

0.40), and 0.72 (0.50-0.90), respectively, but were stable over time (re-test). For data available in 2009, incidence of PML (cases per 100,000 patients) over two years was estimated at 0.22 (95% CI 0.00078–3.13) for patients initiated on efalizumab and 1.21 (0.0052–14.81) for patients remaining on treatment. ORs versus placebo were 1.7 (1.4–2.2) for AEs and 1.5 (0.6–5.1) for SAEs. Combination of normalized weights and scores yielded a safety estimate of efalizumab versus placebo in 2009 of -0.65 (stdev 0.28) (on a scale of -1 to +1). The same approach was applied to compare efalizumab with three active comparators. **CONCLUSIONS:** The method supports comparative safety assessment and captures uncertainty in judgments and in data, allowing the inclusion of rare, disparate and newly emergent safety data in quantitative assessments.

## PRM17

## LONGITUDINAL AND CROSS SECTIONAL ASSESSMENTS OF HEALTH UTILITY IN ADULTS WITH HIV/AIDS: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS

Tran B

Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

**OBJECTIVES:** Utility estimates are important health outcomes for economic evaluation of care and treatment interventions for patients with HIV/AIDS. We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of utility measurements to examine the performance of preference-based instruments, estimate health utility of patients with HIV/AIDS by disease stages, and investigate changes in their health utility over the course of antiretroviral treatment. **METHODS:** We searched PubMed/Medline, Cochrane Database of Systematic Review, NHS Economic Evaluation Database and Web of Science for English-language peer-reviewed papers published during 2000–2013. We selected 49 studies that used 3 direct and 6 indirect preference based instruments to make a total of 218 utility measurements. Random effect models with robust estimation of standard errors and multivariate fractional polynomial regression were used to obtain the pooled estimates of utility and model their trends. **RESULTS:** Reliability of direct-preference measures tended to be lower than other types of measures. Utility elicited by two of the indirect preference measures - SF-6D (0.171) and EQ-5D (0.114), and that of Time-Trade off (TTO) (0.151) was significantly different than utility elicited by Standard Gamble (SG). Compared to asymptomatic HIV patients, symptomatic and AIDS patients reported a decrement of 0.025 ( $p=0.40$ ) and 0.176 ( $p=0.001$ ) in utility scores, adjusting for method of assessment. In longitudinal studies, the pooled health utility of HIV/AIDS patients significantly decreased in the first 3 months of treatment, and rapidly increased afterwards. Magnitude of change varied depending on the method of assessment and length of antiretroviral treatment. **CONCLUSIONS:** The study provides an accumulation of evidence on measurement properties of health utility estimates that can help inform the selection of instruments for future studies. The pooled estimates of health utilities and their trends are useful in economic evaluation and policy modelling of HIV/AIDS treatment strategies.

## PRM18

## IMPROVING PARAMETER ESTIMATION FOR A DECISION-ANALYTIC MARKOV MODEL TO EVALUATE THE USE OF NOVEL BIOMARKER LED STRATEGIES FOR PREVENTION OF CARDIOVASCULAR DISEASE

Lamrock F<sup>1</sup>, Cairns K<sup>1</sup>, Kee F<sup>1</sup>, Conrads-Frank A<sup>2</sup>, Schnell-Inderst P<sup>2</sup>, Salomaa V<sup>3</sup>, Blankenberg S<sup>4</sup>, Zeller T<sup>4</sup>, Saarela O<sup>5</sup>, Kuulasmaa K<sup>3</sup>, Kühne F<sup>2</sup>, Siebert U<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK, <sup>2</sup>UMIT - University for Health Sciences, Medical Informatics and Technology, Hall i.T., Austria, <sup>3</sup>THL - National Institute for Health and Welfare, Helsinki, Finland, <sup>4</sup>University Health Center Hamburg, Hamburg, Germany, <sup>5</sup>McGill University, Montreal, QC, Canada

