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ARTICLE INFO

PROTEIN DEGRADATION IS AN ESSENTIAL CELLULAR FUNCTION THAT, WHEN DYSREGULATED OR IMPAIRED, CAN LEAD TO A WIDE VARIETY OF DISEASE STATES. THE TWO MAJOR INTRACELLULAR PROTEIN DEGRADATION SYSTEMS ARE THE UBIQUITIN-PROTEASOME SYSTEM (UPS) AND AUTOPHAGY, A CATABOLIC PROCESS THAT INVOLVES DELIVERY OF CELLULAR COMPONENTS TO THE LYSOSOME FOR DEGRADATION. WHILE THE UPS HAS GARNERED MUCH ATTENTION AS IT RELATES TO NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE, IMPORTANT LINKS BETWEEN AUTOPHAGY AND NEURODEGENERATION HAVE ALSO BECOME EVIDENT. FURTHERMORE, RECENT STUDIES HAVE REVEALED INTERACTION BETWEEN THE UPS AND AUTOPHAGY, SUGGESTING A COORDINATED AND COMPLEMENTARY RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THESE DEGRADATION SYSTEMS THAT BECOMES CRITICAL IN TIMES OF CELLULAR STRESS. HERE WE DESCRIBE AUTOPHAGY AND REVIEW EVIDENCE IMPLICATING THIS SYSTEM AS AN IMPORTANT PLAYER IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE. WE DISCUSS THE ROLE OF AUTOPHAGY IN NEURODEGENERATION AND REVIEW ITS NEUROPROTECTIVE FUNCTIONS AS REVEALED BY EXPERIMENTAL MANIPULATION IN DISEASE MODELS. FINALLY, WE EXPLORE POTENTIAL PARALLELS AND CONNECTIONS BETWEEN AUTOPHAGY AND THE UPS, HIGHLIGHTING THEIR COLLABORATIVE ROLES IN PROTECTING AGAINST NEURODEGENERATIVE DISEASE.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. A precarious balance

The energy expenditure needed to produce protein is substantial; thus, the degradation of these macromolecules comes at a high cost. Nevertheless, protein turnover is essential for removing defective proteins and for contributing to the pool of amino acids required for continued protein synthesis, particularly in times of limited nutrient availability. Furthermore, many essential cellular functions—including cell division, transcription, and signal transduction—are regulated by fluctuation in protein levels accomplished by altering the balance of protein synthesis and degradation. The role of protein catabolism in protecting cells from defective, misfolded proteins has been the subject of increased attention as its relevance to human disease has become apparent. A substantial fraction of newly synthesized proteins are translated incorrectly or fold incorrectly due to errors in synthesis or genetic mutations [1–4]. Oxidative or nitrosylative damage adds to the burden of defective proteins. Efficient degradation of these proteins is essential, as cells cannot risk the long-term accumulation of proteins that engage in aberrant protein-protein interactions, form insoluble aggregates, or acquire other toxic properties. Considering the importance of protein catabolism in maintaining cell homeostasis, it is not surprising that dysregulation of protein turnover is associated with myriad disease states such as cancer and neurodegeneration [5].

Two major pathways accomplished regulated protein catabolism: the ubiquitin-proteasome system (UPS) and the autophagy-lysosomal system. The UPS serves as the primary route for degradation for thousands of short-lived proteins and provides the exquisite specificity and temporal control needed for fine-tuning the steady-state levels of many regulatory proteins [6]. UPS-mediated catabolism is also essential to maintain amino acid pools in acute starvation and contributes significantly to the degradation of defective proteins [1,2,7]. Autophagy, by contrast, is primarily responsible for degrading long-lived proteins and maintaining amino acid pools in the setting of chronic starvation, although its contribution to the degradation of defective proteins may equal that of the UPS. Though it has received less attention than the UPS historically, breakthroughs in the molecular genetics of autophagy have led to a renaissance of interest in this catabolic pathway and have revealed many surprising insights about its regulation, function, and contribution to protein degradation, in both normal and disease states. This review will (1) highlight the parallels between the UPS and autophagy in their roles and regulation, (2) explore the role of autophagy in neurodegeneration, noting parallels with the UPS, and (3) discuss emerging evidence of a functional relationship between the UPS and autophagy and its relevance to neurodegeneration.

