

### Pancreatic duct glands (PDGs) are a progenitor compartment responsible for pancreatic ductal epithelial repair lpha



Junpei Yamaguchi<sup>a</sup>, Andrew S. Liss<sup>a</sup>, Alexandra Sontheimer<sup>a</sup>, Mari Mino-Kenudson<sup>b</sup>, Carlos Fernández-del Castillo<sup>a</sup>, Andrew L. Warshaw<sup>a</sup>, Sarah P. Thayer<sup>a,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Andrew L. Warshaw Institute for Pancreatic Cancer Research, Department of Surgery, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

<sup>b</sup> Andrew L. Warshaw Institute for Pancreatic Cancer Research, Department of Pathology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, USA

 $^{
m c}$  The University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) Department of Surgery, Division of Surgical Oncology, Omaha, NE, USA

Received 17 September 2014; received in revised form 13 April 2015; accepted 11 May 2015 Available online 19 May 2015

#### Abstract

Pancreatic duct glands (PDGs) have molecular features known to mark stem cell niches, but their function remains to be determined. To investigate the role of PDGs as a progenitor niche, PDGs were analyzed in both humans and mice. Cells were characterized by immunohistochemistry and microarray analysis. In vivo proliferative activity and migration of PDG cells were evaluated using a BrdU tag-and-chase strategy in a mouse model of pancreatitis. In vitro migration assays were used to determine the role of trefoil factor (TFF) -1 and 2 in cell migration. Proliferative activity in the pancreatic epithelium in response to inflammatory injury is identified principally within the PDG compartment. These proliferating cells then migrate out of the PDG compartment to populate the pancreatic duct. Most of the pancreatic epithelial migration occurs within 5 days and relies, in part, on TFF-1 and -2. After migration, PDG cells lose their PDG-specific markers and gain a more mature pancreatic ductal phenotype. Expression analysis of the PDG epithelium reveals enrichment of embryonic and stem cell pathways. These results suggest that PDGs are an epithelial progenitor compartment that gives rise to mature differentiated progeny that migrate to the pancreatic duct. Thus PDGs are a progenitor niche important for pancreatic epithelial regeneration.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

 $\stackrel{\scriptscriptstyle \wedge}{\rightarrowtail}$  There is no conflict of interest.

\* Corresponding author at: University of Nebraska Medical Center, 986345 Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE 68198-6345, USA. Fax: +1 402 559 7900.

E-mail address: sarah.thayer@UNMC.edu (S.P. Thayer).

#### http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scr.2015.05.006

Introduction

Maintenance and repair of most epithelia are achieved by stem cells, which must undergo cell division in order to

1873-5061/© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

self-renew and must also be capable of cell division, resulting in daughter cells that migrate and differentiate into multiple lineages of the particular epithelium (Barker et al., 2010; Blanpain et al., 2007; Potten and Loeffler, 1990). The pancreatic epithelium is thought to have a low turnover rate under normal physiologic conditions (Grapin-Botton, 2005; Bonner-Weir and Sharma, 2002); however, it is capable of rapid regeneration in response to inflammatory injury (Finegood et al., 1995; Neuschwander-Tetri et al., 2000). The existence of somatic stem cells and their contribution to pancreatic epithelial renewal and regeneration after injury are poorly understood.

Perhaps some of the best studied models of epithelial regeneration from a somatic stem cell niche are in the GI system (Koo and Clevers, 2014; Español-Suñer et al., 2012; Williams et al., 2014; Barker, 2014). The liver has a low rate of cellular turnover but high regenerative potential. Its parenchyma relies initially on a mechanism of self-duplication, and relies on stem cells, ductular oval cells, only when hepatocyte division is inhibited. In the intestinal system, the epithelial turnover occurs every 3-5 days, while Paneth cells are supplied every 3-6 weeks. Epithelial stem cells exist in a protected microenvironment called niches. These niches contain several different cell types initially defined by their proliferative properties: the label retaining cell (LRC), likely the true stem cell, which normally has a lower rate of division but can transform into a proliferative and multipotential stem cell during injury; and the transient amplifying (TA) cell which is believed to be a direct progeny of the true stem cell and are capable of division but for a restricted period of time (Blanpain et al., 2007; Hoffmann, 2008). Despite the extensive characterization of these stem cell niches in the colon and small intestine, only a few molecular markers for these cells have been identified. Several studies strongly implicate Lgr5 and Lrig1 as markers and regulators of the stem cell compartment (Barker et al., 2012).

Epithelial regeneration and renewal from progenitor niches throughout the gastrointestinal system require a complex balance of proliferation, migration and differentiation. As newly generated cells migrate from the stem cell compartment, they differentiate to acquire the phenotype of their terminally differentiated lineages. This migration is regulated, in part, by the Trefoil Factor Family (TFF) of proteins. There are three members within this family TFF-1, TFF-2 and TFF-3. All TFFs are protease resistant proteins, which are best known for their important roles in epithelial repair after inflammatory injury (Hoffmann, 2005; Taupin and Podolsky, 2003). The TFFs are abundantly secreted onto the mucosal surface by mucus-secreting cells of the gastrointestinal tract and the pancreatic duct (Ebert et al., 1999; Madsen et al., 2007). In the stomach the expression of TFF-1 and -2 is rapidly up-regulated at the margin of mucosal injury, and their fundamental function is to promote epithelial repair by cell migration.

