

ON  $n$ -ISOCLINIC GROUPS

BY

J. C. BIOCH

(Communicated by Prof. J. P. MURRE at the meeting of June 19, 1976)

The notion of isoclinism was introduced by P. Hall [2]. In [1] we have proved that monomiality is an invariant of the families of finite isoclinic groups. In this paper we consider a more general form of isoclinism, called  $n$ -isoclinism, and we prove that strong-monomiality is a family-invariant for finite  $n$ -isoclinic groups. Moreover, using a theorem of P. M. Weichsel [7] we give short proofs for results of P. Hall [2] and J. Tappe [6] on the irreducible characters of isoclinic groups. As a corollary we obtain the above mentioned result on the  $M$ -group property proved in [1].

Notations are standard and can be found in Huppert's book [4].

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I express my gratitude to Dr. J. Tappe, who drew my attention to a result of P. M. Weichsel on isoclinic groups.

1.  $n$ -ISOCLINIC GROUPS

The notion of  $n$ -isoclinism of groups is implicit in a short note of P. Hall [3] on verbal and marginal subgroups.

Let  $G = K_1(G) > K_2(G) > \dots$  be the lower central series of the group  $G$ . Each term of this series, being generated by commutator words, is a verbal subgroup. An element  $g$  of  $G$  is called a period of  $K_n(G)$ , if for all simple commutators  $[g_1, \dots, g_n] \in K_n(G)$  we have

$$[g_1, \dots, g_j g, \dots, g_n] = [g_1, \dots, g_j, \dots, g_n], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

The set of all periods of a verbal subgroup  $X$  is called the marginal subgroup of  $X$ . The marginal subgroup of  $K_i(G)$  is  $Z_{i-1}(G)$ , where the latter group is the  $(i-1)$ -th term of the upper central series of  $G$ :

$$1 = Z_0(G) < Z_1(G) = Z(G) < Z_2(G) < \dots$$

As is well-known, the subgroups  $K_i(G)$  and  $Z_i(G)$  centralize each other, see [4] theorem III.2.11.

1.1. DEFINITION. Two groups  $G$  and  $H$  are  $n$ -isoclinic,  $G \sim_n H$ , if there exist isomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ :

$$\alpha: G/Z_n(G) \rightarrow H/Z_n(H)$$

$$\beta: K_{n+1}(G) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(H),$$

such that  $\alpha$  induces  $\beta$  in the following sense: if  $g_i \in G$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n+1$  and if  $h_i \in \alpha(g_i Z_n(G))$ , then

$$\beta([g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}]) = [h_1, \dots, h_{n+1}].$$

The pair  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is called an  $n$ -isoclinism between  $G$  and  $H$ . //

It will be clear from this definition that an  $n$ -isoclinism induces also an  $(n+1)$ -isoclinism. Hence we have for each rational integer  $n > 1$  an equivalence relation with corresponding equivalence classes of groups (families). If  $n = 1$ , then  $G$  and  $H$  are called isoclinic groups.

In the following two lemma's we state some results on  $n$ -isoclinic groups, which were outlined by P. Hall [2] for  $n = 1$ . For the proofs of these lemma's we recall that  $Z_n(G)$  is the set of all periods of  $K_{n+1}(G)$ . Moreover, if  $\phi$  is a homomorphism of  $G$ , then clearly

$$\phi([g_1, \dots, g_n]) = [\phi(g_1), \dots, \phi(g_n)], \quad g_j \in G.$$

1.2. LEMMA. Let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be an  $n$ -isoclinism of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ . Then the following holds:

- a) If  $Z_n(G) < H_1 < G_1$  and  $\alpha(H_1/Z_n(G_1)) = H_2/Z_n(G_2)$ , then  $H_1 \overset{n}{\sim} H_2$ .
- b)  $\beta$  is an operator-isomorphism in the following sense: if  $g_1 \in G_1$ ,  $g_2 \in \alpha(g_1 Z_n(G_1))$  and  $k_1 \in K_{n+1}(G_1)$ ,  $k_2 = \beta(k_1)$ , then  $\beta(g_1^{-1} k_1 g_1) = g_2^{-1} k_2 g_2$ .
- c) If  $N_1 \triangleleft G_1$ ,  $N_1 < K_{n+1}(G_1)$ , then  $G_1/N_1 \overset{n}{\sim} G_2/\beta(N_1)$ .

