 8.0	0.447		66.0 ± 7.7	67.7 ± 7.7	0.161	
BMI >25 kg/m ²		78 (37.3)	75 (54.0)	0.003	<i>p</i> = 0.002 95% CI 1.305-3.148	125 (41.1)	28 (63.6)	0.005	<i>p</i> = 0.007 95% CI 1.275–4.807
Preoperative PSA, ng/mL		14.3 ± 20.9	14.7 ± 17.1	0.829		14.2 ± 19.9	16.0 ± 15.9		
TRUS Gleason score	≤ 6	122 (56.7)	76 (53.5)	0.1		172 (55.3)	26 (56.5)	0.093	
	7	68 (31.6)	38 (26.8)			97 (31.2)	9 (19.6)		
	≥ 8	25 (11.6)	28 (19.7)			42 (13.5)	11 (23.9)		
сТ	cT1	75 (34.9)	55 (38.2)	0.573		114 (36.5)	16 (34.0)	0.44	
	cT2	117 (54.4)	69 (47.9)			162 (51.9)	24 (51.1)		
	cT3	22 (10.2)	19 (13.2)			35 (11.2)	6 (12.8)		
	cT4	1 (0.5)	1 (0.7)			1 (0.3)	1 (2.1)		
Risk groups	Low	55 (25.6)	43 (29.9)	0.255		87 (27.9)	11 (23.4)	0.802	
	Intermediate	136 (63.3)	79 (54.9)			185 (59.35)	30 (63.8)		
	High	24 (11.2)	22 (15.3)			40 (12.8)	6 (12.8)		
ASA score	1	14 (7.2)	6 (4.5)	0.37		17 (6.0)	3 (7.0)	0.842	
	2	135 (69.6)	102 (76.1)			207 (72.6)	30 (69.8)		
	3	45 (23.2)	26 (19.4)			61 (21.4)	10 (23.3)		
Charlson Comorbidity Index	0	117 (54.4)	79 (54.9)	0.823		174 (55.8)	22 (46.8)	0.512	
	1	54 (25.1)	39 (27.1)			79 (25.3)	14 (29.8)		
	2	44 (20.5)	26 (18.1)			59 (18.9)	11 (23.4)		
Myocardial infarction		2 (0.9)	1 (0.7)	1		2 (0.6)	1 (2.1)	0.344	
Heart failure		1 (0.5)	2 (1.4)	0.567		3 (1.0)	0 (0)	1	
Coronary artery disease		24 (11.2)	17 (11.8)	0.851		35 (11.2)	6 (12.8)	0.756	
Cerebrovascular accident		7 (3.3)	5 (3.5)	1		11 (3.5)	1 (2.1)	1	
Peripheral arterial occlusion of	disease	5 (2.3)	2 (1.4)	0.707		5 (1.6)	2 (4.3)	0.23	
Chronic obstructive pulmonar		6 (2.8)	4 (2.8)	1		8 (2.6)	2 (4.3)	0.626	
Gastric ulcer	5	29 (13.5)	16 (11.1)	0.505		40 (12.8)	5 (10.6)	0.674	
Autoimmune disease		5 (2.3)	0 (0)	0.086		5 (1.6)	0 (0)	1	
Mild liver disease		13 (6.0)	7 (4.9)	0.631		18 (5.8)	2 (4.3)	1	
Type 2 diabetes		26 (12.1)	23 (16)	0.294		38 (12.2)	11 (23.4)	0.037	p = 0.019
••							. ,		95% CI 1.162-5.549
Lymphoma		1 (0.5)	1 (0.7)	1		1 (0.3)	1 (2.1)	0.245	
Severe renal disease		2 (0.9)	4 (2.8)	0.224		3 (1.0)	3 (6.4)	0.032	<i>p</i> = 0.085 95% CI 0.799–33.507
Solid tumor		17 (7.9)	12 (8.3)	0.884		25 (8.0)	4 (8.5)	0.781	
Severe liver disease		1 (0.5)	0 (0)	1		1 (0.3)	0 (0)	1	
Preoperative antiandrogen usa	age	24 (11.2)	29 (20.1)	0.023	<i>p</i> = 0.029 95% CI 1.073-3.623	43 (13.8)	10 (21.3)	0.177	
Preoperative TURP		16 (7.4)	12 (8.3)	0.758		25 (8.0)	3 (6.4)	1	
Prior other abdominal surgery	y	29 (13.5)	18 (12.5)	0.786		39 (12.5)	8 (17.0)	0.392	
Operation route	ORP	170 (79.1)	110 (76.4)	0.604		239 (76.6)	41 (87.2)	0.101	
	MIRP	45 (20.9)	34 (23.6)			73 (23.4)	6 (12.8)		
Lymph node dissection numb		7.6 ± 2.8	6.9 ± 5.0	0.717		7.4 ± 5.2	7.0 ± 5.2	0.616	
Intraoperative blood transfusi		145 (67.4)	100 (69.4)	0.69		212 (67.9)	33 (70.2)	0.765	
Pathological stage ≥ 3		106 (49.3)	67 (46.5)	0.606		152 (48.7)	21 (44.7)	0.606	
Pathological nodal status	N0	209 (97.2)	136 (94.4)	0.149		300 (96.2)	45 (95.7)	0.724	
-	N1	5 (2.3)	8 (5.6)			11 (3.5)	2 (4,3)		
	N2	1 (0.5)	0 (0)			1 (0.3)	0 (0)		
Positive surgical margin		52 (24.2)	37 (25.7)	0.746		78 (25.0)	11 (23.4)	0.813	
Seminal vesicle invasion		26 (12.1)	24 (16.7)	0.22		42 (13.5)	8 (17.0)	0.511	