**OBJECTIVES:** This study sought to compare and improve the methods for estimating input parameters to populate a decision-analytic Markov model for use in the health economic assessment of novel biomarkers for primary prevention of Cardiovascular Disease. **METHODS:** An initial five-state Markov model is built using the FINRISK97 cohort and follow-up for coronary and stroke events. Two different approaches to estimate the transition rates between health states are used. The first approach involves a separate analysis for each of the transitions between different health states each using a separate Cox Proportional Hazards model (censoring any movements outside the health states of interest). In the second approach, all the transitions are examined in one process using the R package 'msm' to maximize the overall likelihood expression. **RESULTS:** The first approach requires considerable data manipulation to account for the censoring in each separate analysis; however convergence of results is less problematic. While msm considers the overall likelihood expression, it has difficulty fitting a five-state model due to the number of parameters which need estimated. Two recommendations from this research for using msm include building the model iteratively, adding covariates one step at a time. For example to build the model with age plus one covariate, the baseline transition matrix and regression coefficients from the age only model are used as initial guesses. The second recommendation would be to use the regression coefficients obtained in the first approach for initial "guesses" of the coefficients in the second approach. **CONCLUSIONS:** Parameter estimation for the Markov process within decision-analytic Markov models can be obtained from separate sources, but we recommend fitting Markov models to one source of longitudinal data. The output for the parameter estimates varied between the approaches used, and while msm is a useful tool for parameter estimation, it requires reasonable initial approximations.

## PRM19

## DO DIFFERENT MODELING TECHNIQUES CHANGE RANKINGS OF HOSPITAL PERFORMANCE? : MULTILEVEL MODELING VS. STANDARD LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Yucel A, Ferries EA, Sharma M, Johnson M, Aparasu R  
University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** There are conflicting results about whether using multilevel modeling (MLM) produces different rankings in hospital performance compared to using traditional statistical techniques. We would like to compare hospital

rankings obtained from standard logistic regression (LR) relative to MLM modeling of risk-adjusted hospital mortality rates for stroke among hospitals in the south. **METHODS:** The 2012 U.S. Nationwide Inpatient Sample (NIS) was used to identify patients with a primary diagnosis for ischemic stroke, using ICD-9 diagnosis criteria. Stepwise backward selection technique with logistic regression and multilevel modeling was performed to examine the variation among hospitals in the south by adjusting for patient-level and hospital-level risk factors. The analysis included hospitals with more than 30 stroke cases in the southern region of the U.S. The hospital IDs have been masked in order to comply with the NIS data user agreement. **RESULTS:** There were 19,071 stroke hospitalizations in 320 hospitals. The same rankings were observed for top performers in hospitals' observed/expected ratios (O/E) by LR and MLM. However, high O/E outlier status differed between the two statistical methods, yielding different rankings for worst performance. Hospital K, L, M were ranked as the worst 3 performers in LR whereas K, N, L were ranked the same in MLM. O/E's of Hospital K were 4.49 (CI=1.62-9.84) and 3.942 (CI=1.42-8.64) in LR and MLM, respectively. O/E's of Hospital L were 4.24 (CI=1.90-8.13) and 3.33 (CI=0.70-9.54) in LR and MLM, respectively. LR identified Hospital M's O/E ratio as 3.58 (1.47-7.26). MLM identified Hospital N's O/E as 3.33 (CI=0.70-9.54). **CONCLUSIONS:** Although both logistic regression and multilevel modeling produced similar rankings for top performers, the MLM approach was more conservative in its O/E ratio estimates which were shrunken towards the overall mean. The MLM method is recommended to better statistically adjust and avoid false positive identification of outliers.