PROOF. a) If  $Z_n(G_1) < H_1$ , then  $Z_n(G_1) < Z_n(H_1)$ .

Similarly  $Z_n(G_2) < Z_n(H_2)$ . We define two isomorphisms

$$\bar{\alpha}: H_1/Z_n(H_1) \rightarrow H_2/Z_n(H_2)$$

$$\bar{\beta}: K_{n+1}(H_1) \rightarrow K_{n+1}(H_2),$$

as follows:

$$\bar{\alpha}(h_1 Z_n(H_1)) = h_2 Z_n(H_2), \quad \text{if } h_1 \in H_1 \text{ and } h_2 \in \alpha(h_1 Z_n(G_1)),$$

$$\bar{\beta}(k_1) = k_2, \quad \text{if } k_1 \in K_{n+1}(H_1) \text{ and } \beta(k_1) = k_2.$$

It can be easily checked that the pair  $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$  is an isoclinism between  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ . We omit the verification.

b) Without loss of generality we may assume:

$$k_1 = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1}] \quad \text{and} \quad k_2 = [b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n+1}],$$

where  $a_j \in G_1$  and  $b_j \in \alpha(a_j Z_n(G_1))$ .

Then

$$\beta(g_1^{-1} k_1 g_1) = \beta([g_1^{-1} a_1 g_1, \dots, g_1^{-1} a_{n+1} g_1]) = [g_2^{-1} b_1 g_2, \dots, g_2^{-1} b_{n+1} g_2] = g_2^{-1} k_2 g_2.$$

c) Denote  $\bar{G}_1 = G_1/N_1$  and  $\bar{G}_2 = G_2/\beta(N_1)$ . We define two isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}: \bar{G}_1/Z_n(\bar{G}_1) &\rightarrow \bar{G}_2/Z_n(\bar{G}_2) \\ \bar{\beta}: K_{n+1}(\bar{G}_1) &\rightarrow K_{n+1}(\bar{G}_2),\end{aligned}$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\alpha}(\bar{g}_1 Z_n(\bar{G}_1)) &= \bar{g}_2 Z_n(\bar{G}_2), \text{ if } g_2 \in \alpha(g_1/Z_n(G_1)), \\ \bar{\beta}([\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{n+1}]) &= [\bar{b}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_{n+1}], \text{ if } b_i \in \alpha(a_i Z_n(G_1)).\end{aligned}$$

Now  $(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})$  is an  $n$ -isoclinism between  $\bar{G}_1$  and  $\bar{G}_2$ , since  $(\alpha, \beta)$  is an isoclinism between  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

1.3. LEMMA. Let  $G$  be a group with subgroups  $H, K$  and let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then

- a)  $H \underset{n}{\sim} HZ_n(G)$ . In particular if  $G = HZ_n(G)$ , then  $G \underset{n}{\sim} H$ .  
Conversely, if  $|G/Z_n(G)| < \infty$  and  $G \underset{n}{\sim} H$ , then  $G = HZ_n(G)$ .
- b)  $G/N \underset{n}{\sim} G/(N \cap K_{n+1}(G))$ . In particular, if  $N \cap K_{n+1}(G) = 1$ , then  $G \underset{n}{\sim} G/N$ .  
Conversely, if  $|K_{n+1}(G)| < \infty$  and  $G \underset{n}{\sim} G/N$ , then  $N \cap K_{n+1}(G) = 1$ .

PROOF. a) We define  $\alpha(hZ_n(H)) = hZ_n(HZ_n(G))$ . Since  $Z_n(HZ_n(G)) = Z_n(H)Z_n(G)$ ,  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism of  $H/Z_n(H)$  onto  $HZ_n(G)/Z_n(HZ_n(G))$ , and  $\alpha$  induces the identity on  $K_{n+1}(H) = K_{n+1}(HZ_n(G))$ . Thus  $H \underset{n}{\sim} HZ_n(G)$ , and if  $G = HZ_n(G)$ , then  $G \underset{n}{\sim} H$ . Conversely, if  $H$  is a subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G \underset{n}{\sim} H$ , then we may assume by part a), that  $H > Z_n(G)$ , so that  $Z_n(H) > Z_n(G)$ . Since  $H/Z_n(H) \simeq H_1/Z_n(H)$ ,  $H_1 < H$ , this implies  $H_1 = H$  and  $Z_n(H) = Z_n(G)$ , so that  $G/Z_n(G) \simeq H/Z_n(G)$ . Thus, if  $|G/Z_n(G)| < \infty$ , then  $G = HZ_n(G)$ .