Data are presented as n (%) or mean \pm SD.

ASA = American Society of Anesthesiology; BMI = body mass index; CI = confidence interval; MIRP = minimally-invasive radical prostatectomy; ORP = open radical prostatectomy; PSA = prostate specific antigen; TRUS = transrectal ultrasound; TURP = transurethral resection of the prostate.

awaits development by professional societies focused on particular diseases and surgical approaches. As the severity grading system depends on the intervention to manage a complication, clinically significant functional complications may be missed. Moreover, interobserver variability due to the subjective nature of the grading system may exist.¹⁴ The Martin criteria has become the mainstay in Europe and in the USA, but to our knowledge, the present study is the first standardized report of complications of RP in an Asian country.

Table 5 Comparison of complications of open radical prostatectomy with other published series.

		Rabbani ⁹	Charlsson ¹¹	VGH-TPE
Patient numbers		3458	485	280
Age, y		59.4	63	66
BMI, kg/m ²		27.7		24.5
PSA		5.6	7.4	9.32
Clinical stage	T1	59.5	51.8	34.6
	T2	36.9	37.8	52.1
	T3	3.5	10.4	12.5
TRUS Gleason score	≤ 6	58.7		57.9
	7	32.6		26.8
	≥ 8	8.8		14.6
Overall complications		39.6	38.5	39.3
All major complications	3	16.3	6.8	14.6
Surgical complications		28	36.3	30
Major surgical complica	ations	14.7	5.4	13.2
Medical complications		11.6	2.2	14.6
Major medical complica	ations	1.6	1.4	1.4

Data are presented as %, unless otherwise indicated.

BMI = body mass index; PSA = prostate specific antigen; TRUS = transrectal ultrasound; VGH-TPE = Veterans General Hospital, Taipei.

Both overall and major complication rates of the three surgical treatment approaches in our institute were higher, especially that for MIRP. A smaller case number and learning curve may influence the development of complications.^{15,16} A higher risk for disease progression, presenting as higher serum PSA, higher clinical stage, and higher biopsy Gleason score, as well as older age in our patient group compared to other series, may also help explain the higher complication rates.

Being overweight (BMI > 25 kg/m²), the presence of comorbidity of diabetes mellitus, and use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy were predictors of overall and major complications in our patients. This finding is similar to the findings from the Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center; Rabbani et al⁹ suggested that greater BMI, Charlson

comorbidities score (especially diabetes mellitus), neoadjuvant hormone therapy, ethnicity, larger prostate size, higher biopsy Gleason score, ORP, and greater estimated blood loss, are predictors for the development of complications. Patients with diabetes mellitus are more at risk of poor wound healing, respiratory infection, myocardial infarction, and increased length of hospital stay when undergoing cardiac and noncardiac surgeries.¹⁷ However, in other series, obese patients had prolonged operation time and increased estimated blood loss, especially during the learning curve, but failed to show association with higher complication rates.¹⁸⁻²¹ Similarly, because of increased surgical difficulty, prolonged operation time and increased estimated blood loss were also observed in patients who received neoadjuvant hormone therapy, but there was no significant difference when it came to complication rates.²² The current consensus is that no neoadjuvant hormonal therapy should be given because it has no obvious benefit in disease control whereas it may adversely affect surgical outcome;^{23,24} furthermore, in our experience, it may confer a higher surgical complication rate of RP.

