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#### Abstract

The distribution of zeros of solutions of the neutral advanced differential equations $$
[x(t)+P(t) x(t+\tau)]^{\prime}-Q(t) x(t+\sigma)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}
$$ is investigated, where $P(t), Q(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right), \tau, \sigma \in R^{+}$. The estimate for the distance between adjacent zeros of the oscillatory solution of the above equation is obtained. (c) 2004 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Recently, there are a lot of activities concerning the distribution of zeros of solutions of delay or neutral delay differential equations; for example, see [1-7]. But, for the distribution of zeros of solutions of advanced differential equations, compared with those of delay differential equations, less is known up to now. This paper is devoted to the study of the distribution of zeros of solutions of the following neutral advanced differential equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)+P(t) x(t+\tau)]^{\prime}-Q(t) x(t+\sigma)=0, \quad t \geq t_{0}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(t), Q(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right), \quad \tau, \sigma \in R^{+} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this paper, we first give several lemmas which will enable us to prove our main results. Next, we study the distribution of zeros of solutions of equation (1). The estimate for the distance between. adjacent zeros of the oscillatory solution of equation (1) is obtained. Finally, two examples are given to illustrate our results.

## 2. LEMMAS

First, we define a sequence $\left\{f_{n}(\rho)\right\}, 0<\rho<1$, by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(\rho)=1, \quad f_{n+1}(\rho)=e^{\rho f_{n}(\rho)}, \quad n=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen, that for $\rho>0$,

$$
f_{n+1}(\rho)>f_{n}(\rho), \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Observe by [5], that when $0<\rho \leq 1 / e$, then there exists a function $f(\rho)$ such that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(\rho)=f(\rho), \quad 1 \leq f(\rho) \leq e
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\rho)=e^{\rho f(\rho)} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, when $\rho>1 / e$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{n}(\rho)=+\infty
$$

Next, we also define a sequence $\left\{g_{m}(\rho)\right\}, 0<\rho<1$, by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}(\rho)=\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\rho^{2}}, \quad g_{m+1}(\rho)=\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\rho^{2}+2 / g_{m}^{2}(\rho)}, \quad m=1,2, \ldots \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily seen that for $0<\rho<1$,

$$
g_{m+1}(\rho)<g_{m}(\rho), \quad m=1,2, \ldots
$$

Observe by [5], that when $0<\rho \leq 1 / e$, then there exists a function $g(\rho)$ such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} g_{m}(\rho)=g(\rho)
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(\rho)=\frac{2}{1-\rho-\sqrt{1-2 \rho-\rho^{2}}}, \quad \text { for } 0<\rho \leq \frac{1}{e} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove our main results, we need the following lemmas.
Consider the advanced differential inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)-Q(t) x(t+\sigma) \geq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1. Suppose that $Q(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right), \sigma \in R^{+}$and let $x(t)$ be a solution of inequality (7) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Further, assume that there exist $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and $0<\rho<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Q(s) d s \geq \rho, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exist $T_{0} \geq t_{1}$ and $T \geq T_{0}+3 \sigma$ such that $x(t)$ is positive on $\left[T_{0}, T\right]$. Then, for any $n \geq 1$ such that $T-(2+n) \sigma \geq T_{0}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)} \geq f_{n}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}, T-(2+n) \sigma\right] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{n}(\rho)$ is defined by (3).
Proof. From (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t) \geq Q(t) x(t+\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}, T-\sigma\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $x(t)$ is nondecreasing on $\left[T_{0}, T-\sigma\right]$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)} \geq 1=f_{0}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}, T-2 \sigma\right] \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $T_{0} \leq t \leq T-3 \sigma$, by dividing (7) by $x(t)$ and integrating from $t$ to $t+\sigma$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)}-\int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Q(s) \frac{x(s+\sigma)}{x(s)} d s \geq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (8), (11), and (12), we have

$$
\ln \frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)} \geq \int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Q(s) \frac{x(s+\sigma)}{x(s)} d s \geq \rho f_{0}(\rho)
$$

It follows that

$$
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)} \geq e^{\rho f_{0}(\rho)}=f_{1}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}, T-3 \sigma\right]
$$