b) We denote  $G = \bar{G}/N$  and  $\bar{G} = G/(N \cap K_{n+1}(G))$ . If  $k_1 \in K_{n+1}(G)$  and  $k_2 \in K_{n+1}(G)$ , then  $\bar{k}_1 = \bar{k}_2 \Leftrightarrow k_1 = k_2$ .

We have therefore,

$$[\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_j \bar{g}, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}] = [\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_j, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}]$$

if and only if

$$[\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_j \bar{g}, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}] = [\bar{g}_1, \dots, \bar{g}_j, \dots, \bar{g}_{n+1}].$$

This implies:  $\bar{g} \in Z_n(\bar{G})$  if and only if  $\bar{g} \in Z_n(\bar{G})$ .

If  $\alpha(\bar{g}Z_n(\bar{G})) = \bar{g}Z_n(\bar{G})$ , then  $\alpha$  is an isomorphism of  $\bar{G}/Z_n(\bar{G})$  onto  $\bar{G}/Z_n(\bar{G})$ . Let  $k \in K_{n+1}(G)$  and denote  $\beta(\bar{k}) = \bar{k}$ .

Then  $\beta$  defines an isomorphism of  $K_{n+1}(\bar{G})$  onto  $K_{n+1}(\bar{G})$  and  $\beta$  is induced by  $\alpha$  in the sense of definition 1.1.

Conversely, if  $N \triangleleft G$  and  $G \underset{n}{\sim} G/N$ , then

$$K_{n+1}(G) \simeq K_{n+1}(G/N) = K_{n+1}(G)N/N \simeq K_{n+1}(G)/(N \cap K_{n+1}(G)).$$

Thus, if  $|K_{n+1}(G)| < \infty$ , then  $N \cap K_{n+1}(G) = 1$ .

The relationship of  $n$ -isoclinic groups is made clear by the following theorem, which can be obtained by a direct generalization of a result of P. M. Weichsel [7].

1.4. THEOREM. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be finite groups. Then  $G$  and  $H$  are  $n$ -isoclinic if and only if there exist finite groups  $C, Z_G, Z_H, C_G$  and  $C_H$  such that  $G \simeq C/Z_H$  and  $H \simeq C/Z_G$  and the following two (equivalent) properties hold:

- a)  $G \simeq C/Z_H \underset{n}{\sim} C \underset{n}{\sim} C/Z_G \simeq H$
- b)  $C/Z_H \times C/K_{n+1}(C) \underset{n}{\sim} C_H \simeq C \simeq C_G \underset{n}{\sim} C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C)$ , where  $C_H$  and  $C_G$  are subgroups of  $C/Z_H \times C/K_{n+1}(C)$  and  $C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C)$  respectively.

PROOF. One part of the theorem is trivial. Assume now  $G \underset{n}{\sim} H$ , and let  $\beta$  be the isomorphism between  $K_{n+1}(G)$  and  $K_{n+1}(H)$  given in definition 1.1. Finally, let  $C$  be the direct product of  $G$  and  $H$  with identified factor groups  $G/Z_n(G)$  and  $H/Z_n(H)$ :

$$C := G \wr H.$$

If

$$Z_H := \{(1, z) | z \in Z_n(H)\} \text{ and } Z_G := \{(z, 1) | z \in Z_n(G)\},$$

then we have

$$C/Z_H \simeq G \text{ and } C/Z_G \simeq H, \text{ where } Z_H \simeq Z_n(H) \text{ and } Z_G \simeq Z_n(G).$$

a) It follows from definition 1.1 that  $K_{n+1}(C)$  is generated by elements of the form

$$([g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}], \beta([g_1, \dots, g_{n+1}])).$$