There were several limitations of the present study. First, this was a retrospective analysis, and hence minor complications might not be described as precisely as in prospective studies. Second, the study was based on a single center, which may not be representative of the whole nation's experience. Third, all the surgeries were not done by a single urologist, and differences in surgical skill and experience may also have confounded the analysis of complications.

In conclusion, by standardized report, the early complication rates of RP in our patients were slightly higher compared to Western series. Higher patient age and higher risk for disease progression may be important to this difference. BMI > 25 kg/m², diabetes mellitus, and use of neoadjuvant hormone therapy were predictors of development of early complications in our series.

Table 6

Comparison of complications of minimally invasive radical prostatectomy with other published series.

Author		Rabbani et al ⁹	Hruza et al ⁷	Carlsson et al ¹¹	Novara et al ¹²	Coelho et al ¹³	Agarwal et al ⁶	VGH-TPE
Route		LRP	LRP	RALP	RALP	RALP	RALP	MIRP
Patient numbers		1134	2200	1253	415	2500	3317	79
Age, y		59.7	63.8	62	62.3	61	60	70
BMI, kg/m ²		27.6	26.8		26.6	28	27	25.1
PSA, ng/mL		5.3	7.6	6.3	6.4	4.9	5	9.42
Clinical stage	T1	71.3		61.5			74	41.8
	T2	26.1		34.7			24	50.6
	T3	2.6		3.8			2	8
TRUS Gleason score	≤ 6	57.3	42.6		74	64	50	45.6
	7	36.9	48.1		17.3	28.5	39.5	39.2
	≥ 8	5.8	9.3		4.5	7.5	10.1	15.2
Overall complications		57.1	28.5	8.8	21.6	5.6	9.5	43
All major complications	3	13	6.8	3.5	3.2	1.1	2.5	7.6
Surgical complications		38.5	24.3	8.6		4.1	7.3	30.4
Major surgical complica	ations	10.7	6	3.3		0.6	2.4	7.6
Medical complications		18.6	4.3	0.2		1.5	2.3	17.8
Major medical complica	ations	2.3	0.8	0.2		0.4	0.2	0

Data are presented as %, unless otherwise indicated.

BMI = body mass index; LRP = laparoscopic radical prostatectomy; MIRP = minimally invasive radical prostatectomy; PSA = prostate specific antigen; RaLP = robot-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy; TRUS = transrectal ultrasound; VGH-TPE = Veterans General Hospital, Taipei.