The identification of stem cells or progenitor cells, and their contribution to pancreatic regeneration remain controversial (Ziv et al., 2013; Burke and Tosh, 2012). The pancreas uses several regenerative mechanisms. Self-renewal is the principal mechanism of acinar and islet regeneration in response to inflammatory injury (Strobel et al., 2007a, 2007b; Dor et al., 2004), however, little is known about pancreatic ductal epithelial renewal. Multipotential stem cells have been reported to reside in the pancreatic duct

(Bonner-Weir and Sharma, 2002), islets, centroacinar or acinar cells and have the capacity for duct-like cell fates. (Pan et al., 2013; Rovira et al., 2010; Kopp et al., 2011; Reichert and Rustgi, 2011; Puri et al., 2015). Recently our group identified a novel epithelial compartment, termed pancreatic duct glands (PDGs), which may function as a ductal epithelial progenitor niche (Strobel et al., 2010). PDGs are gland-like outpouches or coiled glands residing within the mesenchymal cuff of surrounding large ducts. PDGs have a unique molecular profile distinct from glands of the normal pancreatic epithelium. They also express developmental markers known to reside in GI progenitor stem cell niches, such as Shh, Pdx-1 and Hes-1. In response to inflammatory injury, epithelial proliferation is up-regulated predominantly in the PDG compartment, suggesting that this may be an epithelial progenitor compartment for the pancreatic ductal epithelium.

In this study, we find that the PDGs are the principal site of ductal proliferation in response to acute inflammatory injury. Trefoil family factors -1 and -2 (TFF-1, TFF-2) promote the migration of newly generated cells from PDGs to the pancreatic ductal epithelium. Furthermore, the PDG compartment is enriched for pathways important in embryonic and GI stem cell niches. These results suggest that PDGs constitute an epithelial progenitor niche important in the repair of the pancreatic duct after inflammatory injury.

#### Methods

#### Human samples

Human samples were collected and analyzed in accordance with Institutional Review Board approval. Normal control pancreata (n = 14) were obtained from organ donors and chronic pancreatitis samples (n = 20) were obtained from pancreatectomy specimens at Massachusetts General Hospital. No patients were included who carried a diagnosis of IPMN or PDAC.

#### Mouse samples

All experiments were approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital Subcommittee on Research Animal Care (SRAC # 2003N000288). Healthy FVB mice (Charles River) served as control (n = 7). Acute pancreatitis was induced in FVB mice of either sex by cerulein injection every other day for 8 days (4 injections) (n = 21). Each series of injections comprised 8 hourly intraperitoneal injections of 50  $\,\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  cerulein (Sigma, St Louis, MO). In vivo pulse labeling was performed by intraperitoneal injection of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU; Sigma) at 1 mg/10 g body weight 24 h after the last cerulein injection. Pancreata were harvested 2 h after BrdU injection then daily for 7 days, with additional harvest on day 14 and 21. Positive and negative nuclei were counted throughout the large pancreatic duct with multiple slides per mouse; approximately 30 HPF per sample were evaluated to calculate the rate of BrdU positive cells in the ducts and PDGs. This BrdU tag-and-chase technique was repeated in TFF2 knockout mice (Baus-Loncar et al., 2005; Farrell et al., 2002) (n = 12, kind gift of Dr. Wang (Columbia University)) and evaluated. The pancreata obtained from Lgr5; EGFP mice (Barker et al., 2007) (n = 4) were

Protein of interest	Species	Antibody by	Clone	Concentration
TFF1	Human	Invitrogen	BC04	1:50
TFF1	Human and mouse	Abcam	ab50806	1:200
TFF2	Human	Novocastra	GE16C	1:50
TFF2	Human and mouse	Protein Tech		1:500
MUC6	Human	Novocastra	NCL-MUC-6	1:100
Deep gastric mucin (MUC6)	Mouse	Dr. Ho		1:3000
KRT7	Human and mouse	Abcam	RCK105	1:50
Ki67	Human	Dako	MIB-1	1:100
Ki67	Mouse	Dako	TEC3	1:25
Ki67	Mouse	Abcam	ab16667	1:100
BrdU	Mouse	Abcam	ab6326	1:100

 Table 1
 Conditions and primary antibodies for immunohistochemistry.

evaluated to investigate the existence of Lgr5 in the PDG compartment.

#### Histology and immunohistochemistry

Specimens were fixed overnight in 10% formalin/phosphatebuffered saline. Histological analysis was performed on 4  $\mu$ m paraffin-embedded sections. Primary antibodies and conditions for immunohistochemistry are specified in Table 1. Biotinylated secondary antibodies were applied at 1:1000 dilution. Proteins were visualized by using diaminobenzidine peroxidase (DAB) substrate (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA). Slides were counterstained with hematoxylin. For immunofluorescent staining, secondary antibodies were applied at 1:500 dilution and slides were counterstained by 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI).

#### Cell culture study

Human pancreatic ductal epithelial (HPDE) (Furukawa et al., 1996; Ouyang et al., 2000) cells were cultured in keratinocyte serum-free media supplemented with bovine pituitary extract and human epidermal growth factor (Invitrogen). Control vector (pCMV-SPORT6) and TFF1-overexpression plasmid (Thermo Fisher Scientific) were transfected into HPDE cells using FuGene6 (Roche) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### In vitro migration assay

After the transfection of TFF1 and control vector, an artificial wound was created by manually scraping confluent monolayer

cells with micropipettes tips. Dishes were incubated for 24 h and the status of the gap closure was observed. 20 images were acquired randomly and analyzed quantitatively by calculating the cell-empty area using ImageJ (public domain NIH image software).