We claim that

$$K_{n+1}(C) \cap Z_H = K_{n+1}(C) \cap Z_G = 1.$$

For, if  $(1, z) = (g, h) \in K_{n+1}(C)$ , then  $g = 1$  and since  $\beta$  is an isomorphism, also  $h = 1$ . Similarly for  $K_{n+1}(C) \cap Z_G$ . By lemma 1.3b we therefore have

$$C/Z_H \underset{n}{\sim} C \underset{n}{\sim} C/Z_G.$$

b) Let

$$C_G := \{(cZ_G, cK_{n+1}(C)) | c \in C\}.$$

$C_G$  is a group which is isomorphic to  $C$ , since  $K_{n+1}(C) \cap Z_G = 1$ . Moreover, it follows from lemma 1.3a that  $C_G \underset{n}{\sim} C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C)$ , for we have, as we will show,

$$C_G Z_n(C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C)) = C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C).$$

Therefore, let  $x = (c_1 Z_G, c_2 K_{n+1}(C))$  be an element of the direct product of the groups  $C/Z_G$  and  $C/K_{n+1}(C)$ .

Then  $x = yz$ , where  $y = (c_1 Z_G, c_1 K_{n+1}(C)) \in C_G$ , and  $z = (Z_G, c_1^{-1} c_2 K_{n+1}(C))$ . Since  $Z_n(C/K_{n+1}(C)) = C/K_{n+1}(C)$  and  $Z_G$  is the identity of  $C/Z_G$  it follows that  $z \in Z_n(C/Z_G \times C/K_{n+1}(C))$ .

Similarly:  $C \simeq C_H \underset{n}{\sim} C/Z_H \times C/K_{n+1}(C)$ .

## 2. THE IRREDUCIBLE CHARACTERS OF ISOCLINIC GROUPS

In this section we consider only finite groups. If  $G$  is a group, then  $\text{Irr}(G)$  denotes the set of all irreducible complex characters of  $G$ . The number of the irreducible characters of  $G$  of degree  $d$  is denoted by  $r_d(G)$ . Suppose  $G$  and  $H$  are isoclinic groups. If  $H$  is a factor group of  $G$ , then the irreducible complex characters of  $G$  can be computed from the set  $\text{Irr}(H)$ , see [1] lemma II.2.3. We state this result in a more explicit form in lemma 2.1. As a corollary of this lemma and theorem 1.4b we obtain results of P. Hall [2] and J. Tappe [6] on the irreducible characters of isoclinic groups.

**2.1. LEMMA.** Let  $G$  and  $G/N$  be isoclinic groups. If  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  is the set of irreducible characters of the (necessarily abelian) group  $N$ , then each  $\lambda_i$  can be extended to a linear character  $\hat{\lambda}_i$  of  $G$ . If  $\{\chi_1, \dots, \chi_n\}$  is the set of irreducible characters of  $G$  with  $N < \ker \chi_i$ , then  $\chi_j \hat{\lambda}_i = \chi_k \hat{\lambda}_t$  if and only if  $j = k$  and  $i = t$ , and

$$\text{Irr}(G) = \{\chi_j \hat{\lambda}_i \mid j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}.$$

Hence we have  $r_d(G) = |N| r_d(G/N)$ .

**PROOF.**  $N$  is a central subgroup of  $G$ , for  $[G, N] < N \cap G' = 1$ . If  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(N)$ , then  $\lambda$  has an extension  $\bar{\lambda}$  to  $G'N = G' \times N$ , such that  $\ker \bar{\lambda} > G'$ . Thus  $\lambda$  can be viewed as an irreducible character of a subgroup of  $G/G'$ , and thus  $\lambda$  has an extension to  $G$ . Let  $\text{Irr}(N) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ , and denote each extension of  $\lambda_j$  to  $G$  by  $\hat{\lambda}_j$ . Then it follows (taking the restriction to  $N$ ) by [4] theorem V.17.12b that the cardinality of the set  $I(G) := \{\chi_i \lambda_j \mid \chi_i \in \text{Irr}(G/N)\}$  equals  $nm$ . To prove that  $I(G) = \text{Irr}(G)$ , assume that  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . Then  $\chi|_N = \chi(1)\mu$ ,  $\mu \in \text{Irr}(N)$ . If  $\hat{\mu}$  is the extension of  $\mu$  to  $G$ , then we have by theorem V.17.12d of [4]:

$$\chi = \hat{\mu} \chi_j, \quad \chi_j \in \text{Irr}(G/N).$$

Since  $m = |N|$  and  $\hat{\lambda}_j(1) = 1$ , we therefore have  $r_d(G) = |N| r_d(G/N)$ . //

**REMARK.** The converse of the above lemma holds also. Thus, if  $N$  is a central subgroup of  $G$ , then the irreducible characters of  $N$  are simultaneously extendible to  $G$  if and only if  $N \cap G' = 1$ .