2. The basics: Roles and regulation

“Autophagy”, literally “self-eating”, describes a catabolic process in which cell constituents such as organelles and proteins are delivered to the lysosomal compartment for degradation. Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved process whose primary task in lower organisms is...
the maintenance of metabolic homeostasis in the face of changing nutrient availability [8]. This role in recycling is complementary to that of the UPS, which degrades proteins to generate oligopeptides that are subsequently degraded into amino acids while replenishing the cell’s supply of free ubiquitin. Recent advances have demonstrated that autophagy also serves a surprisingly diverse array of additional functions, including organelle clearance, antigen presentation, elimination of microbes, as well as regulation of development and cell death [9]. Traditionally, autophagy has been considered a less selective degradative pathway than the UPS and is frequently illustrated as the engulfment of large portions of cytoplasm (including nearby cytosolic bystanders) and delivery of the contents to the lysosome in bulk. This view of autophagy as a crude, nonselective form of catabolism has been challenged by the appreciation of specialized forms of autophagy that are distinguished by the identity of the substrates and the route by which these substrates reach the lysosomal compartment (Fig. 1). Microautophagy consists of direct engulfment of small volumes of cytosol by lysosomes [10], whereas chaperone-mediated autophagy (CMA) involves selective, receptor-mediated translocation of proteins into the lysosomal lumen [11]. These processes are distinguished from macroautophagy, in which an isolation membrane expands to engulf a portion of the cell, eventually fusing to form a new autophagic vacuole that subsequently fuses with a lysosome [12]. Even within the category of macroautophagy, there appears to be capability for selectivity, as autophagic processes have been observed that appear to be specific for mitochondria (mitophagy), portions of the nucleus (nuclophyagy), peroxisomes (pexophagy), endoplasmic reticulum (reticulophagy), microorganisms (xenophagy), ribosomes (ribophagy) or protein aggregates (aggrephagy) (reviewed in [5]).

While the molecular regulation of microautophagy remains obscure, there has been substantial insight into the regulation of CMA and macroautophagy. CMA is a process in which proteins harboring a pentapeptide motif related to the sequence KFERQ are specifically recognized by a cytosolic chaperone, the heat shock cognate protein of 70 kDa (hsc70). The substrate-chaperone complex is then targeted to the lysosome by binding to lysosome-associated membrane protein 2A (LAMP-2A) which carries out receptor-mediated translocation of the substrate into the lysosome for degradation [11,13]. Up to 30% of all cytosolic proteins harbor the CMA recognition motif and are potentially subject to degradation by this catabolic pathway during long-term nutrient deprivation [11,14].

While it remains unclear how substrates are specifically marked for degradation by macroautophagy (hereafter referred to as autophagy), the identification of a family of autophagy-related (Atg) genes in yeast and their homologues in higher organisms has permitted minute dissection of the general process by which autophagy engulfs and degrades its targets. The initial step in autophagy involves expansion of a membranous structure called the “isolation membrane” or “phagophore” that engulfs a portion of the cell; the membrane eventually fuses to form a new double-membraned structure known as an autophagosome (Fig. 1). The process of autophagy is controlled by parallel activation cascades that involve ubiquitin-like (UBL) protein modification, strikingly similar to the activation cascade that regulates the UPS (Fig. 2a). In the first arm of the Atg conjugation system, phagophore membrane elongation is triggered through the sequential action of an E1-like protein (Atg7) and an E2-like protein (Atg10) leading to an isopeptide linkage between the C-terminal glycine in the UBL protein Atg12 and a lysine residue of Atg5 (Fig. 2b). These Atg12-Atg5 conjugates are further cross-linked to Atg16 to form a large (~350 kDa) multimeric complex, which has been thought to act as a structural support for membrane expansion [15]. More recent work has demonstrated that the Atg12-Atg5 conjugate can function as an E3-like enzyme in the second arm of the Atg conjugation cascade to promote lipidation of Atg8 [16]. In a second arm of the Atg conjugation system, Atg4 cleaves the UBL protein Atg8 to promote interaction with Atg7. Atg8 is then conjugated with the phospholipid phosphotidylethanolamine (PE) by the concerted action of the E2-like Atg3 and the E3-like Atg12-Atg5...
conjugate. Of note, this E3-like activity results in a protein-lipid conjugation, in contrast to the classical E3 protein-protein conjugation of the UPS. As PE is a component of the autophagosomal membrane, the lipidation reaction results in studding of the inner and outer membranes of autophagosomes with Atg8 (Fig. 2c).