### Cell proliferation assay

HPDE cells were planted on 96-well plates (1000 cells per well), followed by the transfection of control, TFF1-overexpressing plasmid. Five days after transfection, relative cell number was calculated by resazurin (R&D Systems) using plate reader (Spectramax M2, Molecular Devices) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

#### **RT-PCR**

Total RNA (1  $\mu$ g) was extracted from cultured cells using an RNA extraction kit (RNeasy Mini; Qiagen) and used to synthesize cDNA (ThermoScript PT-PCR System; Invitrogen). PCR amplification was performed in a total volume of 50  $\mu$ l containing 2  $\mu$ l of cDNA, 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200  $\mu$ M dNTP Mix, 0.2  $\mu$ M each of 5'- and 3'-primers, and 1U of Platinum Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) with an annealing temperature of 60 °C. The sequence of the TFF1 primers is 5'-CAGACAGAGACGTGTACAGTGGCCC-3' (forward) and 5'-AGCGTGTCTGAGGTGTCCGGT-3' (reverse). The number of PCR cycles was 34. For each reaction, an initial denaturation cycle of 94 °C and a final cycle of 72 °C were incorporated. The PCR products were subjected to 1% agarose gel electrophoresis and stained with ethidium bromide. 18S RNA was used as internal control.

**Figure 1** Tag and chase reveals a dynamic shift of PDG cells. (A) Molecular characteristics of PDGs. MUC6 is expressed only in PDGs (arrow) but not in ductal epithelium (arrowhead), while KRT7 is expressed in ductal epithelium but not in PDGs. (B) PDGs (arrow) are the principal site of proliferation. In response to injury, there is a marked up-regulation of BrdU incorporation and increased expression of the proliferative marker Ki-67. (C) Scheme of BrdU tag-and-chase experiment. BrdU was injected to mark proliferating cells on day 0, and mouse pancreata were harvested daily over the chase period of 7 days. (D) Qualitative analysis of BrdU-tagged cells. BrdU tags PDG cells (arrow) initially, then tagged cells migrate out into duct epithelium, and some of them are shed into the lumen (arrowhead). Double staining of BrdU and Ki67 shows that double-positive cells were found only on day 0. The intestine show the typical dynamics of BrdU positive cells, which migrate from the crypt toward the surface then disappear. (E) Quantitative analysis of BrdU-positive cells shows a dynamic shift of BrdU-positive cells from PDGs to the ductal epithelium. (F) A few BrdU-positive cells (arrow) remain in PDGs for up to 21 days. Original magnification ×200 (except for intestine; ×100).







#### Microarray analysis

Approximately 1000 cells from PDGs and pancreatic main duct epithelium from two organ donors were laser captured and microdissected with Arcturus PixCell IIe. RNA was purified using an RNeasy Micro Kit (Qiagen) and amplified with the Ovation® PicoSL WTA System V2 (NuGEN Technologies), RNA isolated from cells obtained by LCM was quantified using a nanodrop (Thermo Scientific) and RNA guality was assessed using a Bionanalyzer (Agilent Technologies). Amplified RNA was labeled with Encore™ BiotinIL Module (NuGEN Technologies) and hybridized to the HumanHT-12 v4 Expression BeadChip (Illumina). Up-regulated genes in PDGs compared to the main duct were filtered using a cut off of  $p \le 0.05$  and a fold change  $\geq$  1.5 for both organ donors. Analysis was performed using GSEA (http://www.broadinstitute.org/gsea/ index.jsp). Gene expression data from intestine (GSE10629) and colon (GSE6894) was analyzed with GEO2R (http://www. ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/geo2r/). Up-regulated genes in intestinal crypts vs. intestinal villi and colon crypt bottom vs. top were determined by  $p\,\leq$  0.05 and fold change  $\geq$  2. PDG gene expression values were visualized with Gene Pattern HeatMapImage (http://www.broadinstitute.org/cancer/ software/genepattern/).

#### Statistics

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS-II software. Differences between the two groups were analyzed using ANOVA. When the P-value was  $\leq 0.01$ , the difference was regarded as significant.

#### Results

# PDGs are the principal site of epithelial regeneration of the pancreatic ductal epithelium

PDGs are gland-like outpouches budding off the main pancreatic duct. These glands reside in the mesenchymal cuff that surround larger pancreatic ducts. PDGs are identified to be uniquely different form the overlying pancreatic epithelium not only by morphology and location but also by their molecular signature (Strobel et al., 2010). For example MUC6 is strongly expressed in PDGs but not in ductal epithelium, while KRT7 is not expressed in PDGs but is in ductal epithelium (Fig. 1A). The normal pancreatic ductal epithelium has a low rate of proliferation. Cerulein pancreatitis results in inflammatory injury to the pancreatic epithelium with inflammatory cells around the ducts and within the pancreatic epithelium (Fig. S1). In response to acute inflammatory injury there was, as previously reported, a 40% BrdU incorporation into the acinar cells (Strobel et al., 2007a), however, there was also a marked upregulation of BrdU incorporation and increased expression of the proliferative marker Ki-67 in the epithelia, identified predominantly within the PDG compartment (Fig. 1B). In order to establish PDGs as the site of epithelial ductal regeneration and renewal, in vivo BrdU tag-and-chase experiments were employed to fate-map tagged cells. In this model (Fig. 1C) proliferating cells are pulse-labeled with BrdU on day 0 after acute inflammatory injury; then pancreata were harvested daily over the chase period of 7 days and then at 14 and 21 days. Qualitative (Fig. 1D) and quantitative (Fig. 1E) analyses revealed a dynamic shift of BrdU-positive cells from the PDGs to the ductal epithelium over the chase period. There was a gradual decline in the number of BrdUpositive cells within the PDG compartment, from a peak of 25% on day 0 to 5% by day 6 (Fig. 1D, E). Interestingly, some cells within the PDG compartment retain their label even up to 21 days (1.06% of PDG cells; Fig. 1F). In contrast, BrdU cells within the pancreatic ductal compartment gradually increased from its baseline of 2.5% on day 0 to a peak of 12.5% on day 5. By day 4, BrdU-tagged cells were identified on the top of stratified epithelia (Fig. 1D, arrowheads), suggesting that these cells are being shed into the lumen. By day 6, the majority of the BrdU-tagged cells were no longer identified within the pancreatic ducts.