Repeating the above procedure, we get

$$
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)} \geq e^{\rho f_{n-1}(\rho)}=f_{n}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}, T-(2+n) \sigma\right] .
$$

The proof of Lemma 1 is complete.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $Q(t) \in C\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right), \sigma \in R^{+}$and let $x(t)$ be a solution of inequality (7) on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right)$. Assume that there exist $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and a positive constant $\rho<1$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma} Q(s) d s \geq \rho, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{s+\sigma} Q(u) d u \geq \int_{t-\sigma}^{s} Q(u) d u, \quad \text { for } t_{1} \leq t \leq s+\sigma \leq t+\sigma \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, assume that there exist $T_{0} \geq t_{1}+\sigma$ and a positive integer $N \geq 4$ such that $x(t)$ is positive on $\left[T_{0}, T_{0}+N \sigma\right]$. Then, for any $m \leq N-3$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)}<g_{m}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}+m \sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{m}(\rho)$ is defined by (5).
Proof. From (13), we know that

$$
\int_{t-\sigma}^{t} Q(s) d s \geq \rho, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1}+\sigma
$$

Note that $F(\lambda)=\int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda} Q(s) d s$ is a continuous function. $F(t-\sigma)=0$ and $F(t) \geq \rho$. Thus, there exists a $\lambda_{t}$ such that $\int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda_{t}} Q(s) d s=\rho$, where $t-\sigma<\lambda_{t} \leq t$. When $T_{0}+\sigma \leq t \leq T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma$, integrating both sides of (7) for $t-\sigma$ to $\lambda_{t}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
x\left(\lambda_{t}\right)-x(t-\sigma) \geq \int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda_{t}} Q(s) x(s+\sigma) d s \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $t-\sigma \leq s \leq t$, we easily see that $t \leq s+\sigma \leq t+\sigma \leq \mathcal{T}_{0}+(N-2) \sigma$. Integrating both sides of (7) from $t$ to $s+\sigma$, we get

$$
x(s+\sigma)-x(t) \geq \int_{t}^{s+\sigma} Q(u) x(u+\sigma) d u
$$

From (10), it is clear that $x(u+\sigma)$ is nondecreasing on $T_{0} \leq u \leq T_{0}+(N-2) \sigma$. By (14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(s+\sigma) \geq x(t)+x(t+\sigma) \int_{t}^{s+\sigma} Q(u) d u \geq x(t)+x(t+\sigma) \int_{t-\sigma}^{s} Q(u) d u . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16) and (17), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x\left(\lambda_{t}\right) & \geq x(t-\sigma)+\int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda_{t}} Q(s) x(s+\sigma) d s \\
& \geq x(t-\sigma)+\int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda_{t}} Q(s)\left[x(t)+x(t+\sigma) \int_{t-\sigma}^{s} Q(u) d u\right] d s \\
& =x(t-\sigma)+\rho x(t)+x(t+\sigma) \int_{t-\sigma}^{\lambda_{t}} Q(s) d s \int_{t-\sigma}^{s} Q(u) d u \\
& =x(t-\sigma)+\rho x(t)+\frac{\rho^{2}}{2} x(t+\sigma) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Noting that $x\left(\lambda_{t}\right) \leq x(t)$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t) \geq x(t-\sigma)+\rho x(t)+\frac{\rho^{2}}{2} x(t+\sigma) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again since $x(t-\sigma)>0$ for $t \in\left[T_{0}+\sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right]$, by (18), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)}<\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\rho^{2}}=g_{1}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}+\sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right] . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $T_{0}+2 \sigma \leq t \leq T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma$, we easily see that $T_{0}+\sigma \leq t-\sigma \leq T_{0}+(N-4) \sigma$. Thus, by (19), we have

$$
x(t-\sigma)>\frac{x(t)}{g_{1}(\rho)}>\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{g_{1}^{2}(\rho)} .
$$

Substituting this into (18), we have

$$
x(t)>\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{g_{1}^{2}(\rho)}+\rho x(t)+\frac{\rho^{2}}{2} x(t+\sigma), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}+2 \sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right] .
$$

Therefore,

$$
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)}<\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\rho^{2}+2 / g_{1}^{2}(\rho)}=g_{2}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}+2 \sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right] .
$$