Once formed, new autophagosomes move through a stepwise maturation process that culminates with fusion to a lysosome permitting degradation of the luminal contents. In mammals, autophagosomes first fuse with endosomes and multivesicular bodies to form amphisomes, which subsequently fuse with lysosomes to create degradative vacuoles termed autolysosomes [17]. Autophagosomes and autolysosomes can be distinguished morphologically, as autophagosomes contain contents with densities similar to cytosol, while autolysosomes appear as electron-dense material with a hollow rim beneath the limiting membrane. However, because of occasional ambiguity in distinguishing autophagosomes, amphisomes, and autolysosomes morphologically, the term “autophagic vacuole” frequently appears in the literature to refer to all three structures.

Four metazoan homologs of Atg8 have been identified: MAP-LC3 (microtubule-associated protein light chain 3), GABARAP (γ-aminobutyric-acid-type-A-receptor-associated protein), GATE-16 (Golgi-associated ATPase enhancer of 16 kDa), and Atg8L, although GABARAP, GATE-16, and Atg8L have not been extensively characterized. While GABARAP and GATE-16 may also be conjugated to PE in experimental systems, at present MAP-LC3 (typically abbreviated LC3) is the only protein that is known to remain associated with the autophagosome in higher eukaryotes. Pro-LC3 is cleaved co-translationally to create a form of LC3 denoted “LC3-I.” LC3-I becomes conjugated to PE to form “LC3-II” and thereby covalently associates with the phagophore. Consequently, the generation and turnover of LC3-II is used as an index of autophagy induction and/or flux [18]. LC3-II staining is also used as a primary histological marker of autophagosomes. Because LC3-II remains on the inner membrane of autophagosomes until lysosomal enzymes degrade it, increased steady-state levels of LC3-II may be due to induction of autophagosome formation, a blockade in their maturation, or both. Distinguishing between these possibilities for experimental purposes is readily accomplished with the use of chemical inhibitors of maturation [18].

3. A role for autophagy in neurodegeneration

Many neurodegenerative diseases are characterized by accumulation of misfolded protein deposits in affected brain regions, suggesting a failure in the cell’s degradative capacity [19]. Neurons, as highly metabolically active, post-mitotic cells, are especially vulnerable to the accumulation of defective proteins, and this may account for the frequency with which conformational diseases affect the nervous system. In most cases, these proteinaceous deposits are composed of ubiquitin conjugates, suggesting a failure in the clearance of proteins targeted for proteasomal degradation. Indeed, experimental evidence indicates that neurodegeneration is frequently associated with impaired UPS function, although whether this is a cause or consequence of neurodegeneration is a contested issue, as is reviewed elsewhere in this special issue. It has also been suggested that autophagy plays a role in the initiation or progression of some neurodegenerative diseases [20]. This suggestion originates from the observed accumulation of autophagic vacuoles in neurons from affected brain regions in a number of neurodegenerative diseases, including Alzheimer’s disease, Parkinson’s disease, Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease, and many of the polyglutamine diseases [21–24]. This notion has since been validated by experimental evidence and insights provided by human genetics, as described below.

4. Neurodegeneration is frequently characterized by increased frequency of autophagic vacuoles

Huntington’s and Alzheimer’s diseases are among the best-studied examples where histopathology implicates autophagy as playing a