References

- Wu TT, Huang JK. Annual changes in the clinical features of prostatic adenocarcinoma in Taiwan. BJU Int 2001;87:57–60.
- Heidenreich A, Bastian PJ, Bellmunt J, Bolla M, Joniau S, Mason MD, et al. *European Association of Urology guidelines on prostate cancer*. Arnhem, The Netherlands: EAU Guidelines Office; 2012.
- Martin 2nd RC, Brennan MF, Jaques DP. Quality of complication reporting in the surgical literature. *Ann Surg* 2002;235:803–13.
- Mohler J, Bahnson RR, Boston B, Busby JE, D'Amico A, Eastham JA, et al. NCCN glinical practice guidelines in oncology on prostate cancer. J Natl Compr Canc Netw 2010;8:162–200.
- Donat SM. Standards for surgical complication reporting in urologic oncology: time for a change. Urology 2007;69:221-5.
- Agarwal PK, Sammon J, Bhandari A, Dabaja A, Diaz M, Dusik-Fenton S, et al. Safety profile of robot-assisted radical prostatectomy: a standardized report of complications in 3317 patients. *Eur Urol* 2011;**59**:684–98.
- Hruza M, Weiss HO, Pini G, Goezen AS, Schulze M, Teber D, et al. Complications in 2200 consecutive laparoscopic radical prostatectomies: standardised evaluation and analysis of learning curves. *Eur Urol* 2010;58:733–41.
- Löppenberg B, Noldus J, Holz A, Palisaar RJ. Reporting complications after open radical retropubic prostatectomy using the Martin criteria. J Urol 2010;184:944-8.
- Rabbani F, Yunis LH, Pinochet R, Nogueira L, Vora KC, Eastham JA, et al. Comprehensive standardized report of complications of retropubic and laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2010;**57**:371–86.
- Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien PA. Classification of surgical complications: a new proposal with evaluation in a cohort of 6336 patients and results of a survey. *Ann Surg* 2004;**240**:205–13.
- Carlsson S, Nilsson AE, Schumacher MC, Jonsson MN, Volz DS, Steineck G, et al. Surgery-related complications in 1253 robot-assisted and 485 open retropubic radical prostatectomies at the Karolinska University Hospital, Sweden. Urology 2010;75:1092–7.
- Novara G, Ficarra V, D'Elia C, Secco S, Cavalleri S, Artibani W. Prospective evaluation with standardised criteria for postoperative complications after robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *Eur Urol* 2010;**57**:363–70.
- Coelho RF, Palmer KJ, Rocco B, Moniz RR, Chauhan S, Orvieto MA, et al. Early complication rates in a single-surgeon series of 2500 robotic-

assisted radical prostatectomies: report applying a standardized grading system. *Eur Urol* 2010;**57**:945–52.

- Murphy DG, Basto M. No excuse not to report complications using standardized methodology. Can Urol Assoc J 2013;7:E445.
- Ou YC, Yang CR, Wang J, Yang CK, Cheng CL, Patel VR, et al. The learning curve for reducing complications of robotic-assisted laparoscopic radical prostatectomy by a single surgeon. *BJU Int* 2011;108:420–5.
- Vesey SG, McCabe JE, Hounsome L, Fowler S. UK radical prostatectomy outcomes and surgeon case volume: based on an analysis of the British Association of Urological Surgeons Complex Operations Database. *BJU Int* 2012;109:346–54.
- Chuah LL, Papamargaritis D, Pillai D, Krishnamoorthy A, le Roux CW. Morbidity and mortality of diabetes with surgery. *Nutr Hosp* 2013;28(Suppl 2):47–52.
- Lindner U, Lawrentschuk N, Abouassaly R, Fleshner NE, Trachtenberg J. Radical prostatectomy in obese patients: improved surgical outcomes in recent years. *Int J Urol* 2010;17:727–32.
- Mikhail AA, Stockton BR, Orvieto MA, Chien GW, Gong EM, Zorn KC, et al. Robotic-assisted laparoscopic prostatectomy in overweight and obese patients. *Urology* 2006;67:774–9.
- Eden CG, Chang CM, Gianduzzo T, Moon DA. The impact of obesity on laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. *BJU Int* 2006;98:1279–82.
- Chalasani V, Martinez CH, Lim D, Bareeq RA, Wignall GR, Stitt L, et al. Impact of body mass index on perioperative outcomes during the learning curve for robot-assisted radical prostatectomy. *Can Urol Assoc J* 2010;4:250–4.
- Pu XY, Wang XH, Wu YL, Wang HP. Comparative study of the impact of 3- versus 8-month neoadjuvant hormonal therapy on outcome of laparoscopic radical prostatectomy. J Cancer Res Clin Oncol 2007;133:555–62.
- 23. Witjes WP, Schulman CC, Debruyne FM. Preliminary results of a prospective randomized study comparing radical prostatectomy versus radical prostatectomy associated with neoadjuvant hormonal combination therapy in T2-3 N0 M0 prostatic carcinoma. The European Study Group on Neoadjuvant Treatment of Prostate Cancer. Urology 1997;49:65–9.
- 24. Schulman CC, Debruyne FM, Forster G, Selvaggi FP, Zlotta AR, Witjes WP. 4-Year follow-up results of a European prospective randomized study on neoadjuvant hormonal therapy prior to radical prostatectomy in T2-3N0M0 prostate cancer. European Study Group on Neoadjuvant Treatment of Prostate Cancer. Eur Urol 2000;**38**:706–13.