In order to determine if the BrdU-label is lost by continued proliferation after the initial tagging event or after migration into the pancreatic duct, double immunostaining for Ki-67 and BrdU was performed. In this model, BrdU detects cells that were proliferating during the initial tag and Ki-67 detects cells presently proliferating (Fig. 1D). While double-positive nuclei are found within the PDG compartment on day 0, over the chase period BrdU-positive cells are not found to be Ki-67 positive. Ki-67 cells can occasionally be found in the PDG compartment after day 0, but not in previously tagged BrdU cells. This data suggests that cells in the PDG compartment generate their descendants for only a restricted period of time, just after inflammatory injury. Furthermore, these descendants (migrated BrdU-positive cells) do not continue to proliferate. These results suggest that PDGs are the compartment from which newly generated cells migrate to regenerate and renew the pancreatic ductal epithelia.

## Migration of pancreatic epithelial cells is regulated by TFF

The trefoil factor family (TFF), a family of protease-resistant secreted factors, plays an essential role in epithelial

**Figure 2** TFF are expressed in injured PDGs. (A, B) Immunohistochemistry of TFF1 and TFF2 in mouse and human specimens. In normal pancreata, TFF1 is expressed at a low level and identified in only a few cells throughout the ductal epithelium and PDG compartment (arrow). Similarly, TFF2 is expressed at a very low level. In response to inflammatory injury, both TFF1 and TFF2 expression is significantly up-regulated. While TFF1 expression is found in both PDGs and duct epithelium (arrowhead), TFF2 expression distribution is restricted to the PDG compartment. Original magnification ×400 (mouse), ×200 (human) (C, D) Quantitative analysis of TFF-positive PDGs in mouse and human specimen. Both TFF1 and TFF2 are expressed significantly higher in injured pancreas (TFF1: 18.8% and 87.7% (mouse), 19.4% and 84.7% (human); TFF2: 55.0% and 80.9% (mouse), 54.8% and 74.2% (human) in control and pancreatitis, respectively). Data are shown as means +/- SD. (\* p < 0.01).

migration. To investigate the contribution of TFF family members to the migration of epithelial cells from the PDGs to the main duct, immunohistochemistry of TFF1 and TFF2 was performed in both mouse and human pancreata (Fig. 2A and B). In normal pancreata, TFF1 was expressed at a low level and identified in only a few cells throughout the ductal epithelium



and PDG compartment (18.8% in normal mice, 19.4% in human organ donors). Similarly, TFF2 is expressed at a very low level, but its expression is restricted to the PDG compartment, where it is identified in almost half of the PDGs (55.0% in normal mice, 54.8% in human organ donors). In response to inflammatory injury, TFF1 and TFF2 expression is significantly up-regulated. The up-regulation of TFF1 is evidenced not only by the enhanced staining within the PDGs and surrounding pancreatic ductal epithelium, but also by a significant increase (p < 0.001) in the total number of TFF1-positive PDGs (87.7%) in mice and 84.7% in humans) (Fig. 2C and D). Similarly, TFF2 shows enhanced staining as well as a statistically significant increase (p < 0.01) in the total number of TFF2-positive PDGs (80.9% in mice and 74.2% in human samples). TFF2 expression remains restricted to the PDG compartment after the inflammatory injury (Fig. 2A and B).

To determine whether TFF1 and TFF2 contribute to pancreatic epithelial cell migration, in vivo pulse chase experiments and in vitro migration assays were performed (Fig. 3). To evaluate the role of TFF2 in cell migration, we took advantage of a genetically engineered mouse deficient in TFF2 (TFF2-KO). In vivo pulse-chase experiments on TFF2-KO animals were repeated as previously described in normal mice. Qualitative (Fig. 3A) and quantitative (Fig. 3B) analyses revealed that the PDG compartments are the principal site of proliferation and have a peak frequency of 25% of tagged PDG cells on day 0 after inflammatory injury, which is similar to the tagging efficiency seen in normal controls. However, over the chase period of 7 days there was no dynamic shift of BrdU-positive cells from the PDGs to the ductal epithelium. Pulse-chase experiments in TFF2KO animals revealed a persistence of BrdU label in the PDG compartment and no increase in BrdU-labeled cells in the ducts. These mice not only reveal decreased migration of cells from the PDGs but also contain ulcerations of the main duct. These ulcerative lesions were initially seen on day 3 and became more pronounced by days 5-7 (Fig. 3A). These experiments demonstrate that the loss of TFF2 decreases migration (not proliferation), resulting in insufficient epithelial repair and ulceration.