Fig. 2. Assembly and elongation of autophagic membranes are accomplished via sequential action of UPS-like E1-E2-E3 cascades. In each case, an E1 enzyme activates a ubiquitin-like protein (UBL) such as ubiquitin, Atg12, or Atg8. The UBL is then transferred to an E2 conjugating enzyme, followed by an association with an E3 ligase that promotes association of the UBL and its target. (a) In the UPS, ubiquitination of substrates is accomplished by an E1-activating enzyme, E2-conjugating enzyme, and an E3-ligase. (b) In the first arm of the Atg conjugation pathway, Atg12 associates with the E1-like Atg7, is transferred to the E2-like Atg10, and is subsequently conjugated to Atg5. No E3-like protein has been identified in this pathway. (c) In the second arm of the Atg conjugation pathway, Atg8 associates with the E1-like Atg7, is transferred to the E2-like Atg3, and is conjugated to PE via the E3-like action of the Atg12-Atg5 complex. Adapted from [101] with permission.
role in disease pathogenesis. Alzheimer’s disease pathology features massive accumulation of autophagic vacuoles within large swellings along dystrophic and degenerating neurites in neocortical and hippocampal pyramidal neurons [21]. In Huntington’s disease, affected neurons show accumulation of huntingtin in cathepsin D positive vacuoles [24]. cathepsin D is a lysosomal protease enriched in neuronal tissues, suggesting that these are autolysosomes. However, the observed increased frequency of autophagic vacuoles in disease brain is ambiguous with respect to whether autophagy is induced or whether autophagy flux is impaired. Furthermore, autophagosomes are frequently observed in dying neurons, where it is unclear whether autophagy is operating as a futile cytoprotective response, whether autophagy mediates cell death, or whether it is induced secondarily in a cell already otherwise committed to dying. Insight into the role of autophagy in neurodegeneration has been provided by studies indicating that: 1) some neurodegenerative disease-related proteins are degraded by autophagy, 2) impairment of autophagy promotes neurodegeneration in animal models and several human neurodegenerative diseases, and 3) manipulation of autophagy modifies phenotypes in animal models of neurodegeneration.

5. Neurodegenerative disease-related proteins are degraded by autophagy

That neurodegenerative disease-causing proteins are frequently degraded by autophagy was demonstrated by a series of in vitro studies which showed that pharmacological induction or inhibition of macroautophagy alters the rate of turnover of a number of disease-related proteins including polyglutamine-expanded proteins, poly-alanine-expanded proteins, as well as wild type and mutant forms of α-synuclein [25,26]. Moreover, ultrastructural analysis by immuno-electron microscopy showed that in cell culture models, disease-related proteins are delivered to autophagic vacuoles [27,28]. CMA has also been found to contribute to the degradation of α-synuclein [29]. Collectively, these studies suggested that autophagy contributes to the degradation of multiple disease proteins and the efficiency of this pathway could relate to the onset or progression of disease. Of note, there is evidence that many of these same disease-causing proteins are also degraded by the UPS [30–32], suggesting that more than one degradative route may be available to them. In the case of α-synuclein, for example, Webb et al. concluded that soluble forms of the disease protein are efficiently degraded by the UPS, while aggregated or oligomeric α-synuclein require autophagy for clearance [26]. These observations have led to the suggestion that autophagy provides an alternate, compensatory route of degradation when clearance by the UPS and CMA are compromised. The relative contribution of autophagy and the UPS to degrading disease-related substrates, and the relationship of this to the onset and progression of various diseases, remains to be elucidated — and this may differ amongst different diseases.

6. Impairment of autophagy promotes neurodegeneration

It is becoming increasingly evident that the autophagy-lysosomal system is essential to neuronal homeostasis, and may in some settings be neuroprotective. The consequences of impaired lysosome function, for example, may be observed in cathepsin D knockout mice and Drosophila melanogaster cathepsin D mutants which show neurodegeneration and associated accumulation of autophagosomes and lysosomes [33–35]. The importance of autophagy to neuronal homeostasis is further illustrated by characterization of mice with conditional knockout of Atg genes. These mice die prematurely with extensive neurodegeneration and ubiquitin-positive pathology [36,37]. On the basis of these observations one might predict that impairment of autophagy could contribute to neurodegenerative disease in humans. Indeed, primary lysosomal dysfunction in inherited congenital “lysosomal storage disorders” has long been recognized to cause severe neurodegenerative phenotypes characterized pathologically by accumulations of lysosomes and autophagic vacuoles [38]. For example, the neuronal ceroid lipofuscinoses (NCLs) are a heterogeneous group of inherited, neurodegenerative disorders with onset ranging from infancy to late adulthood that are caused by a variety of defects in lysosomal function. Furthermore, a growing list of adult-onset, familial neurological diseases have been linked to mutations expected to have an impact on autophagy-lysosomal function (reviewed in [38]), including Kufor-Rakeb syndrome (a form of early-onset parkinsonism with dementia) [39], Charcot-Marie-Tooth type 2B (CMT2B) [40], and distal-spinobulbar muscular atrophy (distal-SBMA) [41]. Mutations in CLN3, a transmembrane protein that localizes to the late endosomal/lysosomal membrane, cause a form of NCL. CLN3-related neurodegeneration appears to be a consequence of reduced autophagosome-lysosome fusion [42]. Mutations in ATP13A2, which encodes a primarily neuronal lysosomal ATPase, were recently found to cause Kufor-Rakeb syndrome (previously designated PARK9). Disease-causing mutations in ATP13A2 result in protein retention in the endoplasmic reticulum and enhanced proteasomal degradation, suggesting that neurodegeneration could be caused by overwhelming the UPS and/or loss of function in lysosomal protein degradation [39]. Mutations in Rab7 cause the dominantly inherited axonal neuropathy CMT2B. Rab7 participates in trafficking autophagosomes and fusion with lysosomes and disease-causing mutations are predicted to impair this process [43–45]. Mutations in p150/dynactin are responsible for the motor neuron disease distal-SBMA. Microtubule-based vesicular trafficking is essential for delivery of autophagosomes to lysosomes and subsequent fusion [46], and impaired dynine-mediated trafficking is associated with impaired autophagosome/lysosome fusion and reduced protein turnover [47,48]. Thus, motor neuron loss in distal-SBMA may result from impaired autophagosome trafficking and/or fusion with lysosomes. Indeed, a mouse model of distal-SBMA that expresses mutant p150/dynactin is characterized by accumulation of ubiquitin-positive aggregates and autophagic vacuoles in affected neurons [49].