Repeating the above procedure, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x(t+\sigma)}{x(t)}<\frac{2(1-\rho)}{\rho^{2}+2 / g_{m-1}^{2}(\rho)}=g_{m}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{0}+m \sigma, T_{0}+(N-3) \sigma\right] . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Suppose that (2) holds and that $R(t) \in C^{1}\left(\left[t_{0}, \infty\right), R^{+}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(t)=\frac{Q(t) P(t+\sigma)}{Q(t+\tau)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad \sigma>\tau>0, \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that there exists $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \geq 1, \quad \text { for } t \geq t_{1} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, for any $T \geq t_{1}$, every solution of equation (1) has at least a zero on $[T, T+3 \sigma-\tau]$.
Proof. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we may assume that $x(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) satisfying $x(t)>0$ for $t \in[T, T+3 \sigma-\tau]$. For the convenience, in the sequel, we denote

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)=x(t)+P(t) x(t+\tau) . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+3 \sigma-2 \tau] \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)=Q(t) x(t+\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+2 \sigma-\tau], \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $z(t)$ is nondecreasing on $[T, T+2 \sigma-\tau]$. By (26) and (24), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{\prime}(t) & =Q(t) x(t+\sigma) \\
& =Q(t)[z(t+\sigma)-P(t+\sigma) x(t+\sigma+\tau)] \\
& =Q(t) z(t+\sigma)-\frac{Q(t) P(t+\sigma)}{Q(t+\tau)} z^{\prime}(t+\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\prime}(t)+R(t) z^{\prime}(t+\tau)-Q(t) z(t+\sigma)=0, \quad \text { for } t \geq T \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R(t)=Q(t) P(t+\sigma) / Q(t+\tau) \geq 0$. We set

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)=z(t)+R(t) z(t+\tau), \quad \text { for } t \geq T \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have by (25) and (28)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+3(\sigma-\tau)], \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by (22), (25), and (27),

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)=R^{\prime}(t) z(t+\tau)+Q(t) z(t+\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+2(\sigma-\tau)] \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z(t)$ is nondecreasing on $[T, T+2 \sigma-\tau]$, we have by (28)

$$
w(t) \leq[1+R(t)] z(t+\tau), \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+2(\sigma-\tau)] .
$$

Thus,

$$
z(t+\tau) \geq \frac{w(t)}{1+R(t)}, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+2(\sigma-\tau)]
$$

It follows by (22) and (30), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{Q(t)}{1+R(t+\sigma-\tau)} w(t+\sigma-\tau) \geq w^{\prime}(t)-Q(t) z(t+\sigma) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+\sigma-\tau] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating both sides of (31) from $T$ to $T+\sigma-\tau$, we obtain by (23),(30)

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(T+\sigma-\tau) & \geq w(T)+\int_{T}^{T+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} w(s+\sigma-\tau) d s \\
& \geq w(T)+w(T+\sigma-\tau) .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is, $w(T) \leq 0$, which contradicts (29) and completes the proof.

Corollary 1. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 1 hold. Then, the distances between adjacent zeros of every solution of equation (1) on $\left[t_{1}, \infty\right.$ ) are less than $3 \sigma-\tau$.

Theorem 2. Assume that (2), (21), and (22) hold and that there exist $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and a positive constant $\rho, 1 / e<\rho<1$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma \cdots \tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \geq \rho \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{t}^{s+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(u)}{1+R(u+\sigma-\tau)} d u \geq \int_{t-\sigma+\tau}^{s} \frac{Q(u)}{1+R(u+\sigma-\tau)} d u  \tag{33}\\
t_{1} \leq t \leq s+\sigma-\tau \leq t+\sigma-\tau
\end{gather*}
$$

Then, for any $T \geq t_{1}+\sigma-\tau$, every solution of equation (1) has at least a zero on $[T, T+2 \sigma+$ $k(\sigma-\tau)$ ], where