In the absence of an available animal model, *in vitro* migration experiments were performed in order to determine the role of TFF1 in pancreatic ductal epithelial cell migration. HPDE cells were transfected with either control, or TFF1-expression vector and migration was evaluated by creating a cell free area, an artificial "wound", on confluent HPDE cells (Fig. 3C and D). Transfection efficiency of the TFF-1 was approximately 50%. Migration of cells to the cell-free region was observed at 24 h and images were captured (Fig. 3D). While HPDE with control vector shows 50% closure of the cell-free region, TFF1-transfected cells are found to significantly enhance migration ability (Fig. 3E; 70% of the cell-

empty area was healed, p < 0.0001). To exclude the possibility that this healing of the cell free area was due to cell proliferation rather than cell migration, the ability of cell proliferation was assessed by resazurin assay (Fig. 3F). TFF1-expressing HPDE cells show proliferative activity similar to control, suggesting that the *in vitro* cell free area was healed by the migration of the cells, rather than cell proliferation. Together, the *in vitro* and *in vivo* data suggests that up-regulation of TFF1 and TFF2 seen in the PDGs and in the normal ductal epithelia likely functions to promote migration.

#### PDGs give rise to differentiated progeny

Stem cell niches are not only characterized by the presence of proliferative compartments from which cells migrate; these compartments must also have the ability to give rise to mature differentiated progeny distinct from the parent progenitor. Thus if PDGs are pancreatic ductal epithelial progenitor niches, migrated BrdU-positive epithelial cells found in the pancreatic ducts should express molecular markers unique from BrdU-positive cells of the PDGs. In order to evaluate the differentiation of PDG cells during their migration, double IHC and IF were performed. Before migration, BrdU-positive cells within the PDG compartment express Alcian blue-positive mucin, TFF2 and deep gastric mucin (Muc6) (Fig. 4, left panel, arrow). After migration, BrdU-positive cells in the ductal epithelium have lost their expression of these PDG markers (Fig. 4, arrowhead), and have acquired the expression of a mature pancreatic ductspecific marker, KRT7, which was not expressed in the PDG compartment. Although these findings remain to be confirmed with formal lineage tagging experiments, the changes in molecular characteristics of BrdU-tagged cells suggest that PDGs are capable of producing differentiated progeny, supporting its role as a progenitor epithelial niche.

## Microarray analysis reveals that the PDG compartment expresses stem cell markers

Minimal sample microarray analyses from LCM of PDG epithelium compared to their matched normal pancreatic ducts reveal that PDG cells are enriched for previously identified stem cell genes (Fig. 5). Differentially expressed genes were identified using a  $p \le 0.05$  and  $a \ge 1.5$ -fold change (Table S1). Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) revealed that the SOX2 and NANOG pathways, core regulators of embryonic stem cell (ECS) pluripotency, were enriched in the PDG compartment (Fig. 5A). GSEA analysis identified 33 SOX2 and 36 NANOG target genes are up regulated in PDGs (Table S2). Comparing our PDG data set with other published stem cell data sets, we found

**Figure 3** Migration of pancreatic ductal epithelial cells is regulated by TFF. (A) Qualitative analysis of *in vivo* pulse-chase experiments on TFF2-KO mice. BrdU-positive cells remain in the PDG (arrow) compartment over the chase period of 7 days. Ulceration of the pancreatic duct can be seen on day 5 and day 7 (arrowhead). (B) Quantitative analysis shows no dynamic shift of BrdU-tagged cells. (C) HPDE cells were transfected with control and TFF1-expression vector. The transcriptions of TFF1 were confirmed by RT-PCR. (D) TFF1-HPDE cells migrate into artificial wound more rapidly than control cells. (E) Quantitative analysis of the "cell-empty area." TFF1-transfected cells resulted in a significantly smaller empty area (53.8% vs 29.6%, \* p < 0.01). (F) Proliferative activity of HPDE cells by resazurin assay. TFF1-transfected cells show no difference in proliferative activity.





**Figure 4** PDGs give rise to differentiated progeny. Identification of molecular characteristics of BrdU-tagged cells before migration (day 0, arrow) and after migration (day 5, arrowhead) in mouse. During their migration, BrdU-tagged cells lose their initial expression of PDG-specific markers (Alcian blue, TFF2 and Muc6) but acquire the expression of a mature pancreatic duct-specific marker, KRT7. Original magnification ×400.

that PDGs were also enriched for genes found in intestinal and colonic stem cells (Fig. 5B). In order to validate the GSEA analysis, IHC was performed on human specimens for the two core regulators pathways enriched in the PDG microarray. Both SOX2 and NANOG were identified by IHC in the PDG compartment. This low level of expression was identified in a subset of cells within the PDG compartment (Fig. 5D). This data suggests that SOX2 and the NANOG and/or their downstream effector pathways may play a role in the regulation of this compartment. Thus this lineage-restricted progenitor compartment expresses

several markers known to be important in embryonic and somatic stem cell compartments. Of note, LGR5 was not identified in our PDG microarray analysis; however, because it is a well-characterized stem cell marker in the stomach and intestine, we did investigate its expression. Both IHC of LGR5 and the analysis of Lgr5-eGFP mice also failed to identify Lgr5 expression in the PDG compartment (data not shown), suggesting that progenitor cells within the PDGs do not express Lgr5. Interestingly, the compartment also was found to be enriched for pathways known to be important in pancreatic