7. Manipulation of autophagy modifies neurodegenerative phenotypes in animal models

While deficiency in autophagy results in neurodegeneration, a separate question concerns the role of autophagy in the context of diseases initiated by mutations in genes unrelated to autophagic function. To investigate this perspective, researchers have turned to animal models of common neurodegenerative diseases that are amenable to genetic and pharmacological manipulation of autophagy. These studies have largely shown that reduced autophagy worsens disease phenotypes whereas augmented autophagy provides benefit, leading to the conclusion that autophagy is cytoprotective. For example, in a Drosophila model of X-linked spinobulbar muscular atrophy (SBMA), a polyglutamine disease, degeneration was strongly enhanced by genetic inhibition of autophagy [50]. Similarly, in transgenic mice expressing amyloid precursor protein, a model of Alzheimer’s disease, genetic inhibition of autophagy by heterozygous depletion of beclin-1 resulted in enhancement of neurodegeneration [51]. In both of these studies it was determined that autophagy deficiency results in greater accumulation of the offending, disease-related protein [50,51], suggesting that autophagy was needed to degrade cytotoxic proteins. This provided the rationale for investigating whether increasing autophagic activity might provide benefit. Pharmacological upregulation of autophagy can be accomplished using the drug rapamycin, which works by inhibiting TOR (target of rapamycin), a pleiotropic molecule that negatively regulates autophagy, among other functions. Indeed, treatment with rapamycin ameliorates the degenerative phenotype in a Drosophila model of
SBMA, as well as in Drosophila and mouse models of Huntington’s disease [48,50,52]. In addition, inducing autophagy in an TOR-independent manner using lithium [53] or trehalose [54–56] has been shown to accelerate clearance of disease proteins in vitro [56] and protect against neurodegeneration in mouse and Drosophila models of Huntington’s disease [53,54]. These exciting results have opened the door to the possibility that pharmacological upregulation of autophagy by rapamycin, lithium, trehalose, or a newer generation of small molecules might be of therapeutic benefit for patients with neurodegenerative disease. Recently, high throughput screening efforts have identified small molecule activators of autophagy. Some of these compounds inhibit TOR and activate autophagy in a manner analogous to rapamycin, but other compounds are TOR-independent and reflect multiple points of potential therapeutic intervention [57]. There have been fewer efforts to manipulate UPS function for therapeutic benefit in neurodegenerative disease, but it was recently shown that use of a proteasome activator enhanced survival in an in vitro model of Huntington’s disease [58], suggesting that augmenting other routes of protein degradation may also provide neuroprotection.