## PRM20

## COMPARING THE PREDICTIVE PERFORMANCE OF TWO VARIANTS OF THE ELIXHAUSER COMORBIDITY MEASURES FOR ALL-CAUSE IN-HOSPITAL MORTALITY IN A LARGE MULTI-PAYER U.S. ADMINISTRATIVE DATABASE

Fortin Y<sup>1</sup>, Crispo J<sup>1</sup>, Emons MF<sup>2</sup>, Mattison DR<sup>3</sup>, Krewski D<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada, <sup>2</sup>Cerner Corporation, Culver City, CA, USA, <sup>3</sup>Risk Sciences International, Ottawa, ON, Canada

**OBJECTIVES:** Tools used to address confounding, such as measures of patient comorbidity, should preferably be validated prior to conducting health services and outcomes research using novel sources of administrative health data. Our objective was to validate and compare the predictive performance of two variants of the Elixhauser comorbidity measures for predicting all-cause, in-hospital mortality using Cerner Health Facts®, a large nationwide U.S. multi-payer electronic health record database. **METHODS:** Quan et al. and the AHRQ version 3.7 adaptations of the Elixhauser comorbidity measures were selected to estimate the comorbidity burden of Health Facts® inpatients, outpatients, and emergency care recipients aged 18 to 89 years from Jan. 2000 to Dec. 2012. Diagnoses identified one and two years preceding an index encounter were assessed in a group of unique patients. C-statistics obtained from forward stepwise multiple logistic regression fitted models and ROC curve differences were derived to compare the predictive performance of each variant/lookback period combination. **RESULTS:** Of 8,128,713 patients with a mean age of 51.4 years and a male composition of 41.6%, 76,235 (0.9%) were deceased at 1-year. Age, sex and all comorbidities were significantly correlated with mortality in the two Elixhauser variants irrespective of lookback period. Excellent predictive performance (c-statistic) was found for the Quan (c1yr=0.874, c2yrs=0.873) and AHRQ (c1yr=0.871, c2yrs=0.870) variants and both exceeded the baseline model (c=0.803,  $P<.0001$ ) limited to age and sex. The Quan variant performed slightly better than the AHRQ variant in both lookback periods. However, increasing the lookback period from 1 to 2 years did not improve predictive performance. **CONCLUSIONS:** Variants of the Elixhauser comorbidity measures were validated in Cerner Health Facts® and demonstrated excellent predictive performance for all-cause in-hospital mortality at one year. These results support the utility of Health Facts® as a novel and attractive source of data for administrative database research.

## PRM21

## STATISTICAL IDENTIFICATION OF PATIENT SELECTION BIAS IN RETROSPECTIVE CHART REVIEWS

Signorovitch JE<sup>1</sup>, Reichmann WM<sup>1</sup>, Li N<sup>1</sup>, Liu Z<sup>2</sup>, Hao Y<sup>2</sup>, Perez JR<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Analysis Group, Inc., Boston, MA, USA, <sup>2</sup>Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, East Hanover, NJ, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** Retrospective chart reviews are often used to generate real-world evidence of patient outcomes. Physicians participating in these studies may be asked to randomly select patient charts for inclusion among all charts meeting eligibility criteria. Random selection is important for obtaining representative samples and unbiased estimates of patient outcomes. This study examined a statistical method for detecting non-random selection of patient charts with an application to real data. **METHODS:** Example data were drawn from a recent retrospective oncology chart review study that estimated overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) following the start of treatment. In the study, participating physicians were asked to provide a random sample of their eligible patients. Latent class analysis (LCA) was used to test whether the distribution of PFS and OS was consistent with random selection for all charts (a one class model) versus a mixture in which some physicians selected random charts and others selected non-random, convenient charts (models with > 1 class). The best fitting model was identified using information criteria. Physician characteristics, PFS and OS were compared across latent classes. **RESULTS:** In overall sample of charts, median durations of OS and PFS were significantly longer than published benchmarks drawn from randomized trials. LCA identified evidence for two classes of physicians. One class was consistent with biased selection for recently seen patients who were still alive at the time of chart review, resulting in bias towards longer OS and PFS. The other class was consistent with random selection, and exhibited OS and PFS that were significantly closer to published benchmarks. **CONCLUSIONS:** In this example using data from a retrospective oncology chart review, LCA was helpful in identifying physicians who may have selected convenient but non-random patient charts. LCA warrants

further investigation as a way to detect, characterize, and address bias in retrospective samples.