Α			
	Gene Set Name	# Genes	p-value
	BENPORATH_SOX2_TARGETS	33	4.81E-06
	BENPORATH_NANOG_TARGETS	36	1.31E-04
	GRUETZMANN_PANCREATIC_CANCER_DN	13	9.13E-05
	GRUETZMANN_PANCREATIC_CANCER_UP	17	3.18E-04



**Figure 5** Microarray analysis of RNA from PDGs and main duct epithelium of normal pancreas. (A) Gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) using the 424 genes up-regulated in PDGs demonstrates significant enrichment of Sox2 and Nanog target genes, as well as genes with altered expression in pancreatic cancer. The gene set name, number of PDGs up-regulated genes in the gene set, and p-value are shown. (B) Venn diagram comparing genes enriched in PDGs and the crypts of the intestine and colon. (C) Heatmap representation of genes enriched in PDGs that are also enriched in intestinal and/or colon crypts. Genes implicated in the biology of stem or progenitor cells are denoted by an asterisk. (D) The expression of SOX2 and nanog in PDG compartment were confirmed within PDGs (arrow). Inlet shows higher magnification of positive cells.

cancer (Table S2), suggesting not only that this compartment is a progenitor niche but that dysregulation of the PDG compartment may result in pancreatic malignancy.

### Discussion

The existence of somatic stem cells in the pancreas and their contribution toward pancreatic epithelial renewal and regeneration after injury are poorly understood. Here we report that PDGs, a novel epithelial compartment (Strobel et al., 2010), function as a pancreatic ductal epithelial progenitor niche. This work reveals that the PDGs are the principal site of epithelial proliferation and new cell formation. In vivo tag-and-chase experiments reveal that these newly generated cells migrate from the PDGs to populate the pancreatic ductal epithelium. Furthermore, this compartment is capable of giving rise to differentiated progeny distinct from the parent cell. The genes expressed in this unique compartment are found to be enriched for core regulators of embryonic stem cell pluripotency and genes important in intestinal and colonic stem cells regulation. Taken together, this data suggests that the PDGs are a progenitor stem cell compartment responsible for pancreatic ductal epithelial regeneration.

The pancreas may employ several regenerative mechanisms, depending on the compartment. Like the liver, the pancreatic acinar and beta cell compartments regenerate by self-duplication. Although a population of ALDH1 centroacinar/terminal ductal cells has been identified and found to have multipotential function (Rovira et al., 2010), *in vivo* lineage tagging studies by our group and others demonstrate that the principal mode of regeneration of the islets and acinar compartment in response to inflammatory injury is dependent not on stem cells but rather on self-duplication (Strobel et al., 2007a, 2007b; Dor et al., 2004). The pancreatic ductal epithelium, however, appears to be more like the gastrointestinal epithelium, relying on a stem cell niche for regeneration.

Although BrdU incorporation and the tag-and-chase experiment suggest that the pancreatic ductal epithelium is regenerated from the PDG compartment, this methodology does have limitations and lineage tagging studies will ultimately be needed to make definitive conclusions. In this inflammatory model the pancreatic epithelium has several proliferating (BrdU incorporating) compartments: the PDGs (found in the proximal and central pancreatic ductal epithelium), the terminal ducts, islets and the acinar cells. In vivo lineage tracing of the acinar and beta cell compartments reveals that tagged events were never identified in the pancreatic ductal epithelium, suggesting that neither the acinar cells nor the beta cells are capable of regenerating the ductal epithelium (Strobel et al., 2007a, 2007b). The PDGs appear to be important in regenerating the proximal to distal pancreatic ductal epithelium. However, the pancreatic epithelium is heterogeneous, and the contribution of the PDGs to regeneration of the terminal ducts remains unknown. Although PDGs have been found throughout the pancreas, PDG-like cells have not been identified in the terminal duct system (Strobel et al., 2010). In response to inflammatory injury, terminal ducts themselves possess a high proliferative rate (Strobel et al., 2007a); thus the mechanism of regeneration of the terminal ducts likely does not rely on the PDG compartment.

PDGs possess a specific architecture and molecular signature that make them distinct from the pancreatic epithelium, instead resembling gastric stem cell glands. PDGs were also found to express and up-regulate TFF genes during injury. In the stomach TFF1 is normally found predominantly in the epithelium of the surface pit of the stomach and TFF2 is primarily present in the neck region of the antral and pyloric glands of the stomach. TFF and mucins are co-expressed in gastric mucosa, such that MUC6/TFF2



Figure 6 PDGs and GI stem cell niches share similar organization and function. Comparisons between PDGs (right) and the antral glands of the stomach (left).

are confined to the basal part of the gastric gland (Taupin and Podolsky, 2003; Longman et al., 2000). This distribution of TFF2 and co-expression of MUC6 are also seen in the PDG niche. This molecular signature and the cellular organization and proliferative activity within the PDGs are reminiscent of the GI crypts/glands, most specifically the antral gland of the stomach (Fig. 6). The similarities between the gastric glands and PDGs may also extend to their functional roles in regeneration and repair in response to inflammatory injury. In stomach, the induction of trefoil-gene transcription occurs early after inflammatory injury and is considered to be important in migration of epithelial cells (FitzGerald et al., 2004; Greeley et al., 2010). In the PDGs we also see an up-regulation of TFF1 and TFF2. Although the functional role of TFF in the pancreas is still not fully elucidated, our work suggests that TFF1 and TFF2 play an important role in regulating cell migration from the PDG compartment.