However, it should be pointed out that the relationship of autophagy to the accumulation of disease protein may not always be straightforward. There is evidence that in some cases cellular attempts to degrade cytotoxic protein aggregates interfere with normal autophagy function leading to lysosomal “indigestion” that ultimately compromises cell function or viability. For example, α-synuclein is degraded at least in part by CMA [29]. Mutations in α-synuclein that are causative of familial Parkinson’s disease are poorly transferred to the lysosomal lumen and accumulate on the lysosomal surface, resulting in blockade of receptor-mediated translocation. This results in disrupting degradation of other CMA substrates [29,59]. With respect to Alzheimer’s disease, an even more complex story is emerging. Several lines of evidence suggest that there is an impairment of autophagy resulting from impaired autophagosome-lysosome fusion combined with decreasing efficiency of the lysosomal system [60]. These vacuoles may further contribute to pathogenesis by interfering with normal intracellular trafficking and/or by leaking undigested toxic contents into the cytosol, or more generally by disrupting normal metabolic turnover required for neuronal homeostasis. Recent evidence suggests that the autophagic turnover of amyloid beta precursor protein (APP) may underlie the generation of toxic amyloid-β species [61]. Thus, the relationship of autophagy to Alzheimer’s disease progression is complex, with autophagy-related production of toxic amyloid-β that culminates in impaired autophagy and exacerbation of disease.

8. Links between UPS and autophagy

The UPS and autophagy were long viewed as independent, parallel degradation systems with no point of intersection. This view was initially challenged by the observation that monoubiquitination operates as a key signal in endocytosis, a process important for numerous cell functions including lysosomal biogenesis [62]. Subsequently, several lines of evidence have developed suggesting that the UPS and autophagy are functionally interrelated catabolic processes [50,63,64]. Specifically, these degradation systems share certain substrates and regulatory molecules, and show coordinated and, in some contexts, compensatory function. Thus, in contrast to the traditional notion of the UPS and autophagy providing discrete routes of degradation for short-lived and long-lived proteins, respectively, it is increasingly clear that a substantial subset of proteins may be degraded by either pathway. Short-lived proteins normally degraded by the UPS can be selectively degraded by autophagy under certain conditions [65,66], while longer-lived proteins can also be degraded by the UPS [67]. The neuronal protein α-synuclein, for example, can be degraded by the UPS, macroautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy [26,29]. Under conditions in which one degradation system is compromised, enhanced degradation by an alternate pathway may become critical to maintaining pools of amino acids for protein synthesis and may protect against the accumulation of a toxic species. As mentioned above, dramatic illustration of the interrelatedness of the UPS and autophagy was provided by characterizations of mice with conditional knockout of the essential autophagy genes Atg5 or Atg7 in the central nervous system, which resulted in neurodegeneration with accumulation of ubiquitin-positive pathology [36,37]. Given that these mice showed no observable defect in UPS function, these results suggest that some ubiquitin-tagged proteins may in fact normally be degraded by autophagy. This model is consistent with an older study showing that inactivation of the ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 leads to a defect in autolysosomal degradation and to an absence of ubiquitin-positive proteins within lysosomes [68].

Further illustration of the relationship between the UPS and autophagy can be found in a series of in vitro studies that examined the behavior of cells following challenge to the UPS. When cultured cells are challenged with excess misfolded protein that overwhels the UPS, or treated with proteasome inhibitors, ubiquitinated misfolded proteins are actively transported to a cytoplasmic, juxtanuclear structure that has been termed an “aggresome” [69]. It has been inferred that aggresome formation in vitro is a cytotoxic response in cultured cells since their formation correlates inversely with cell death, whereas interventions that block aggresome formation enhance cytotoxicity and slow the rate of turnover of misfolded proteins [27,64,70–72]. While aggresomes superficially resemble the cytoplasmic inclusions present in some neurodegenerative diseases, evidence of aggresome formation in vivo is lacking, and most pathological inclusions found in neurodegenerative disease are clearly not aggresomes. Nevertheless, studying the phenomenon of aggresome formation in vitro has provided insight into the cellular management of misfolded proteins; for example, helping to identify molecular machinery that protects cells from misfolded protein stress. It has now been established that clearance of misfolded proteins from aggresomes is mediated at least in part by autophagy, implicating this pathway as a compensatory mechanism for degrading misfolded proteins when the proteasome is impaired [27,64,71,73].