#### PRM22

##### METASTATIC-FREE SURVIVAL AND OVERALL SURVIVAL IN PROSTATE CANCER

Li T<sup>1</sup>, Thompson M<sup>2</sup>, Tran D<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Janssen Global Services, Raritan, NJ, USA, <sup>2</sup>Cornerstone Research Group, Burlington, ON, Canada

**OBJECTIVES:** In clinical trials of early-stage prostate cancer, demonstration of an overall survival (OS) benefit is challenging because of prolonged patient survival. While the development of metastasis is a major milestone in the disease, payers are interested in understanding the clinical relevance of metastatic-free survival (MFS) as a surrogate endpoint and its relationship with long-term outcomes, in particular, OS. The objective of the current study was to identify empirical evidence evaluating MFS in patients with prostate cancer. **METHODS:** A structured literature review was conducted in PubMed (1999–2014) to identify clinical trials in prostate cancer using MFS as a primary endpoint, and clinical and observational studies that evaluated the association between MFS and OS. **RESULTS:** Three published clinical trials used MFS as a primary endpoint. The studies employed varying definitions for MFS (e.g., bone metastasis only or bone and soft tissue metastasis). In one long-term study comparing adjuvant radiotherapy to usual care, both MFS and OS outcomes were significantly improved with radiotherapy, suggesting a relationship between these two endpoints. Four additional studies examined the association between MFS and OS. One study reported that distant metastasis at three years met the Prentice criteria for surrogacy of prostate cancer-specific survival at 10 years. A second study reported that MFS was one of four independent prognostic variables for OS in prostate cancer. The remaining two studies demonstrated that time to metastasis was significantly associated with prostate cancer-specific mortality. **CONCLUSIONS:** MFS has been used as the primary endpoint in several prostate cancer studies, providing support for the clinical relevance of this outcome. Current evidence from the literature suggests an association between MFS and OS, however additional research is needed to further investigate this relationship.

#### RESEARCH ON METHODS – Cost Methods

#### PRM23

##### CONTRASTING COST-EFFECTIVENESS RESULTS DERIVED FROM CONTEMPORARY SETS OF ALTERNATIVE RISK EQUATIONS IN TYPE 2 DIABETES

Foos V<sup>1</sup>, Lamotte M<sup>2</sup>, McEwan P<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IMS Health, Basel, Switzerland, <sup>2</sup>IMS Health Consulting, Brussels, Belgium, <sup>3</sup>Health Economics and Outcomes Research Ltd, Monmouth, UK

**OBJECTIVES:** The IMS CORE Diabetes Model (CDM) is a widely published and validated decision support tool. The model uses UKPDS68 and UKPDS82 risk equations (REs) to predict events and has been updated to include REs from the Swedish-National-Diabetes-Registry (S-NDR) and the ADVANCE-Risk-Engine (A-RE). The objective of this study was to compare and contrast cardiovascular (CV) incidence and cost-effectiveness (CE) across these four REs. **METHODS:** Lifetime analyses comparing the CE of metformin + sulphonylurea (M+S) versus metformin + DPP-4 (M+D) was undertaken using the CDM. Basal insulin rescue therapy (BI) was applied to both arms at HbA1c threshold levels of 7.5%. Efficacy data for dual therapy was sourced from a published mixed treatment comparison; HbA1c and BMI change at one-year of -0.8% and 0.199kg/m<sup>2</sup> (M+D); -0.79% and 0.707kg/m<sup>2</sup> (M+S) and -0.82 and 0.545 kg/m<sup>2</sup> (BI), respectively, were applied. Hypoglycemia rates were taken from the same systematic review. US 2012 costs were used and discounting was applied at 3.0%. **RESULTS:** In the base analysis (UKPDS68) predicted CV incidence for myocardial infarction, stroke, ischemic heart disease and heart failure was 31.42%, 15.59%, 12.85% and 21.01%, respectively, for patients treated with M+D and 31.39%, 15.23%, 12.51% and 21.26% for patients treated with M+S. This compared to 26.72%, 14.31%, 17.96% and 12.74% (M+D) and 26.02%, 13.94%, 17.91% and 13.43% (M+S) using UKPDS82 REs; 30.19%, 52.93%, 6.42% and 6.22% (M+D) and 29.7%, 53.98%, 6.23% and 6.5% (M+S) using S-NDR REs and 42.62%, 15.05%, 11.98% and 19.56% (M+D) and 42.17%, 15.1%, 11.97% and 20.46% (M+S) using A-RE REs. Incremental cost per quality adjusted life years were estimated at \$78,537 (UKPDS68); \$77,594 (UKPDS82); \$70,054 (S-NDR) and \$74,783 (A-RE). **CONCLUSIONS:** There was a noteworthy difference in predicted CV incidence across the four equations; however, CE results were relatively stable. Consequently, choice of RE appears unlikely to significantly impact CE.