Stem cell niches in the GI system are also known to contain several different populations of cells, including actively dividing stem cells, transient amplifying cells (TA), and label-retaining cells (LRC). TA cells will actively divide, expanding the epithelial population for a defined period of time, while LRC have a low rate of proliferative activity but become activated during injury to be reverse stem cells (Blanpain et al., 2007; Hoffmann, 2008; Li and Clevers, 2010; Shaker and Rubin, 2010). The experiments described in our study suggest that the PDG compartment is likely composed of two populations of proliferating cells. There appears to be one population of rapidly dividing cells whose presence is demonstrated by the persistence of Ki-67. Thus a proportion of the proliferating cells found in PDGs behave like transient amplifying cells. The second population of cells has a much lower rate of proliferation and will initially tag, retain their BrdU label, and stay within the PDG compartment. These cells are similar to the label-retaining cells (LRC) initially described by Bickenbach in 1981 (Bickenbach, 1981). Given that only 1% of PDG cells were found to be slowly dividing cells, most of the cells tagged by BrdU are short-lived progenitors. Although the exact functional role of these cells remains to be determined. what is clear is that this compartment is composed of cells with differing proliferative potential.

Stem cells and cancer share many properties. Mutations or dysregulation of pathways within the stem cell compartment are thought to contribute to the formation of cancer. One example is the Hedgehog (Hh) pathway, which has been shown to play a role in lineage determination, maintenance of stem cell niches, and pancreatic regeneration (Strobel et al., 2010; Fendrich et al., 2008). Its aberrant up-regulation is found in PDAC specifically within the pancreatic cancer stem cells (CSC) (Thayer et al., 2003; Li et al., 2007). It is interesting to note that cells in the PDG progenitor niche and pancreatic cancer stem cells (CSC) share similar features. Our GSEA microarray analysis not only identified this compartment to be enriched for stem cell pathways; it also showed it to be enriched for pathways dysregulated in pancreatic cancer. Although much work needs to be done to determine the role of the PDGs as the site of origin for pancreatic neoplasia, what this work does reveal is that the PDGs are the site of epithelial proliferation from which differentiated progeny migrate to regenerate and renew the pancreatic epithelium in response to inflammatory injury. Thus PDGs are an epithelial progenitor niche important for pancreatic ductal epithelial regeneration. Abbreviations

- PDGs pancreatic duct glands
- TFF trefoil factor family
- CSC cancer stem cells
- CK cytokeratin
- LCM laser capture microdissection
- IF immunofluorescence
- IHC immunohistochemistry
- LRC label-retaining cells
- TA transient amplifying cells

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.scr.2015.05.006.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Andrew L. Warshaw, MD Institute for Pancreatic Cancer Research, Massachusetts General Hospital, and by NIH-P01CA117969 to SPT. We would like to Thank Dr. Timothy Wang, Dorothy L. and Daniel H. Silberberg Professor of Medicine and Chief, Division of Digestive and Liver Diseases, Columbia University, for kindly providing TKK2 knockout mice.

#### Contributors

JPY, ASL, AS, and SPT: conception and design, collection and assembly of data, interpretation of data, and manuscript writing. SPT, CFC, and ALW: administrative support. MMK: data analysis and interpretation of pathology. SPT: final approval of manuscript.

#### References

- Barker, N., 2014. Adult intestinal stem cells: critical drivers of epithelial homeostasis and regeneration. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 15, 19–33.
- Barker, N., van Es, J.H., Kuipers, J., et al., 2007. Identification of stem cells in small intestine and colon by marker gene Lgr5. Nature 449, 1003–1007.
- Barker, N., Bartfeld, S., Clevers, H., 2010. Tissue-resident adult stem cell populations of rapidly self-renewing organs. Cell Stem Cell 7, 656–670.
- Barker, N., van Oudenaarden, A., Clevers, H., 2012. Identifying the stem cell of the intestinal crypt: strategies and pitfalls. Cell Stem Cell 11, 452–460.
- Baus-Loncar, M., Kayademir, T., Takaishi, S., et al., 2005. Trefoil factor family 2 deficiency and immune response. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 62, 2947–2955.
- Bickenbach, J.R., 1981. Identification and behavior of labelretaining cells in oral-mucosa and skin. J. Dent. Res. 60.
- Blanpain, C., Horsley, V., Fuchs, E., 2007. Epithelial stem cells: turning over new leaves. Cell 128, 445–458.
- Bonner-Weir, S., Sharma, A., 2002. Pancreatic stem cells. J. Pathol. 197, 519–526.
- Burke, Z.D., Tosh, D., 2012. Ontogenesis of hepatic and pancreatic stem cells. Stem Cell Rev. 8, 586–596.
- Dor, Y., Brown, J., Martinez, O.I., et al., 2004. Adult pancreatic beta-cells are formed by self-duplication rather than stem-cell differentiation. Nature 429, 41–46.
- Ebert, M.P., Hoffmann, J., Haeckel, C., et al., 1999. Induction of TFF1 gene expression in pancreas overexpressing transforming growth factor alpha. Gut 45, 105–111.