In additon to aggresome formation, impairment of the UPS in vitro has been found to induce autophagy [63,64]. This is observed, for example, in HeLa cells after prolonged proteasomal inhibition as evidenced by redistribution of LC3 into numerous puncta (Fig. 3a–c) and the accumulation of autophagic vacuoles based upon ultrastructural evaluation (Fig. 3d–g). Similar induction of autophagy is observed in response to genetic impairment of the proteasome in Drosophila [50]. The role of autophagy induction in the setting of UPS impairment appears to be cytoprotective since degenerative phenotypes associated with proteasome impairment are enhanced in an autophagy-deficient background (Fig. 4a–c), whereas this degeneration is suppressed when autophagy is induced with the TOR-inhibitor rapamycin (Fig. 3d). Similar results have recently been observed in vitro using the proteasome inhibitor lactacystin, as pre-treatment with rapamycin attenuates lactacystin-induced apoptosis and reduces lactacystin-induced ubiquitinated protein aggregation [74].

Although the mechanism whereby autophagy and UPS function are coordinated is little understood, several regulators have emerged as important players in mediating this crosstalk, including histone deacetylase 6 (HDAC6) [50,64,75], p62/sequestosome 1 (p62) [76], and the FYVE-domain containing protein Alfy [77]; notably, these proteins have all been found to regulate or be essential for aggresome formation. HDAC6 is a cytoplasmic microtubule-associated deacetylase whose targets include α-tubulin, Hsp90, and cortactin. HDAC6 interacts with polyubiquitinated proteins through a highly conserved 2n-finger ubiquitin-binding domain, and also interacts with dynein motors, suggesting that the molecule may provide a physical link between ubiquitinated cargo and transport machinery [70]. HDAC6 activity appears to be important for trafficking ubiquitinated proteins and...
lysosomes in vitro and this has led to the suggestion that HDAC6 coordinates delivery of substrates to autophagic machinery [64,70,78]. In Drosophila, HDAC6 overexpression was found to suppress degeneration associated with impaired UPS activity and also suppressed degeneration caused by toxic polyglutamine expression. In both cases, this rescue by HDAC6 was found to be autophagy dependent, consistent with a role for HDAC6 in linking the UPS and compensatory autophagy [50]. HDAC6 activity was also reported to regulate chaperone expression in response to heat shock by deacetylating Hsp90 leading to release and activation of the transcription factor HSF-1 [79].

p62 is another cytosolic protein whose structure suggests a function as an adaptor molecule linking ubiquitinated proteins to autophagic machinery. The C-terminal portion of p62 harbors both a ubiquitin-associated (UBA) domain which interacts non-covalently with ubiquitinated proteins [80,81] as well as an LC3-interacting region (LIR) [82]. Cellular stresses such as polyglutamine expression, proteasome impairment, oxidative stress, and increased misfolded protein burden activate transcription and translation of p62, suggesting that it functions broadly in stress situations [83,84]. p62 localizes to a variety of ubiquitin-positive neuropathological inclusions including Lewy bodies in Parkinson’s disease, neurofibrillary tangles in tauopathies, polyglutamine-expanded huntingtin aggregates in Huntington’s disease, and aggregates of mutant SOD1 in familial amyotrophic lateral sclerosis [85–87]. A role for p62 in protecting against misfolded protein stress is supported by the observation that RNAi-mediated knockdown of p62 exacerbates polyglutamine toxicity in vitro and diminishes the formation of ubiquitin-positive inclusions in response to misfolded protein stress [76] while reducing the ability of LC3 to coprecipitate ubiquitinated proteins [82]. Very recently, p62 was found to contain an LC3 recognition sequence that, when mutated, resulted in ubiquitin-and p62-positive inclusion formation [88]. Thus, it has been suggested that p62 provides a key link between autophagy and the UPS by facilitating autophagic degradation of ubiquitinated proteins. As predicted by this model, p62 null mice fail to form ubiquitin-positive protein aggregates in response to misfolded protein stress [89] and show age-related neurodegeneration [90]. Consistent results were obtained in studies of Drosophila deficient in Ref(2)p, the Drosophila homologue of p62 [91]. Recent models propose that p62 and HDAC6 function analogously to facilitate autophagic degradation of proteins that display specific polyubiquitin topology. Specifically, it is suggested that K63-linked polyubiquitin chains recruit p62 and HDAC6 providing a signal for autophagic degradation [92,93].