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=\min _{n \geq 1, m \geq 1}\left\{n+m \mid f_{n}(\rho) \geq g_{m}(\rho)\right\} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we assume that $x(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) satisfying $x(t)>0$ for $t \in[T, T+2 \sigma+k(\sigma-\tau)]$. By the proof of Theorem 1, we obtain

$$
w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{Q(t)}{1+R(t+\sigma-\tau)} w(t+\sigma-\tau) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+k(\sigma-\tau)],
$$

and

$$
w(t)>0, \quad \text { for } t \in[T, T+(k+2)(\sigma-\tau)] .
$$

Let $k=n^{*}+m^{*}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n^{*}}(\rho) \geq g_{m^{*}}(\rho) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(t+\sigma-\tau)}{w(t)} \geq f_{n^{*}}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T, T+\left(k-n^{*}\right)(\sigma-\tau)\right] \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 2, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(t+\sigma-\tau)}{w(t)}<g_{m^{*}}(\rho), \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T+m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T+(k-1)(\sigma-\tau)\right] . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Setting $t^{*}=T+\left(k-n^{*}\right)(\sigma-\tau)=T+m^{*}(\sigma-\tau)$ in (36) and (37), we have

$$
f_{n^{*}}(\rho) \leq \frac{w\left(t^{*}+\sigma-\tau\right)}{w\left(t^{*}\right)}<g_{m^{*}}(\rho)
$$

which contradicts (35) and completes the proof.
Corollary 2. Assume that the conditions in Theorem 2 hold. Then, the distances between the adjacent zeros of every solution of equation (1) on $\left[t_{1}+\sigma-\tau, \infty\right)$ are less than $2 \sigma+k(\sigma-\tau)$, where $k$ is defined by (34).

Theorem 3. Assume that (2), (21), (22), and (33) hold and that there exist $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and a constant $\rho, 0<\rho \leq 1 / e$, such that (32) holds. Further, assume that there exists a sequence $\left\{T_{i}\right\}: T_{i} \rightarrow \infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{i}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \geq L>\frac{1+\ln f(\rho)}{f(\rho)}-\frac{1-\rho-\sqrt{1-2 \rho-\rho^{2}}}{2} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(\rho)$ satisfies equation (4) on [1, e]. Then, every solution of equation (1) has at least a zero on $\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+2 \sigma+n^{*}(\sigma-\tau)\right]$, where $m^{*}$ and $n^{*}$ satisfy $T_{i} \geq t_{1}+\left(m^{*}+1\right)(\sigma-\tau)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
n^{*}+m^{*}=\min _{n \geq 1, m \geq 1}\left\{n+m \left\lvert\, L>\frac{1+\ln f_{n-1}(\rho)}{f_{n-1}(\rho)}-\frac{1}{g_{m}(\rho)}\right.\right\} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we assume that $x(t)$ is a solution of equation (1) satisfying $x(t)>0$ for $t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+2 \sigma+n^{*}(\sigma-\tau)\right]$. By the proof of Theorem 1 , we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\prime}(t)-\frac{Q(t)}{1+R(t+\sigma-\tau)} w(t+\sigma-\tau) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+n^{*}(\sigma-\tau)\right] \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $w(t)>0$, for $t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+\left(n^{*}+2\right)(\sigma-\tau)\right]$. By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{w(t+\sigma-\tau)}{w(t)} \geq f_{n^{*}}(\rho), & \text { for } t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}\right] \\
\frac{w(t+\sigma-\tau)}{w(t)} \geq f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho), & \text { for } t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right] \tag{42}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w(t)}{w(t+\sigma-\tau)}>\frac{1}{g_{m^{*}}(\rho)}, \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{i}, T_{i}+\left(n^{*}-1\right)(\sigma-\tau)\right] \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, from (30) we have

$$
w^{\prime}(t) \geq 0, \quad \text { for } t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+\left(n^{*}+1\right)(\sigma-\tau)\right]
$$