#### PRM24

##### COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS OF WHOLE BODY BONE SCINTIGRAPHY IN THE PRE-TRANSPLANT ASSESSMENT OF ADULT PATIENTS, BEARERS OF HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA, IN A LIVER TRANSPLANT WAITING LIST, FROM DEAD DONORS, IN A REFERENCE HOSPITAL IN THE SOUTH OF BRAZIL

Balbinotto G<sup>1</sup>, Brandão A<sup>2</sup>, Rodriguez S<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>UFCSA, Porto Alegre, Brazil

**OBJECTIVES:** Evaluate for the first time in Brazil the pertinence of systematically requesting whole-body bone scintigraphy in early-stage HCC adult patients as a requisite for inclusion on the waiting list for HTx from a deceased donor, according to a reference center in South Brazil. Current pre-transplantation evaluation policy includes mandatory bone scintigraphy as a requisite for selecting patients to be included on hepatic transplantation list. Previous studies, however, have shown that routine scintigraphy is not cost-effective and generates unnecessary Health System costs. **METHODS:** We retrospectively analyzed 256 medical files of early-stage HCC patients who underwent hepatic transplantation, 187 of whom were subjected to pre-transplantation bone scintigraphy. The most common etiology was hepatitis C viral infection, the most common liver functional class was Child B, and 78% of the patients met the Milan criteria. None of the 187 scintigraphies was positive for metas-

tasis. The 1- and 5-year post-hepatic transplantation survival rates among patients subjected to bone scintigraphy were 81% and 69%, respectively; those among patients not subjected to scintigraphy were 78% and 62%, respectively ( $p = 0.25$ ). The 1- and 5-year post-HTx recurrence rates among patients subjected to bone scintigraphy were 4.8% and 10.7%; those among patients not subjected to scintigraphy were 2.9% and 10.1%, respectively ( $p = 0.46$ ). **RESULTS:** The cost generated by the current evaluation policies, US\$ 27,582, did not result in the detection of any sub-clinical metastasis and therefore failed to provide positive cost-effectiveness. **CONCLUSIONS:** Clinical evidence has demonstrated that bone scintigraphy did not provide additional information about patient selection since the incidence of metastasis in early stages is very low. In our cohort, the use of scintigraphy in the assessment of patients with early stages of HCC and within the Milão criteria, included in a liver transplant list by dead donor, in a center in the south of Brazil, had zero benefit.

#### PRM25

##### COST-UTILITY EVALUATION OF CONTINUING EDUCATION FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF TYPE 2 DIABETES MELLITUS USERS IN PERNAMBUCO – BRAZIL

Aquino CM<sup>1</sup>, Lima RC<sup>2</sup>, Bezerra AF<sup>2</sup>, Sousa IM<sup>3</sup>, Cesse EÁ<sup>3</sup>, Carvalho EM<sup>2</sup>, Fontbonne A<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, <sup>2</sup>Federal University of Pernambuco, Recife, Brazil, <sup>3</sup>Oswaldo Cruz Foundation - Fiocruz, Recife, Brazil, <sup>4</sup>Institut de Recherche pour le