As a corollary we obtain the following results of P. Hall [2] and J. Tappe [6].

2.2. THEOREM. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be isoclinic groups. Then

- a) (P. Hall)  $|H|r_d(G) = |G|r_d(H)$ .  
 b) (J. Tappe) The matrices of the irreducible complex representations of  $G$  and  $H$  only differ by scalar factors.

PROOF. a) By theorem 1.4a there exist groups  $C$ ,  $Z_H$  and  $Z_G$  such that

$$(1) \quad G \simeq C/Z_H \sim C \sim C/Z_G \simeq H.$$

By lemma 2.1 and (1) we obtain the desired result:

$$\frac{r_d(G)}{r_d(H)} = \frac{r_d(C)|Z_H|}{r_d(C)|Z_G|} = \frac{|Z(G)|}{|Z(H)|} = \frac{|G|}{|H|}.$$

b) If  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  are irreducible characters of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively, then there exist linear characters  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  of  $C$  such that

$$\chi_1 \lambda_1 = \chi_2 \lambda_2.$$

The matrices of the irreducible representations of  $G$  and  $H$  differ therefore only by scalar factors. //

REMARK. Theorem 2.2 can also be proved via theorem 1.4b and lemma II.2.2 of [1].

### 3. INVARIANTS OF THE FAMILIES OF FINITE ISOCLINIC GROUPS

In [1] we have proved that the following hierarchy of classes of finite groups is invariant under isoclinisms: abelian, nilpotent, supersolvable, strongly-monomial, monomial, solvable.

The only non-trivial result here is that monomiality is an invariant of the families of isoclinic groups. However, since a finite group is monomial if and only if its irreducible complex matrix representations can be transformed into monomial form, this is now a direct consequence of theorem 2.2b, see also [6].

In general, nilpotency, supersolvability and solvability are invariants of the families of  $n$ -isoclinic groups. It is not known, whether monomiality is such an invariant if  $n > 2$ . We have however, the following result.

3.1. THEOREM. If  $G$  and  $H$  are finite  $n$ -isoclinic groups, then  $G$  is strongly-monomial if and only if  $H$  is strongly-monomial.

PROOF. A group  $G$  is called strongly-monomial (an  $\bar{M}$ -group), if  $G$  and all its subgroups are monomial. Let  $S$  be the set of all ordered pairs  $(G_1, G_2)$ , where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are finite solvable groups.

We write  $(G_1, G_2) < (H_1, H_2)$ , if  $|G_1| < |H_1|$  and  $|G_2| < |H_2|$ , while at least one of the inequalities is strict. Consider the following subset of  $S$ :

$$S_0 := \{(G_1, G_2) \in S \mid G_1 \underset{n}{\sim} G_2, G_1 \text{ an } \bar{M}\text{-group}, G_2 \text{ not an } \bar{M}\text{-group}\}.$$

Let  $(G, H)$  be a minimal counterexample. Then  $(G, H) \in S_0$  and there is no element  $(G_1, H_1) \in S_0$  such that  $(G_1, H_1) < (G, H)$ .

STEP 1.  $H$  is a minimal (solvable) non- $M$ -group, that is:  $H$  is non-monomial, but each proper subgroup and each proper factor group of  $H$  is monomial.

PROOF OF STEP 1. If  $H$  is monomial, then  $H$  has a non-monomial proper subgroup  $H_2$ . By lemma 1.2a there exists  $H_1 < G$  such that  $H_1 \sim_n H_2$ . Therefore  $(H_1, H_2) \in S_0$  and  $(H_1, H_2) < (G, H)$ . Contradiction. Similarly, each proper subgroup of  $H$  is monomial. Let  $H/N$  be a proper non-monomial factor group of  $H$ . Then by lemma 1.2b there exists a factor group  $G/N_1$  such that  $G/N_1 \sim_n H/N_2$ . Since  $(G/N_1, H/N_2) \in S_0$  this yields again a contradiction.