- Español-Suñer, R., Carpentier, R., Van Hul, N., et al., 2012. Liver progenitor cells yield functional hepatocytes in response to chronic liver injury in mice. Gastroenterology 143, 1564–1575.e7.
- Farrell, J.J., Taupin, D., Koh, T.J., et al., 2002. TFF2/SP-deficient mice show decreased gastric proliferation, increased acid secretion, and increased susceptibility to NSAID injury. J. Clin. Invest. 109, 193–204.
- Fendrich, V., Esni, F., Garay, M.V., et al., 2008. Hedgehog signaling is required for effective regeneration of exocrine pancreas. Gastroenterology 135, 621–631.
- Finegood, D.T., Scaglia, L., Bonner-Weir, S., 1995. Dynamics of beta-cell mass in the growing rat pancreas. Estimation with a simple mathematical model. Diabetes 44, 249–256.
- FitzGerald, A.J., Pu, M., Marchbank, T., et al., 2004. Synergistic effects of systemic trefoil factor family 1 (TFF1) peptide and epidermal growth factor in a rat model of colitis. Peptides 25, 793–801.
- Furukawa, T., Duguid, W.P., Rosenberg, L., et al., 1996. Long-term culture and immortalization of epithelial cells from normal adult human pancreatic ducts transfected by the E6E7 gene of human papilloma virus 16. Am. J. Pathol. 148, 1763–1770.
- Grapin-Botton, A., 2005. Ductal cells of the pancreas. Int. J. Biochem. Cell Biol. 37, 504–510.
- Greeley, M.A., Van Winkle, L.S., Edwards, P.C., et al., 2010. Airway trefoil factor expression during naphthalene injury and repair. Toxicol. Sci. 113, 453–467.
- Hoffmann, W., 2005. Trefoil factors TFF (trefoil factor family) peptide-triggered signals promoting mucosal restitution. Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 62, 2932–2938.
- Hoffmann, W., 2008. Regeneration of the gastric mucosa and its glands from stem cells. Curr. Med. Chem. 15, 3133–3144.
- Koo, B.K., Clevers, H., 2014. Stem cells marked by the R-spondin receptor LGR5. Gastroenterology 147, 289–302.
- Kopp, J.L., Dubois, C.L., Hao, E., et al., 2011. Progenitor cell domains in the developing and adult pancreas. Cell Cycle 10, 1921–1927.
- Li, L., Clevers, H., 2010. Coexistence of quiescent and active adult stem cells in mammals. Science 327, 542–545.
- Li, C., Heidt, D.G., Dalerba, P., et al., 2007. Identification of pancreatic cancer stem cells. Cancer Res. 67, 1030–1037.
- Longman, R.J., Douthwaite, J., Sylvester, P.A., et al., 2000. Coordinated localisation of mucins and trefoil peptides in the ulcer associated cell lineage and the gastrointestinal mucosa. Gut 47, 792–800.
- Madsen, J., Nielsen, O., Tornøe, I., et al., 2007. Tissue localization of human trefoil factors 1, 2, and 3. J. Histochem. Cytochem. 55, 505–513.

- Neuschwander-Tetri, B.A., Burton, F.R., Presti, M.E., et al., 2000. Repetitive self-limited acute pancreatitis induces pancreatic fibrogenesis in the mouse. Dig. Dis. Sci. 45, 665–674.
- Ouyang, H., Mou, L., Luk, C., et al., 2000. Immortal human pancreatic duct epithelial cell lines with near normal genotype and phenotype. Am. J. Pathol. 157, 1623–1631.
- Pan, F.C., Bankaitis, E.D., Boyer, D., et al., 2013. Spatiotemporal patterns of multipotentiality in Ptf1a-expressing cells during pancreas organogenesis and injury-induced facultative restoration. Development 140, 751–764.
- Potten, C.S., Loeffler, M., 1990. Stem cells: attributes, cycles, spirals, pitfalls and uncertainties. Lessons for and from the crypt. Development 110, 1001–1020.
- Puri, S., Folias, A.E., Hebrok, M., 2015. Plasticity and dedifferentiation within the pancreas: development, homeostasis, and disease. Cell Stem Cell 16, 18–31.
- Reichert, M., Rustgi, A.K., 2011. Pancreatic ductal cells in development, regeneration, and neoplasia. J. Clin. Invest. 121, 4572–4578.
- Rovira, M., Scott, S.G., Liss, A.S., et al., 2010. Isolation and characterization of centroacinar/terminal ductal progenitor cells in adult mouse pancreas. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 107, 75–80.
- Shaker, A., Rubin, D.C., 2010. Intestinal stem cells and epithelialmesenchymal interactions in the crypt and stem cell niche. Transl. Res. 156, 180–187.
- Strobel, O., Dor, Y., Alsina, J., et al., 2007a. In vivo lineage tracing defines the role of acinar-to-ductal transdifferentiation in inflammatory ductal metaplasia. Gastroenterology 133, 1999–2009.
- Strobel, O., Dor, Y., Stirman, A., et al., 2007b. Beta cell transdifferentiation does not contribute to preneoplastic/metaplastic ductal lesions of the pancreas by genetic lineage tracing in vivo. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 104, 4419–4424.
- Strobel, O., Rosow, D.E., Rakhlin, E.Y., et al., 2010. Pancreatic duct glands are distinct ductal compartments that react to chronic injury and mediate Shh-induced metaplasia. Gastroenterology 138, 1166–1177.
- Taupin, D., Podolsky, D.K., 2003. Trefoil factors: initiators of mucosal healing. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 4, 721–732.
- Thayer, S.P., di Magliano, M.P., Heiser, P.W., et al., 2003. Hedgehog is an early and late mediator of pancreatic cancer tumorigenesis. Nature 425, 851–856.
- Williams, M.J., Clouston, A.D., Forbes, S.J., 2014. Links between hepatic fibrosis, ductular reaction, and progenitor cell expansion. Gastroenterology 146, 349–356.
- Ziv, O., Glaser, B., Dor, Y., 2013. The plastic pancreas. Dev. Cell 26, 3–7.