Développement, Marseille, France

**OBJECTIVES:** To determine the cost-utility of continuing education for the management of patients with type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM), in Pernambuco - Brazil. **METHODS:** It's a cost-utility evaluation, using the Markov model to simulate the results in health scenarios, from the perspective of the health system. It was considered an analytic horizon of 20 years and selected the category of direct medical costs. Data were obtained from primary source, SERVIDIAH study, and secondary sources from health information systems and literature. The reference scenario represents the standard health care management performed in public health services. The simulated scenarios for post-intervention's results were based on the suggestion of consulted experts in regard the effectiveness of continuing education for health professionals in primary health care (PHC) for the patient's complications reduction. **RESULTS:** The average individual, representative Pernambuco's population, was female, 61 years old and diagnosed with T2DM for 8.7 years. These and other clinical characteristics that influence the calculation of the transition probabilities were gathered from over 800 patients with T2DM from Pernambuco. For the reference scenario, the cost for one individual was calculated for the intervention's first year, 2011: without complications (US\$ 491.04), with microvascular complications (US\$ 774.23), macrovascular complications (US\$ 1,945.95) and both complications (US\$ 2,229.14). The incremental cost for the implementation of the intervention was US\$ 242.42 per individual/year, later added to the cost for standard management in the post-intervention scenarios. At the cost-utility evaluation, only the first simulated scenario (Very Satisfactory Result) was cost-effective, with Incremental Cost-effectiveness Ratio (ICER) of US\$ 7,362.70/QALY. **CONCLUSIONS:** The ICER presented suggests that the intervention isn't a cost-effective alternative. Given the uncertainties about the effectiveness of continuing education for professionals in the PHC on the health of users, it is necessary to conduct in-depth studies on the association between these variables.

#### PRM26

##### MODELS USED IN ECONOMIC ANALYSES OF TICAGRELOR AND PRASUGREL FOR ACUTE CORONARY SYNDROMES: A STRUCTURED REVIEW

Law EH, Sharma D, Pickard S

University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, IL, USA

**OBJECTIVES:** To critically appraise published pharmacoeconomic studies of two novel antiplatelet (AP) agents (ticagrelor and prasugrel) in the treatment of acute coronary syndromes (ACS). **METHODS:** Key terms related to economic evaluations of ticagrelor and/or prasugrel were searched in EMBASE, MEDLINE and International Pharmaceutical Abstracts. English-language articles evaluating the use of these agents in adults with ACS were included. Studies assessing genotyping-guided treatments were excluded. Elements of each study were independently extracted based on the ISPOR Consolidated Health Economic Evaluation Reporting Standards (CHEERS) checklist by two reviewers. **RESULTS:** Eleven (7 ticagrelor, 4 prasugrel) studies were identified, 7 of which included both cost-effectiveness and cost-utility analysis. No comparisons were made between prasugrel and ticagrelor and each agent was compared to clopidogrel. The manufacturer of the novel AP funded all but one study. Most models were Markov-based simulations, with almost all studies adopting a healthcare system perspective and lifetime time horizon. Two randomized-control trials (RCTs), PLATO and TRITON-TIMI 38 were most commonly cited for ticagrelor and prasugrel, respectively, with few non-RCTs used for clinical data input. While methods to derive the efficacy data were commonly reported, utility estimates varied for some health states between studies using the same data by the same sponsor. Both ticagrelor and prasugrel were deemed cost-effective vs. clopidogrel in base-case analyses. **CONCLUSIONS:** Studies would benefit from greater consistency in sources of costing data and estimates of utility values and decrements. There is a clear reliance on single multinational RCTs as the primary data source for the vast majority of model inputs, and the PLATO study has been heavily criticized for issues related to external validity. More independent, non-industry sponsored economic evaluations and real-world clinical data are required in the future. Reporting checklists do not capture these latent issues behind economic evaluations, as identified by our appraisal of the literature.

#### PRM27

##### REVIEW OF COST-EFFECTIVENESS ANALYSES OF VARICELLA VACCINATION: WHICH MODEL STRUCTURE ASSUMPTIONS AND INPUT PARAMETERS MATTER?

Talbird SE<sup>1</sup>, Mauskopf JA<sup>1</sup>, Yang HK<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>RTI Health Solutions, Research Triangle Park, NC, USA, <sup>2</sup>Merck & Co., Inc., West Point, PA, USA