Our proof is now based on the structure of the solvable minimal non- $M$ -group  $H$ . By theorem 1.4 of D. T. Price [5] the group  $H$  has a normal  $p$ -subgroup  $F$  such that:

- a)  $F$  is extra-special of exponent  $p$ ,  $p$  prime,  $p = 2$ .
- a2)  $F$  is an extra-special 2-group, but not dihedral.
- b)  $H = FA$ , where  $A$  acts trivially on  $Z(F)$  and irreducibly on  $F/Z(F)$ .
- c) Either  $A$  is a  $p'$ -group or  $p = 2$  and  $A/O_2(A)$  is a cyclic 2-group.
- d)  $O_{p'}(H) = 1$ .
- e) If  $A$  is of odd order, then  $A$  is of prime order.

STEP 2.  $F < K_\infty(H)$ .

PROOF OF STEP 2. Since  $[F, A]$  is an  $A$ -invariant subgroup of  $F$ , we have either  $F'[F, A] = F$  or  $[F, A] < F' = Z(F) < Z(H)$ . If  $[F, A] < Z(H)$ , then  $[F, O_{p'}(A)] < Z(H)$ , so that  $O_{p'}(A)Z(H) \triangleleft H$ . This yields  $O_{p'}(A) \triangleleft H$ , and thus  $O_{p'}(A) < O_{p'}(H) = 1$ . But if  $O_{p'}(A) = 1$ , then  $H$  is a  $p$ -group. For, if  $A$  is a  $p'$ -group, then  $A = O_{p'}(A) = 1$ , and if  $p = 2$  and  $A/O_2(A)$  is a cyclic 2-group, then  $O_2(A) = 1$  would imply that  $A$ , whence also  $H$ , is a cyclic 2-group. Therefore, we have  $F = F'[F, A]$ ,  $F' = Z(F) < Z(H)$ , so that  $F' = [F, A]'$ .

Conclusion:  $F = [F, A]$  and  $F < H'$ .

This implies  $F = [F, A] < [H', H] = K_3(H)$ . With induction it follows that  $F < K_\infty(H)$ .

STEP 3.  $G$  is not an  $\tilde{M}$ -group.

PROOF OF STEP 3.  $F/Z(F)$  is a chief section of  $H$ . Since  $F < K_{n+1}(H)$ , there exists by lemma 1.2b a group  $F_1 < G$ , such that  $F_1 \simeq F$  and such that  $F_1/Z(F_1)$  is a chief section of  $G$ . Since  $Z(F)$  is a central subgroup of  $H$  we have by the same lemma that  $Z(F_1)$  is a central subgroup of  $G$ . It has been proved by Price [5] theorem 4, that  $Z(F)$  has a so-called ramified character  $\lambda$ , that is:  $\lambda$  is an  $H$ -invariant character of  $Z(F)$  such

that the induced character  $\lambda^F$  equals  $e\chi$ , where  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(F)$  and  $e^2 = [F : Z(F)]$ .

It will be clear now that  $F_1/Z(F_1)$  is a chief section of  $G$  with at least one ramified character. But this contradicts theorem 1.3 of [5] stating that an  $\tilde{M}$ -group has no chief sections with a ramified character. //

*Erasmus University, Rotterdam,  
The Netherlands*

#### REFERENCES

1. Bioch, J. C. – Monomiality of groups, Thesis, Leiden, 1975.
2. Hall, P. – The classification of prime-power groups, *J. reine und ang. Math.* **182**, 130–141 (1940).
3. Hall, P. – Verbal and marginal subgroups, *J. reine und ang. Math.* **182**, 156–157 (1940).
4. Huppert, B. – *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg 1967.
5. Price, D. T. – Character Ramification and  $M$ -Groups, *Math. Zeits.*, **130**, 325–337 (1973).
6. Tappe, J. – On isoclinic groups, *Math. Zeits.*, **148**, 147–153 (1976).
7. Weichsel, P. M. – On isoclinism, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **38**, 63–65 (1963).