Alfy (autophagy-linked FYVE protein) is a third possible molecular link between autophagy and the UPS. Alfy is a member of the FYVE-domain family of proteins. In cells that are exposed to stressors such as starvation or UPS inhibition, Alfy relocates from the nuclear envelope to filamentous cytoplasmic structures that are near autophagic membranes and ubiquitinated protein inclusions, as well as within autophagosomes [77]. Mutations in blue cheese, the Drosophila homology of human Alfy, lead to reduced longevity and the accumulation of ubiquitinated neural aggregates, suggesting that its role in autophagic degradation may be involved in the clearance of ubiquitin aggregates [77,94].

9. Summary and unresolved questions

The last few years have led to substantial insight into the relationship between autophagy and the UPS. It has become apparent...
that there is significant similarity, and in some cases overlap, in the regulation of these catabolic pathways by UBL modification, leading to the suggestion that they evolved from a common biological origin [95]. Further, it has become evident that the function of autophagy and the UPS are coordinated. For example, impairment of the UPS results in upregulation of autophagy ([50,64] and Fig. 3), and in some contexts this upregulation of autophagy can compensate for impaired UPS function [50,74]. However, it is not known whether this compensatory relationship is reciprocal, as few reagents exist to upregulate the UPS. One study found that upregulation of UPS may afford neuroprotection from toxicity caused by disease proteins, though the authors did not examine the effects of UPS upregulation in autophagy-deficient cells [58]. The mechanism and the molecular players that regulate the relationship between autophagy and the UPS are beginning to be elucidated and, perhaps not surprisingly, recognition of UBL modification is emerging as a consistent theme.

CMA is also clearly involved in the coordinated functioning of proteolytic pathways. CMA can selectively degrade some subunits of the proteasome, highlighting a relationship between CMA and the UPS [96]. In addition, acute blockade of CMA results in short-term impairment of both the UPS and macroautophagy, followed by a recovery of these catabolic systems as CMA blockade persists [97]. Chronic blockage of CMA results in constitutive activation of macroautophagy, which appears to be compensatory [59]. These interrelationships suggest a model in which the preferred route of degradation for a particular substrate may be linked to which system is most capable of efficiently degrading it.

While much has been revealed in recent years, substantial questions remain. Most notable, it is largely unknown how the decision is made between degradative routes for any particular protein substrate when more than one pathway is available. HDAC6, p62, and Alfy have been implicated in directing ubiquitinated proteins for autophagic degradation, but the mechanisms whereby these proteins identify their targets and influence their degradation are still unknown. Tantalizing recent evidence suggests that different classes of substrates may be identified by polyubiquitin chains of differing topology, providing the signal for degradation by one proteolytic system or the other [93, 98]. More specifically, it has been suggested that polyubiquitin chains with K48-linked chains are primarily degraded by the UPS, whereas those with K63-linked chains are directed to autophagy. Indeed, one might envision a “ubiquitin code” that translates into interaction with specific ubiquitin binding proteins, including HDAC6, Alfy, p62 or other members of the UBA family [99], which may in turn determine the fate of the substrate. However, experimental limitations in distinguishing between K48-, K63- and mixed-linkage ubiquitin chains must be overcome in order to answer this question effectively, and many of these relationships remain largely unexplored. This concept of different classes of substrates that are destined for degradation by differing pathways is consistent with one recent report in which disease-associated proteins were found to partition into two distinct intracellular compartments, with soluble ubiquitinated proteins accumulating in a proteasome-rich juxtanuclear region, and insoluble aggregated proteins accumulating in perivacuolar inclusions that colocalize with Atg8 [100]. It would be interesting to determine whether these proteins could be distinguished by differing UBL modifications.

The mechanism by which upregulation of autophagy mitigates neurotoxicity associated with UPS impairment is also unresolved. It is unlikely that autophagy is able to compensate for the role of the UPS in fine-tuning the steady-state levels of short-lived regulatory proteins. More likely, augmentation of autophagy is neuroprotective by 1) maintaining the overall rate of catabolism, “freeing” amino acids that would otherwise lie useless in aggregated, nonfunctioning proteins, 2) eliminating specific protein substrates that would otherwise accumulate, aggregate and acquire toxic properties, or 3) a combination of these.

Further illumination of the relationship between the UPS, autophagy and the relationship to human disease is vitally important and could lead to harnessing intrinsic catabolic pathways for therapeutic benefit.
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