That is, $w(t)$ is nondecreasing on $t \in\left[T_{i}-m^{*}(\sigma-\tau), T_{i}+\left(n^{*}+1\right)(\sigma-\tau)\right]$. From (39), $n^{*}$ and $m^{*}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
L>\frac{1+\ln f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}{f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}-\frac{1}{g_{m^{*}}(\rho)} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\{f_{n}(\rho)\right\}$ is increasing, by (41) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w\left(T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right)}{w\left(T_{i}\right)} \geq f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $w(t)$ is nondecreasing, there exists a $t_{i}^{*} \in\left(T_{i}, T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{w\left(T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right)}{w\left(t_{i}^{*}\right)}=f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho) \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating (40) from $T_{i}$ to $t_{i}^{*}$ and noting that $w(t)$ is nondecreasing, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(t_{i}^{*}\right)-w\left(T_{i}\right) & \geq \int_{T_{i}}^{t_{i}^{*}} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} w(s+\sigma-\tau) d s \\
& \geq w\left(T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right) \int_{T_{i}}^{t_{i}^{*}} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{i}}^{t_{i}^{*}} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \leq \frac{w\left(t_{i}^{*}\right)}{w\left(T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right)}-\frac{w\left(T_{i}\right)}{w\left(T_{i}+\sigma-\tau\right)} . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (43), (46), and (47), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{T_{i}}^{t_{i}^{*}} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \leq \frac{1}{f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}-\frac{1}{g_{m^{*}}(\rho)} . \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Dividing (40) by $w(t)$ and integrating from $t_{i}^{*}$ to $T_{i}+\sigma-\tau$ and noting (42), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{t_{i}^{*}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{w(s)} d s & \geq \int_{t_{i}^{*}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} \cdot \frac{w(s+\sigma-\tau)}{w(s)} d s \\
& \geq f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho) \int_{t_{i}^{*}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s,
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{t_{i}^{*}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \leq \frac{1}{f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)} \int_{t_{i}^{*}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{w^{\prime}(s)}{w(s)} d s=\frac{\ln f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}{f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (44), (48), and (49), we have

$$
\int_{T_{i}}^{T_{i}+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \leq \frac{1+\ln f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}{f_{n^{*}-1}(\rho)}-\frac{1}{g_{m^{*}}(\rho)}<L
$$

which contradicts (38) and completes the proof.
Corollary 3. Assume that (2), (21), (22), and (33) hold and that there exist $t_{1} \geq t_{0}$ and a constant $\rho, 0<\rho \leq 1 / e$, such that (32) holds. Further, assume that

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t}^{t+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s \geq L>\frac{1+\ln f(\rho)}{f(\rho)}-\frac{1-\rho-\sqrt{1-2 \rho-\rho^{2}}}{2} .
$$

Then, every solution of equation (1) oscillates.
Finally, we give two examples.
Example 1. Consider the neutral advanced differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x(t)+x(t+1)]^{\prime}-4 x(t+1.5)=0, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(t)=1, Q(t)=4, \tau=1, \sigma=1.5$. We have $R(t)=1$ and

$$
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s=1, \quad t \geq 0
$$

Applying Theorem 1, we obtain for any $T \geq 0$ every solution of equation (50) has at least a zero on $[T, T+3.5]$. Therefore, the distances between adjacent zeros of every solution of equation (50) on $[0, \infty)$ are less than 3.5.
Example 2. Consider the advanced differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}(t)-\frac{2}{5}(1+\cos 2 \pi t) x(t+1)=0, \quad t \geq 0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P(t)=0, Q(t)=(2 / 5)(1+\cos 2 \pi t), \tau=0, \sigma=1$. We have $R(t)=0$,

$$
\int_{t}^{t+\sigma \sim \tau} \frac{Q(s)}{1+R(s+\sigma-\tau)} d s=\frac{2}{5}=\rho>\frac{1}{e}, \quad t \geq 0
$$

and

$$
\int_{t}^{s+\sigma-\tau} \frac{Q(u)}{1+R(u+\sigma-\tau)} d u=\int_{t-\sigma+\tau}^{s} \frac{Q(u)}{1+R(u+\sigma-\tau)} d u, \quad 0 \leq t \leq s+\sigma \leq t+\sigma .
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
f_{n}(\rho)<5<g_{m}(\rho), & & 1 \leq n \leq 10, \quad m \geq 1 ; \\
f_{11}(\rho)>g_{m}(\rho), & & m \geq 3 ; \\
f_{12}(\rho)>g_{m}(\rho), & & m \geq 1 .
\end{array}
$$

Hence, we have $k=12+1=13$ and $2 \sigma+k(\sigma-\tau)=15$. By Corollary 2 , the distances between adjacent zeros of every solution of equation (51) on $[1, \infty)$ are less than 15.
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